Stormwater Management Master Plan - Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners, Township of Uxbridge, ON



Prepared for: Township of Uxbridge

Prepared by: Stantec Consulting Ltd. 300-675 Cochrane Drive West Tower Markham, ON L3R 0B8 Tel: (905) 944-7777

Project No. 1606 21777 May 2016

Sign-off Sheet

This document entitled Stormwater Management Master Plan - Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners, Township of Uxbridge, ON was prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd. for the account of Township of Uxbridge. The material in it reflects Stantec's best judgment in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions made based on it, are the responsibilities of such third parties. Stantec Consulting Ltd. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

	Farth 7		
Prepared by	, 7		
	(signature)		
Tim Ng, Water I	Resources		
Prepared by	Wendy Burke.		
	(signature)		
Wendy Burke, N	Water Resources		
Prepared by	NBajandlei		
· / _	(signature)		
Marla Bojarski,	Aquatic Ecology		
Prepared by	Juni Lock for:		
	(signature)		
Hagit Blumenthal, Hydrogeology			
Prepared by	Aday-		
	(signature)		
Colin Varley, Archaeology			
Reviewed by	Rieg on		
, <u>-</u>	(signature)		
Roy Johnson, W	Vater Resources		



Table of Contents

EXECU	ITIVE SUMN	//ARY	I
1.0 1.1	INTRODUC BACKGRC	CTION1 DUND	∣ .1 ∣.1
1.2	LOCATION		
1.3	STUDY OV	'ERVIEW1	.2
1.4	PREVIOUS STUDIES		
1.5	DAIAGA	PS1	.4
1.6	PROBLEM	AND OPPORIUNITY STATEMENTS	.5
1./	OBJECIIV	ES OF THE STUDY	.5
1.8	PURPOSE	OF THE PROJECT FILE	1.1
2.0	PLANNING	G CONTEXT AND THE EA PLANNING PROCESS	2.1
2.1	MUNICIPA	AL CLASS EA	2.1
	2.1.1	SWMMP Process Overview	2.3
2.2	PUBLIC CO	ONSULTATION	2.5
2.3	POLICY R	_VIEW	2.5
	2.3.1	Fisheries Act	2.5) 4
	2.3.Z	The Creenholt Plan	1.0) 7
	2.3.3	Lake Simcoe Protection Plan) Q
	2.3.4	Durham Regional Official Plan) 0
	2.3.51	Greenbelt Natural Heritage System	> 9
	2.3.5.2	Key Natural Heritage and Hydrologic Features	2.9
	2.3.5.3	Vegetation Protection Zone	10
	2.3.5.4	Environmental Impact Studies	10
	2.3.6	Township of Uxbridge Official Plan2.	11
3.0	EXISTING	CONDITIONS	13
3.1	NATURAL	ENVIRONMENT	13
	3.1.1	Natural Hazards	13
	3.1.2	Natural Heritage	14
3.2	TERRESTRI	AL ENVIRONMENT	15
	3.2.1	Designated Natural Areas	15
	3.2.2	Vegetation	15
	3.2.3	Breeding Birds	16
	3.2.4	Reptiles and Amphibians	16
0.0	3.2.5	Species at Risk	16
3.3	AQUATIC	ENVIRONMENT	16
	3.3.1 こここ	Aqualic Habitat and FISN Community	/ 17
	ン.ン.∠ 2221	Vialei Quality	1/ 10
	ン.ン.∠. I ス ス Ղ Ղ	Tiuspinulus	10 12
	J.J.Z.Z	10tal susperiueu sullus	10



	3.3.2.3	Chloride	3.18
3.4	ARCHAE	OLOGICAL ASSESSMENT	3.19
	3.4.1	Objectives	3.19
	3.4.1.1	Euro-Canadian Settler Resources	3.19
	3.4.1.2	Recent Reports	3.19
	3.4.2	Archaeological Context	3.19
	3.4.2.1	The Natural Environment	3.19
	3.4.2.2	Previously Identified Archaeological Sites and Surveys	3.20
	3.4.3	Field Methods	3.20
	3.4.4	Analysis and Conclusions	3.21
	3.4.5	Archeological Recommendations	3.22
	3.4.6	Advice on Compliance with Legislation	3.22
3.5	GEOLOG	Y/HYDROGEOLOGY	3.23
	3.5.1	Topography and Physiography	3.23
	3.5.2	Soils	3.23
	3.5.3	Geology	
	3.5.4	Hydrogeology	
	3.5.5	Groundwater Vulnerability and Wellhead Protection	3.25
	3.5.6	Wellhead and Intake Protection Zones	3.25
3.6	WATERCO	DURSES/WATERBODIES	
	3.6.1	Elgin Pond and Electric Light Pond	3.26
3.7	MUNICIP	AL INFRASTRUCTURE	3.26
	3.7.1	Inventory of SWMF	3.26
	3.7.2	Transportation Network	3.27
	3.7.3	Municipal and Private Services	3.28
	3.7.4	Flood Study	3.28
4.0	EVALUATI	ION OF THE CUMULATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF	
	STORMW	ATER	4.1
4.1	GENERAL		4.1
4.2	land use	E CHANGES	4.1
	4.2.1	Existing Land Use	4.1
	4.2.2	Future Land Use	4.1
4.3	PEAK FLO	W MODELLING	4.1
	4.3.1	General	4.1
	4.3.2	Objectives	4.2
	4.3.3	Existing Conditions	4.2
	4.3.4	Future Conditions	4.4
4.4	PHOSPHC	DRUS LOADING	4.5
	4.4.1	General	4.5
	4.4.2	Background	4.5
	4.4.2.1	Information	4.5
	4.4.2.2	Documentation	4.5
	4.4.2.2.1	Lake Simcoe Protection Plan	4.6
	4.4.2.2.2	2 TSH Report	4.7



	4.4.2.2.3	Uxbridge Brook Water Pollution Control Plant Annual Performance Re	port
	4.4.2.2.4	Uxbridge Urban Area Stormwater Management Study, Report to MOI	ECC
		4.11	
	4.4.3	Methodology	4.12
	4.4.4	Phosphorus Loading Assessment	4.12
	4.4.5	Existing Conditions	4.14
	4.4.6	Future Conditions	4.14
	4.4.7	Phosphorus Loading Assessment Results	4.16
4.5	WATER BL	JDGET	4.18
5.0	EFFECTIVE	ENESS OF EXISTING SWM WORKS	5.1
5.1	PEAK FLO	W MODELLING	5.1
5.2	CLIMATE (CHANGE	5.1
5.3	EROSION	AREAS OF CONCERN AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5.6
	5.3.1	Field Visit	5.6
	5.3.2	Summary of Erosion Sites	5.7
	5.3.3	Recommendations	5.8
4.0			٤ 1
0.0			0.1
0.1	GENERAL		0.1 2 1
0.2		TION OF EXISTING RETROFIT STRATEGY	····· 0. I
0.3	REIRUFIL	STRATEGY DEVELOPIVIENT	0.3
7.0	SWM MAI		7.1
7.1	INTRODU	CTION	7.1
	7.1.1	Objective	7.1
7.2	BACKGRO	OUND	7.1
7.3	FUNCTION	NAND MAINTENANCE OF SWMFS	7.2
	7.3.1	Applicable Legislation Regulations and Guidance Documents	7.2
7.4	RESULTS		7.6
	7.4.1	Inventory	7.6
	7.4.2	Inspections	7.6
	7.4.2.1	Frequency of Inspection	7.6
	7.4.2.2	Checklist	7.7
	7.4.2.3	Inspection of SWM Facility Features	7.7
7.5	SEDIMENT	REMOVAL PROCESS	7.7
	7.5.1	Background Review	7.7
	7.5.2	Design and Tender	7.8
	7.5.2.1	Deliverables	7.9
7.6	MAINTEN	ANCE	7.11
	7.6.1.1	Grass Cutting	7.11
	7.6.1.2	Weed Control	7.11
	7.6.1.3	Plantings	7.11
	7.6.1.4	Litter/Debris Removal	7.11



	7.6.1.5	Extended Detention Outlet	7.11
	7.6.2	Oil-Grit Separators	7.12
7.7	COSTS		7.12
	7.7.1	Sediment Removal	7.14
	7.7.1.1	Frequency	7.14
	7.7.1.2	Sediment Disposal	7.14
8.0	DEVELOPI	VIENT OF LONG LIST OF ALTERNATIVES	8.1
8.1	GENERAL		8.1
8.2	OVERVIEV	N OF ALTERNATIVES	8.1
	8.2.1	Source Controls	8.1
	8.2.2		8.5
	8.2.3	End of Pipe Measures	8.6
	8.2.4	Restoration Measures	8.7
9 0		MENT AND ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS	91
9.1		ATION/DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS	
0.7	GENERAL		0 1
0.2			0 1
7.5		Do Nothing	0.2
	7.3.1	Traditional SWM Stratogy	0.2
	7.J.Z	Traditional SWM with BMD Implementation Strategy	7.2
	7.3.3 0.2 <i>I</i>	Traditional SWM with Urban Potrofits Stratogy	02
0.4			0/
7.4	DLVLLOF	WENT OF EVALUATION CATEGORIES AND CRITERIA	7.4
10.0	PREFERRE	D ALTERNATIVE STRATEGY	10.1
10.1	SITE SPECI	IFIC RECOMMENDATIONS	10.2
	10.1.1	Area A – Uxbridge Northwest Settlement Area	10.3
	10.1.2	Area B – Uxbridge Southeast Settlement Area	10.4
	10.1.3	Area C - Coppin's Corners	10.4
	10.1.4	Uxbridge Urban Area	10.4
11.0	PUBLIC CO	ONSULTATION	11.1
11.1	CONSULT	ATION ACTIVITIES	11.1
	11.1.1	Notice of Commencement	11.1
	11.1.2	Notice of Public Information Centre	11.1
	11.1.3	Public Information Centre	11.1
11.2	FIRST NAT	IONS CONSULTATION	11.1
11.3	CONSULT	ATION WITH LSRCA	11.2
11.4	CONSULT	ATION WITH THE MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT	11.2
12.0			12 1
12.U			12.1
12.1			12.1 10.1
	12.1.1 10.1.0	General Salta	12.1
	12.1.2	KUAU Salls	12.1



	12.1.3	Water Temperature	2
12.2	PUBLIC CO	ONSULTATION/EDUCATION	4
12.3	SOURCES	OF FUNDING	4
13.0	NOTICE O	F STUDY COMPLETION13.0	6
14.0	REFERENC	ES14.	1
LIST OI	F TABLES		
Table	1	Township Official Plan: Strategic Directions, Goals, and Objectives 1.3	3
Table	2	Registered Archaeological Sites within a One Kilometre Radius of the	
		Study Area 3.20	C
Table	3	Comparison of Total Rainfall Depth	2
Table	4	Peak Flow Comparison	4
Table	5	Urban Total Phosphorous Loads to Uxbridge Brook (TSH, 2000)	7
lable	6	Breakdown of Recommended Urban Runoff IP Loadings	3
Table	/	Existing and Future Phosphorus Loadings	3
Table	8	Existing Phosphorus Loadings – Uxbridge Urban Area	4
Table	9 10	Existing Phosphorus Loadings – Areas A and B	4
laple	10	rutule Phospholus Loadings – Uxbildge Urban Alea and Aleas A and B) 6
Table	11 Fut	ure Phosphorus Loadings - Urban Area Excluding Euture Development	5
Table	11 101	4 1/	6
Table	12	Future Phosphorus Loadings – Areas A and B 410	6
Table	13	Phosphorus Loading Results	7
Table	14	Summary of Pre-Development Water Budget	9
Table	15	Pre-Development Annual Volumetric Runoff and Infiltration	9
Table	16	Post-Development Annual Volumetric Runoff and Infiltration	9
Table	17	Comparison of Future Condition, 2050, and 2080 Flows	5
Table	18	Storage Requirement (Future Pond for Future Residential B in	
		Catchment 1045) to Maintain Existing Flows for 2050 and 2080 5.0	6
Table	19	Storage Requirement (Future Pond for Future Residential A in	
		Catchment 1059) to Maintain Existing Flows for 2050 and 2080	6
Table	20	SWM Pond IDs	3
Table	21	Agencies and Applicable Approvals	4
Table	22	Typical Estimated Annual Maintenance Cost Template	2
Table	23	Typical Estimated Cleanout Cost Template	3
Table	24 25	Existing/Proposed "Do Nothing" Peak Flow Comparison	2
Table	∠0 24	Existing/Proposed "Traditional Swivi" Peak Flow Comparison	5 1
Table	∠0 27	evaluation Categories and Criteria	4 つ
lane	zi - settier	וופות אופם אייויו גפעטופווופותווויוויוויוויוויויויויויויויויויו	2

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure OP-A	Township of Uxbridge Official Plan "Schedule A"
Figure OP-F	Township of Uxbridge Official Plan "Schedule F"



Figure SWMF-1	Uxbridge Stormwater Management Facility Assessment, prepared by Stantec Consulting (2013) Excerpt of SWM POND Facility Map Index – Drawing 1
Figure TSH-1	Uxbridge Urban Area Stormwater Management Study, prepared by TSHi Associates (July 2000) Excerpt of Figure 3.3.1
Figure FRSN-1	Frosion Areas of Concern within Uxbridge Urban Area
Figure ERSN-2	Photographic Record
Figure 1	Study Area
Figure 2	Uxbridge SWM Pond Locations
Figure 3	Coppin's Corners SWM Pond Locations
Figure 4	Natural Environment Mapping
Figure 5	Topographic Map
Figure 6	Soils Map
Figure 7	Surficial Geology
Figure 8	MOECC Water Wells Within 500 Metres of Future Development Areas
Figure 9	Well Head Protection Zones
Figure 10	CANWET Land Use Areas
Figure 11	Subwatershed Boundaries and Land Use



LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	FIGURES		
APPENDIX B	WILDLIFE RECORDS IN THE STUDY AREA		
APPENDIX C	ARCHEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENTC.1		
APPENDIX D	EXISTING CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELINGD.1		
APPENDIX E	PHOSPHORUS LOADING RATE CALCULATIONS		
APPENDIX F	CLIMATE CHANGE/ FUTURE CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING		
APPENDIX G	NOTICE OF COMMENCEMENT		
APPENDIX H	NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE		
APPENDIX I	PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE BOARDS I.1		
APPENDIX J	PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE SIGN-IN SHEET AND COMMENT FORMJ.1		
APPENDIX K	FIRST NATIONS LETTER		
APPENDIX L	LAKE SIMCOE REGION CONSERVATION AUTHORITY CORRESPONDENCEL.1		
APPENDIX M	MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT CORRESPONDENCE		
APPENDIX N	POND INSPECTION CHECKLIST TEMPLATEN.1		
Appendix o	NOTICE OF STUDY COMPLETION		



Executive Summary

Introduction

The Township of Uxbridge has retained Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Stantec) to complete the Schedule B Class Environmental Assessment (Class EA) Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) for the Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner. The SWMMP has been prepared in accordance with the *Comprehensive SWM Master Plan Guidelines*, prepared by the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA), dated April 26, 2011, and in accordance with the *Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Guideline*, prepared by the Municipal Engineers Association, dated October 2000 (as amended in 2007 and 2011). The Township of Uxbridge (the Township) has received a letter from the Ministry of Environment (MOECC) indicating that the required scope of the SWM Master Plan must include the settlement areas noted above.

The intent of the Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) was to prepare a practical and implementable framework which balances the requirements of proposed and existing development with infrastructure requirements, economic, social and environmental constraints and opportunities. The MOECC published the *Lake Simcoe Protection Plan* in June of 2009 that called for all settlement areas to prepare and implement comprehensive SWMMP that would improve the management of stormwater for both existing and planned development.

The Study Area encompasses locations within both The Township of Uxbridge and Coppin's Corners, located south of Lake Simcoe. The Uxbridge study sub-area is generally bounded by Ball Road (north), Concession Road 7 (east), Wagg Road (south), Concession Rd#6 (west). The Coppin's Corners study sub-area is generally bounded by Regional Road HWY 47 (north), Concession Regional Road No. 1 (east), Regional Road No.21 (south) and Concession Road #4 (west). The Study Area is the urban areas from the Town's Official Plan (OP) and can be seen in **Figure 1**. It is important to note that the urban area from the Town's OP slightly differs from that of Durham Region's.

The Study Area drains to two sub watersheds: Uxbridge Brook and Pefferlaw Brook. There are various existing Stormwater Management (SWM) facilities within the Study Area. There are parts of the Greenbelt and Oak Ridges Moraine that are within the Township Boundary. The intent of the SWMMP is to develop the practical and implementable framework, which balances the requirements of proposed and existing development with infrastructure requirements, economic, social and environmental constraints and opportunities. The land use within the Town's Urban Boundary is predominantly residential, commercial, and institutional with some park and open space areas. There are several employment areas at the intersection of Main and Maple St and the intersection of Reach St and Hamilton St. There is a cemetery to the south west within Lot 27 and Lot 28. Mixed land use areas are generally in the north eastern section from lot 31 to lot 33.



There is also a small section of private open space for a golf course at the east end of the urban boundary along Lot 29. There are several Environmental Constraint Areas that are within the vicinity of watercourses and bounded by the floodplain.

SWMMP Strategy

The primary objective of the project is to meet the requirements of the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan (specifically Section 4.5 SA), the Lake Simcoe *Comprehensive Stormwater Management Master Plan Guidelines* and the LSRCA Watershed Development Policies, while considering the intentions of the Township's OP and applicable strategies/goals/guidelines set out by the Region of Durham's OP. The objectives of each plan in detail are described below:

Public Information Centre / Stakeholder Consultation

A Public Information Centre was held May 8, 2014.

SWM Recommendations

Using the existing conditions, the Township's OP, and the results of this Study, recommendations based on each settlement area have been developed.

Area A - Uxbridge Northwest Settlement Area

The preferred SWM strategy for this area is the Traditional SWM with BMP Implementation Strategy – a SWM pond for peak flow control and erosion control, in conjunction with LID BMPs to reduce phosphorus, promote infiltration, and to potentially offset the need for a permanent pool. Where applicable, it is recommended to provide BMPs in areas where soils and groundwater levels permit on a future development basis.

As this Future Settlement Area is designated for Residential development, increasing imperviousness requires peak flow control and erosion control. The use of LID BMPs at the lot level could reduce costs over a traditional SWM wet pond, which requires draining, soil testing, hauling, etc. In addition, wet ponds can produce odours, which LID measures can reduce.

When SWM Ponds and LID measures are utilized in conjunction with one another (i.e. a treatment train approach), TP loading can be reduced further over Traditional SWM (Ponds) alone.

Area A sits predominantly within the Dundonald Sandy Loam soils region. Based on the MOECC Manual, these soils generally have percolation rates greater than the recommended minimum of 15 mm/hours for infiltration measures; which supports LID measures.

Area B - Uxbridge Southeast Settlement Area



The preferred SWM strategy for this area is the Traditional SWM with BMP Implementation Strategy – a SWM pond for peak flow control and erosion control, in conjunction with LID BMPs to reduce phosphorus, promote infiltration, and to potentially offset the need for a permanent pool. Where applicable, it is recommended to provide BMPs in areas where soils and groundwater levels permit on a future development basis.

It is important to note that within this settlement boundary there are areas of high aquifer vulnerability and wellhead protection areas that should be avoided when attempting to infiltrate stormwater runoff. The DROP should be consulted when determining the regulations surrounding the implementation of SWM ponds and LIDs.

Area C - Coppin's Corners

As noted in Section 1.0, Coppin's Corners is to drain internally to the Wyndance Infiltration SWM Pond, which is within the jurisdiction of the TRCA.

Uxbridge Urban Area

For areas that experience redevelopment in the future, the preferred strategy is the Traditional SWM with Urban Retrofits Strategy. Pond upgrades and LID measure should be evaluated for feasibility of implementation on a site specific basis.



Introduction May, 2016

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

The Township of Uxbridge retained Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Stantec) to complete the Schedule B Class Environmental Assessment (Class EA) Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) for the Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner. The SWM Master Plan has been prepared in accordance with the *Comprehensive SWM Master Plan Guidelines*, prepared by the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA), dated April 26, 2011, and in accordance with the *Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Guideline*, prepared by the Municipal Engineers Association, dated October 2000 (as amended in 2007 and 2011). The Township of Uxbridge (the Township) received a letter from the Ministry of Environment (MOECC) indicating that the required scope of the SWM Master Plan must include the settlement areas noted above.

The intent of the SWMMP is to prepare a practical and implementable framework which balances the requirements of proposed and existing development with infrastructure requirements, economic, social and environmental constraints and opportunities. The Ministry of Environment (MOECC) published the *Lake Simcoe Protection Plan* in June of 2009 that called for all settlement areas to prepare and implement comprehensive SWMMP that would improve the management of stormwater for both existing and planned development.

1.2 LOCATION

The Township office is located at 51 Toronto Street South in south-central Ontario in the Regional Municipality of Durham. The Township of Uxbridge and Coppin's Corners study areas are located south of Lake Simcoe.

Future Settlement Areas in Uxbridge and Coppin's Corners were identified as being generally described as (refer to **Figure 1**):

- Residential Area A (Uxbridge) south of Maple Brook Drive, east of Concession Road 6, north of Bolton Drive, and west of Concession Road 7;
- Residential Area B (Uxbridge) south of Munroe Crescent and Enzo Crescent, east of Concession Road 7, north of an extension eastward of Elgin Park Drive, and west of Foxbridge Golf Club; and,
- Residential Area C (Coppin's Corners) west of Regional Road 1, north of Regional Road 21, within the northwest corner of the existing Sandhills Golf Club Community (Wyndance).



The Study Area encompasses locations within both The Township of Uxbridge and Coppin's Corners, located south of Lake Simcoe. The Study Area is shown on **Figure 1**, including subwatershed and Conservation Authority boundaries and future settlement areas. **Figure 2** and **Figure 3** illustrate the pond locations within the urban boundaries of Uxbridge and Coppin's Corner's, respectively.

Based on a review of the Coppin's Corners future growth, and discussions with LSRCA and Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA), the existing Estates of Wyndance Pond is under the jurisdiction of TRCA. The Future Settlement Area of Coppin's Corner is within the drainage boundaries specified in the SWM report for the Estates of Wyndance.

1.3 STUDY OVERVIEW

In 2007, the Township developed a Consolidated Official Plan (OP) Document outlining the proposed developments and standards through to the year 2020. The applicable policies in the Durham Regional Official Plan also apply to the Township of Uxbridge and take priority in the event of conflict between the provisions of the Uxbridge Official Plan and the Durham regional Official Plan. It is important to note that The Township of Uxbridge OP's purpose is to provide general guidelines for the planning of the Township and should not be interpreted as direct statements of planning policy, rather they form the basis for formulation of policies within the plan that include: managing growth, land use over the proposed planning period and environmental protection.

The OP notes "The population of the Urban Area in 1996 was approximately 7,745. It is anticipated that the population of this area would increase to approximately 12,500 people during the planning period (1999 - 2021)". The Township's OP provides strategic direction to achieve the community Vision, and related goals and objectives and is summarized in the following table (Table 1):



Introduction May, 2016

Г

Table 1 Township Official Plan: Strategic Directions, Goals, and Objectives

STRATEGIC DIRECTIONS, GOALS AND OBJECTIVES				
STRATEGIC DIRECTIONS	GOALS	RELATED OBJECTIVES		
1. The Future of Uxbridge's Downtown	1. To establish the Downtown as the social, business and retail centre of the community.	1.1 To maintain existing and attract new retail and commercial activities to the Downtown.1.2 To create an environment in the Downtown that is attractive to residents and visitors.		
2. Uxbridge's Economy Now and in the Future	2. To ensure the health of the Township's local economy by supporting its business and tourism sectors.	 2.1 To support the agricultural and aggregate industries and the expansion of farm - related businesses in the Township. 2.2 To encourage self-reliance, entrepreneurship and growth of the small business sector. 2.3 To promote increased tourism, building on the Township's assets and heritage. 		
3. Managing Growth for Sustainable Development	3. To manage the growth of the community in a sustainable manner that balances environ- mental protection, the preferred lifestyle of residents, and economic viability.	 3.1 To guide and direct the location, type and amount of future residential and commercial development. 3.2 To give consideration to the costs and benefits of the physical infrastructure provided to support growth. 3.3 To encourage an appropriate mix of residential, commercial and industrial development to maintain a viable tax base while protecting the rural and small town character of the community. 		
4. Environmental Protection	4. To protect, enhance and restore natural resources in Uxbridge Township in a manner that contributes to the community's quality of life, identity and economy.	 4.1 To protect the quality of surface and ground water in the community. <u>4.2 To preserve and protect the Oak Ridges</u> <u>Moraine.</u> 4.3 To preserve and promote unique environmental attributes of the community in a manner that contributes to recreational and tourism opportunities. 		
5. Community Services to Support Quality of Life	5. To maintain and enhance where possible community services to support a high quality of life for Township residents	To work with the Province and other levels of government to maintain and support health and social services to meet the changing needs of residents.		



٦

The work plan for completing this Class EA will identify any potential environmental effects and recommend appropriate Stormwater Management (SWM) requirements for future development in the Master Plan. The two phases included in the 'Master Plan' MEA Class EA process are Phase 1 (Identification and Description of the Problem) and Phase 2 (Identification /Evaluation of Alternative Solutions to the Problem). Phase 3 to Phase 5 of the MEA Class EA process will not be required as part of the SWMMP.

The study area is contained within one subwatershed (Uxbridge Brook) within the Lake Simcoe Watershed.

1.4 PREVIOUS STUDIES

A number of previous studies have been developed within the study area by the Township and the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA), which include:

- Report on the Phosphorus Loads to Lake Simcoe Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority, 2007-2009;
- Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe Ministry of Public Infrastructure renewal, 2006;
- Lake Simcoe Basin Stormwater Management and Retrofit Opportunities 2007 Lake Simcoe Conservation Authority, 2007; and,
- Uxbridge Brook Watershed Plan Lake Simcoe region Conservation Authority, 1997.
- TSH Associates, Donald Weatherbe Associates Inc., James Li. Township of Uxbridge Uxbridge Urban Area Stormwater Management Study Final Report July 2000. July 2000 (TSH Report)

A full list is included in Section 14.0 (References).

In addition, SWM reports for various ponds within the study area were made available by LSRCA and were reviewed and incorporated into the analyses completed as part of the SWMMP.

The tributaries of the Pefferlaw River include the Main Branch, flowing northward from a point south of the community of Uxbridge, and the Uxbridge Brook branch that flows northward and joins together with the Main Branch in the Township of Georgina, just north of the Township of Uxbridge. These two tributaries are normally treated as two separate subwatersheds (Pefferlaw Brook and Uxbridge Brook). However, they were combined for subwatershed planning purposes as the Pefferlaw River subwatershed.

1.5 DATA GAPS

Data, including previous studies, models, etc. was collected from a variety of sources including the Township, Region, and LSRCA. It should be noted that within those studies there are some



Introduction May, 2016

gaps in available information as well as reports that have yet to be completed or reports that are unavailable to the public. Examples of data gaps are:

- Existing storm sewer information;
- Drainage area information outside of settlement areas;
- Drainage areas to oil grit separators;
- Drainage areas to uncontrolled outlets;
- Existing SWM Pond IDs and reports; and,
- No information on Pond 14 drainage area from the Pefferlaw Uxbridge Subwatershed report.

Stantec has done its best to gather all available information in support of the SWMMP.

1.6 PROBLEM AND OPPORTUNITY STATEMENTS

The Township has retained Stantec to develop a SWMMP to define all anticipated works necessary to maintain, expand and improve the existing storm drainage system (including SWM ponds) while protecting the valued natural resources both within and beyond Township limits. The SWMMP has been prepared in accordance with the Class EA process and is available for public review.

This project presents an opportunity to improve the management of stormwater for both existing and planned development, which is based on changes in land use as outlined in the *Consolidated OP 2020* for the Township (Aug 2007) and the *Durham Regional Consolidated OP 2021* (June 2008). In addition, the LSRCA has prepared a document entitled *Comprehensive Stormwater Management Master Plan Guidelines*, dated April 26, 2011, which is to be followed for all SWMMPs within their jurisdiction.

An opportunity exists to implement a drainage strategy within the Township to meet the requirements as set out in the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan. While implementing drainage improvements, there will be opportunities to minimize ongoing erosion and sedimentation, phosphorus loadings and changes in water balance which may cause a negative impact on the Lake Simcoe watershed.

1.7 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The primary objective of the study is to meet the requirements of the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan (specifically Section 4.5 SA), the Lake Simcoe *Comprehensive Stormwater Management Master Plan Guidelines* and the LSRCA Watershed Development Policies, while considering the intentions of the Township's OP and applicable strategies/goals/guidelines set out by the Region of Durham's OP. The objectives of each plan in detail are described below:

Objectives of the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan



The objectives of the Plan as set out in the Lake Simcoe Protection Act, 2008 are to:

- Protect, improve or restore the elements that contribute to the ecological health of the Lake Simcoe watershed, including, water quality, hydrology, key natural heritage features and their functions, and key hydrologic features and their functions;
- Restore a self-sustaining coldwater fish community in Lake Simcoe;
- Reduce loadings of phosphorus and other nutrients of concern to Lake Simcoe and its tributaries;
- Reduce the discharge of pollutants to Lake Simcoe and its tributaries;
- Improve the Lake Simcoe watershed's capacity to adapt to climate change;
- Provide for ongoing scientific research and monitoring related to the ecological health of the Lake Simcoe watershed; and,
- Improve conditions for environmentally sustainable recreation activities related to Lake Simcoe and to promote those activities.

Objectives of Section 4.5-SA of the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan

Within five years of the date the Plan comes into effect, municipalities, in collaboration with LSRCA, will prepare and implement comprehensive SWMMP's for each settlement area in the Lake Simcoe watershed. The SWMMP's will be prepared in accordance with the Class EA guidelines and will include:

- A characterization of existing environmental conditions on a subwatershed basis, consistent with any relevant subwatershed evaluations, if available;
- An evaluation of the cumulative environmental impact of stormwater from existing and planned development;
- A determination of the effectiveness of existing SWM works at reducing the negative impacts of stormwater on the environment, including consideration of the potential impacts of climate change on the effectiveness of the works;
- An examination of any stormwater retrofit opportunities that have already been identified by the municipality or the LSRCA for areas where stormwater is uncontrolled or inadequately controlled;
- The identification of additional SWM retrofit opportunities or improvements to existing SWM works that could improve the level of treatment within a particular settlement area;
- A description of existing or planned programs for regular maintenance of SWM works;
- An identification of the recommended approaches for SWM in each settlement area; and,
- An implementation plan for the recommended approaches.

The LSRCA has prepared a document entitled *Comprehensive Stormwater Management Master Plan Guidelines*, dated April 26, 2011. In this document, the LSRCA has established what is referred to as the Ten Steps, which are described in detail and generally summarized as follows:



Introduction May, 2016

Scoping: Identify the urban areas or rural settlement areas where development is concentrated and lands are designated in the Official Plan for development over the long term. The Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners have already been identified.

Determine Study Area for the Settlement Area: Identify the existing settlement area designated in the Official Plan, proposed or contemplated future development, and all associated permanent and intermittent streams within the same.

Develop a Characterization of the Study Area: Establish an understanding of existing and future land uses, natural environment, cultural environment, and watershed conditions. Interpretation of relevant planning/regulatory policies and identification of any known restrictions and/or constraints.

Divide Study Area into Management Units: In the event that portions of the study areas would be better broken down into separate management units due to distinct natural heritage characteristics, then those specific management areas need to be identified. This could include differences based on receiving watercourse characteristics, abrupt changes to existing/proposed land uses, unique underlying soil characteristics, etc.

Evaluate Cumulative Environmental Impact of Stormwater from Existing and Planned Development: Undertake an assessment of water balance, water quality, and water quantity considerations for both existing and proposed conditions to establish an understanding of the potential cumulative effects to the existing receiving systems.

Determine Effectiveness of Existing Stormwater Management Systems: Assess the existing SWM facilities, their inlet/outlet structures, and their apparent ability to address water quality/quantity and erosion controls in their receiving watercourses. Review effectiveness of SWM facilities to satisfy climate change implications.

Identify and Evaluate Stormwater Improvement and Retrofit Opportunities: Opportunities to be identified based upon the effectiveness of existing facilities, conditions of receiving watercourses, background studies, infrastructure constraints, and land availability. Opportunities to introduce Low Impact Development, land form alterations, and/or re-vegetation techniques within existing open spaces will also be considered. In addition, past SWM recommendations from planning policy, will also be revisited to ensure continued relevance with current SWM practices and the findings of this study. Alternatives will be evaluated against each other regarding technical effectiveness, construction feasibility, natural environmental, social/cultural environment, and cost.

Establish a Recommended Approach for Stormwater Management for the Study Area: Develop an overall SWM strategy for the study area that will be effective at managing the stormwater flow characteristics, water quality, water quantity, and erosion controls. Establish specific and quantifiable SWM design criteria for future development in the study area. Stantec will provide



justification/rationale for the recommended approach in accordance with Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the Municipal Class EA (Master Plan process).

Develop an Implementation Plan for the Recommended Approaches:

Stantec will outline how the recommended SWM measures, policies, and operation/maintenance strategies are to be implemented with consideration for responsible party, schedule, and funding mechanisms.

Develop Programs for Inspection and Maintenance of Stormwater Management Facilities:

Stantec will prepare an inspection and maintenance program for the recommended SWM works as part of this study. The plan will provide for annual reporting with a sufficient level of detail to determine if the implemented SWM recommendations are operating in a manner consistent with the intended design.

The LSRCA has prepared a document entitled *Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority Watershed Development Policies*, dated March 23, 2012. In this document, the LSRCA has established policies pertaining to SWM in sections 6 and 7 of the document. Section 6 of the document describes the policies as it relates to quantity and quality control. Section 7 of the document refers to site alteration within the regulatory floodplain. Section 6 and 7 of the document are briefly summarized below:

- New development shall comply with Enhanced (formerly, "Level 1") stormwater quality
 protection in accordance with the 2003 MOECC document titled "Stormwater Management
 Practices-Planning and Design Manual";
- The SWM plan must make every feasible effort to maintain the predevelopment infiltration and evapotranspiration rates and temperatures to the receiving waterbody and watershed;
- Stormwater runoff peak flow discharges must be controlled to a minimum of the predevelopment levels for all design storms up to the 100 year storm;
- A minimum of 24 hour detention of run off from a 25mm storm shall be required for erosion protection and baseflow maintenance where feasible;
- The SWM facilities are to be located above the existing 1:100 year floodplain. The incremental storage between the 1:100 year and regulatory floodlines is to be maintained. Volume below the pond's high water level shall not be considered as available storage for the regulatory floodplain. Berming, for such facilities within the floodplain, shall not exceed a 0.3m elevation higher than the existing ground elevation;
- Storm water facilities must effectively treat sediment, phosphorus, thermal pollution and hydrocarbons (if the facility has vehicle parking);
- All proposed end-of-pipe water quality control facilities must be designed to meet or exceed current Authority SWM and Ministry of Environment (MOECC) guidelines; and,
- Development within the floodplain is discouraged; however, it can be considered provided that all the requirements are adhered to (see section 7.1 of Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority Watershed Development Policies for more detail).



Introduction May, 2016

Objectives of the Township's OP

The policies/guidelines of the OP as it pertains to SWM are to promote "good water management" to protect what is healthy, and to rehabilitate what is degraded. The primary objectives (see Section 2.2 of the OP) include:

 Undertaking a comprehensive evaluation of all stormwater discharges to the Uxbridge Brook from existing and approved, but unconstructed development, in the Urban Area; and, developing a remediation plan including an implementation strategy to implement Best Management Practices for stormwater quality management to minimize the impact of these discharges.

The preparation of the remediation plan will include, but will not be limited to, examination of the following potential implementation mechanisms:

- i. Working with landowners abutting the Uxbridge Brook and other agencies to rehabilitate degraded areas of the stream in accordance with the recommendations of the Watershed Plan;
- ii. Investigating in detail the opportunities for upgrading the existing urban stormwater system and the measures recommended in the Uxbridge Urban Area Secondary Plan: Background Report, September 1998, Appendix 1.
- iii. Ensuring that old and new stormwater management systems are combined wherever opportunities to do so arise, except where crossover into different watershed catchments will result; and,
- iv. Carrying out regular maintenance of existing stormwater management facilities.

All new development shall:

- i. Meet Enhanced quality control criteria with state of the art phosphorus removal systems;
- ii. Provide 24 hour detention for runoff from a 40 mm storm event; and,
- iii. Provide full peak control for site runoff (post to pre) for up to and including the 1:100 year storm event.

Policies of The Durham Region's OP

The policies/guidelines of the OP as it pertains to Water Resources and SWM are summarized below:

To ensure that water resources are available in sufficient quality and quantity to meet existing and future needs of the Region's residents, Regional Council shall:

• Promote and support water resource conservation and management initiatives;



- In the process of assessing development, require lakes and streams and adjoining lands to be retained in or rehabilitated to a natural state, the protection of fish and wildlife habitat and minimize alterations to natural drainage systems and sediments entering a watercourse lake;
- Discourage alterations to watercourses. Minor adjustments to watercourses may be considered by the authority having jurisdiction where evidence can be provided that the functions of the watercourse will not be adversely affected;
- Ensure that, where appropriate, area municipal official plans require stormwater management and erosion and sedimentation control plans to be prepared in the context of subwatershed plans, or other similar plans and that stormwater management facilities be implemented as part of the pre-servicing of development proposals
- Ensure that for lands located on the Oak Ridges Moraine and the lands within the Protected Countryside of the Greenbelt Plan, stormwater management and watershed plans and their components, meet the requirements of the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan and the Greenbelt Plan respectively; and,
- Where appropriate promote groundwater infiltration, through improved stormwater management design.

Regional Council shall cooperate with the Provincial Government and the conservation authorities to promote the effective use and conservation of surface and groundwater resources and to protect against adverse cumulative impacts of development on water quality and quantity.

Development that maintains hydrological functions and minimizes direct alteration to groundwater flows shall be encouraged.

Development applications in areas where groundwater discharge could be significantly affected shall be accompanied by an appropriate study demonstrating that groundwater quantity and quality will be protected, improved or restored.

Development applications (excepting wetland restoration projects and domestic usage and livestock operations) that require a permit to take water under the <u>Ontario Water Resources Act</u>, or that have the potential to impact water quantity, shall be accompanied by a study verifying that there is a sustainable basis, confirm that there will not be a negative impact on the surrounding water users and the natural environment which cannot be appropriately mitigated.

Development may be considered on a lot where there is an abandoned well or borehole, only if applicant demonstrates, to the satisfaction of the municipality, that actions have been taken to decommission the well or borehole, in accordance with provincial requirements.



Introduction May, 2016

1.8 PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT FILE

The purpose of this Project File is to provide a summary, consistent with the requirements of the Municipal Class EA process, of the problem statement, investigation of options, and selection of the preferred alternative.

The report documents the EA process for public review. The project file is intended to meet (where appropriate) the objectives as set out in the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan (LSPP), the Township OP, the Region of Durham OP and the Municipal Class EA document.



Planning Context and the EA Planning Process May, 2016

2.0 PLANNING CONTEXT AND THE EA PLANNING PROCESS

2.1 MUNICIPAL CLASS EA

The Municipal Class EA (2007) planning and design process was followed for this project as it allows the Township to meet the requirements of the Ontario Environmental Assessment Act (OEAA) for municipal infrastructure without having to either undertake an Individual Environmental Assessment or request a specific exemption for the project. The Class EA is a planning process approved under the OEAA for a class or group of undertakings including municipal infrastructure. Municipal projects included in the Class EA may be implemented without further approval under the OEAA, provided that the approved Class EA planning and design process (Exhibit A.2) is followed.

Since the Township's SWMMP Class EA is a high level document relating to the future planning of SWM guidelines and principles, it is classified as a Master Plan project. The SWMP will follow the Schedule B Class EA process.

Master Plans are specified as "long range plans which integrate infrastructure requirements for existing and future land use with environmental assessment planning principles. These plans examine an infrastructure system(s) or group of related projects in order to outline a framework for planning for subsequent projects and/or developments."

Section A.2.7 of the Municipal Class EA document states:

"At a minimum, Master Plans address Phase 1 and 2 of the Municipal Class EA process".

Schedule 'B' Projects have the potential for some adverse environmental effects. The municipality is required to undertake a screening process (Phases One and Two) involving mandatory contact with directly affected public and relevant review agencies to ensure that they are aware of the project and that their concerns are addressed. Schedule 'B' projects require that a Project File report be prepared and submitted for review by the public and review agencies. If there are no outstanding concerns, then the municipality may proceed to Phase Five for implementation.







Planning Context and the EA Planning Process May, 2016

2.1.1 SWMMP Process Overview

The work plan for completing the Class EA will identify any potential environmental effects and recommend appropriate SWM requirements for future development in the Master Plan. The two phases included in the 'Master Plan' MEA Class EA process are Phase 1 (Identification and Description of the Problem) and Phase 2 (Identification /Evaluation of Alternative Solutions to the Problem). Phase 3 to Phase 5 of the MEA Class EA process will not be required as part of this study.

Phase 1

Phase 1 is primarily focused on collecting and reviewing relevant background data, confirming the project objectives and schedule with Township staff, and developing a clear and concise problem statement, which is generally identified in the LSRCA's guidelines. During Phase 1, we will develop a project contact list in consultation with the Township and LSRCA noting relevant government agencies and stakeholders within the community, which will include, for example:

- **Provincial Agencies:** Ministry of the Environment; Ministry of Natural Resources; Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs; Ministry of Public Infrastructure and Renewal; and, Ministry of Transportation.
- Federal Agencies: Department of Fisheries and Oceans (if required); Department of Indian and Northern Affairs; and, Environment Canada.
- Municipal Governments: Region of Durham and Township of Uxbridge.
- Stakeholder Organizations: Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority; various interested community organizations.
- **Relevant Utilities and Local Stakeholders:** Enbridge Gas; Veridian; Hydro One; and, study area property owners.

Input from the public and affected agencies will be obtained through two required notifications, which will include one Open House event (Public Information Centre). The first point of contact with the public will be a "Notice of Study Commencement and Open House" that would be prepared and provided to the Township for placement in local newspapers during the appropriate time in Phase 2. At the same time, a cover letter and a copy of the Notice of Study Commencement and Open House will be mailed or delivered by the consulting team to stakeholders identified on the project contact list.



Phase 2

During Phase 2, the preliminary alternative solutions to the problem will be discussed with the Township and will be evaluated as part of the Class EA process. Phase 2 will include the following tasks:

- 1. Identify and describe the relevant alternative solutions to the stated problem(s) in consultation with the Township. Our preliminary list may include but will not necessarily be limited to the following alternatives:
 - a) Do nothing (included as required by the MEA Class EA);
 - b) Retrofit of Existing SWM Facilities;
 - c) Low Impact Development Opportunities (New or Retrofitted Development);
 - d) Integration of Land Form Alterations and Vegetation Techniques in Open Spaces;
 - e) Phosphorus Reduction Techniques;
 - f) Future SWM Facilities;
 - a. End-of-Pipe Controls;
 - b. Source Controls;
 - c. Conveyance Controls; and,
 - 7. Public SWM Education/Outreach.

Review the relevant natural, and social/cultural background information, followed by any literature searches and field investigations as required to expand on the key components of the environment potentially affected. Stantec staff will review key background reports and provide a summary of our findings as it relates to relevant natural heritage and social/cultural information. It is anticipated that field assessments of the natural heritage systems will be necessary for the major receiving watercourses in the Study Area. Stage 1 Cultural Heritage assessments will be sufficient to support the SWM Master Plan.

Review and analyze the relevant technical information available through the Township, LSRCA, and Region and conduct technical field investigations required to augment the existing data.

Develop evaluation criteria pertaining to water quality, water quantity, water balance, erosion controls, general storm drainage, natural environment, and cost based on the existing conditions inventories, technical studies and any other identified issues raised by agency and public stakeholders during the process.

Evaluate the alternative solutions using the evaluation criteria. Identify the potential net effects and advantages/disadvantages, after mitigation measures have been applied, for each alternative. The various alternatives will then be rated on a relative basis to each other as the basis for the selection of a recommended alternative solution as it relates to establishing proper SWM planning and design criteria.



Planning Context and the EA Planning Process May, 2016

Consult review agencies and the public. The newspaper advertisement prepared in Phase 1 for the "Notice of Study Commencement and Open House" will be posted in local paper(s).

The materials at the open house will describe the Class EA process being followed, the problem being addressed, identification and evaluation of the alternative solutions and design concepts, the recommended alternative solution, the potential environmental effects associated with the preferred solution, and next steps.

Incorporate the information provided by stakeholders and confirm the preferred alternative solution.

Prepare the Project File for the proposed SWM Master Plan that documents each step of the Class EA process undertaken and the results in a traceable, easily understood manner, and meets all MEA Class EA requirements. We will provide the Township and LSRCA with a draft of the Project File for review.

Place the Project File in a public forum (i.e. Municipal Clerk's Office, library, etc.) for the required 30 day review period.

Prepare a "Notice of Completion" in the form of a letter and a newspaper advertisement, which advises stakeholders where the Project File may be reviewed and the manner in which comment is to be received. The notice will also advise stakeholders and review agencies of their rights with regard to requesting a Part II Order under the EA Act.

2.2 PUBLIC CONSULTATION

In order to fulfill the requirements for a municipal class EA, a Public Information Center (PIC) was held on May 7, 2014 at the Uxbridge Municipal Office. **Section 11.0** describes the public consultation process in detail.

2.3 POLICY REVIEW

2.3.1 Fisheries Act

The (Federal) Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) is the agency that applies the Federal Fisheries Act. Under Section 35, the Act prohibits any work, undertaking or activity that results in serious harm to fish that are part of a commercial, recreational or Aboriginal fishery, or to fish that support such a fishery and Section 36 of the Act prohibits the release of substances deleterious to fish habitat. Proponents are now required to conduct a self-assessment to determine if serious harm can be avoided during implementation of the project. In cases where is it unclear to the proponent that serious harm will be avoided, a Request for Project Review can be submitted to DFO to determine if a project will result in serious harm. Where serious harm



cannot be avoided DFO will issue an Authorization for the project once the proponent has prepared an Offsetting Plan to offset the serious harm caused by the project.

In general SWMFs are not considered fish habitat, however, natural wetlands, lakes and the creeks connected to SWMFs are considered fish habitat. As such, it is the responsibility of the Township to ensure that proposed works which may affect wetlands, lakes and creeks do not have a negative impact on the natural fish habitat.

2.3.2 Oak Ridges Moraine

The Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan (ORMCP) was established by the Ontario government under the *Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Act* (2001) and guides the land use and resource management direction for over 190,000 ha of land and water within the Moraine. Decisions of provincial ministers, ministries and agencies made under the Planning Act (1998) or in relation to a prescribed matter must conform to the ORMCP.

The southern portion of the Town of Uxbridge study area falls within the "Settlement Area" designation of the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan (ORMCP) Area. Settlement Area includes urban uses and development plans, the boundaries of which are better defined in official plans and zoning by-laws, conforming to Section 10 of the ORMCP. All uses permitted by the applicable official plan are permitted. Site plan approval under Section 41 of the *Planning Act* is not required within Settlement Areas that do not include a key natural heritage feature or a hydrologically sensitive feature.

Coppin's Corners falls within the "Countryside Area – Rural Settlement" designation of the ORMCP Area. These are existing hamlets or similar small, generally long established communities that are identified in official plans. Infrastructure and utilities are permitted in Countryside Areas (Section 41 of ORMCP).

SWM policies are discussed in Section 45 and 46 of the ORMCP and outline requirements for application for development or site alteration to be accompanied by SWM plans. Section 45 outlines planning, design and construction practices to protect water resources. New SWM ponds are prohibited on lands within key natural heritage features and hydrologically sensitive features.

Any development that is to occur on lands under the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan (ORMCP) legislation must be accompanied by a SWM plan as set out in section 46 of the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan. The objectives of a SWM plan are to:

- a) maintain groundwater quantity and flow and stream baseflow;
- b) protect water quality;
- c) protect aquatic species and their habitat;
- d) prevent increases in stream channel erosion; and



Planning Context and the EA Planning Process May, 2016

e) prevent any increase in flood risk.

A SWM plan shall provide for an integrated treatment train approach that uses a planned sequence of methods of controlling stormwater and keeping its impact to a minimum by techniques including, without limitation,

- a) lot level controls such as devices and designs that direct roof discharge to rear yard ponding areas;
- b) conveyance controls such as grassed swales; and,
- c) end-of-pipe controls such as wet ponds at the final discharge stage.

2.3.3 The Greenbelt Plan

The Greenbelt Plan (2005) was established under Section 3 of the *Greenbelt Act* (2005) through the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing. It serves to identify where urbanization should not occur, providing permanent protection to agricultural land base and ecological features and functions within its landscape. The Greenbelt Plan includes lands within, and builds upon the ecological protections provided by, the Niagara Escarpment Plan and the ORMCP.

Protected Countryside lands of the Greenbelt Plan are intended to enhance special extent of agriculturally and environmentally protected lands covered by the Niagara Escarpment Plan and the ORMCP, while also improving linkages between these areas and the surrounding major lake systems and watersheds.

The goals of the Greenbelt Plan are to enhance urban and rural areas and overall quality of life by promoting matters within the Protected Countryside such as:

- Agricultural Protection;
- Environmental Protection;
- Culture, Recreation and Tourism;
- Settlement Areas; and,
- Infrastructure and Natural Resources.

Both Uxbridge Urban Area and Coppin's Corners study areas fall within the ORMCP designations of the Greenbelt Plan Area; thus any development or site alterations with associated SWM facilities are to follow the guidelines provided within the ORMCP.

In summary, Section 4.2.3 of the Greenbelt Plan provides policies on SWM infrastructure.

 SWM ponds are prohibited in key natural heritage features or key hydrologic features or their vegetation protected zones, except for those portions of the Protected Countryside that define the major river valleys that connect the Niagara Escarpment and Oak Ridges Moraine to Lake Ontario. In these areas, naturalized SWM ponds are permitted provided they are located a minimum of 30 metres away from the edge of the river/stream and in the



vegetation protection zones of any abutting key natural heritage features or key hydrologic features.

- 2. Applications for *development* and *site alteration* in the Protected Countryside shall be accompanied by a SWM plan which demonstrates that:
 - a) Planning, design and construction practices will minimize vegetation removal, grading and soil compaction, sediment erosion and impervious surfaces;
 - b) Where appropriate, an integrated treatment approach shall be used to minimize SWM flows and structures through such measures as lot level controls and conveyance techniques such as grass swales; and,
 - c) Applicable recommendations, standards or targets within watershed plans and water budgets are complied with.

2.3.4 Lake Simcoe Protection Plan

The *Lake Simcoe Protection Act* was passed in 2008 and provides legislative framework to protect the Lake Simcoe watershed. It included a requirement for a protection plan, upon which the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan (LSPP) was developed (2009) by the Ministry of Environment (MOECC). The plan guides watershed protection and directs efforts to restore the health of Lake Simcoe. It focuses on the most critical issues, including:

- Restoring the health of the cold water fisheries and other aquatic life within the Lake Simcoe watershed;
- Improving and maintaining water quality;
- Reducing the amount of phosphorus going into the lake;
- Protecting and rehabilitating important natural areas such as shorelines; and,
- Addressing impacts of invasive species, climate change and recreational activities.

The objectives of the LSPP are:

- protect, improve or restore the elements that contribute to the ecological health of the Lake Simcoe watershed, including, water quality, hydrology, key natural heritage features and their functions, and key hydrologic features and their functions;
- restore a self-sustaining coldwater fish community in Lake Simcoe;
- reduce loadings of phosphorus and other nutrients of concern to Lake Simcoe and its tributaries;
- reduce the discharge of pollutants to Lake Simcoe and its tributaries;
- respond to adverse effects related to invasive species and, where possible, to prevent invasive species from entering the Lake Simcoe watershed;
- improve the Lake Simcoe watershed's capacity to adapt to climate change;
- provide for ongoing scientific research and monitoring related to the ecological health of the Lake Simcoe watershed;


Planning Context and the EA Planning Process May, 2016

- improve conditions for environmentally sustainable recreation activities related to Lake Simcoe and to promote those activities;
- promote environmentally sustainable land and water uses, activities and
- development practices;
- build on the protections for the Lake Simcoe watershed that are provided by provincial plans that apply in all or part of the Lake Simcoe watershed, including the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan and the Greenbelt Plan, and provincial legislation, including the Clean Water Act, 2006, the Conservation Authorities Act, the Ontario Water Resources Act, and the Planning Act; and,
- pursue any other objectives set out in the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan.

Section 4.5-SA to 4.12-SA of the LSPP provides guidance for both existing and planned development in terms of SWM. The LSPP outlines the requirement for municipalities to collaborate with LSRCA in preparing and implementing comprehensive SWM master plans for settlement areas within the Lake Simcoe watershed. Applications for major development are to be accompanied by SWM plans.

2.3.5 Durham Regional Official Plan

The Durham Regional Official Plan (DROP), consolidated June 2008, also provides guidance for proposed development with respect to the natural environment and key natural features. Section 2: Environment, subsection 2.2: General Policies notes that the natural environment includes areas designated as Oak Ridges Moraine, waterfronts, major open space areas, Greenbelt Natural Heritage System and "key natural heritage *and* hydrologic features. Planning and development activities in the Region must include an assessment of cumulative impacts on the environment, with protection included for woodlands, wetlands and there must be additional features listed.

2.3.5.1 Greenbelt Natural Heritage System

Schedule B, Map B1 of the DROP illustrates the Greenbelt Natural Heritage System of the Greenbelt Plan, which includes areas of the Greenbelt Protected Countryside having the highest concentration of the most sensitive/significant natural features and functions. The area is to be managed as a connected and integrated natural heritage system, in accordance with the Greenbelt Plan and the DROP.

2.3.5.2 Key Natural Heritage and Hydrologic Features

Such features are indicated, generally, on Schedule B, Map B1 of the DROP. The official plans and zoning by-laws of planning authorities should include more detailed mapping of the individual features and their associated vegetation protection zones.



To support proposed development, the exact location and extent of such features must be confirmed through additional studies (e.g. watershed plan, or environmental impact study), following the DROP policy 2.3.42.

Section 2.3.14 of the DROP states notes that development/site alteration is not permitted in key natural heritage/hydrologic features or their associated protection zones, with the exception of:

- a) Forest, fish and wildlife management;
- b) Conservation/flood or erosion control projects demonstrated to be necessary in the public interest and after all alternatives have been considered;
- c) Infrastructure, subject to the policies of the DROP and the Greenbelt Plan;
- d) Minor recreational uses such as trails, footbridges and picnic facilities, and existing uses;
- e) Agriculture, in accordance with Policy 2.3.17 and 14.4.4 of the DROP; or,
- f) Aggregate extraction, in accordance with Policy 9D.2.9 and 9D.2.10 of the DROP.

As storm water management is considered infrastructure, SWM facilities can be allowed within key natural heritage and hydrologic features but are subject to the policies of DROP and/or the Uxbridge OP.

2.3.5.3 Vegetation Protection Zone

Outside of urban areas and rural settlements, an Environmental Impact Study (EIS), following Policy 2.3.42 of the DROP, is required for development/site alteration within 120 m of key natural heritage/hydrologic features. The EIS must identify the vegetation protection zone which:

- a) Is of sufficient width to protect the feature and its functions from impacts of the proposed change/associated activities that may occur before/during/after construction;
- b) Where possible, will restore/enhance the feature and/or its function; and,
- c) Will maintain natural self-sustaining vegetation.

The vegetation protection zone for wetlands, seepage areas and springs, fish habitat, permanent and intermittent streams, lakes and significant woodlands shall be a minimum of 30 m in width, measured from the outside boundary of the feature.

SWM facilities are not typically permitted within the 30 m vegetation protection zone from natural heritage features.

2.3.5.4 Environmental Impact Studies

An EIS is required for any proposed development or site alteration within 120 m of a key natural heritage/hydrological feature. It is to be developed in cooperation with the Region, Municipality and Conservation Authority and shall apply to the area to be developed or be expanded to include additional lands if deemed necessary.



Planning Context and the EA Planning Process May, 2016

An EIS may also include the need for a separate natural heritage evaluation and/or hydrological evaluation, as detailed in the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan, in accordance with Policy 10B.2.7.

An EIS is not required for developments that otherwise are subject to the provisions of the *Environmental Assessment Act*, except in cases where the Integration Provision of the Municipal Engineers Association Municipal Class Environmental Assessment applies.

2.3.6 Township of Uxbridge Official Plan

The Township of Uxbridge Official Plan (TUOP) (August 2007) specifies the policies that apply to new development within its jurisdiction.

Natural Heritage features within the Township include stream valleys, wetlands and forested areas, as well as parkland and trail systems and areas of significant wildlife habitat. According to the Uxbridge OP, development shall only be permitted in areas designated Environmental Constraint or Forest Area on Schedule "B" as part of the Natural Heritage System. Developments therein must be in accordance with the policies of Section 2.3 of the Plan. In addition, all development shall be evaluated with respect to its conformity with the provisions of Section 2.3 of the Plan. The key components of the Natural Heritage System are further discussed in Section 3.4: Designated Features.

The Environmental Constraint Area designation includes those lands that could be unsafe for development due to naturally occurring processes such as flood or erosion susceptibility. All floodplain areas which have been mapped by the Conservation Authority are included in the Environmental Constraint Area designation and the floodplain boundaries are identified on Schedules "A" and "B" to the Plan.

According to Section 2.3.2.2, stormwater control facilities in flood susceptible areas are permitted, but only where a net environmental benefit can be determined by the Township in consultation with the Conservation Authority, usually requiring undertaking an Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Study.

As noted in the Township OP:

"All watercourses shall be, where feasible, maintained or enhanced as distinct ecosystems, and lands immediately adjacent to these watercourses shall be retained or rehabilitated to a natural self-sustaining state. Alterations to watercourses, including riparian features such as intermittent streams and drainage swales, shall generally be discouraged. However, the necessity for retention/restoration of riparian features such as intermittent streams and drainage swales, will be evaluated on a site by site basis and some modifications of these features may be approved where deemed appropriate by the Township, in consultation with the Conservation Authority."



Stormwater Quality and Quantity

To ensure the health and sustainability of the subwatersheds in both the Township and downstream areas, SWM practices are put in place.

The policies/guidelines of the OP as it pertains to SWM for the Uxbridge Urban area are to promote good water management to protect what is healthy and to rehabilitate what is degraded. The primary objectives (see Section 2.2 of OP) include:

- i. undertaking a comprehensive evaluation of all stormwater discharges to the Uxbridge Brook from existing and approved, but unconstructed development, in the Urban Area; and,
- ii. developing a remediation plan including an implementation strategy to implement Best Management Practices for stormwater quality management to minimize the impact of these discharges.

The preparation of the remediation plan will include, but will not be limited to, examination of the following potential implementation mechanisms:

- i. working with landowners abutting the Uxbridge Brook and other agencies to rehabilitate degraded areas of the stream in accordance with the recommendations of the Watershed Plan;
- ii. investigating in detail the opportunities for upgrading the existing urban stormwater system and the measures recommended in the Uxbridge Urban Area Secondary Plan: Background Report, September 1998, Appendix 1.
- i. ensuring that old and new SWM systems are combined wherever opportunities to do so arise, except where crossover into different watershed catchments will result; and,
- ii. carrying out regular maintenance of existing SWM facilities.

All new development shall:

- a. meet Enhanced (80% TSS Removal) quality control criteria with state of the art phosphorus removal systems;
- b. provide 24 hour detention for runoff from a 40 mm storm event; and,
- c. provide full peak control for site runoff (post to pre) for up to and including the 1:100 year storm event.

The Primary Objectives of the Hamlet of Coppin's Corners as they pertain to SWM (Section 5.2.2) include:

Prior to the final approval of plans of subdivision, plans of condominium or other significant new development applications in the Secondary Plan Area, a SWM plan and associated landscaping plan must be prepared by the applicant, and approved by the Township, in consultation with the Region of Durham and the



Existing Conditions May, 2016

> Conservation Authority. Such plans must be prepared based on terms of reference approved in advance by the Township, in consultation with the Region of Durham and the Conservation Authority. In the case of the golf course/hamlet residential development, the plan will be prepared for the combined development, although each component of the development may proceed independently.

In addition, a detailed water balance model shall be prepared for the combined golf course/hamlet residential development for pre- and post-development conditions. The post-development conditions will be based on the final site grading and configuration.

The water balance model must be conducted using a methodology acceptable to, and approved in advance by the Conservation Authority, in consultation with the Township of Uxbridge, and the Region of Durham. The water balance model must account for changes in site characteristics following development including, but not limited to:

- i. Earthwork activities (cut and fill) and their potential impact on the overall site infiltration;
- ii. The proposed storm water management scheme for the property, including SWM ponds, infiltration facilities, and other similar features; and,
- iii. Potential interim changes to the water balance during the construction of the development, particularly if the development is constructed in a number of phases.

The water balance must demonstrate that the rate of infiltration on the property is maintained or enhanced relative to existing pre-development conditions. The rate of infiltration shall be maintained, on an area basis within each pre-development surface water catchment area, provided that it shall not drop below 80% of the pre-development situation in any of the pre-development catchment areas.

3.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

This Section summarizes existing environmental conditions in the Study Area. Existing environmental conditions were based on a review of background information as outlined in Section 1.4 of this report. Further information on existing environmental conditions can be found in the Township consolidated OP 2020.

3.1 NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

3.1.1 Natural Hazards

Subsection 2.2.6 of the DROP notes that Natural Hazards are lands with unstable or organic soils, poor drainage, steep slopes, flood, erosion or landslide susceptibility or any other physical conditions that could create risk to life/property, or damage to the environment; these are



typically found in the Greenlands system and associated with natural features. Such areas should primarily be for preservation and conservation.

Development and site alterations are not permitted within dynamic beach hazards, areas that are considered hazard lands (unless otherwise demonstrated to be safe) as described in subsection 2.2.7 (b), nor in floodways, unless in accordance with relevant provincial policies and regulations.

3.1.2 Natural Heritage

As per the Township of Uxbridge's Official Plan, natural Heritage features within the Township are identified as natural features including stream valleys, wetlands and forested areas, as well as parkland and trail systems and wildlife habitat. These features are generally included in the OP designations of "Environmental Constraint" and "Forest Area". According to the Township's OP development shall only be permitted in areas designated Environmental Constraint or Forest Area on Schedule "B" as part of the Natural Heritage System in accordance with the policies of Section 2.3 of this Plan. In addition, all development shall be evaluated with respect to its conformity with the provisions of Section 2.3 of the Plan.

The Environmental Constraint Area designation includes those lands that could be unsafe for development due to naturally occurring processes such as flood or erosion susceptibility. All floodplain areas which have been mapped by the Conservation Authority are included in the Environmental Constraint Area designation and the floodplain boundaries are identified on Schedules "A" and "B" to the Plan.

Based on discussions with LSRCA, the key components of the Natural Heritage System are:

- i. Habitat for Endangered and Threatened Species;
- ii. Wetlands;
- iii. Woodlands;
- iv. Valleyland;
- v. Wildlife Habitat;
- vi. Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest;
- vii. Fish Habitat; and,
- viii. Linkages.

According to the Township of Uxbridge Official Plan, programs, studies and facilities which are designed to enhance the function of the Natural Heritage System include:

- a) Water Management;
- b) Tree Planting and Conservation;
- c) Trail System;
- d) Significant Wildlife Habitat Areas; and,



Existing Conditions May, 2016

e) Zones of Influence.

Refer to the Natural Heritage System section of the Township of Uxbridge Official plan for information on how each of the items mentioned above enhance the natural heritage system.

3.2 TERRESTRIAL ENVIRONMENT

3.2.1 Designated Natural Areas

Available mapping indicates that designated natural areas are present within the Uxbridge urban study area and Coppin's Corners study area. Please refer to **Figure 4** of **Appendix A** (Figures).

Consultation with the Region and Township will be required at the preliminary/detailed design phases in order to determine the limits of natural areas, along with any potential impacts and recommended mitigation measures for proposed SWM facilities. The LSRCA will comment and advise based on the term so their Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Region.

The southern half of the Uxbridge urban study area is dominated by the High Groundwater Vulnerability Environmentally Significant Area (ESA), while the Coppin's Corners study area is almost entirely inside the Uxbridge Infiltration Area ESA. The LSRCA has identified ESA boundaries for the Study Area and will advise the Region and Township during review of any proposed SWM facilities within these ESAs. The LSRCA will review the proposals and advise The Region and Township regarding potential impacts, developmental constraints, and required mitigation measures.

The southern portion of the the Uxbridge Urban Study Area (UUSA) overlaps with large tracts of the Uxbridge Brook Headwater Wetland Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW) complex, and the Uxbridge Bog PSW is found just east of the study area.

According to available mapping no wetlands occur in, or adjacent to (within 120 m of), the Coppin's Corner study area (see **Figure 4**).

Infrastructure including SWM facilities may be permitted in a PSW subject to an environmental assessment process. The LSRCA should be involved in any such assessment process. Proposed SWM facilities will also be reviewed by the LSRCA when they occur in the zone of influence of a wetland as specified in Ontario Regulation 179/06. The LSRCA would advise the Region and Township on Planning Act review under the MOU.

3.2.2 Vegetation

The study areas fall within the Huron-Ontario section of the Great Lakes – St. Lawrence Forest Region (Rowe 1972). Common forest species include sugar maple and beech, along with basswood, white and red ashes, yellow birch, red maple, and red, white and bur oaks. Species



frequently associated with these include eastern hemlock, eastern white pine, and balsam fir within tolerant hardwood types, as well as scattered largetooth aspen, butternut, bitternut hickory, hop-hornbeam, black cherry, sycamore, and black oak (*ibid*). River-bottom and swamp sites host blue-beech, silver maple, slipper and rock elms, and black ash; while eastern white cedar is found in swampy depressions or in old fields (*ibid*).

3.2.3 Breeding Birds

The Uxbridge Study Area falls within atlas square 17PJ58 and 17PJ48, and Coppin's Corner's study area falls within atlas square 17PJ47 of the Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas (OBBA) (OBBA 2010). The Atlas includes records of 120 species of birds known to breed in the Uxbridge study area; and records of 115 birds known to breed in the Coppin's Corners study area.. These are listed in **Appendix B**. The siting of SWM facilities may impact breeding birds in the area through habitat reduction or removal. Any such potential impacts should be addressed as part of SWM design studies.

In addition, the *Migratory Birds Convention Act* regulates activities that disturb migratory birds and/or the nests of migratory birds. The Canadian Wildlife Service (CWS) has established guidelines for the timing of vegetation clearing activities that may affect migratory birds and these guidelines should be followed during the construction and operation of SWM facilities.

3.2.4 Reptiles and Amphibians

The Ontario Nature's Atlas of the Reptiles and Amphibians (2013 contains records of 13 species of amphibians and nine species of reptiles for the combined study areas. None of the recorded species are provincially or federally ranked as Threatened or Endangered. Three reptiles are, however, provincially-ranked as Special Concern.

3.2.5 Species at Risk

Background data include records for Species At Risk (SAR) in the Study Area. These species are listed in in **Appendix B**.

SAR may be encountered if suitable supporting habitat is present in the study areas. When a proposed SWM facility may impact SAR habitat the MNRF should be consulted at to determine the studies that may be required address SAR issues.

3.3 AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT

Section 36 of the federal Fisheries Act prohibits deposit of deleterious substances (including suspended solids, and temperature) into waters frequented by fish. Section 38 requires any person with knowledge and control of works that may result in deposit of deleterious substances into waters frequented by fish to notify DFO if such deposit occurs or is imminent. This



Existing Conditions May, 2016

responsibility would apply to persons that conduct or supervise SWM facility construction, operation and maintenance.

The Storm Water Management Planning and Design Manual (MOECC, 2003) outlines levels of water quality protection that can be required based on ecological characteristics of the receiving watercourse. The LSRCA has required Enhanced (Level 1) protection for the entire Lake Simcoe watershed since 1995.

3.3.1 Aquatic Habitat and Fish Community

Aquatic habitat in the *Study Area* is generally high quality. Groundwater inputs result in thermal stability of the streams, which support coldwater fish species as well as healthy warmwater community. The Pefferlaw River system includes coldwater and coolwater tributaries that flow into a warmer western main branch; however most of the watershed is managed as a coldwater fishery. A total of 45 fish species have been observed in the Pefferlaw River (Refer to **Appendix B: Table 1**).

The tributaries of Uxbridge Brook support coldwater fish communities. Cold water salmonid species recorded in and around the study area include Brook Trout, Brown Trout, and Rainbow Trout. The presence of Brook Trout in this system indicates good water quality relatively low and stable water temperatures, diverse substrate and in-stream cover conditions, groundwater upwelling areas and relatively high dissolved oxygen levels.

The fish community present in the Pefferlaw River subwatershed (which includes Uxbridge Brook) represents a diverse mix of cold, cool and warmwater fish species. Top predators are present in both warmwater systems (Muskellunge, Largemouth bass) and coldwater systems (Brook trout, Rainbow trout, Brown trout). The fish community also includes a diverse range of forage fish (such as Central stoneroller, Golden shiner, Creek chub, Ciscoe, Spotfin shiner, Rosyface shiner). The system also sustains several fish species that are intolerant of high sediment loads (such as Blacknose shiner, Ciscoe, Brown trout, Brook trout, Rainbow trout, Rainbow darter and Slimy sculpin).

The SWM Master Plan should include strategies to maintain low sediment levels, and allow for continued ground water inputs throughout the watersheds.

3.3.2 Water Quality

The Pefferlaw River Subwatershed Plan identifies two provincial water quality stations in the Pefferlaw River subwatershed; one station is located on Uxbridge Brook approximately 2 km downstream of Uxbridge Urban Area, the second is located on the Pefferlaw River downstream of its confluence with Uxbridge Brook.



Water quality in the Pefferlaw River is better than other tributaries in the Lake Simcoe Basin, however general issues within the watershed which affect quality include increased phosphorus levels, sedimentation in streams and chloride levels.

Water quality in Uxbridge Brook is not negatively impacted, with the exception of high phosphorus concentrations. High phosphorus levels here occur because the station is downstream from the urban community of Uxbridge, and therefore receives stormwater runoff and effluent from the Water Pollution Control Plant. There also is a substantial amount of agriculture in the surrounding areas.

3.3.2.1 Phosphorus

TP levels at the Pefferlaw Station show a decreasing trend, likely due to improved farming practices, the implementation of BMPs through programs such as LSRCA's LEAP, or improved stormwater controls.

Monitoring station at Uxbridge Brook showed that total phosphorus levels are regularly above target levels. Median values for phosphorus concentrations in surface water were above the PWQO at the Uxbridge monitoring station with no decreasing trend evident, as that station was only initiated there in the mid-1990s. Elevated levels at this station are mainly attributed to its location downstream of the urban community of Uxbridge, which received stormwater runoff and effluent from the Water Pollution Control Plant (LSRCA, 2010).

3.3.2.2 Total suspended Solids

Suspended sediment concentrations downstream of Uxbridge have been high enough to cause concern with its effect on spawning shoals. Median values reported in the Pefferlaw River Subwatershed Plan (2010), which were collected between 2006 and 2010, were above Canadian Water Quality Guideline (CWQG).

For Uxbridge Station, concentrations above 10mg/L were during periods of increased flows and decreased to background levels during low flows. Fifteen percent of the data collected and reported at this time was above 50mg/L and ranged as high as 267mg/L, which indicated that there were times when aquatic life may have been negatively affected (LSRCA, 2010).

3.3.2.3 Chloride

None of the chloride levels reported between 2006 and 2009 exceeded those put forth by the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (128mg/L). However, a long term trend towards increasing chloride levels, with the main source being noted as road salt, is evident at both Pefferlaw and Uxbridge stations (LSRCA, 2012).



Existing Conditions May, 2016

3.4 ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT

3.4.1 Objectives

For the purposes of this Stage 1 assessment the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport's (MTCS) 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (Government of Ontario 2011) were followed. The objective of the Stage 1 background study is to document the subject properties' archaeological and land use history and present conditions. This information will be used to support recommendations regarding cultural heritage value or interest as well as assessment and mitigation strategies. The Stage 1 research information was drawn from:

- The MTCS' Archaeological Sites Database (ASDB) for a listing of registered archaeological sites within a one kilometre radius of the study area;
- Reports of previous archaeological assessment within a radius of 50 metres around the Study Area;
- Recent and historical maps of the study area;
- Archaeological management plans or other archaeological potential mapping when available; and,
- Commemorative plaques or monuments.

For the full Stage 1 report, refer to **Appendix C**. Figure numbering within this Section refers to the numbering within the report in **Appendix**.

3.4.1.1 Euro-Canadian Settler Resources

By the time of the 1877 Historical Atlas of Ontario County all of the lots in the Township had been taken up and homesteads built (**Figure 5**) (Beers, 1877). The Township map shows not only the locations of the residences of each lot occupant but also indicates the locations on important public and commercial buildings, including churches, schools, meeting halls and mills. In particular, within the study area there are three churches and a school shown along Concession 6. A saw mill is shown in the east part of Lot 21, Concession 7. There are also cemeteries shown in Lots 28 and 29, Concession 6.

3.4.1.2 Recent Reports

Previous archaeological assessment reports for the study area include reports by Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI, 1996) and by Kim Slocki (Slocki, 2009).

3.4.2 Archaeological Context

3.4.2.1 The Natural Environment

There are relatively few areas of permanently saturated ground in the study area. These are largely restricted to the western boundary of the study area and a few margins along the



headwaters of the Uxbridge Brook in the south and west of the study area and the Beaverton River in the east of the study area (Figures 6 and 7).

3.4.2.2 Previously Identified Archaeological Sites and Surveys

In order that an inventory of archaeological resources could be compiled, the registered archaeological site records kept by the MTCS were consulted. In Ontario, information concerning archaeological sites is stored in the ASDB maintained by the MTCS. This database contains archaeological sites registered according to the Borden system. Under the Borden system, Canada is divided into grid blocks based on latitude and longitude. A Borden Block is approximately 13 kilometres east to west and approximately 18.5 kilometres north to south. Each Borden Block is referenced by a four-letter designator and sites within a block are numbered sequentially as they are found. The study area under review is within Borden Block BaGs.

Information concerning specific site locations is protected by provincial policy, and is not fully subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. The release of such information in the past has led to looting or various forms of illegally conducted site destruction. Confidentiality extends to all media capable of conveying location, including maps, drawings, or textual descriptions of a site location. The MTCS will provide information concerning site location to the party or an agent of the party holding title to a property, or to a licensed archaeologist with relevant cultural resource management interests.

An examination of the ASDB has shown that there are at present two registered archaeological sites within a one kilometre radius of the study area (Table 2 and Figure 5).

	Alea	
Borden #	Name	Cultural Affiliation
BaGs-25	Gould	19th century Euro-Canadian

Table 2 Registered Archaeological Sites within a One Kilometre Radius of the Study Area

3.4.3 Field Methods

Charlie

BaGs-32

The Stage 1 archaeological assessment compiled available information about the known and potential archaeological heritage resources within the study area, including a property inspection. This Stage 1 archaeological assessment was conducted under archaeological consulting license P381 issued to Vincent Bourgeois, MA, of Stantec by the MTCS. No field visit occurred for this overview report.



19th century Euro-Canadian

Existing Conditions May, 2016

3.4.4 Analysis and Conclusions

Archaeological potential is established by determining the likelihood that archaeological resources may be present on a subject property. Stantec applied archaeological potential criteria commonly used by the Ontario Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (Government of Ontario 2011) to determine areas of archaeological potential within the region under study. These variables include proximity to previously identified archaeological sites, distance to various types of water sources, soil texture and drainage, glacial geomorphology, elevated topography and the general topographic variability of the area.

Distance to modern or ancient water sources is generally accepted as the most important determinant of past human settlement patterns and, considered alone, may result in a determination of archaeological potential. However, any combination of two or more other criteria, such as well-drained soils or topographic variability, may also indicate archaeological potential. Finally, extensive land disturbance can eradicate archaeological potential (Wilson and Horne, 1995). Much of the area within the limits of the municipal study areas has been identified as having been previously disturbed (**Figure 4**).

Distance to water is an essential factor in archaeological potential modeling. When evaluating distance to water it is important to distinguish between water and shoreline, as well as natural and artificial water sources, as these features affect sites locations and types to varying degrees. The MTCS (Government of Ontario 2011) categorizes water sources in the following manner:

- Primary water sources: lakes, rivers, streams, creeks;
- Secondary water sources: intermittent streams and creeks, springs, marshes and swamps;
- Past water sources: glacial lake shorelines, relic river or stream channels, cobble beaches, shorelines of drained lakes or marshes; and,
- Accessible or inaccessible shorelines: high bluffs, swamp or marshy lake edges, sandbars stretching into marsh.

The study area is widely intersected by several small arms of the headwaters of the Uxbridge Brook, and in the north-east part by headwaters of the Beaverton River. While there are several ponds along these headwaters, these are the result of damming of the watercourses and in their present form should not be considered to reflect natural hydrology.

Soil texture can be an important determinant of past settlement, usually in combination with other factors such as topography. The study area is characterized by well drained sandy loam or silty loam soils of variable quality for crop production. Any of these soils would have been suitable for pre-contact Aboriginal horticulture, and much of the study area has been used for cultivation over the last 150 years. As such there are no specific areas of greater archaeological potential based on soil texture.



For Euro-Canadian sites, archaeological potential can be extended to areas of early Euro-Canadian settlement, including places of military or pioneer settlements; early transportation routes; properties listed on the municipal register or designated under the *Ontario Heritage Act*; and property that local histories or informants have identified with possible historical events, activities or occupations. The study area and its environs are located within an area with a record of settlement and growth in the 19th century, and the archaeological potential could be high (**Figure 3**).

When the above listed criteria are applied to the study area, the archaeological potential for Aboriginal and historic Euro-Canadian sites is deemed to be high for much of the study area (**Figures 6** and **7**). Within the Town of Uxbridge itself and some sub-urban areas to the north and south the archaeological potential is considered low due to extensive and deep modern disturbances. These activities have subjected the study area to extensive and deep land alterations which would have severely damaged the integrity of any archaeological resources, thus removing archaeological potential as per Section 7.7.3 Standard 2 of the *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists.*

3.4.5 Archeological Recommendations

The Stage 1 archaeological assessment determined that portions of the study areas exhibit high potential for the identification and recovery of archaeological resources. As such, a Stage 2 archaeological assessment will be required for the location of any SWM facilities that are located outside of areas identified as previously disturbed (i.e. developed).

Figures 6 and **7** identify areas where 300 m buffer zones have been applied to MTCS criteria for determining archaeological potential. In all areas covered by these buffer zones all Stage 2 AA must be carried out at 5 m survey intervals whether by pedestrian survey of open ploughed fields or test pit excavation survey in areas that are wooded and/or inaccessible to ploughing. For areas outside of these buffer zones pedestrian survey must still be carried out at 5 m survey intervals. The interval for test pit excavation survey outside of these buffer zones can be extended to 10 m.

3.4.6 Advice on Compliance with Legislation

This report will be circulated to the Ontario Minister of Tourism, Culture and Sport as a condition of licensing in accordance with Part VI of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c 0.18 (Government of Ontario 1990c). The report is reviewed to ensure that it complies with the standards and guidelines that are issued by the Minister, and that the archaeological fieldwork and report recommendations ensure the conservation, protection and preservation of the cultural heritage of Ontario. When all matters relating to archaeological sites within the project area of a development proposal have been addressed to the satisfaction of the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport, a letter will be issued by the ministry stating that there are no further concerns with regard to alterations to archaeological sites by the proposed development.



Existing Conditions May, 2016

It is an offence under Sections 48 and 69 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* for any party other than a licensed archaeologist to make any alteration to a known archaeological site or to remove any artifact or other physical evidence of past human use or activity from the site, until such time as a licensed archaeologist has completed fieldwork on the site, submitted a report to the Minister stating that the site has no further cultural heritage value or interest, and the report has been filed in the Ontario Public Register of Archaeology Reports referred to in Section 65.1 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

Should previously undocumented archaeological resources be discovered, they may be a new archaeological site and therefore subject to Section 48(1) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*. The proponent or person discovering the archaeological resources must cease alteration of the site immediately and engage a licensed consultant archaeologist to carry out archaeological fieldwork, in compliance with Section 48(1) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

The Cemeteries Act, R.S.O. 1990 c. C.4 and the Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act, 2002, S.O. 2002, c.33 (when proclaimed in force) require that any person discovering human remains must notify the police or coroner and the Registrar of Cemeteries at the Ontario Ministry of Consumer Services.

3.5 GEOLOGY/HYDROGEOLOGY

3.5.1 Topography and Physiography

The study area comprising the main area within the town of Uxbridge and a smaller area encompassing the hamlet of Coppin's Corners is located within the northern boundary of the Oak Ridges Moraine (ORM) (Chapman, 1984) with a topography that varies from hummocky and undulating to flat lands, most of which lie within the Goodwood plain (Egorov, 2002). The topographic elevation ranges from 270 masl (meters above sea level) in the northern portion of the study area to 350 masl in the southern portion of the study area with a topographic relief of approximately 80 m (**Figure 5**). The area is drained by Uxbridge Brook, Pefferlaw Brook and a wetland.

3.5.2 Soils

Three soil types were identified within the Uxbridge portion of the study area (Figure 6). The main soil type is sandy loam which includes Dundonald Sandy Loam, Pontypool Sandy Loam and Brighton Sandy Loam. Schomberg Silt Loam is found in the north east corner of the study area and muck is associated with low relief zones and drainage. The study area at Coppin's Corners includes Pontypool Sand and Brighton Sandy Loam. The soil types shown in Figure 6 are classified based on their physical soil characteristics.



3.5.3 Geology

Bedrock

The bedrock in the study area consists of Ordovician clastic and carbonate rocks of the Georgian Bay and Blue Mountain Formations previously known as the Whitby Formation which overlies limestone and shale of the Middle Ordovician Simcoe Group (Egorov, 2002, LSRCA, 2011) is found at elevations ranging from 100 masl to 260 masl. Based on MOECC Water Well Records (WWR), the greatest depth to bedrock is found near Utica located approximately 5 km southeast of the Uxbridge Urban Area, where the bedrock is covered by approximately 260 m of ORM deposits. The bedrock is not exposed within the study area.

Surficial geology

There are four main stratigraphic units composing the overburden in the study area including (from oldest to youngest): lower (drift) deposits; Newmarket/Northern Till; ORM; and Halton Till. The lower (drift) deposits consist of York Till, Don Formation, Scarborough Formation, Sunnybrook Till and the Thorncliffe Formation. These are characterized by mostly sand and silt with some lenses of clay (Egorov, 2002). The Newmarket/Northern Till consists mostly of dense sandy silt with up to 15% pebbles and cobbles and ranges in thickness between 5 and 60 m (Sharpe et al., 2002). The Oak Ridge Moraine is comprised of interbedded sand and gravel and could be as much as 150 m thick (Egorov, 2002). The Halton Till consists of sandy silt to clayey silt till and ranges in thickness from 3 to 6 m, although in some places it could be up to 30m thick. A map of the surficial geology showing the surficial geological units at the study area is presented in **Figure 7**.

3.5.4 Hydrogeology

Groundwater in the area is used for municipal and domestic water supply, agricultural and industrial uses, and golf course irrigation. WWR indicate maximum yields of up to 2,000 L/min and groundwater levels range significantly from flowing artesian (above ground surface) to approximately 50 m below ground surface. The distribution of wells located within 500 m of the proposed developments is presented in **Figure 8** Shallow groundwater typically flows from topographic highs (recharge zones) to topographic lows (discharge zones). Discharge areas commonly include creeks, streams, ponds and wetlands. In Uxbridge, the shallow groundwater flow is generally from south to north.

Three significant aquifer complexes which occur in the study area include: the Oak Ridges aquifer complex (ORAC) which occurs in the ORM (the upper unit); the Thorncliffe aquifer complex (the middle unit); and the Scarborough aquifer complex (the lower unit). The ORM is generally characterized by medium to high permeabilities. The main regional aquitards or confining units which separate the aquifer complexes within the study area are the Newmarket Till and the Sunnybrook Drift. The Newmarket/Northern Till acts as a regional aquitard which



Existing Conditions May, 2016

divides the shallow aquifer system (ORAC) from the deeper Thorncliffe aquifer complex (LSRCA, 2011). Generally, downward hydraulic gradients are present between the ORM and Thorncliffe aquifers and between Thorncliffe and Scarborough aquifers (LSRCA, 2011).

3.5.5 Groundwater Vulnerability and Wellhead Protection

Groundwater vulnerability refers to the vulnerability of an aquifer and its susceptibility to contamination infiltrating into shallow groundwater. Factors affecting groundwater susceptibility include: soil type, water table elevation, type of contaminant and concentration, as well as the physical characteristics of the aquifer. Groundwater vulnerability zones in Uxbridge are mainly located within the Wellhead Protection Areas (WHPA) near municipal wells. The WHPAs have been established to protect municipal groundwater supplies. There are two significant WHPAs in the Township (**Figure 9**). The WHPAs show the capture zone of a potential contaminant to migrate to a municipal well within time frames of 2, 5 and 25 years. The vulnerability of groundwater in Uxbridge is typically considered low because the municipal wells are relatively deep and the overburden above the aquifer is known to be relatively thick (LSRCA, 2011). Of the three main proposed developments, the southeast proposed development in the Township is the only one located within the WHPA and fully within the 25 year time of travel zone.

3.5.6 Wellhead and Intake Protection Zones

Wellhead Protection Areas are zones established by the Region of Durham that are in the vicinity of domestic water supply wells. They are in place to protect groundwater quality from contamination, degradations and to ensure sources of water are not compromised in the future as a result of land use decisions.

The Region has determined its WHPAs based on mathematical computer modelling to predict groundwater flow patterns in a specified area and identify the length of time it takes water to reach each well.

This area is also known as the "capture zone" or "capture area" of the well and is commonly considered as the Wellhead Protection Area. The Region identified capture zones based the following time frames: 50 days, 1 year, 2 years, 5 years, 10 years and 25 years. To explain what the time frame means, take, as an example, contamination from a potential spill within the 2-year capture zone. The contamination would take two (2) or less than two (2) years to reach the municipal well if the well is pumped at its maximum permitted rate.

3.6 WATERCOURSES/WATERBODIES

Within the Study Area, the main watercourse is Uxbridge Brook, which is a tributary of Pefferlaw River in the Pefferlaw River Subwatershed Plan (LSRCA, 2012); Uxbridge Brook is combined with Pefferlaw Brook for subwatershed planning purposes. The watershed of the Pefferlaw River includes portions of the Townships of Brock, Scugog and Georgina, and Uxbridge. The



headwaters for the Pefferlaw River originate from discharge springs and seepages along the northern flanks of the Oak Ridges Moraine (LSRCA, 2012). Uxbridge Brook has a total watershed area of 178 km² upstream of its outlet into Pefferlaw Brook, within the Pefferlaw River system (LSRCA, 1997). Uxbridge Brook converges with Pefferlaw Brook and flows northwards where it eventually drains into Lake Simcoe (LSRCA, 2012). Generally the subwatershed is considered a rural one in the Lake Simcoe basin with only 5.5% of land use allocated to urban areas (LSRCA 2012). The majority of land use is agricultural/rural (48%) and natural cover (43%). A 2.2% increase in urban area for this subwatershed over the next 20 years, the majority of which will consist of high intensity development (LSRCA, 2013).

3.6.1 Elgin Pond and Electric Light Pond

As per the Hydrology Report on Dams at Elgin Pond, Electric Light Pond and the Preserve Pond, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd., June 6 2013, the Elgin Pond watershed is a rural subwatershed in the Uxbridge Brook watershed in the Lake Simcoe Basin and has a drainage area of 1,730 ha. Approximately 3% of the land is urban area. The primary land use is designated as rural/agricultural at 53 %. The ground topography ranges from 268 m at Elgin Pond to 346 m at the Oak Ridges Moraine. The southern portion of the watershed lies in the Oak Ridges Moraine area. Soil Conservation Service (SCS) hydrologic soil group A (HSG A) dominate the watershed (MNR GIS data base, 2012) and consist of sands, sandy loams and gravels. HSG A soils have low runoff potential and high infiltration rates even when thoroughly wetted. Refer to **ERSN 1** for location of Elgin Pond. Elgin Pond is not a stormwater management pond and is therefore not discussed further in the report.

The Electric Light Pond watershed is a rural subwatershed in the Uxbridge Brook watershed in the Lake Simcoe Basin and has a drainage area of 526 ha. Approximately 11% of the land is urban area. The primary land use is designated as rural/agricultural at 35%. The ground topography ranges from 271 m at Electric Light Pond to 372 m at the Oak Ridges Moraine. The southern portion of the watershed lies in the Oak Ridges Moraine area. Soil Conservation Service (SCS) hydrologic soil group A (HSG A) dominate the watershed (MNR GIS data base, 2012). HSG A has low runoff potential and high infiltration rates even when thoroughly wetted. Refer to **ERSN 1** for location of Electric Light Pond. Electric Light Pond is not a stormwater management pond and is therefore not discussed further in the report.

3.7 MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE

3.7.1 Inventory of SWMF

Stantec completed an assessment report for twenty-two (22) SWM facilities within the Township of Uxbridge in January 2013. These assessments were conducted at various periods spanning from July through December of 2012. The report provided a summary of our findings documented in a table format, which included typical MOECC SWM monitoring requirements, as outlined in the MOECC's Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guidelines, dated



Existing Conditions May, 2016

2003. **Drawing 1** of the report is attached in **Figure SWMF-1**, tabulating the 22 SWM ponds and showing their locations. The summary tables expanded on the MOECC's recommended monitoring requirements to include municipal operation and maintenance considerations. Photo documentation for each SWM facility and engineering drawings (where available) were also provided for the Township's reference.

Based on our observations at the time of each SWM facility assessment, maintenance recommendations for the assessed SWM facilities include (but are not necessarily limited to) surveys of accumulated sediment and/or sediment cleanout, debris removal from inlet/outlet control structures, repairs to outlet control structures, installation of erosion control measures, vegetation maintenance/removal, improvements to maintenance access roads, and safety improvements (signage, hand rails, etc.).

Stantec has identified maintenance considerations as either 'required' or 'recommended' to help assist the Township with prioritization. In general, it as our opinion that the following SWM Facilities should be considered as higher priority and it was our recommendation that any immediate maintenance expenditures/efforts be focused at these locations. The SWM facilities referenced below are in no particular order of priority (**Figure 2**) within the Study Area.

- Estates at Wooden Sticks (Pond 4);
- Forsythe West Subdivision (Pond 7); and,
- Testa Heights (Pond 13).

3.7.2 Transportation Network

Section 2.6 and 5.6 of the townships OP outlines the purpose of the transportation plan on Schedule "A " and Schedule "F".

As noted in the OP,

Additional lands in excess of the typical right-of-way widths may also be required to be conveyed for works related to, but not limited to, extensive cut/fill operations, intersection improvements, bridges, sight triangles, and drainage and buffering improvements.

which could possibly support the development of LID measures in the ROW, such as curb extensions. Curb extensions are, like extended tree pits, installed in the road right-of-way and can also act as a traffic calming device. In place of an otherwise raised concrete surface, the area is constructed as a depression with vegetation and used for stormwater treatment. That is consistent with the OP's Section 2.6.2.2 v):

New roads shall be designed to integrate "traffic calming" measures as appropriate. In addition, where traffic problems are identified in existing areas through studies carried



out by the municipality, the Township shall consider the introduction of "traffic calming" measures where appropriate to assist in the resolution of such concerns.

Transportation, infrastructure and utilities uses, subject to the provisions of Section 41 of the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan and regulatory requirements such as the provisions of the Environmental Assessment Act.

3.7.3 Municipal and Private Services

Sewage Treatment Facility

Sewage treatment for the majority of existing development in the Uxbridge Urban Area is provided by the Uxbridge Brook Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP). All of the existing capacity of the Uxbridge Brook Water Pollution Control Plant is currently fully committed, including reserve capacity for lands on private services to reflect the objective of ultimately providing full services to all development within the Urban Area. There is however some provision for limited infilling.

An Environmental Assessment for the WPCP has been approved. The Environmental Assessment identified as the preferred solution the expansion of the existing plant with treated effluent discharge to the Uxbridge Brook, subject to the preparation of the comprehensive stormwater quality management study identified in Section 2.2.3.1.

The Region of Durham is responsible for the expansion of the Uxbridge Brook Water Pollution Control plant in accordance with the approved Environmental Assessment.

3.7.4 Flood Study

In 1983 a flood relief study was prepared by LSRCA (then the South Lake Simcoe Conservation Authority) which identified a flood risk for the lands adjacent to the main branch of Uxbridge Brook, from Elgin Pond to just north of Brock Street (downtown Uxbridge) during a Regional Storm Event (Hurricane Hazel). The potential flooding is due to the presence of a long culvert which encloses the creek from Centennial Drive to approximately 100 m north of Brock Street. The Study recommended the construction of new twin 4.2 m x 2.4 m concrete box culverts under Brock Street adjacent to the existing culvert and create an open gabion or concrete gabion-lined channel north of Brock Street, to alleviate or minimize the potential for future flood related damage in the downtown area.

As the recommended solution was not implemented, in 2010 the Uxbridge Watershed Advisory Committee recommended to Council that an update to the 1983 Flood Relief Study be Undertaken, resulting in the Township of Uxbridge and Region of Durham – Downtown Uxbridge Flood Reduction – Schedule 'C' Municipal Class Environmental Assessment – Environmental Study Report, prepared by Sernas Associates, November 15, 2012 (Sernas Study).



Existing Conditions May, 2016

The Sernas Study recommended the installation of twin culverts to reduce the impact of flooding to keep the Regional storm below the first floor elevations of the buildings, however there would be some flooding in the valley and basements south of Brock Street. The flooding would not however, get high enough to overtop Brock Street and flood the downtown.

The flooding solution was the replacement of the existing culvert, combined with opening of ~60 m of channel. This solution provides an opportunity to open up a section of the watercourse, which would "have significant environmental and social benefits".

Twin culverts were proposed to replace the existing culvert:

- The west culvert would be 135 m long, with an open-bottom structure aligned with the natural channel of Uxbridge Brook, to maintain fish passage. The culvert would end approximately 40 m north of Brock Street, to allow for creation of an open channel where Uxbridge Brook is currently under the parking lot.
- The existing section of culvert under #34 Brock Street (Youth Centre) can be retained.
- The east culvert would be 195 m long, extending the entire length of the existing structure under Brock Street. This culvert would have a concrete bottom, and would only function during large storm events. The building at #30/32 Brock Street would have to be demolished to accommodate construction of the east culvert.

As noted in the Study:

The section of open channel would have steep side slopes, approximately 4.5 to 6.0 m high, to account for the difference in elevation between the existing ground surface and the invert of the creek. The side slopes would consist of vegetated rock, to balance the need for structural stability and providing shade and habitat for the creek. The channel within the 7.0 m wide corridor would be designed with natural channel design principles, in consultation with the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority. Pedestrian railings would be required along the top of the channel corridor for pedestrian safety.

Given the likelihood for increasing flows due to climate change (refer to **Section 5.2**), it is recommended that the culvert twinning be carried out.



Evaluation of the Cumulative Environmental Impact of Stormwater May, 2016

4.0 EVALUATION OF THE CUMULATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF STORMWATER

4.1 GENERAL

LSPP Section 4.5 SA b. states that the SWM master plans will be prepared in accordance with the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment and will include: an evaluation of the cumulative environmental impact of stormwater from existing and planned development. This evaluation is required based on the changes in land use from the future conditions of the Township's OP 2021.

4.2 LAND USE CHANGES

4.2.1 Existing Land Use

Existing land use within the Township can be classified into several categories, including: parks, open space, recreational mixed areas, residential, institutional, commercial, and employment. The land uses were based on the Township's OP. The existing land use within the Township of Uxbridge and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners is illustrated on the Town's Official Plan (Schedule A and Schedule F), see **Figure OP-A** and **Figure OP-F**, respectively.

4.2.2 Future Land Use

The Township's Official Consolidated Plan (Aug 2007), indicates on Schedule A (see **Figure OP-A**) that there will be new development in the form of residential areas. There is also indication of a proposed school. The future land use in the Township is illustrated in **Figure 11**. The future land use in each drainage area is summarized in **Appendix A**.

A comparison of the impacts of stormwater due to the changes in land use will be assessed in the following sections. It will compare peak flow values, phosphorus, water budget and erosion.

4.3 PEAK FLOW MODELLING

4.3.1 General

Section 4.5 SA of the LSPP indicates that an assessment of stormwater peak flows from existing and future conditions is required to evaluate the environmental impact of the future development in the Township.



4.3.2 Objectives

To determine the impact of the future settlement areas on peak flows within the Uxbridge watershed and determine what, if any, criteria should be applied to these areas, or existing areas, to meet the objectives of the OP and the Pefferlaw SWS.

4.3.3 Existing Conditions

The Visual OTTHYMO Version 2 (VO2) hydrologic model for Pefferlaw/Uxbridge was received from LSRCA in December 2013. According to LSRCA, the model was last updated by Marshall Macklin Monaghan (MMM) Group in February of 2009.

After a detailed review of the model, the following modifications were made to establish an updated existing conditions model:

 The 2009 LSRCA VO2 model determined peak flows for the 2 to 100-year storm events using the12 hour SCS Type II Storm distribution. The total rainfall for the various storm events was determined by applying the Thiessen Polygon method for two rainfall stations: Orillia Basin and Toronto Lester B. Pearson Airport. For this assessment, peak flows for the 2 to 100-year storm events were determined using the12 hour SCS Type II Storm distribution; however the total rainfall for the various storm events were revised to use the Township of Uxbridge Rainfall Intensity Duration Curves. A comparison of the total rainfall depths is provided below in Table 3.

	Total Rainfall Depth for 12 hour Duration (mm)			
Return Period	2009 LSRCA Model	Updated Existing Conditions Model		
2-Year	41	44		
5-Year	57	60		
10-Year	67	71		
25-Year	79	83		
50-Year	89	N/A ¹		
100-Year	98	104		

Table 3 Comparison of Total Rainfall Depth

<u>Notes:</u>

1 The Township of Uxbridge does not have a 50-Year Rainfall Intensity Duration Curve.

• The Study Area is located in the headwater area of Uxbridge Brook; therefore to reduce the size of the model, applicable subcatchments were extracted and a smaller model was created.



Evaluation of the Cumulative Environmental Impact of Stormwater May, 2016

- Information obtained from the Township and LSRCA (SWM reports and Drainage Area drawings) along with topographic information, and storm sewer information, allowed Stantec to identify areas draining to ponds and uncontrolled areas within the Study Area. In some cases it was not possible to definitively determine pond drainage areas based on the information available. In these cases, the areas were considered uncontrolled. Once the areas draining to ponds and uncontrolled areas within the Study Area where identified, the LSRCA subwatershed boundaries within the Study Area were revised.
 Figure 11 shows the subwatershed boundaries, the Study Area, uncontrolled areas and areas draining to ponds.
- The updated LSRCA subwatersheds were then subdivided to represent the different areas within the subwatershed, as required. The various different areas and VO2 modeling approach are as follows:
 - Areas, within the Study Area, draining to a SWM pond have been modeled as STANDHYDs with flows being directed to ROUTE RESERVOIRs;
 - Uncontrolled areas within the Study Area have been modeled as STANDHYDs; and,
 - The remaining areas outside the Study Area, the rural areas, have been modeled as NASHYDs.

The various VO2 command outputs (STANDHYDs, NASHYDs, and ROUTE RESERVOIRS) in the subwatersheds were then added together (ADDHYDs) to obtain a total peak flow from the subwatershed.

- Two new NASHYDs were created in Subwatershed 1045 and Subwatershed 1059 to represent the two Future Residential Lands. They have been modeled to have similar characteristics to the subwatershed they were removed from.
- The imperviousness of the STANDHYDs was determined based on land use, as shown on **Figure 11**. Typical impervious values (for residential subdivisions, commercial blocks, etc.) were utilized in the calculation (refer to **Appendix A**).
- The rating curves for the ROUTE RESERVOIRs (the lumped storage-discharge relationships for the ponds) have remained as per the original model. If a ROUTE RESERVOIR was not present in the original model, a ROUTE RESERVOIR command was inserted and sized to simulate post development flows controlled to pre development levels, which is consistent with the modeling approach used in creating the 2009 LSRCA model.
- For all NASHYDs, including the two new NASHYDs, the initial time to peak was calculated using the Williams 2-parameter formula. In accordance with the modeling approach utilized in the 2009 LSRCA model, the initial time to peak for the NASHYDs were



calculated based on the total catchment area (including any STANDHYD areas within the catchment) draining to that flow node location. The initial time to peak was then multiplied by a time to peak multiplier to obtain a calibrated time to peak. The calibrated time to peak was inserted into the model. Detailed calculations are provided in **Appendix A**.

• In the 2009 LSRCA model, catchment 1060 was added to the main channel downstream of catchment 1059 instead of directly to catchment 1059. The manner in which the catchments are added together has been revised in the updated existing conditions model such that catchment 1060 now adds directly to catchment 1059.

The peak flow for the 5-year and 100-year events for the updated existing conditions model and the 2009 LSRCA model are summarized below in **Table 4**. Flow node locations are shown on **Figure 11**. Detailed model output for the 2 to 100-year storm events are provided in **Appendix A**.

	2009 LSRCA			Updated Existing Conditions		
Node	Area (ha)	Peak Flow (m3/s)		Area (ha)	Peak Flow (m3/s)	
		5-year	100-Year		5-year	100-Year
9018	1097.4	0.78	5.05	1097.4	1.07	5.98
1047	479.6	0.62	3.65	479.6	0.82	4.29
9017	1826.0	1.23	10.15	1837.5	1.63	12.00
5065	544.8	3.56	7.23	538.7	5.88	11.98
9041	2370.8	3.66	14.04	2376.2	6.18	16.42
5003	2428.7	3.32	14.12	2446.8	6.88	16.78
1060	407.0	3.34	10.23	407.0	3.88	11.50
7016	N/A ¹		1176.4	7.11	19.46	
5004	3596.7	7.37	30.19	3623.2	11.79	34.82
5005	4322.5	8.51	28.21	4323.0	11.11	33.09

Table 4	Peak Flow Comparison
---------	----------------------

1-Node 7016 does not exist in the 2009 LSRCA model as catchment 1060 was added to the main channel as opposed to being added to catchment 1059. This was revised in the updated existing condition model

It should be noted that the rating curve for the ROUTE RESERVOIR located in Catchment 1032 has been exceeded and VO2 has extrapolated an outflow and maximum storage used.

4.3.4 Future Conditions

Existing conditions models were modified to create future conditions models to evaluate the impact of future developments on peak flows in the Township. The two NASHYDs (Subwatersheds 1045 and 1059), created to represent the Future Residential Lands, were converted to



Evaluation of the Cumulative Environmental Impact of Stormwater May, 2016

STANDHYDs. The imperviousness of the STANDHYDs was determined based on typical impervious values for residential subdivisions. For more details on future condition modeling refer to **Section 9.0**.

4.4 PHOSPHORUS LOADING

4.4.1 General

Elevated total phosphorus (TP) levels in Lake Simcoe were confirmed to be a significant water quality issue during the 1970s. Phosphorous is a naturally occurring nutrient, an excess of which causes significant plant growth in aquatic environments, decreasing available oxygen in the Lake. Current phosphorus levels are still too high to adequately support aquatic life and the lake continues to feel the effects of increased human activity. Reducing phosphorus levels in stormwater runoff and erosion is therefore a key objective in the health of Lake Simcoe.

4.4.2 Background

4.4.2.1 Information

The main sources of phosphorus loading into Lake Simcoe are:

- stormwater runoff entering tributaries from high intensity development areas and agricultural areas;
- water extracted from polders (low-lying tract of land enclosed by embankments (barriers) known as dikes that forms an artificial hydrological entity, meaning it has no connection with outside water other than through manually operated devices);
- treated wastewater from sewage treatment plants (STPs);
- leakage from septic systems, atmospheric deposits; and
- sediment erosion and re-suspension.

4.4.2.2 Documentation

Various studies, reports, and legislation have been prepared on the subject of phosphorus to Lake Simcoe, including:

- Lake Simcoe Environmental Management Strategy (LSEMS). 1990;
- TSH Associates, Donald Weatherbe Associates Inc., James Li. Township of Uxbridge Uxbridge Urban Area Stormwater Management Study Final Report July 2000. July 2000 (TSH Report);
- Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority. Lake Simcoe Basin Stormwater Management and Retrofit Opportunities. 2007 (LSRCA Retrofit);
- Lake Simcoe Protection Act. December 2008;
- Lake Simcoe Protection Plan. June 2009;
- LSRCA, MOECC. Report on the Phosphorus to Lake Simcoe 2004-2007. 2009;



- LSRCA, MOECC. Report on the Phosphorus to Lake Simcoe 2007-2009;
- Phosphorus Reduction Plan. July 2010;
- The Louis Berger Group, Inc. Estimation of Phosphorus Loadings to Lake Simcoe. September 2010 (Berger Report); and,
- Uxbridge Brook Water Pollution Control Plant Annual Performance Report 2014
- Uxbridge Urban Area Stormwater Management Study, Report to MOECC (February 11, 2016)

Lake Simcoe Protection Act

Based on the objectives set by the Lake Simcoe Environmental Management Study (LSEMS), the province passed the Lake Simcoe Protection Act in December 2008, which provides a legislative framework for protecting the Lake Simcoe watershed. The Act includes:

- clear objectives to protect and restore the ecological health of the Lake Simcoe watershed;
- the requirement for a protection plan with legally binding policies;
- the requirement for scientific and stakeholder committees to provide advice; and,
- legal authority to create regulation that provides even further protection.

4.4.2.2.1 Lake Simcoe Protection Plan

The Lake Simcoe Protection Plan focuses on critical issues, including:

- restoring the health of the coldwater fishery and other aquatic life;
- improving water quality, including reducing the amount of phosphorus going into the lake and the development of a Phosphorus Reduction Strategy;
- maintaining water quantity;
- protecting and rehabilitating important natural areas such as shorelines; and,
- addressing impacts of invasive species, climate change and recreational activities.

Ontario's strategy to reduce phosphorus levels in Lake Simcoe puts in place source specific reduction goals and potential opportunities. These opportunities will work towards reducing the high phosphorus levels in the lake to the goal of 44 tonnes per year. While total phosphorus loads to Lake Simcoe have decreased since the 1990s they are still above the 44 Tonnes/year level, corresponding to a desired dissolved oxygen target of 7 mg/L (MOECC, 2009).

The Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Environment Canada, Parks Canada and the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority operate monitoring sites throughout the watershed. Information collected at these sites includes:

- weather;
- the amount and quality of rain and snow fall;
- the amount and quality of water in the rivers and streams;
- the amount and quality of water discharged from sewage treatment plants;
- the characteristics of the land: agricultural, forested, urban and natural areas; and,
- stormwater controls and stream side activities.



Evaluation of the Cumulative Environmental Impact of Stormwater May, 2016

4.4.2.2.2 TSH Report

The Uxbridge Urban Area Stormwater Management Study (2000, prepared by TSH Associates provided an outline of a SWM Plan for urban development areas (existing and future) to provide for phosphorous control. TSH reviewed and made recommendations on SWM retrofit opportunities, including new SWM facilities where none currently exist. The report provided an implementation plan, including a summary of techniques, conditions, time table, a monitoring program, and recommended methods for ensuring that the principles of total phosphorous management are implemented in accordance with the Uxbridge Brook Watershed Plan.

TSH's approach included:

- Review of background material related to TP control needs/loadings;
- Review of current land use conditions and planned expansions;
- Investigation of current drainage conditions and opportunities for new SWM facilities for TP control;
- Review of (then) current SWM facilities and identification of retrofit opportunities for TP control;
- Assessment of SWM opportunities (new and retrofit) to evaluate feasibility, cost, and long term effectiveness;
- Investigation of future development areas and opportunities for SWM including facilities that would jointly control existing and future development areas;
- Public meeting to discuss opportunities and an approach prior to finalization; and,
- Selection of a SWM plan/approach for existing and future development areas.

Based on achieving the Watershed objectives (no increase in urban runoff), and assuming that approved developments were to achieve 50% TP reduction via SWM ponds, TSH assessed increased TP loads to Lake Simcoe and made recommendations for mitigation.

Urban TP Loads to Uxbridge Brook as calculated by TSH are presented in Table 5 below:

Table 5	Urban Total Phos	phorous Loads to	Uxbridge Brook	(TSH.	2000)
	0154111014111103	pholous Loads to	UNDITUGE DIOOR	(1311,	2000)

	A) Existing TP Load (kg/yr)	B) Future TP Load (kg/yr)	C) With Recommended BMP (kg/yr)
Urban Point Sources	110	285	285
Urban Runoff	1253.6	1324.2	1168.9
Total Urban Loads	1363.6	1609.2	1453.9

If the urban load increase (B-A = 245.6 kg/yr) could be mitigated, it would represent zero increase in TP.



The UBWP recommended value of 1453.9 kg/yr with BMP's is 155.3 kg/yr less than Scenario B, meaning that if this additional reduction from B could be achieved, the UBWP criteria could be satisfied. To achieve that additional overall reduction would require a combination of retrofits to existing infrastructure, as well as additional controls for new development.

Using the Subwatershed Area designation developed by TSH, load reductions are summarized in **Table 6** below and areas are illustrated from an excerpt of the report in **Figure TSH-1**:

Table 6Breakdown of Recommended Urban Runoff TP Loadings

Urban Area	TP Load Reduction (kg/yr)	Subwatershed Area
Existing urban area retrofits	122.4	A, B, F, G, I, S
Approved Developments	-	E
Vacant Lands to be Developed	32.8	К
TOTAL	155.3	

Area K represents new areas (i.e. not retrofit opportunities).

Existing Development Target

The reduction target for existing areas was based on reasonable retrofit methods, a 150.6 kg/yr TP reduction, and that adoption of that target in conjunction with the load reduction for future development in Area K meets the overall target of zero net increase in TP loading.

New Development Targets

The study performed an analysis of the impacts of Area K on TP loading, and concluded that, in order to achieve no net increase in P, additional controls to provide 90% TP reduction are required. That level of reduction was noted as being achievable only through a treatment train approach, including LIDs, conveyance, and end of pipe measures in combination.

4.4.2.2.2.1 SWM Opportunities

The TSH Report evaluated opportunities for retrofitting existing ponds and new facilities for existing and future developments.

Four existing ponds and one new pond (as of 2000) in a redevelopment area were identified as having retrofit potential. The existing ponds were in areas M, L, N, and S; the proposed pond was in Area A. Based on the mapping, these would correspond to Stantec Pond IDs 13, 10, 9, 2, and 6.



Evaluation of the Cumulative Environmental Impact of Stormwater May, 2016

Locations were also identified in the UBWR, including 6 possible locations for SWM/TP removal enhancement, including ponds, wetland enhancement, OGS and filters. These were called Locations 12 and 13 in the TSH Report.

Eight areas currently (as of 2000) without quality control, and not in the UBWR, were identified for SWM enhancements (new ponds, wetland enhancement where "informal" wetlands now exist, infiltration ponds, etc.). These are Locations 18-21 in LSRCA Area Q (TSH Report).

TSH evaluated the relative effectiveness of each option, and presented a series of recommendations based on costs and other factors.

LSRCA Retrofit

The study purpose was to create a complete, consistent and contemporary data set of all urban catchments, outlets, existing SWMFs and locations of potential SWMFs, and to calculate the phosphorus load associated with urban stormwater runoff in the Lake Simcoe Watershed. It identified potential retrofit opportunities, consisting of upgrades to existing SWMFs or construction of new ones. It did not evaluate BMP effectiveness.

Phosphorus loads were calculated by catchment based on catchment size, level of imperviousness (residential area = 0.45, industrial / commercial = 0.85), Level of control (if a Stormwater facility exists) and an average phosphorus load per hectare per year of 1.32 kg/ha/year (residential) or 1.82 kg/ha/year (industrial / commercial) based on monitoring data from Liang, 1999.

In an examination of each of the urban areas, Uxbridge stands out with the highest percentage of urban area treated by SMF at 47%. The study identified a total urban area in Uxbridge (and Uxbridge Brook subwatershed) of 678 ha, with 338 ha uncontrolled, and 318 ha controlled by SWMFs providing at least Level 4 (50% TSS Removal) quality control.

In total, the urban areas of the Lake Simcoe Watershed represent a modeled phosphorus load of 26,916.87 kg/yr, of which approximately 4262.08 kg/yr (16%) has been reduced through the existing stormwater controls (Table 4.3). With a resulting phosphorus load of approximately 22,654.79 kg/yr, stormwater runoff contributes approximately 1/3 of the yearly phosphorus load to Lake Simcoe. Uxbridge achieved the highest percent reduction in phosphorous loading due to SWMFs compared to other urban areas.

The study found that pre-treatment phosphorus loading in Uxbridge/Uxbridge Brook subwatershed was 972 kg/year, after treatment was 628 kg/year, resulting in an annual reduction of 35%.

The study evaluated retrofit opportunities consisting of new ponds or upgrades to existing. Within Uxbridge, they identified 14 retrofit opportunities, with 170 ha affected by retrofits, pre-treatment



TP loading of 628 kg/yr, after treatment of 421 kg/yr, resulting in a potential annual reduction of 207 kg/yr, or 33%.

Report on the Phosphorus to Lake Simcoe 2004-2007

Total phosphorus loading (average per year) for Pefferlaw River/Uxbridge Brook is 3,203 kg.

Report on the Phosphorus to Lake Simcoe 2007-2009

Total phosphorus loading (average per year) for Pefferlaw River/Uxbridge Brook is 6,400 kg.

Untreated urban runoff is a large contributor to TP levels in Lake Simcoe. The LSRCA and MOECC in partnership with municipalities and the development community have identified opportunities where municipal stormwater facilities could be introduced or upgraded to improve overall treatment and phosphorus removal. LSRCA also encourages the use of Low Impact Development (LID), a term used to describe SWM techniques that emulate the natural flow of water as it infiltrates into the soil. That minimizes the impact of urban development by reducing the volume of stormwater runoff as well as potential pollutants the runoff may carry.

Berger Report

The Berger report's objective was to estimate TP loading to Lake Simcoe under three different scenarios: Base Case (existing), Growth, and various BMP scenarios. Key findings of the report include:

- Surface runoff is the largest single contributor (77%) of phosphorus loads under existing conditions, and 80% under the growth scenario;
- High intensity development (and cropland) are the largest runoff sources;
- Highest loading under growth scenarios is in Barrie Creek, East Holland, West Holland, and Black Creek subwatersheds; and,
- Only selected agricultural BMPs were tested in the BMP scenario.

Although only agricultural BMPS were tested, the report made recommendations with respect to urban runoff:

- Retrofit/Maintenance of existing SWM facilities to enhance reduction of phosphorus such as stormwater ponds, catchbasin sumps, and oil/grit separators;
- Implementation of innovative SWM practices LID approaches such as green roofs, bioretention and raingardens, infiltration trenches, rainwater harvesting, soakaway pits, and permeable pavement to improve the quality and reduce the quantity of stormwater entering the Lake Simcoe subwatersheds from urban areas;
- Actions by Homeowners Homeowners can reduce their input of phosphorus into the watershed by eliminating/reducing the use of phosphorus rich fertilizers, planting natural



Evaluation of the Cumulative Environmental Impact of Stormwater May, 2016

meadow field lawns (requiring little/no fertilizer), and using rain barrels to harvest rainwater for lawns; and,

• Application of the red sand technology (current pilot project) at stormwater ponds to remove phosphorus.

It is noted that atmospheric phosphorus (a large portion of the total phosphorus loading to Lake Simcoe) was not accounted for in this study.

4.4.2.2.3 Uxbridge Brook Water Pollution Control Plant Annual Performance Report 2014 The Uxbridge Brook Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP) serves the Uxbridge urban area in the Township of Uxbridge, and is located between Uxbridge Brook and Main Street just south of the CNR railway tracks at 129 Main Street North.

The MOECC Class 3 wastewater treatment plant utilizes an extended aeration process with tertiary treatment to treat the wastewater. The treated effluent is discharged to the Uxbridge Brook. The following Environmental Compliance Approvals and Certificates are mentioned in the report:

ECA Compliance Approval

- Approval No: 8357-8CTQ5V (June 28, 2012)
- Approval No: 6581-67GRPR (December 10, 2004)

Wastewater Treatment Facility Certificate Classification

• Certificate No: 12 (March 11, 2008), Class 3

According to the report the total phosphorus ECA effluent quality limit is 0.15 mg/l, 0.78 kg/d or 286 kg/year. The ECA Effluent quality objectives are 0.1 mg/l. The 2014 Average Total Phosphorus concentration was 0.06 mg/l, which is in compliance with both the ECA limit and objective. An excerpt of Table C from the report detailing the average phosphorus concentration is included in **Appendix E**. The Total Phosphorus concentration exceeded the ECA objectives a total of 35 times within the recorded year. An excerpt of Table E from the report detailing the exceedances is included in **Appendix E**.

4.4.2.2.4 Uxbridge Urban Area Stormwater Management Study, Report to MOECC The Uxbridge Urban Area Stormwater Management Study, Report to MOECC identified all measures taken to the date (as of February 2016) of the report that reduce phosphorus loading within Uxbridge Brook. The report summarized phosphorus removal targets under plans of subdivision, Site Plans, retrofitting of existing SWM Ponds and Elgin Pond Rehabilitation. The report concludes that the Township of Uxbridge has achieved 100% of the original target of 122.4 kg/year set by the TSH report.



4.4.3 Methodology

The objectives of the phosphorus loading assessment are summarized as follows:

- Use the base case (existing conditions) and future land use (future residential areas) unit area phosphorus loadings from the Report on the Phosphorus Loads to Lake Simcoe LSRCA, 2007-2009, (May 2010) to generate existing and future phosphorus loading rates for the Uxbridge Brook subwatershed;
- Apply the unit area phosphorus loading rate for each subwatershed to each applicable settlement area within the Township; and,
- Assess the changes in phosphorus loadings at each settlement area from existing to future conditions, without additional treatment; then with additional treatment options.

4.4.4 Phosphorus Loading Assessment

Coppin's Corners drains internally to the Wyndance infiltration SWM pond and is therefore assumed to have a net increase of 0 for total phosphorus in the existing and future conditions (accounting for development in Area C).

The Uxbridge Urban Area phosphorus loading rates were generated based on calibrated loadings from the Estimation of Phosphorus Loadings to Lake Simcoe Report (LSRCA, September 2010). The existing conditions land use and base case loading rates scenario from Table 2-3 and Table 2-4 of the report respectively were calibrated to represent the loading rates of the existing and future urban area of Uxbridge. It was assumed that Table 2-3 and Table 2-4 of the report were based on natural phosphorus loadings without SWM quality mitigation measures. Similar to table E of the report the land use classification system has been modified and condensed based on the land use categories from the Township's OP. The revised land use classification system and resulting phosphorus loading rates per land use area for the existing conditions and future conditions land use without treatment are summarized in Table 7, below. Detailed phosphorus loading unit rate calculations/methodology are provided in Appendix E and CANWET landuse areas are displayed in Figure 10. It is important to note that the landuse areas displayed in Appendix E and Table 7 are not all CANWET landuse areas. The residential and commercial/industrial area categorization and loading rates are based on monitoring results from Liang (1999) and the Lake Simcoe Basin Stormwater Management and Retrofit Opportunities (2007). As per comments from the MOECC on May 9 2016, the loading rate for forest areas is 0.03 kg/ha/year as suggested by the MOE phosphorus loading tool and is used in Table 7 below.



Evaluation of the Cumulative Environmental Impact of Stormwater May, 2016

Table 7 Existing and Future Phosphorus Loadings

CANWET Land Use Category	Existing and Future Condition Land Use	Existing and Future Condition Average Annual Phosphorus Loading Rate (kg/ha) Pefferlaw-Uxbridge Brook	
Hay-Pasture			
Cropland			
Quarry	General Agricultural Area, Permanent Agricultural Area	0.068	
Turf-Sod			
Tile Drainage			
Forest	Forest Area, (Future Residential Area is Currently Existing as a Forest Area)	0.03	
Wetland		0.120	
Stream Banks	Environmental Constraint Area		
Groundwater			
Transition	Recreational Mixed Use Area, Cemetery Area, Park and Open Space Area,		
Septics	Private Open Space Area Golf Course, Major Open Space Area, Oak Ridges Moraine	0.098	
*Residential (Liang 1999)	Residential Area, Residential Area Higher Density, Mixed Use Area, Employment Area, , Proposed School Site	1.32	
*Industrial/commerial (Liang 1999)	Corridor commerical Area, Employment Area, Institutional Area, Brock St. Mixed Used Area	1.82	



4.4.5 Existing Conditions

The existing conditions scenario assessed the changes in phosphorus loadings with current treatment measures (wet pond treatment). The results are presented below in **Table 8** with the future development Areas A and B included within the Uxbridge Urban area and Areas A and B evaluated separately in **Table 9**.

Table 8	Existing Phosphorus Loa	adings – Uxbridge Urban Area
---------	-------------------------	------------------------------

Existing Conditions	Phosphorus Loading (kg/ha)	Wet Pond Treatment (ha)	Uncontrolled (ha)	Phosphorus Loading (kg/year) After Treatment
Cemetery Area, Park & Open				
Space Area, Private Open Space Area Golf Course	0.10	35.93	48.19	6.03
Environmental Constraint Area	0.12	0.52	77.86	9.37
Forest Area	0.03	2.42	106.51	3.21
Residential, Schools, Roads, etc.	1.32	228.64	225.63	409.50
Commercial, Employment Area, Institutional	1.82	12.11	47.98	95.48
	Total	280.00	506.18	523.58

Table 9Existing Phosphorus Loadings – Areas A and B

Existing Conditions	Phosphorus Loading (kg/ha)	Uncontrolled (ha)	Phosphorus Loading (kg/year)
		81.04(Area A and B to	
		be converted to Future	
Forest Area	0.03	Residential Area)	2.43
	Total	81.04	2.43

4.4.6 Future Conditions

The future conditions scenario assessed the changes in phosphorus loadings as a result of future residential in Areas A and B. The results are displayed below in


Evaluation of the Cumulative Environmental Impact of Stormwater May, 2016

Table 10 with and without treatment measures for the Uxbridge Urban Area (including Areas A and B), **Table** 11treatment measure for the Uxbridge Urban Area (excluding Areas A and B) and Areas A and B evaluated separately in **Table 12**. Refer to **Figure 10** for the location of the future development areas, commercial lands, and areas draining to existing ponds.



Table 10 Future Phosphorus Loadings – Uxbridge Urban Area and Areas A and B

Future Conditions Scenario (Uxbridge Urban Area Including Future Development Areas) A and B)	Total Phosphorus Loading (kg/year)
Future Conditions (no SWM measures for future development (Area A and B))	627.94
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds for future development (Area A and B))	560.74
Future Conditions (LID treatment for future residential (Area A and B) and commercial lands)	236.94
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds and LID's for future development (Area A and B) and commercial lands)	223.15
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds for future development (Area A and B), LID's for future development and commercial lands and retrofit of existing ponds)	126.79

Table 11 Future Phosphorus Loadings – Urban Area Excluding Future Development

Future Conditions Scenario	Total Phosphorus Loading (kg/year)
Future Conditions (no SWM measures for future development (Area A and B))	520.97
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds for future development (Area A and B))	521.16
Future Conditions (LID treatment for commercial lands)	215.01
Future Conditions (LID's for commercial lands)	215.04
Future Conditions (LID's for commercial lands and retrofit of existing ponds)	118.68

Table 12 Future Phosphorus Loadings – Areas A and B

Future Conditions Scenario (Future Development Areas A and B only)	Total Phosphorus Loading (kg/year)
Future Conditions (no SWM measures for future development (Area A and B))	106.97
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds for future development (Area A and B))	39.58
Future Conditions (LID treatment for future residential (Area A and B) and commercial lands)	21.93
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds and LID's for future development (Area A and B)and commercial lands)	8.11
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds for future development (Area A and B), LID's for future development and commercial lands and retrofit of existing ponds)	8.11

4.4.7 Phosphorus Loading Assessment Results

The existing conditions and future conditions with and without various treatment measures are compared to assess the environmental impact of development on phosphorus loadings to Lake Simcoe. The results are provided below in **Table 13**.



Evaluation of the Cumulative Environmental Impact of Stormwater May, 2016

Table 13 Phosphorus Loading Results

Future Conditions	Total Phosphorus Loading (kg/year) After Treatment	Change in Phosphorus Loading Post -Pre (kg/year)
Future Conditions (no SWM measures for future development (Area A and B))	627.94	104.36
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds for future development (Area A and B))	560.74	37.15
Future Conditions (LID treatment for future residential (Area A and B) and commercial lands)	236.94	-286.65
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds and LID's for future development (Area A and B)and commercial lands)	223.15	-300.43
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds for future development (Area A and B), LID's for future development and commercial lands and retrofit of existing ponds)	126.79	-396.79

Note:

A level 1 pond total phosphorus removal is 63% based on MOECC's Lake Simcoe Phosphorus Loading Development Tool (2012)

A level 3 pond phosphorus removal is 63% based on MOECC's Lake Simcoe Phosphorus Loading Development Tool (2012)

An average LID total phosphorus removal rate of 79.5 % was used, as per TRCA LID Manual

An average Total phosphorus removal for LID's and wet pond was calculated as 92.4%

As per MOE comments the MOE Lake Simcoe Phosphorus Tool land use phosphorus loading coefficients were used to verify the post development mitigation in phosphorus loadings. The change in phosphorus loadings resulted in a -396.68 kg/year load for the Future Conditions (Wet Ponds for future development (Area A and B), LID's for future development and commercial lands and retrofit of existing ponds). This is very similar to the result shown in **Table 13** above (-396.79 kg/year). Calculations are provided in **Appendix E**.

Phosphorus Mitigation Recommendations

The phosphorus loading assessment has indicated that future development of the two residential areas at the northwest and southeast (Areas A and B) will increase phosphorus loadings to Lake Simcoe without BMPs in place. To reduce the environmental impact from increased phosphorus loadings it is recommended to implement source/lot level controls, conveyance controls and end of pipe controls (i.e. roof storage, swales, filter strips, wet ponds, etc.). More detailed information on alternative methods for phosphorus reduction and BMP's are summarized in **Section 8.2.1**. The specific mitigation measure to be utilized to reduce phosphorus loadings are to be confirmed on a site specific basis at the functional design stages of development.



4.5 WATER BUDGET

Section 4.8-SA in the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan requires a water budget assessment under the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan for the existing and proposed land use. It is expected that new developments will increase impervious areas resulting in a change to the hydrologic characteristics of the study area, such as an increase in the volume and intensity of runoff and a decrease in evapotranspiration and infiltration. To characterize the impacts of future development conditions, a water budget which assesses rainfall, evapotranspiration, runoff and infiltration was developed. This water budget which is based on the methodology of Thornthwaite and Mather (1955) and the MOECC's Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual (2003), is developed for each proposed development area separately (sub areas A, B and C, **Figure 1**).

Existing and future development conditions for each settlement area are compared and changes to the components of the water budget are estimated. As required by Lake Simcoe Protection Plan, the annual predevelopment infiltration rates must be maintained as much as possible under post-development conditions.

Based on Lakes Simcoe and Couchiching-Black River SPA Part 1 Approved Assessment Report (LSRCA, 2011), the annual averages for precipitation and evapotranspiration in the Uxbridge Brook subwatershed are 831 mm/yr and 560 mm/yr, respectively (sub areas A and B). The annual averages for precipitation and evapotranspiration in Pefferlaw Brook (Sub Area C) are 852 mm/yr and 561 mm/yr, respectively. The annual water surplus is estimated to be 271 mm/yr in Sub Areas A and B and 291 mm/yr in sub area C. Pre-development total annual infiltration was calculated as 154 mm/yr in Sub Areas A and B and 166 mm/yr at Coppin's Corners (Sub Area C). The infiltration average was generated based on topography, soil texture and ground cover yielding an infiltration factor of 0.57 (MOECC, 2003) in all three sub areas.

Given that the majority of the Township is characterized by a similar soil type (sandy loam) with the exception of the northeast corner where silt loam is present, the infiltration factor is expected to be similar throughout the study area. Annual runoff which is estimated by subtracting infiltration from the water surplus was estimated to be 117 mm/yr in sub Areas A and B and 125 mm/yr in sub area C. The components of the pre-development water balance for the proposed developments are summarized in **Table 14**.



Evaluation of the Cumulative Environmental Impact of Stormwater May, 2016

Component of Water	Annual (mm/yr)			
Balance	Sub Areas A and B	Sub Area C		
Sub-Watershed	Uxbridge Brook	Pefferlaw Brook		
Precipitation	831	852		
Actual Evapotranspiration	560	561		
Water Surplus	271	291		
Total Infiltration	154	166		
Total Runoff	117	125		

Table 14 Summary of Pre-Development Water Budget

 Table 15 summarizes the pre-development annual volumetric runoff and infiltration for each sub area.

Sub Area	Total Area (m²)	Total Volumetric Infiltration (m ³ /yr)	Total Volumetric Runoff (m ³ /yr)
А	545,800	84,310	63,602
В	264,600	40,873	30,834
С	13,461	2,233	1,684

Note - discrepancies in between Table 1 and Table 2 are due to rounding errors.

Post-development annual volumetric runoff and infiltration are summarized in **Table 16**. Postdevelopment land use is assumed to be a single family residence with an impervious factor of 0.40 and a runoff coefficient of 0.45.

Table 16 Post-Development Annual Volumetric Runoff and Infiltration

Sub Area	Total Area (m²)	Total Volumetric Infiltration (m ³ /yr)	Total Volumetric Runoff (m ³ /yr)	Volumetric Infiltration Deficit (m ³ /yr)
А	545,800	50,586	201,443	33,724
В	264,600	24,524	97,658	16,349
С	13,461	1,340	5,139	893

The proposed developments are expected to result in an increase in runoff of approximately 217% in sub Areas A and B and 205% in sub area C and a decrease in infiltration of



approximately 40% in all sub areas. The infiltration deficit, which is the difference between the pre- and post- development infiltration volumes ranges from approximately 33,724m³/yr in sub area A to 16,349 m³/yr in sub area B. This estimated deficit will provide a guide to the target for infiltration across the developments through the use of Low Impact Development (LID) techniques.

As noted in Section 1.0, Area C will drain to the existing Wyndance infiltration pond.

Areas A and B total some 81.04 ha, with a total volumetric deficit of 50,073 m³/year. That averages to approximately 62 mm/year. Based on the average precipitation volume of 831 mm/year, 62 mm/year equates to 7.4% of precipitation volume.

Based on rainfall data collected and supplied by LSRCA, events up to and including 6 mm events correspond to approximately 7.4% of average annual rainfall depth. Therefore, future development should target retention of 6 mm events as a minimum to offset the infiltration deficit and in some areas greater infiltration amounts can be achieved. Detailed calculations at the time of development would be required. The LSRCA is currently developing updated SWM policies/guidelines that will target the capture and retention on site of 25mm of runoff from the new and/or fully reconstructed impervious surfaces (90 percentile storm).

In June, 2013, the technical document Hydrogeological Assessment Submissions - Conservation Authority Guidelines to Support Development Applications, prepared by Shelly Cuddy, Gayle Soo Chan and Ryan Post, was released. It was developed to attempt to standardize the hydrogeological study requirements to support development applications reviewed by Conservation Authorities. This document should be followed at the site-specific scale for future development applications in the Study Area.



Effectiveness of Existing SWM Works May, 2016

5.0 EFFECTIVENESS OF EXISTING SWM WORKS

5.1 PEAK FLOW MODELLING

A detailed assessment of the effectiveness of the existing SWM Ponds in reducing the peak flow was not completed as as-built storage discharge curves for the ponds was not available. ROUTE RESERVOIR commands included in the model were sized to simulate post development flows controlled to pre development levels.

5.2 CLIMATE CHANGE

Climate change refers to the long term trend in the change of the world's weather patterns, including changes in average temperature and rainfall distribution. Stormwater runoff is intrinsically a function of rainfall, therefore change in the intensity, duration, and frequency of rainfall events has an impact on runoff, and the response of stormwater systems. Aquatic habitat health is also linked to temperature.

The Institute for Catastrophic Loss Reduction (ICLR) produced two reports of relevance to this study.

In the first, they note that with respect to climate change, that there were seven floodproducing heavy rain events in the Toronto area with intensities exceeding the expected return period value (the highest precipitation value on average occurring once in a 20-year period) during the period of 1987–2007 (ICLR, 2012).

While the days with greater than 10 mm precipitation remained unchanged, the number of days with higher precipitation, above 30 mm, has moderately increased with warmer temperature, which has the capability to hold more moisture; this trend is projected to continue. Projecting forward for Ontario, the annual maximum 24-hour precipitation rate that at present occurs once every 20 years, will occur more often and become a once every 12–14 year event. Meanwhile, in northern Ontario the occurrence rate will lower from once every 20 years to closer to once every 10 years. With more heavy precipitation events over Ontario, there will be an increased risk of flash floods.

A study of April–November rainfall extremes of four selected river basins (Grand, Humber, Rideau and Upper Thames) showed large percentage increases in future three-day accumulated rainfall extremes with a warming climate. The 20year return values of annual maximum three-day accumulated rainfall totals are projected to increase by 30% to 55% for the period 2026 to 2075. Since the observed annual maximum three-day accumulated rainfall totals are about 80 mm, these are larger changes (25–45 mm) than the average projected for



Canada as a whole. There are uncertainties in all these projections, but they all show significant increases in the intensity of extreme precipitation events.

In the second report, it is noted that urban flood damages are a recurrent and growing issue for municipalities, insurers and homeowners across Canada. Damages from urban flood events often total in the \$10s and \$100s of millions of dollars. In July, 2012, a storm moved through southern Ontario affecting several neighbourhoods in Hamilton and Ottawa, resulting in \$90 million in insured damages (ICLR, 2013).

An extreme rainfall event that affected a large region of southern Ontario from Hamilton to Durham Region in August, 2005 resulted in over \$500 million in insured damages, \$247 million of which was associated with sewer backup.

It is noted that Canadian municipalities have faced litigation for sewer backup events. Homeowners can have home damage, item loss, and health issues from flooding and sewer backups. Homeowners may also experience sewer backup insurance coverage limits, increasing premiums or cancellation of sewer backup coverage after the experience of multiple basement flood events. Flood insurance in Canada does not cover damages from overland flow.

Therefore, changes in rainfall patterns which affect SWM facility performance can have significant social and economic effects when the systems are no longer able to as effectively meet the objectives they were designed for.

In order to assess the impact of climate change, the performance of SWMFs must be assessed accounting for changes in rainfall patterns.

MTO Studies

The MTO published their Identification of the Effect of Climate Change on Future Design Standards of Drainage Infrastructure in Ontario – Final Report in June, 2005, the purpose of which was to identify the effect of climate change on future highway drainage infrastructures in Ontario. Specifically, the study determined that the intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) curves station shows significant changes in the precipitation intensity between the current and the future time periods. Climate change that produces an increase in the intensity of precipitation will increase the magnitude of the design discharge and that would most likely result in adverse effects on existing drainage facilities.

The study notes that "Although many organizations are undertaking various researches on climate change, there are no well-established methodologies to relate the anticipated changes in weather to the impact of such changes on the performance of hydraulic structures such as bridges, culverts and sewer systems."



Effectiveness of Existing SWM Works May, 2016

As such, the study developed a methodology to assess the potential impacts of climate change on IDF values. Two study areas of interest were selected: The Grand River Region in southern Ontario, and the Kenora and Rainy River Region in northwestern Ontario.

The study found that:

overall, rainfall intensities with an X-year return period (X= 5, 10, 20, 50, 100) under current climate conditions are almost equal to those with (X/2)-year return period under future climate conditions. As an example, rainfall intensities with 10-year return period under current climate conditions are almost equal to those with 5year return period under predicted climate conditions. As an example, an actual 10-year drainage system will be able to withstand only 5-year storms by 2050s, whereas a current 50-year drainage structure will be able to handle only 20-year storms by 2050s.

The existing IDF curves were then updated to 2050 and 2080 levels.

As a basis for analysis and discussion, and using Station G6140954 (in the Grand River Region) as a basis for comparison, the existing Uxbridge IDF curves were then modified by applying the percent change in rainfall intensities used in Sta G6140954 and updated IDF curves were developed for the 5-year and 100-year return periods for 2050 and 2080. (Refer to **Appendix F**)

Similar to the approach taken in the MTO study, the effects of climate change on conveyance systems was assessed by comparing future rainfall intensities to existing, specifically, comparing the 5-year intensities with an inlet time of 10 minutes, as these are representative of minor system conveyance structure requirements.

Storm sewer capacity is a function of cross-sectional area, which is related to the square of the pipe diameter. Storm runoff rates are proportional to rainfall intensity.

Therefore, a general relationship between pipe diameter and increase in rainfall intensity (with tc = 10 minutes) can be developed as follows:

$$D_2/D_1 = \sqrt{(i_2/i_1)}$$

Where D_1 = diameter for intensity 1

D₂ = diameter for intensity 2

 $i_1 = intensity 1$

 $i_2 = intensity 2$



Under the existing Uxbridge 5-year IDF curve, evaluating the increase in rainfall intensities for 2050 and 2080 yields increases in pipe diameter of 30% and 38%, respectively.

For example, a system currently sized at 525 mm diameter, would need to be upgraded to 675 mm and 750 mm to convey the expected increase in 5-year flows for 2050 and 2080, respectively.

For assessing the function of SWMFs such as quantity control ponds, the IDF data noted above was used to update the hydrologic model representing existing and future conditions.

Although the study completed by the MTO did not specifically address Uxbridge IDFs, it is within the same climatic region and likely will experience comparable increases in rainfall intensities.

Based on the example above, climate change could compromise the efficacy of existing and proposed conveyance structures if current IDF curves are not updated to reflect projected changes in precipitation intensities. It is therefore recommended that the Township investigate the update of their IDF curves for future conditions. Similar IDF updates have been completed for the Cities of Barrie and Welland.

The VO2 model was therefore re-run with updated IDF data to assess the degree of peak flow increases due to climate change.

Table 17 summarizes the future condition flow, which assumes Future Settlement Areas A and Bhave been developed and the ponds will have been designed such that post developed flowsare controlled to pre-developed levels using current IDF data, and flows for the year 2050 and2080, at various flow nodes. For the 2050 and 2080 simulation, no modifications have been madeto the pond's storage-discharge relationships.Flow node locations are shown on Figure 11.



Effectiveness of Existing SWM Works May, 2016

Node	Return Period (year)	Future Condition Flow ¹ (m ³ /s)	2050 Flow (m ³ /s)	2080 Flow (m ³ /s)
0010	5	1.07	2.27	3.23
9018	100	5.98	12.03	16.53
1047	5	0.83	1.66	2.35
1047	100	4.32	8.70	11.8
0017	5	1.64	3.73	6.14
9017	100	12.05	24.80	33.71
50/5	5	5.88	7.64	8.89
5065	100	11.98	22.95	28.52
0041	5	6.18	8.05	9.38
9041	100	16.53	33.61	45.56
5000	5	6.88	9.26	11.05
5005	100	16.90	34.15	46.33
10/0	5	3.88	5.91	7.45
1060	100	11.50	19.8	24.43
701/	5	7.10	10.02	12.90
7016	100	19.82	33.84	43.24
5004	5	11.73	17.02	20.92
5004	100	35.37	67.20	88.92
EOOE	5	11.09	15.98	19.51
5005	100	33.60	66.52	88.51

Table 17Comparison of Future Condition, 2050, and 2080 Flows

Notes:

1 Future Condition Flow assumes Future Settlement Areas A and B have been developed and ponds have been designed such that post developed flows are controlled to pre-developed levels using current IDF data.

Based on the above, if the existing ponds are not retrofitted, and <u>current</u> criteria are applied to future settlement areas, flows will generally increase under climate change.

The VO2 model was then updated for both 2050 and 2080 IDF data, and re-run to determine what storage increases would be required to maintain target flows at the catchment level. These are summarized in **Table 18** and **Table 19**, below, for the two future ponds; refer to **Figure 11** for catchment location and **Appendix F** for detailed modeling.



Table 18Storage Requirement (Future Pond for Future Residential B in Catchment 1045) to
Maintain Existing Flows for 2050 and 2080

Return Period	Current	20	50	20	80
(year)	Storage (m ³)	Storage (m ³)	% Increase	Storage (m ³)	% Increase
5	6,262	8,335	33%	9,961	59%
100	10,799	17,409	61%	21,893	103%

Table 19Storage Requirement (Future Pond for Future Residential A in Catchment 1059) to
Maintain Existing Flows for 2050 and 2080

Return Period	Current	20	50	20	80
(year)	Storage (m ³)	Storage (m ³)	% Increase	Storage (m ³)	% Increase
5	14,810	18,789	27%	22,591	53%
100	23,855	37,149	56%	46,108	93%

Environment Canada indicates that the equipment for creating IDF data, the tipping bucket rain gauge, was never part of the observing programs at any sites that were located in Uxbridge. The set of curves currently being used would likely be data from a nearby site that has been (re)named Uxbridge. Possibly the closest site with updated data could be Toronto Buttonville Airport.

It is recommended that Uxbridge install and monitor a rain gage for future use. A suggested location would be at the Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP) (refer to **Figure 1** for WPCP location) as it is well within the Uxbridge Urban Area. Similar to *Toronto's Future Weather and Climate Driver Study* (2011), this rainfall information gathered would be useful so that the Township can be better prepared to address and adapt to changes that will occur as a result of local weather and climate factors. It would help identify intense events that occur within a limited geographical area and over short time frames. The information would prove valuable in predicting increased peak flows and could be used to evaluate whether existing SWMF would be able to still meet the required post to pre requirements or if further retrofits or expansions would be required.

5.3 EROSION AREAS OF CONCERN AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.3.1 Field Visit

On Oct 29, 2014 Stantec staff completed a site walk of the Reaches within the Study Area to identify potential areas of erosion concern (refer to **Figure ERSN 1**). A photo log (**Figure ERSN 2**) illustrates and describes the erosion sites.



Effectiveness of Existing SWM Works May, 2016

5.3.2 Summary of Erosion Sites

Reaches with erosion issues indicated downstream of future development areas (Reaches 16 and 19) can reasonably expected to worsen if Areas A and B are developed without mitigation techniques (i.e. erosion control). Reaches that do not currently have erosion issues would also have the potential to be negatively affected by uncontrolled future development.

Coppin's Corner's (Area C) appeared to only have a dry ditch (**Figure 3**) and was not visited for the purposes of erosion assessment, however it is assumed that the areas downstream of development Area C would potentially experience issues if mitigation techniques are not utilized to reduce flows to pre-development levels.

Stantec has identified a number of erosion sites based on the site visit. They are summarized below by Reach:

Reach 2:

 Channel has unstable sections. Headcuts, undercut banks and a perched culvert were noted that can lead to declined channel stability and increased erosion deposition. Refer to Figure ERSN 2, photos 1-4.

Reach 3

• The channel has some unstable sections. Several undercut banks, slight head cuts that can lead to declined channel stability and increased erosion deposition were noted. Refer to Figure ERSN 2, photo 5-7.

Reach 4

• A slumping gabion basket within the channel has the potential to fall or impede flow, which can lead to declined channel stability. Refer to Figure ERSN 2, photo 8.

Reach 10

• The channel had several perched culverts that could cause scouring. There was a large jam with a wheel barrow and several trees. Refer to Figure ERSN 2, photo 9-11.

Reach 12

 A very large head cut was found immediately west of Turner Street, that has caused much of the land within that area to erode. In that area were undercut sections that could lead to decreased bank stability and increased levels of erosion downstream. Refer to Figure ERSN 2, photo 12-13.

Reach 13

• Headcuts and a series of log jams were noticed near the intersection of reach 13 and 14. The head cuts and jams would cause scouring of the channel bed increasing erosion downstream. Refer to **Figure ERSN 2**, photo 14.

Reach 16



• An elliptical perched culvert was located that is causing scouring at the channel bed and increasing erosion downstream. Refer to Figure ERSN 2, photo 15.

Reach 19

• There is debris located near a bridge that is obstructing flow within the channel. Refer to Figure ERSN 2, photo 16.

5.3.3 Recommendations

Any sites that may affect public safety should be evaluated by the Township to further refine the potential impacts.

Priority should be given to **Reaches 12** and **19**, as they have debris jams within the channel that could cause channel flows to back up into nearby residential/commercial properties, causing flooding concerns.

The perched culverts identified in **Reaches 10** and **16** have potential to cause problems with structural integrity of the road material and should be assessed in more detail.

Although more site specific investigation is required to develop site specific solutions, general approaches to mitigating the erosion might include:

- Lining channels with rock to reduce active erosion;
- Re-grading the channel to remove head cutting and undercutting and maintain a more gradual slope;
- Installing plunge pools at the outlet of the perched culverts to dissipate the energy, decrease flows and scouring and thereby reducing erosion;
- Removing debris jams; and,
- Performing maintenance on gabion walls.

For future development purposes to ensure that the erosion control component of ponds will be sufficient, the following recommendations have been developed:

- Complete a detailed fluvial assessment in problem reaches immediately downstream of Areas A and B including cross-sectional surveys, establishment of erosion thresholds, and identification of critical reaches/flows;
- Develop an erosion model (for example, QUALHYMO is a continuous hydrologic model with critical flow exceedance statistical summary capabilities) to determine if retrofits to existing facilities would be effective at mitigating existing erosion issues;
- Use erosion model developed above to evaluate impacts of development of Areas A, B and C (see Figure ERSN1) and whether extended detention levels provided by the ponds would be enough to mitigate the erosive effect, or if additional LID's would be required; and,
- For other general recommendations to reduce peak flows and improve quality control to the reaches indicated in **Figure ERSN 1** refer to Section 8 of the report.



Examination of Stormwater Retrofit Opportunities May, 2016

6.0 EXAMINATION OF STORMWATER RETROFIT OPPORTUNITIES

6.1 GENERAL

As noted in Section 3.7.1, Stantec completed an assessment report for twenty-two (22) SWM (SWM) facilities within the Township of Uxbridge in January 2013. **Drawing 1** of the report is attached **in Figure SWMF-1**, tabulating the 22 SWM ponds and showing their locations.

Stantec has identified maintenance considerations as either 'required' or 'recommended' to help assist the Township with prioritization. In general, it as our opinion that the following SWM Facilities should be considered as higher priority and it was our recommendation that any immediate maintenance expenditures/efforts be focused at these locations. The SWM facilities within the Urban boundary referenced below are in no particular order of priority.

- Estates at Wooden Sticks (Pond 4);
- Forsythe West Subdivision (Pond 7); and,
- Testa Heights (Pond 13).

6.2 EXAMINATION OF EXISTING RETROFIT STRATEGY

The Township has identified areas and several existing SWM facilities that would benefit a retrofit. The Uxbridge Urban Area Stormwater Management Study (2000) identified several existing pond retrofit locations. Below is an excerpt from the Uxbridge Urban Area SWM Study summarizing the retrofit approach.



FIGURE 1.3.1

UXBRIDGE SWM STUDY RETROFIT APPROACH TOWNSHIP OF UXBRIDGE





Examination of Stormwater Retrofit Opportunities May, 2016

Section 5 of the report outlines recommendations for retrofitting of existing facilities as well as the installation of new facilities. Table 3.3.1 of the report outlines the potential SWM retrofit locations and their associated phosphorus loading reductions. Table 3.4.1 of the report outlines the costs of the retrofits.

It is important to note that in each report the retrofit location names are different. **Table 20** below matches Stantec Pond locations with the Uxbridge Urban Area SWM Study 2000 reports retrofit locations. Refer to **Figure 2**.

Table 20 SWM Pond IDs

Uxbridge Urban Area SWM Study 2000 Pond ID	Stantec Pond ID
5	2
15	4
	6
6	7
3	9
2	10
18	11
	12
1	13
	14
4	15

6.3 RETROFIT STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT

The development of a new retrofit strategy is required as part of section 4.5SA of the LSPP when a retrofit strategy is not present. Existing areas that require retrofit should correspond to the areas identified by the LSRCA in the Lake Simcoe Basin SWM and Retrofit Opportunities (2007) report that are within the study area. These retrofits recommend the installation of new Enhanced wet ponds, and upgrades to Enhanced wet ponds (see **Appendix 2** of the report). Existing SWMFs were surveyed and recommendations based on the current conditions are developed and incorporated into a SWM Maintenance Program detailed in **Section 7.0** of this report.



SWM Maintenance Program May, 2016

7.0 SWM MAINTENANCE PROGRAM

7.1 INTRODUCTION

The Township is responsible for the operations and management of 22 identified SWMFs of which only 11 are within the urban boundary (study area). There are also 3 privately owned ponds identified – one southeast of Cemetery Rd and Toronto St and two others at the Northeast corner of Toronto St. and Elgin Park Dr.

Stantec was retained by the Township to conduct a SWMF Assessment and Maintenance Study to facilitate the on-going management of these existing SWMFs, and promote effective management techniques. Please refer to the *Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Facility Assessment 2012*, prepared by Stantec Consulting, 2012.

This report presents a summary of findings collected.

7.1.1 Objective

This section outlines the objectives required for the SWMF Assessment and Maintenance Study:

- Obtain field and existing document information of all the Township owned facilities;
- Perform a detailed field assessment and evaluate all of the SWMFs and their components;
- Estimate available permanent pool volumes in assumed wet ponds;
- Assess the operation and maintenance requirements to keep the facilities in proper operating condition; and,
- Forecast future maintenance requirements including tasks, resources, schedules and costs.

A good maintenance plan should incorporate a detailed analysis of data and be proactive to ensure that inspections, maintenance works for repairs and restorations are facilitated appropriately. These assessments will determine resource requirements for maintenance, such as: staff requirements, equipment, labour and budget resources. Proper planning is a sustainable approach to reducing liabilities and costs.

7.2 BACKGROUND

The Township is currently responsible for the operation and maintenance of 11 SWMFs and the Township may incorporate additional SWMF's/retrofits. Refer to Section 6 Examination of Stormwater Retrofit Opportunities. Most of the ponds were designed and constructed by developers to meet the current SWMF design standards of the time. Ownership is then transferred to the Township once construction in the associated development area is complete.



7.3 FUNCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF SWMFS

Storm water management facilities have been introduced to mitigate/decrease the impacts of urban runoff from existing and new development areas. It is important that these facilities be maintained to ensure that they continuously meet the standard guidelines for quality and quantity control.

Due to severe water quality problems in Lake Simcoe, and the potential destruction of the coldwater fishery (e.g. Lake Trout [*Salvelinus namaycush*]), the entire watershed has been deemed a special policy area. As a result, all new development in the watershed since 1996 has been required to construct SWMF that meet the most stringent criteria or Enhanced Level 1 protection. This special policy designation was a result of a recommendation contained in the Lake Simcoe Environmental Management Strategy (LSEMS) "Our Waters, Our Heritage, 1995" report, which deals exclusively with efforts to reduce phosphorus inputs to Lake Simcoe.

Since 1995, all new development within the Lake Simcoe watershed has been required to provide Level 1 (equivalent to Enhanced in the 2003 MOECC Guidelines) SWM facilities for the treatment of stormwater run-off. Level 1 facilities are designed to remove approximately 80% of suspended solids (MOECC 2004) and can reduce P runoff by 60% to 90% (LSRCA 2007).

Depending on their design, SWMFs can provide:

- Flood protection;
- Water quality treatment;
- Erosion control;
- Base flow augmentation;
- Infiltration;
- Spill management;
- Aesthetics; and,
- Buffer between urbanized areas and/or natural areas

The following sections describe the basic functions, along with related maintenance activities, that can keep SWMFs operating as intended.

7.3.1 Applicable Legislation Regulations and Guidance Documents

The following section provides discussion on legislation, regulation and guidance documents which are currently applicable to the construction, operation and maintenance of SWMFs from the federal, provincial, municipal level.



SWM Maintenance Program May, 2016

Ontario Environmental Assessment Act

SWMFs are generally subject to following the Schedule A (see **Figure OP-A**)Class EA process for maintenance, which is an undertaking usually limited in scale and anticipated to have a negligible environmental effect. These projects usually include the majority of municipal maintenance and operational activities.

Ontario Water Resources Act

As per the MOECC Environmental Compliance Approvals (ECA) (formerly known as a Certificate of Approval (C of A)) issued under Section 53 of the *Ontario Water Resources Act*, owners of SWMFs are responsible for maintaining them in proper working condition (i.e. as per the original design specifications and includes all components in the original design such as inlet and outlet structures, side slopes, sediment forebay, shading measures, erosion protection lining, manhole covers and the approved volumes).

The ECA clearly outlines the legal requirements where the owner must:

"ensure that the works will be operated, maintained, funded, staffed, and equipped in a manner enabling compliance with the terms and conditions...such that the environment is protected and deterioration, loss, injury, or damage to any person or property is prevented"

For sediment removal:

"regular removal of sediment from the approved SWM works is required to mitigate the impacts of sediment on the downstream receiving watercourse. It is also required to ensure that adequate storage is maintained in the SWMFs at all times, as required by the design"

Land Developers typically construct, own, and maintain SWMFs as part of the development block until the municipality assumes ownership during the Assumption Process.

Conservation Authorities Act

The Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA) is authorized through O.Reg. 179/06, Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses. Regulated areas are mapped by the LSRCA who regulates and may prohibit work from taking place within valley and stream corridors, wetlands, hazardous lands and associated areas of interference, which may include maintenance related activities; therefore, the proponent of any SWM maintenance activities shall contact the LSRCA to determine if a permit is required. Permission to develop may be granted by the LSRCA and an application for permission would be required to follow. Further details on O.Reg. 179/06 can be found at: http://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/navigation?file=home



Endangered Species Act

The Endangered Species Act (ESA) could apply in situations where the discharge from SWMFs would pose a threat to species protected under the ESA (endangered or threatened species), or their habitat. Based on a review of DFO records, NHIC information and the Pefferlaw River Subwatershed Study, there are currently no known records of protected aquatic species in the *STUDY AREA* [DLC1]. Even if unknown SAR were present in the watershed the requirement for water quality treatment to the Enhanced level reduces the risk of impact on SAR or SAR habitat. SAR lists are constantly updated and the MNRF should be consulted if potential harm to protected species or habitats is identified in future planning, construction or operations for SWM facilities.

Environmental Protection Act

To ensure that SWMFs are performing efficiently at their design levels, they will require periodic maintenance to restore the permanent pool volume. The sediment quality, management and disposal of dredged material should conform to MOECC requirements and regulations under the Environmental Protection Act:

- MOECC. Guidance on Sampling and Analytical Methods for Use at Contaminated Sites in Ontario. December 1996;
- MOECC's Management of Excess Soil A Guide for Best Management Practices, issued in January 2014;
- O.Reg. 153/04 as amended by O.Reg. 511/09 Records of Site Condition; and,
- O.Reg. 347/90 as amended by O.Reg. 558/00 General Waste Management.

Agencies Consultation and Approvals

Permit and approval requirements for the SWM ponds depend on site specific conditions (potential environmental impacts). Several types of legislation and regulations are applicable and potential ones are summarized in **Table 21** below based on the type of agency and applicable approvals.

Table 21 Agencies and Applicable Approvals

Agency	Applicable Approvals
Department of Fisheries and Oceans	Potential threats to fish habitats, such as: Wetlands, lakes, and on-line ponds would need to be reviewed for federal requirements.
	If a Harmful, Alteration, Disruption and Destruction (HADD) of fish habitat or a release of substances
Stantec	



SWM Maintenance Program May, 2016

Table 21 Agencies and Applicable Approvals

Agency	Applicable Approvals			
	deleterious to fish habitat is anticipated, the DFO should be consulted. The DFO can also determine whether approval under the Canadian <i>Environmental Assessment Act</i> (CEAA) is required for the project.			
Ministry of Environment	Removal of sediment in SWMF is a regular maintenance activity and generally requires a Schedule 'A' Environmental Assessment, which is an undertaking usually limited in scale and anticipated to have a negligible environmental effect (refer to Durham Region <i>Municipal Class Environmental</i> <i>Assessment Process and Master Planning Process.</i>) If environmental impacts are anticipated or other requirements; they should be reviewed on a site specific basis.			
	Sediment sampling procedures for quality analysis; the management and disposal of impacted dredged material should comply with the following MOECC requirements:			
	MOECC. Guidance on Sampling and Analytical Methods for Use at Contaminated Sites in Ontario. December 1996.			
	O.Reg. 153/04 as amended by O.Reg. 333/13 - Records of Site Condition			
	O.Reg. 347/90 as amended by O.Reg. 558/00 – General Waste Management			
Ministry of Natural Resources	In most cases, MNR involvement is not anticipated for the management of sediments in SWMFs, unless changes to flows in rivers, creeks or discharges from SWMFs are expected.			
	If it is suspected that endangered species are within the project area, the MNR should be consulted to determine if specific measures are required.			
Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority	Some SWMFs fall within regulated areas which are mapped by the CAs who regulate and may prohibit work from taking place within valley and stream corridors, wetlands and associated areas of interference. Refer to regulation 179/06.			
Durham Region	Approval for construction access may be required on Regional property Tree Removal/Protection By-Law may need to be			
	reviewed as part of the development of the sediment removal.			



7.4 RESULTS

In 2012, Stantec inspected 22 SWM ponds that are currently owned (or soon to be owned) by the Township. All SWMFs are end-of-pipe. Stantec recommended the Township strongly consider implementing an annual maintenance program targeting 2 SWM ponds per year. A 'rotational' program would cover all the ponds approximately every 11.5 years, which is within the typical cleanout range of 10-15 years. There are two larger ponds that may fully utilize the allocated annual budget; therefore there would be two years where the budget only accommodates one pond cleanout. Given that, the rotation period would be closer to 15 years.

Since most of the ponds are of small/medium size, based on Stantec's historical information of pond cleanout cost tracking, an average cleanout and maintenance cost obligation of approximately \$150 000 - \$200 000 per pond. That cost includes mobilization/demobilization, pumping, erosion & sediment controls, planting/restoration and sediment removal/disposal. That estimate would vary with actual conditions (pond location, volume of sediment to remove, etc.) Typical values are \$70/m³ - \$90/m³, including onsite works to support the removal. If the soil is contaminated, the costs can be substantially greater, due to hauling to MOECC approved disposal sites.

It was recommended that detailed pond surveys be completed to determine cleanout volumes. Additionally, Stantec recommends that the Township include a \$25 000 budget allocation for each SWMF to include sediment surveys (pre- and post- cleanout), sediment quality testing, LSRCA permit coordination (as required), tender document preparation, bid review, contract administration, and final engineering certification.

Based on the recommended maintenance program proposed above, the Township would need to consider reserving \$350 000 – \$450 000 per year for pond maintenance needs, placing the Township on a scheduled maintenance track to keep up with the appropriate maintenance obligations for its current pond inventory.

7.4.1 Inventory

For a full inventory of the Township's SWM Ponds, please refer to the Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Facility Assessment 2012, prepared by Stantec Consulting, 2012.

7.4.2 Inspections

7.4.2.1 Frequency of Inspection

Typical facilities will require careful monitoring, particularly in the initial years of operation. Inspections are an important part of this monitoring program. Regular visual inspections should be conducted:



SWM Maintenance Program May, 2016

- After every significant rainfall (>10 mm) for the first two years of operation;
- Minimum of 4 visits per year after the first two years (winter, spring, summer and fall); and,
- Long term monitoring should be completed every 10 years or as needed.

7.4.2.2 Checklist

A sample inspection checklist is presented in **Appendix N**. This checklist can be completed for each site visit and a record of the completed checklists may be kept by the Owner to provide an ongoing record of maintenance activities.

7.4.2.3 Inspection of SWM Facility Features

All inlet and outlet headwalls should be checked for blockages, such as debris or buildup of ice and snow. Any damage to the headwalls or associated components, (i.e. grates, erosion protection) should be noted and/or repaired as appropriate. As well, any sediment accumulation or erosion issues in the sediment forebay or outlet headwall should be documented and addressed as appropriate. Refer to **Section 4.0** for maintenance procedures.

The inlet overland flow routes and the emergency overflow weir should be inspected for blockage such as debris, or buildup of snow and ice. Any erosion or damage to the bottom treatment should also be recorded and/or repaired as appropriate.

As a minimum, the gate valves should be exercised annually by briefly opening and closing the valves. The valves should be visually inspected for any evidence of corrosion and lubricated, if required, as per the manufacturer's recommendation.

Aquatic, shoreline and upland vegetation should be inspected to ensure no re-seeding or replanting is required.

As well, any evidence of fish presence, waterfowl activity or other wildlife habitat should be recorded.

7.5 SEDIMENT REMOVAL PROCESS

7.5.1 Background Review

Although SWMFs are designed for similar purposes, site specific constraints make each pond unique. As such, it is important to obtain and review background data for each pond, including design drawings, reports, and bathymetric surveys.

Field Investigations

As noted previously, Stantec completed a field review of the ponds in 2012 and that report should be referred to in conjunction with updated surveys.



Public Consultation / Liaison

In order to effectively implement sediment removal of SWM facilities the local community will need to be notified of the work to be carried out. The following information are key aspects that should be provided to the affected property owners:

- When and where the construction activities would be taking place;
- Any required easement and construction access through private property;
- The method of sediment removal and dewatering;
- Odour, dust and noise generation during operations; and,
- The duration of dewatering and sediment removal;

7.5.2 Design and Tender

Several key issues must be addressed to successfully manage the challenges of the maintenance and sediment removal from SWMFs.

Pond cleanout operations require:

- i. an essential understanding of regulatory compliance with the various agency review/approval processes as it relates to sediment removal from SWM facilities and working in/around environmentally sensitive areas;
- ii. sediment sampling in advance of tender so as to understand the types of materials and the appropriate means for disposal and potential costs;
- iii. identifying all remedial work required in each pond to ensure its healthy function over the long term;
- iv. developing a suitable restoration plan to ensure that any vegetation impacted by the cleanout operations will be restored to the satisfaction of the Township, TRCA, and general public; and,
- v. ensuring effective communication with City staff, regulatory agencies, residents, and other stakeholders.

Pond cleanouts will also involve dealing with important aspects such as, access restrictions, tree protection, bank stabilization, construction adjacent to private property, and minimizing noise, air, traffic and other environmental disruptions and inconvenience to residents. Township staff will need to be kept aware of the project and it is imperative that the work be monitored continuously to ensure no complaints arise due to public inconveniences. The proposed SWM facility maintenance plans and construction documents must be practical and efficient, and identify and account for constraints that add risk to the project that may impact sediment removal methodology and pricing.

A detailed condition survey for all ponds will need to be captured and all available data, drawings, photographs, etc. will have to be analyzed to confirm the extent of the anticipated



SWM Maintenance Program May, 2016

sediment cleanout operations. Sediment surveys, sediment volumetric analysis, design, erosion and sediment control planning, and preparing complete contract tender documents that are all encompassing to facilitate contract administration and inspection services, all are paramount to a successful project.

Although consultants are able to investigate methodology for sediment removal (and there are various methods such as excavate/mix with dry material and haul, excavate, store and mix with "drying agents", vacuum or suction and removal), we believe that the "open market" will often be the best at deciding on the best methodology which will be based on any one contractor's past experience, ability and equipment, environmental considerations for each pond, minimizing neighbourhood inconvenience, approvability, and price.

Based on previous work completed by Stantec on similar projects, we believe that having a consultant work with the Township as they prepare a Bidder Prequalification Tender (public process) from which the available methods/technologies are brought to the Township by prospective bidders. The Township could pre-select bidders for each pond. In this manner, the best methodology for each specific pond can be identified, as each pond will have different opportunities and constraints.

Based on the success of this approach with other municipalities, we highly recommend this process to be followed on this project. This work will require detailed sequencing by the contractor with advance notifications to affected residents or Township Park/Works Department Staff.

7.5.2.1 Deliverables

Drawings should be prepared to illustrate the recommended plan and provided to the Township with details of the proposed maintenance/cleanout strategies for review, discussion and a decision on the preferred scheme. This will be discussed at meetings with the Township staff, as appropriate. Engineering drawings and site restoration drawings should be prepared to illustrate the following information:

- 1:1000 General Location plan;
- 1:500 Base plans with;
 - Depiction of additional remedial works, as determined in the field, with appropriate details;
 - Construction access route(s) and identification of tree protection, removal and restoration plans as necessary; and,
 - Erosion and sediment control measures with details to describe temporary flow diversion methods and related monitoring requirements.
- Typical Sections and Details depicting sediment depths and areas, existing and proposed cross-section profile(s), as appropriate;
- General Notes related to construction sequences, practices, and general site considerations.



In conjunction with the confirmation of the proposed sediment removal strategy, erosion and sediment control plans, and restoration designs with the Township, coordination with approval agencies to obtain the required permits to support the proposed maintenance activities and remedial works is also required. A supporting report should be provided for the purpose of agency approvals. The report may include additional background memorandums from various disciplines (e.g. terrestrial, soil quality, survey, etc.), as appropriate. Tender documents should also be provided to the Township as part of the Consultant's deliverable.

There are five key considerations to ensure a successful project, as further outlined below:

- (i) Understanding of Regulatory Compliance: Sediment removal plans should reflect an understanding of regulations that are relevant to the proposed works. If a SWMF is within an area regulated by the LSRCA, then an approval under Ontario Regulation 179/06 may be required. If the sediment cleanout operations occur during bird nesting periods (April 1st through July 31st), then nest surveys would be recommended prior to starting cleanout operations. If fish are confirmed to exist within a SWM pond, then a Fish Rescue plan and associated permit request may be required from the MNFR. Refer to Table 21.
- (ii) Sediment Quality Testing: In situ sediment sampling in advance of the tender so as to understand the types of materials and the appropriate means for disposal and associated costs is strongly encouraged. Completing these tests upfront will minimize the financial uncertainty and ensure that the Township, the Consultant, and prospective bidders are well aware of the appropriate sediment disposal means and the respective costs. The quality and quantity of the accumulated sediments will likely be the majority of the costs associated with these SWM ponds cleanout works. As such, it is very important to quantitatively understand both aspects so that bids may be received from 'well informed' contractors.
- (iii) Remediation Planning: Identifying all remedial work required in each pond to ensure its healthy function over the long term is a key consideration. Since the Township will be undertaking the sediment removal efforts and mobilizing contractors to each SWM facility location, this provides a timely opportunity to undertake any infrastructure repairs/improvements and/or to address any other observed deficiencies at the same time.
- (iv) Restoration Plan: Developing a suitable restoration plan to ensure that any vegetation impacted by the cleanout operations will be restored to the satisfaction of the Township and LSRCA. In addition, restoration may also include improvement/replacement to existing deteriorated maintenance access roads, sediment drying areas, or other SWM pond appurtenances.
- (v) **Communication**: The key to any successful project is to ensure effective communication with Township staff, regulatory agencies, residents, contractors, and stakeholders. We



SWM Maintenance Program May, 2016

recommend initiating dialogue with all parties early in the process to ensure all requirements, methods, and schedules, are well documented shortly after the project onset.

7.6 MAINTENANCE

The following items are generic approaches to SWMF maintenance, and should be tailored specifically to each pond as site conditions dictate.

7.6.1.1 Grass Cutting

In order to maintain a "natural" environment and increased water quality benefits grass cutting will not be required for this pond. Upland Seed Mix will be planted around the perimeter of the pond eliminating the need for grass cutting. Should the Owner wish to cut any grass that may appear around the facility, the following practices should be considered:

- minimize frequency of cutting;
- do not cut grass up to edge of pond which maintains shading and nutrient uptake; and,
- do not blow grass clippings into pond (to minimize organic loading in pond).

7.6.1.2 Weed Control

Weed control is not an anticipated or recommended practice for this facility. Should the Owner wish to control weeds around the pond, the following items should be considered:

- Prohibit the use of herbicides and insecticides due to potential water quality concerns; and,
- Limit the use of fertilizer with weed control (because of the potential nutrient loading on downstream systems).

7.6.1.3 Plantings

Any replacement plantings required due to disturbance or die-out (upland, shoreline fringe, aquatic), are to be in accordance with the approved Landscape Planner as otherwise deemed appropriate by the Township or the Ministry of Environment. Native species should be utilized where possible for all plantings.

7.6.1.4 Litter/Debris Removal

Accumulated litter and debris within the facility can be removed by hand during regular inspection visits.

7.6.1.5 Extended Detention Outlet



The extended detention outlet device should be inspected on a regular basis (at the same time as the pond inspections).

7.6.2 Oil-Grit Separators

Oil-Grit Separators (OGS) shall be inspected and maintained in accordance with the specific manufacturer's recommendations. Typically, inspections should be completed once per year at a minimum.

7.7 COSTS

As noted above, information of pond cleanout cost tracking, an average cleanout and maintenance cost obligation of approximately \$150 000 - \$200 000 per pond. That cost includes mobilization/demobilization, pumping, erosion & sediment controls, planting/restoration and sediment removal/disposal. That estimate would vary with actual conditions (pond location, volume of sediment to remove, etc.) Typical values are \$70/m³ - \$90/m³, including onsite works to support the removal. If the soil is contaminated, the costs can be substantially greater, due to hauling to MOECC approved disposal sites.

It was recommended that detailed pond surveys be completed to determine cleanout volumes. Additionally, Stantec recommended that the Township include a \$25 000 budget allocation for each SWMF to include sediment surveys (pre- and post- cleanout), sediment quality testing, LSRCA permit coordination (as required), tender document preparation, bid review, contract administration, and final engineering certification.

Based on the recommended maintenance program proposed above, the Township would need to consider reserving \$350 000 – \$450 000 per year for pond maintenance needs, placing the Township on a scheduled maintenance track to keep up with its current pond inventory.

The maintenance costs represent the costs to ensure the proper operation, longevity and aesthetic functioning of the pond.

Table 22 is a form of table utilized to assess pond maintenance costs, which should be updated for each specific pond based on site specific conditions.

Table 22 Typical Estimated Annual Maintenance Cost Template

Type of Maintenance	Maintenance Interval (years)	Size	Unit	Price 1 (\$/unit)	Total Cost (per year)
Inspection (Inlet/Outlet, etc.)	0.25		each	\$ 100	



SWM Maintenance Program May, 2016

Type of Maintenance	Maintenance Interval (years)	Size	Unit	Price 1 (\$/unit)	Total Cost (per year)
Bi-annual Operation of Maintenance By-pass	0.5		each	\$ 100	
Grass Cutting	0.5		ha	\$ 250	
Weed Control	1		ha	\$ 2,500	
Litter Removal	1		ha	\$ 2,000	
Pervious Pipe Cleanout (Flushing) of Outlet Pipe			m	\$ 1	
Vegetation Maintenance (Aquatic/Shoreline Fringe)			ha	\$ 3,500	
Vegetation Maintenance (Upland Flood Fringe)			ha	\$ 1,000	
Total (in 2003 Prices) ⁽¹⁾					
Total (Adjusted to 2013 Prices) ⁽²⁾					

Table 22 Typical Estimated Annual Maintenance Cost Template

1. Prices taken from Table 7.5 MOECC, SWM Planning and Design Manual, March, 2003

2. Cost adjusted for inflation to 2013 dollars (Bank of Canada).

A template for estimated pond cleanout costs are shown in Table 23 below.

Table 23 Typical Estimated Cleanout Cost Template

Type of Maintenance	Size	Unit	Total Cost (per cleanout)
Dewatering (Using September Cleanout Window) and Pumping Storm Flows Around Pond		lump	
Installation and Removal Of Sediment Fence		lump	
Sediment Removal and Disposal (Offsite Landfill Assuming Acceptable Sediment Conditions)		m ³	
Sediment Characteristic Testing (Refer to Section 4.5.4)		each	
Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure (Refer to Section 4.5.4)		each	
Pipe Cleanout (Flushing) of the 3 -		m	



Table 23 Typical Estimated Cleanout Cost Template

900mm Diameter Connecting Pipes		
Landscape Restoration (Aquatic/Shoreline Fringe)	ha	
Landscape Restoration (Upland Flood Fringe)	ha	

7.7.1 Sediment Removal

In order to maintain the removal efficiency of the extended detention portion of the facility, the accumulated sediment will need to be removed periodically.

7.7.1.1 Frequency

The accumulated sediment should be cleaned out of the facility when the sediment removal efficiency is reduced by 5% or when 50% of forebay volume is filled with sediment; the result is reported in cleanout every x years, typically every 10-20 years. The recommended month for cleanout is September wherever possible, to avoid the breeding season of birds. Further information with regards to cleanout timing and the breeding season of birds can be found here: http://www.ec.gc.ca/paom-itmb/default.asp?lang=En&n=8D910CAC-1.

7.7.1.2 Sediment Disposal

To adequately characterize the sediment for proper disposal, the appropriate number of soil samples needs to be determined. This determination is based on the sampling frequency prescribed in the amended Ontario Regulation 153/04 of the Environmental Protection Act (EPA) that states in *SOIL EXCAVATED AT OR BROUGHT TO THE PHASE II PROPERTY*, Section 34 (2) " at least one soil sample shall be analyzed for each 160 cubic metres of soil for the first 5,000 cubic metres to be assessed at each source from which soil is being brought to the phase two property, following which at least one sample for each additional 300 cubic metres of soil which is to remain on, in or under the phase two property shall be analyzed."

Sediment sampling procedures should generally conform to the requirements of the amended O.Reg.153/04 and the Ontario Ministry of Environment (MOECC) *Guidance on Sampling and Analytical Methods for Use at Contaminated Sites in Ontario* (December 1996).

Sediment sampling locations should include, as a minimum, the inlet and outflow areas of the SWM pond. The sediment should be analyzed for a variety of contaminants-of-concern including the parameters listed below:

• Sediment Characteristic samples, a minimum of (xx), as stated earlier, should be analyzed for volatile organic compounds (VOCs), petroleum hydrocarbons in the F1-F4 fractions, selected



SWM Maintenance Program May, 2016

metals, electrical conductivity, sodium adsorption ratio, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons; and,

• One (1) representative sediment sample, should also be analyzed using the toxicity characteristic leachate procedure (TCLP) for inorganics, VOCs, semi-VOCs, and ignitibility to ensure that any contaminated sediment would be classified as a non-hazardous waste suitable for offsite disposal at an MOECC approved facility, if required.

Tenders should be requested from several reputable waste management companies that operate landfill sites or treatment facilities approved by the MOECC to accept non-hazardous waste in Ontario. If the contract administrator, primary contractor, or excavation subcontractor is responsible for the selection of a waste disposal company, the Township should approve that company prior to finalization of the contract documents. The Town's involvement will ensure that contaminated soils are handled and disposed of in accordance with the requirements of O.Reg.558/00.

The contractor should provide the name, address, and acceptance criteria for a soil disposal site that will receive any non-contaminated surplus soils. The City should require written acceptance from the receiver site stating they will accept the soils based on the chemical analysis provided. Again, the receiver site should be specified in the contract documents. An industrial/commercial fill receiver site is preferred over a residential fill receiver site.

If the sediment does not meet the most stringent O.Reg.153/04 Table 1 Site Condition Standards (SCS), then the sediment may stay on-site if it meets the applicable SCS for the property or it should be disposed of at an MOECC approved facility.



DEVELOPMENT OF LONG LIST OF ALTERNATIVES May, 2016

8.0 DEVELOPMENT OF LONG LIST OF ALTERNATIVES

8.1 GENERAL

Several alternative solutions were generated for SWM measures for the existing and future land uses within the Township that consist mainly of urban areas. The approach for developing and evaluating alternatives is consistent with the requirements of the planning and design process for Master Planning projects described in the Municipal Class EA (Municipal Engineers Association, June, 2000; amended 2007, 2011). It involves reviewing Phase 1 work (i.e. Identification of the Problem) and undertaking Phase 2 (i.e. Establishing Existing Conditions, Identification of Long List of Alternatives, Development and Assessment of Alternative Management Strategies and Selection of a Preferred Strategy). In addition, consultation with stakeholders is a necessary step in this process.

8.2 OVERVIEW OF ALTERNATIVES

The MOECC divides SWM measures into three broad categories:

- 1. Source/lot level controls;
- 2. Conveyance controls; and
- 3. End of pipe controls.

The preferred SWM strategy is to provide an integrated treatment train approach to water management based on providing control at the lot level and in conveyance (to the extent feasible) followed by end-of-pipe controls. This combination of controls is typically the only means of meeting the multiple criteria for water balance, water quality, erosion control, and water quantity.

The reasoning behind that approach is to maximize the benefits from the combination of those elements, including:

- more effective SWM;
- reduction in land area required to implement end-of-pipe solutions;
- enhanced opportunities to integrate SWMPs effectively as amenities;
- decreased total cost when land value is factored in; and,
- increased level of public awareness and involvement in the implementation and management of SWM initiatives.

8.2.1 Source Controls

In 2010, Credit Valley Conservation (CVC) and Toronto Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) prepared the Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guide



as "a tool to help developers, consultants, municipalities and landowners understand and implement sustainable stormwater planning and practices in the CVC and TRCA watersheds. The use of sustainable stormwater planning and practices will help ensure the continued health of the streams, rivers, lakes, fisheries and terrestrial habitats in our watersheds."

"The guide is intended to provide engineers, ecologists and planners with up-to-date information and direction on landscape-based SWM planning and low impact development SWM practices such as rainwater harvesting, green roofs, bioretention, permeable pavement, soakaways and swales. The information contained in the guide will help practitioners adopt landscape-based SWM approaches, and will help select, design, construct and monitor more sustainable SWM practices."

Although developed for use in the CVC and TRCA watersheds, the underlying principles have been adopted for use by engineers for applying LID in other jurisdictions. As noted in the LSRCA *Technical Guidelines for Stormwater Management Submissions* prepared April 26,2013, SWM submissions to LSRCA should show that effort has been made to follow the LID approach by incorporating lot level and conveyance controls as recommended in the MOECC's "Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual" (2003) or most current version.

The LID Manual notes that "Effective stormwater management strategies employ a treatment train approach that combines a suite of lot level, conveyance and end-of-pipe controls to treat runoff efficiently and effectively. At the present time, reliance on larger end-of-pipe detention pond facilities as the primary component of a stormwater management strategy is the norm. This compromises opportunities to implement low impact development practices that enhance the performance of stormwater management systems and provide ecological sustainability benefits."

For new development areas, potential opportunities to integrate SWMPs at the site level stage in the planning process include:

- harvesting of rainwater from rooftops for non-potable uses (e.g., irrigation, toilet flushing) using rain barrels or cisterns;
- installation of green roofs;
- drainage of runoff from rooftops to pervious or depression storage areas;
- integration of soakaways (e.g., infiltration trenches or chambers) below landscaped areas, parking areas, parks, sports fields, etc.;
- incorporation of bioretention areas, rain gardens, biofilters or constructed wetlands into the landscape design for the site;
- use of permeable pavement in low and medium traffic areas;
- incorporation of bioretention areas, vegetated filter strips, and swales to intercept and treat parking lot and road runoff;
- incorporation of woodland restoration in upstream areas to reduce runoff rates;


DEVELOPMENT OF LONG LIST OF ALTERNATIVES May, 2016

• integration of detention ponds and wetlands as large aesthetic and recreational features within the landscape.

For infill and redevelopment sites, application of LID SWM measures needs to consider context and the limits of both landscape and built form. SWM opportunities that should be explored for infill and retrofit developments include:

- rooftop storage;
- green roofs;
- rainwater harvesting;
- bioretention areas;
- biofilters;
- grassed swales;
- permeable pavement;
- rain gardens;
- stormwater planters and fountains;
- depression storage;
- soakaways;
- constructed wetlands; and,
- enhanced urban tree canopy.

Source controls are applied at the individual lot level, typically serving small drainage areas (approximately 2 hectares). Typically, they take the form of either storage or infiltration controls.

Storage controls are for the temporary detention of stormwater to attenuate peak flows to a desired level. They could include such mechanisms as:

- Roof storage control flow roof drains and temporary detention storage on flat roofs;
- Parking lot storage detention storage on top of parking lots, using an underground orifice control;
- Rear lot storage using catchbasin restrictors to create temporary ponding in rear yards; and,
- Underground storage consisting of either upsized pipes (used for detention instead of merely conveyance of flows) or specialized tank structures (like modified box culverts, or plastic storage units) in combination with an orifice control.

Infiltration controls are typically designed to provide for water balance opportunity; that is, offsetting the increase in impervious cover associated with urban development by providing a mechanism to infiltrate water back into the soil. Typical mechanisms include:

- reduced grading to allow greater ponding of stormwater and natural infiltration;
- directing roof leaders to rear yard ponding areas, soakaway pits, or to cisterns or rain barrels;
- sump pumping foundation drains to rear yard ponding areas;



- infiltration trenches;
- grassed swales;
- vegetated filter strips; and,
- stream and valley corridor buffer strips.

The primary function of infiltration controls is to mitigate the impacts that urbanization normally has on the water balance (i.e., increased surface runoff, reduced soil moisture replenishment and groundwater recharge). Concentrated infiltration of stormwater collected from larger areas (e.g., infiltration basins, an end-of-pipe infiltration type control) will not match the characteristics of distributed infiltration which occurred under predevelopment conditions. The natural hydrologic cycle can be maintained to the greatest extent possible by lot level infiltration controls.

Infiltration technologies can achieve water quality enhancement; however, stormwater containing high concentrations of suspended solids will tend to clog these controls. Further, infiltration of contaminated water can impair groundwater quality. Therefore, these measures are ideally suited to the infiltration of relatively clear stormwater, such as stormwater from rooftops which contains only atmospheric contaminants (i.e., contaminants deposited on the rooftop by precipitation or dryfall) or foundation drainage.



Residential Rain Garden



DEVELOPMENT OF LONG LIST OF ALTERNATIVES May, 2016

The successful design and application of lot level controls begins with the design of the subdivision and requires private owners to maintain such systems.

8.2.2 Conveyance Controls

Conveyance controls are mechanisms like pervious pipes, grassed swales, or vegetated filter strips designed to provide for water balance (infiltration) or water quality benefits to tradition conveyance measures. Above ground features like swales an strips are relatively easy to inspect and maintain, while pervious pipes require a greater investment of time and money, and may become clogged, resulting in reduced function.



Grassed Drainage Swale w/ Rock Check Dams

Lot level and conveyance controls are often lumped together as lot level/conveyance controls.

Due to the presence of lot level controls on private lands, landowner education is key to ensuring that systems remain effective over time. The successful application of lot level landscape solutions therefore requires the commitment of the municipality and the establishment of creative partnerships between the developer, municipality and landowner to realize consistent benefits over the long term.



8.2.3 End of Pipe Measures

Historically, end-of-pipe measures have been the predominant means of providing for water quality, water quantity, and erosion control. They typically take the form of SWM facilities which receive stormwater runoff from large areas via conveyance measures like sewers or ditches and discharge treated water to watercourses. Typical end of pipe measures include:

- wet ponds;
- wetlands;
- dry ponds; and,
- infiltration basins.



Quaker SWM Pond (Pond 9)

With the exception of infiltration basins, they may consist of any of the following components, alone or in combination:

• Permanent pool – a volume of stormwater that does not drain, designed to provide for settling and dilution of settlement (Quality Control);



DEVELOPMENT OF LONG LIST OF ALTERNATIVES May, 2016

- Extended detention temporary (24-72 hour) storage of relatively small, frequent stormwater runoff volumes to reduce erosion in the receiving system (Erosion Control); and,
- Active storage stormwater detention for larger, less frequent events to attenuate peak flows (Quantity Control).

Infiltration basins are designed without a traditions outlet, instead capturing, storing, and infiltrating stormwater into the ground, which replenishes the groundwater table, increases baseflow, decreases erosion, and eliminate peak flows (up to design storage volumes events).

8.2.4 Restoration Measures

These would consist of direct restoration/enhancement of existing habitats in the Township; as opposed to improvements to SWMFs. Examples include stream restoration, aquatic/terrestrial habitat enhancement.



Development and Assessment of Alternative Solutions May, 2016

9.0 DEVELOPMENT AND ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS

9.1 IDENTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS

Alternative solutions can broadly be divided into upgrades to existing facilities and/or addition of new facilities. Traditional SWM measures have typically consisted of variations on end-of-pipe solutions (Section 8.2.3), but for the past several years, source and conveyance measures (often referred to as Best Management Practices, or BMPs; or Low Impact Development (LID)) have become increasingly supported.

LID as described by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA, 2007) is:

a SWM strategy that seeks to mitigate the impacts of increased runoff and stormwater pollution by managing runoff as close to its source as possible. LID comprises a set of site design strategies that minimize runoff and distributed, small scale structural practices that mimic natural or predevelopment hydrology through the processes of infiltration, evapotranspiration, harvesting, filtration and detention of stormwater. These practices can effectively remove nutrients, pathogens and metals from runoff, and they reduce the volume and intensity of stormwater flows.

9.2 GENERAL

Alternative SWM strategies were developed which, alone or in combination, can provide for an effective method of meeting the environmental requirements of the Township.

The SWM Strategy represents the set of BMPS which, when implemented alone or in combination, mitigate to the degree possible the impact of future land use changes (Future Settlement Areas) on watershed health.

The Strategy was developed by the synthesis of the inter-disciplinary inputs to the project, including computer modeling, terrestrial and aquatic habitat assessments, water balance and hydrogeology, social, cultural, and economic considerations. The approach in developing and evaluating the alternative was generally consistent with the Class EA planning/design process for Master Planning project.

9.3 DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVE SWM STRATEGIES

Various methods or strategies were identified (as listed in **Section 8.0**), consisting of lot level, conveyance, and end of pipe solutions.



The SWM strategies are divided broadly into the following alternatives:

- 1. Do Nothing;
- 2. Traditional SWM Strategy;
- 3. Traditional SWM with BMP Implementation Strategy; and,
- 4. Traditional SWM with Urban Retrofits Strategy.

9.3.1 Do Nothing

With the "Do Nothing" approach, existing SWMFs are left "as is" and Future Settlement Areas are developed without SWM measures. That strategy would result in water balance deficit, reduced baseflows, increased erosion; increased peak flows, and increased P loading.

For example, the peak flow for the 5-year and 100-year events for the existing conditions and future "Do Nothing" approach are summarized below in **Table 24** at the catchment and node level. Refer to **Figure 11** for catchment and node locations. Detailed model output for the 2 to 100-year storm events are provided in **Appendix F**.

	Peak Flow (m ³ /s)				
VO2 ID/ Catchment	5-year		100-year		
	Existing Conditions	"Do Nothing"	Existing Conditions	"Do Nothing"	
5064 / Catchment 1045	2.70	4.49	6.65	11.48	
5061 / Catchment 1059	7.11	11.15	15.96	23.98	
5005	11.11	11.88	33.09	33.77	

Table 24 Existing/Proposed "Do Nothing" Peak Flow Comparison

As shown above, there is a significant increase in flows in the immediate vicinity of the future development; however, there is less of an impact further downstream.

9.3.2 Traditional SWM Strategy

SWM ponds should be implemented in proposed development or redevelopment areas. The ponds would follow MOECC guidelines for quantity, quality and erosion control. Conventional storm sewer systems would service proposed developments and where appropriate source control measures would be implemented. This strategy is more effective than the do nothing approach; it reduces high flow rates and erosion potential and phosphorus debris, therefore reducing damage to the environment and property. It does not address water balance deficits, increasing baseflows, nor reducing runoff volumes.



Development and Assessment of Alternative Solutions May, 2016

ROUTE RESERVOIR commands were inserted into the VO2 model, future conditions with SWM controls, and were sized to control post development flows to pre development levels. **Table 25** below summarizes the peak flows for the 5-year and 100-year storm events for the existing conditions and future condition with SWM ponds at a catchment and nodal level. Detailed model output for the 2 to 100-year storm events are provided in **Appendix F**.

VO2 ID / Catchment	Peak Flow (m ³ /s)				
	5-year		100-year		
	Existing Conditions	Future Conditions With SWM Ponds	Existing Conditions	Future Conditions With SWM Ponds	
5064 / Catchment 1045	2.70	2.70	6.65	6.67	
5061 / Catchment 1059	7.11	7.10	15.96	16.19	
5005	11.11	11.09	33.09	33.60	

Table 25 Existing/Proposed "Traditional SWM" Peak Flow Comparison

As shown above, post to pre control has been generally achieved at the catchment level. As increase at the downstream node during a 100-year storm is only 1.5 %, post to pre controls do not need to be achieved at the node level.

SWMFs are land intensive and typically require separation from the groundwater table.

As noted in **Section 5.0**, if SWMFs are designed and constructed only to existing IDF data they would function less effectively at reducing peak flows to targets for all return periods.

9.3.3 Traditional SWM with BMP Implementation Strategy

This approach consists of SWM ponds in conjunction with BMPs/LIDs for Future Settlement Areas. This combination can reduce water balance deficit, decrease volumetric runoff, increase baseflow, reduce erosion, reduce peak flows, and reduce P loading.

9.3.4 Traditional SWM with Urban Retrofits Strategy

This approach consists of SWM ponds in conjunction with BMPs/LIDs for Future Settlement Areas; as well as retrofitting of existing SWMFs, or application of BMPs in areas with SWMFs. This combination can reduce water balance deficit, decrease volumetric runoff, increase baseflow, reduce erosion, reduce peak flows, and reduce TP loading.



9.4 DEVELOPMENT OF EVALUATION CATEGORIES AND CRITERIA

Evaluation categories and criteria were developed for the project, based on the requirements outlined in **Table 26** and are summarized in the table below:

Category	Criteria
Technical	 Peak flow reduction. Reduce erosion/increase baseflow. Improve water quality. Reduce TP loading. Water balance. Reduce Thermal Impacts (see section 12.1.3)
Natural Heritage Features	 Provisions of direct/indirect fish habitat. Improve terrestrial habitat. Impacts to natural hazard features.
Social Environment	 Ability to improve public health/safety. Impacts to private properties. Impacts to public property.
Cultural Environment	Impacts to built/cultural heritage landscape.Impacts to archaeological resources.
Economic Environment	 Capital costs. Operations and Maintenance Costs. Risk Management.

Table 26Evaluation Categories and Criteria

A summary of recommendations based on multi-disciplinary input is provided below:

The southern half of the Uxbridge urban study area is dominated by the high Groundwater Vulnerability Environmentally Significant Area (ESA), while the Coppin's Corners study area is almost entirely inside the Uxbridge Infiltration Area ESA. Any proposed SWM facilities within these ESAs will require consultation with the LSRCA, under their regulation 179/06, if it affects watercourses or wetlands, and under the LSRCA MOU with the Region of Durham for Planning Act approvals, to determine potential impacts, developmental constraints, and required mitigation measures.

Development and infrastructure within a PSW are not permitted. Development within 120 m of a PSW (known as a Zone of Influence per Township of Uxbridge Official Plan 2007) requires consultation with the LSRCA's MOU as well as an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) following municipal or conservation authority guidelines to determine potential adverse impacts and mitigation measures.



Development and Assessment of Alternative Solutions May, 2016

LSRCA has required enhanced water quality treatment in the entire Lake Simcoe watershed areas since 1995.

Within the Township of Uxbridge itself and some sub-urban areas to the north and south the archaeological potential is considered low due to extensive and deep modern disturbances. These activities have subjected the study area to extensive and deep land alterations which would have severely damaged the integrity of any archaeological resources, thus removing archaeological potential as per Section 7.7.3 Standard 2 of the *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists*.

The Stage 1 archaeological assessment determined that portions of the study areas exhibit high potential for the identification and recovery of archaeological resources. As such, a Stage 2 archaeological assessment will be required for the location of any SWM facilities that are located outside of areas identified as previously disturbed.

Of the three main proposed developments (A, B, and C), the southeast proposed development in the Township of Uxbridge is the only one located within the Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA) and fully within the 25 year time of travel zone. As required by Lake Simcoe Protection Plan, the annual pre-development infiltration rates must be maintained as much as possible under postdevelopment conditions.

The proposed developments are expected to result in an increase in runoff of approximately 290% in sub Areas A and B and 280% in sub area C and a decrease in infiltration of approximately 64% in all sub areas. The infiltration deficit, which is the difference between the pre- and post- development infiltration volumes ranges from approximately 18,317 m³/yr in sub area B to 33,434 m³/yr in sub area A. This estimated deficit will provide the target for infiltration across the developments through the use of Low Impact Development (LID) techniques which can include surface methods such as swales, bioswales, and running roof leaders to ground, and subsurface methods such as infiltration trenches and perforated pipes.

As noted in the Section 5.2, under the climate changes scenarios run indicate that the existing 25-year flows will essentially become the future 5-year flow. In order to maintain the function of the existing municipal infrastructure without increasing surcharging, it is recommended that the Township require the installation of inlet control devices on Township catchbasins. Inlet control devices (ICDs) are designed to restrict flow into storm sewer systems, forcing larger stormwater runoff rates to utilize overland flow routes (the road) to convey flows, and reduce the impact and frequency of storm sewer surcharging. Their installation could be used to offset the increase in the magnitude of storm events due to climate change.

In order for SWMF's to provide quantity control to existing levels under climate change, they would typically need to be increased in volume by 100 %.



Preferred Alternative Strategy May, 2016

10.0 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE STRATEGY

The preferred alternative strategy is outlined below: to provide site specific recommendations on a settlement area basis where growth is expected to occur as part of the Township OP. The following sections detail the preferred alternative within each settlement area and the opportunities and constraints associated in the implementation of the strategy and information inclusive to future developments within each settlement area.

The following list summarizes the key elements for SWM criteria for future development and redevelopment within the Study Area:

- Future SWMFs will be designed to MOECC standards:
- LID Measures will be designed, to the extent practicable, as per the latest version of CVC/TRCA LID Manual;
- Stormwater Quality Control: Enhanced (80% TSS removal);
- Stormwater Quantity Control: Post-development peak flows to be reduced to predevelopment levels for all storm events up to and including the 100-year storm;
- Provide a minimum 24 hour detention for runoff from a 40 mm storm event;
- Future developments will follow the standards as outlined in the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan (LSPP);
- BMPs (including LID measures) will be implemented where applicable to establish a treatment train approach (i.e. source, conveyance and end-of-pipe treatment);
- Provide for inlet control devices on catchbasins in municipal ROWs to minimize surcharging of storm sewer systems;
- Investigate updating IDF data to account for Climate Change;
- Where opportunities exist, implement enhanced vegetation for shading, bottom draw outlets, cooling trenches at SWMF locations to reduce stormwater temperature;
- Where opportunities exist, reduce phosphorus discharge, improve infiltration and reduce erosion potential within settlement areas and expansion of settlement area boundaries;
- To reduce the environmental impact from increased phosphorus loadings it is recommended to implement source/lot level controls, conveyance controls and end of pipe controls (i.e. roof storage, swales, filter strips, wet ponds, etc.).



- Future development should target retention of 13 mm events to offset the water budget deficit. Detailed calculations at the time of development would be required to develop specific targets. The retention of 13 mm events is an average amount to attain and in many locations, additional infiltration volumes can be achievable.
- Proceed with retrofit opportunities and maintenance operations of select SWM facilities as identified by the LSRCA and Section 7.0 of the SWM Master Plan.
- Carry out the culvert twinning outlined in the Township of Uxbridge and Region of Durham – Downtown Uxbridge Flood Reduction – Schedule 'C' Municipal Class Environmental Assessment – Environmental Study Report, prepared by Sernas Associates, November 15, 2012 (Sernas Study).

The recommendations provided are indicative of the Master Plan process and that future developments will require detailed analysis of existing site conditions and approval by the LSRCA and other approval agencies before implementing any recommendations described. The Township is not yet in a position to analyze their 10 year forecast to be able to determine which projects may be retrofit priorities; these projects will come forward as the budget is approved on an annual basis. Therefore, site specific recommendations are at the Area A/B/C level.

10.1 SITE SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS

Using the existing conditions, the Township's OP, and the results of this Study, recommendations based on each settlement area have been developed. Requirements as per the Township's OP are listed in **Table 27** below:

Stormwater Management Requirements			
Criteria	Requirement		
Water Quality	Meet Enhanced (80% TSS Removal) quality control criteria with state of the art phosphorus removal systems		
Erosion Control	Provide 24 hour detention for runoff from a 40 mm storm event		
Water Quantity	Provide full peak control for site runoff (post to pre) for up to and including the 1:100 year storm event		
Water Balance	Maintained or enhanced relative to existing pre-devleopment conditions. The rate of infiltration shall be maintained on an area basis within each pre-development surface water catchment area, provided that it shall not drop below 80% of the pre-development situation in any of hte pre-development catchment areas.		
Thermal Impact Reduction	As per Section 12.1.3		

T.I.I. 07	C	Δ	C) A / B A	D		
1 able 27 -	Settlement	Area	SWIVI	кеq	uirem	ents

LSRCA is currently encouraging the use of dry ponds to be utilized in conjunction with LIDs. Dry ponds should be utilized for quantity control to reduce peak flows to be less than or equal to



Preferred Alternative Strategy May, 2016

pre-development flows, while LID's would be used for erosion control and to have a net increase of 0 for total phosphorus loads. Although dry ponds are encouraged, the SWM targets may only be met by using wet ponds, or wet ponds in conjunction with LIDs. It should be noted that the phosphorus loadings to Lake Simcoe that were addressed in Section 4.4.4 were based upon wet ponds in conjunction with LID measurements.

Hydrogeological Assessment Submissions - Conservation Authority Guidelines to Support Development Applications, should be followed at the site-specific scale for future development applications in the Study Area.

Climate change could compromise the efficacy of existing and proposed conveyance structures if current IDF curves are not updated to reflect projected changes in precipitation intensities. It is therefore recommended that the Township investigate the update of their IDF curves for future conditions.

It is recommended that Uxbridge install and monitor a rain gage for future use. A suggested location would be at the Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP).

Specific recommendations for each future development area are presented below:

10.1.1 Area A – Uxbridge Northwest Settlement Area

The preferred SWM strategy for this area is the Traditional SWM with BMP Implementation Strategy – SWM pond(s) for peak flow control and erosion control, in conjunction with LID BMPs to reduce phosphorus, promote infiltration, and to potentially offset the need for a permanent pool. Where applicable, it is recommended to provide BMPs in areas where soils and groundwater levels permit on a future development basis.

As this Future Settlement Area is designated for Residential development, increasing imperviousness requires peak flow control and erosion control. The use of LID BMPs at the lot level could reduce costs over a traditional SWM wet pond, which requires draining, soil testing, hauling, etc. In addition, wet ponds can produce odours, which LID measures can reduce.

When SWM Ponds and LID measures are utilized in conjunction with one another (i.e. a treatment train approach), TP loading can be reduced further over Traditional SWM (Ponds) alone. The LID's would also be capable of providing infiltration to offset the deficit of 33,724 m³/yr (see **Section 9.4**). The dry pond(s) has been conceptually determined to require a detention storage of 10,799 m³ for the 100 year event (see **Section 5.2, Table 19**).

Area A sits predominantly within the Dundonald Sandy Loam soils region. Based on the MOECC Manual, these soils generally have percolation rates greater than the recommended minimum of 15 mm/hours for infiltration measures; which supports LID measures. Controls on soil areas that have low infiltration rates may not be as effective as those with higher infiltration rates. According to the Interpretation Bulletin Ontario Ministry of Environment and Climate Change



Expectation Re: Stormwater Management, prepared by the MOECC (February 2015), "if the lot level and conveyance facilities can be sized such that they empty between events, or will be installed in areas where quantity control is not a primary concern (areas draining directly to a large surface water body like Lake Ontario, for example), LID facilities can be used where the infiltration rate is less than 15 mm/hr to achieve water balance and water quality (including thermal impacts) through retention, filtration, evaporation and transpiration. Thus, the soil infiltration capacity guidance in the manual should not be interpreted as a prohibition. Rather, it should be interpreted as a caution that controls relying primarily on infiltration may not be as effective on soils with low infiltration rates as they would be on soils with higher rates of infiltration"

10.1.2 Area B - Uxbridge Southeast Settlement Area

The preferred SWM strategy for this area is the Traditional SWM with BMP Implementation Strategy – SWM pond(s) for peak flow control and erosion control, in conjunction with LID BMPs to reduce phosphorus, promote infiltration, and to potentially offset the need for a permanent pool. Where applicable, it is recommended to provide BMPs in areas where soils and groundwater levels permit on a future development basis. The LID's would be capable of providing infiltration to offset the deficit of of 16,349 m³/yr (see **Section 9.4**). The dry pond(s) has been conceptually determined to require to have a detention storage of 23,855 m³ for the 100 year event (see **Section 5.2**, **Table 18**).

It is important to note that within this settlement boundary there are areas of high aquifer vulnerability and wellhead protection areas that should be avoided when attempting to infiltrate stormwater runoff. The DROP should be consulted when determining the regulations surrounding the implementation of SWM ponds and LIDs.

10.1.3 Area C - Coppin's Corners

As noted in Section 1.0, as per the approved SWM Report, Coppin's Corners is to drain internally to the existing Wyndance Infiltration SWM Pond, which is within the jurisdiction of the TRCA. By internally draining to the Wyndance infiltration SWM pond the requirements for quantity control are met (post development flows less than or equal to pre-development flows), water quality is provided as TSS and phosphorus is trapped within the infiltration pond and the water balance requirements are met as the water is allowed to infiltrate.

10.1.4 Uxbridge Urban Area

For areas that experience redevelopment in the future, the preferred strategy is the Traditional SWM with Urban Retrofits Strategy. Pond upgrades and LID measure should be evaluated for feasibility of implementation on a site specific basis. Any retrofits that are proposed should incorporate significant thermal reductions and minimize impairments to phosphorus reduction of the system, refer to **Section 12.1.3**. Education is also very important to reduce phosphorus



Preferred Alternative Strategy May, 2016

loadings to Lake Simcoe and can be used as a way to reduce loads from future development areas A, B and C. Refer to section 12.3 for more detail on how education plays a role in reducing phosphorus loads.



Public Consultation May, 2016

11.0 PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Public consultation will be carried out as per the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment, prepared by the Municipal Engineers Associations, October 2000, as amended in 2007 & 2011.

11.1 CONSULTATION ACTIVITIES

Initial meetings w/LSRCA and Township were held to discuss the project scope and purpose, as well as to refine the deliverables. In addition, both agencies were contacted to develop a list of stakeholders in the area.

11.1.1 Notice of Commencement

A notice of commencement was placed in the Uxbridge Times-Journal for two consecutive weeks, starting September 12, 2013.

11.1.2 Notice of Public Information Centre

A notice of PIC was placed in the Uxbridge Times-Journal for two consecutive weeks, starting April 10, 2013.

11.1.3 Public Information Centre

To satisfy the Municipal Class EA process, an Open House was arranged (May 7, 2014). The materials at the open house described the Class EA process being followed, the problem being addressed, identification and evaluation of the alternative solutions and design concepts, the recommended alternative solution, the potential environmental effects associated with the preferred solution, and next steps.

A draft of the open house materials was provided to the Township for review and comment prior to finalization and issuance. Refer to **Appendices I** and **J**.

11.2 FIRST NATIONS CONSULTATION

The provincial government is responsible for ensuring consultation with First Nations and Métis in Ontario is completed. The trigger for consultation is whenever the Crown contemplates a decision that may have an adverse impact to their rights. A SWM master plan triggers a provincial decision (*i.e. Municipal Class EA*) and so requires consultation with potentially impacted communities.



Stantec notified potentially affected First Nations communities, and has followed up with the communities and has received no response. Correspondence with the communities can be found in **Appendix K**.

If archaeological work (stage 2 or more) is carried out, Stantec would recommend notifying:

• Huron-Wendat Nation (Conseil de la Nation huronne-wendat) www.wendake.ca

No Métis need to be notified unless the Provincial Regulator specifically addresses this issue.

11.3 CONSULTATION WITH LSRCA

A meeting was held with LSRCA on December 6, 2012. LSCRA has issued comments throughout the project and the Stantec response letters are included in **Appendix L**.

11.4 CONSULTATION WITH THE MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT

The MOECC was informed of the progress with a letter provided in February 2014 (refer to **Appendix M**). A copy of the report was issued September 25, 2015. The MOE issued comments on the report and the original comments and response letters are included in **Appendix M**.



Implementation May, 2016

12.0 IMPLEMENTATION

12.1 POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

12.1.1 General

As part of Section 4.5 SA of the LSPP, recommended policies and SWM standards are required for future developments within the Township. Policies examined are discussed below and future SWM guidelines are discussed in **Section 10.0**.

12.1.2 Road Salts

Road salts enter the Canadian environment through their storage and use, and through the disposal of snow cleared from roadways. Environment Canada assessed inorganic chloride salts and concluded that road salts in high concentrations are harmful to the environment. Environment Canada recognizes that use of road salts is an important component of strategies to ensure roadway safety during winter months. As a result, risk management of road salts is done through a Code of Practice that recommends the preparation of a salt management plan and the implementation of best management practices developed by specialists in the field.

The Canadian Environmental Protection Act has defined road salts containing chloride as toxic under the Act (2001). This was based on research that found that the large amounts of road salts being used can negatively impact ground and surface water, vegetation, and wildlife. While elevated chloride levels are primarily found around urban centres, chloride levels have been found to be steadily increasing across the Lake Simcoe watershed, and throughout Ontario, including what could be considered pristine northern rivers as well as in Lake Simcoe itself (Pefferlaw SWS).

Various supplements to road salt have been explored in Ontario, including the use of Eco-Solutions' FUSION Treated Salt, which utilizes a beet juice component in a granular de-icing. They claim it is less corrosive than road salt, and can reduce the overall environmental damage from winter maintenance operations.

The RiverSides website notes that research has been dedicated to exploring alternative deicing products that have less ecological and economic impacts than traditional road salts. Non-chloride based alternatives include acetate and formate products.

RiverSides and Sierra Legal Defence Fund issued a report entitled A Low-Salt Diet for Ontario's Roads and Rivers, which discusses various BMP options for reducing road salt.



As a BMP policy it is the responsibility of the Township and Durham Region to use road salt, sand and dust suppressant sparingly; dust suppressant should be environmentally friendly (Uxbridge Subwatershed Plan, Table 7.5).

The Pefferlaw SWS notes several recommendations:

These are very general policies that in no way require the management of road salt and its impacts to water quality and aquatic biota. While urban areas have not been expanding to the same degree in the Pefferlaw River subwatershed as in others, there has been some growth, and the increasing chloride concentrations are not unexpected.

Recommendation #10 - That the LSRCA, municipalities and NGO's undertake a program to raise awareness and to educate property owners and property managers about salt management, and work with snow removal contractors to encourage their adoption of the salt applicator's license program, recognizing that public safety remains paramount.

Recommendation #11 - That the municipalities in conjunction with the LSRCA review the locations of their snow disposal sites and investigate innovative ways of reducing the impacts of excess chloride through the use of storage facilities such as wetland cells and/or stormwater treatment facilities.

Recommendation #12 - Recognizing that increasing concentrations of chloride in watercourses is an emerging issue shared by all municipalities in the Lake Simcoe watershed, that watershed municipalities, LSRCA, MOECC and MNR form a Salt Working Group as a mechanism to share information on best practices for salt application, methods of increasing public awareness of the environmental impacts of road salt, and the effectiveness of municipal Salt Management Plans.

12.1.3 Water Temperature

The MOECC Manual Section 3.3.4.2 notes:

Temperature is a major concern in regard to fish and their habitat, especially where discharge is to a cold water stream. Urbanization causes temperature increases in stormwater and ponds can compound this increase since open water will tend to acclimate with the ambient air temperature. Design for temperature mitigation is discussed in Section 4.4. Where temperature is a significant concern it is recommended that the designer consult with the local conservation authority, the federal Department of Fisheries and Oceans (Fisheries and Habitat Management) and the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, during the design process.

Climate change is expected to impact stream temperatures dramatically. Predicted changes include increases in air temperatures, increases in rainfall in winter and spring, and a lack of



Implementation May, 2016

water (rainfall) in the summer. The MOECC Vulnerability Report for Lake Simcoe watershed wetlands, streams, and rivers (Chu, 2010) suggests that several streams in the Lake Simcoe basin may not be able to support coldwater habitat in the future due to the loss of baseflow, through increases in stream temperature, changes in timing of the spring freshet, and changes in wetland composition. (Pefferlaw SWS)

Wet ponds and wetlands can compound the temperature increase due to urbanization by maintaining water in the facility between storms and allowing it to acclimate to the air temperature. The MOECC notes various methods to reduce thermal impacts:

Pond Configuration

The length-to-width ratio should be maximized to prevent the occurrence of large open areas of water which cannot be shaded by riparian vegetation.

Riparian Planting Strategy

Planting in the shoreline fringe and flood fringe zones of a wet pond help to shade the pond and minimize temperature increases during inter-event periods. The planting strategy should incorporate designs which shade open water areas when the vegetation reaches maturity.

Bottom-draw Outlet

Lower temperatures (in the order of several degrees Celsius) occur several metres below the permanent pool surface. Bottom draw outlets have been recommended by the MOECC since 1994, and are typically incorporated into modern pond designs.

However, by creating deeper ponds this can develop anoxic conditions resulting in a reduction in phosphorus removal. The MOECC Stormwater Pond design manual (2003) stipulates a maximum depth of 2.5 to 3 m (MOECC 2003).

According to the Stormwater Pond Maintenance and Anoxic Conditions Investigation, prepared by the LSRCA (2011), hypoxic conditions can also develop rapidly with storm events for ponds less than 2 m deep causing a mixing of water and release of unbound phosphorus to receiving water bodies. Further information can be found at: http://www.lsrca.on.ca/pdf/reports/stormwater_maintenance.pdf

Due to the potential for thermal impairments to Uxbridge Brook, traditional SWM wet ponds are not the preferred approach in this area. Any retrofits proposed should incorporate significant thermal reduction measures.

Subsurface Trench Outlet



Treatment of water, by routing the discharge through a subsurface trench filled with clear stone, has also been suggested to reduce temperature. As the water flows through the trench, heat is transferred to the stone. It is purely a conveyance system which does not rely on infiltration; however, there is relatively little knowledge with respect to the success of these systems.

The dimensions of the system depend on the intended range of release rates, and the proximity of the pond to the watercourse. The length of the trench should be maximized to increase the opportunity for heat transfer. The cross-sectional area of the trench should be sized based on the design conveyance flow which does not necessarily have to match the design release rate from the pond (especially if the pond will accommodate the runoff from relatively large storms; i.e., > 25mm). The trench should be designed to accommodate frequent events (i.e., _ 10 mm) which will have a greater effect on the thermal regime of the receiving water. The trench should be wrapped with non-woven filter fabric to prevent the native material from blocking the pore space in the stone/rock. The stone should be relatively small (13 mm - 25 mm) since smaller stones will have a greater total surface area available for heat transfer.

Outlet Channel Design

In cases where there is a lengthy outlet channel from the end-of-pipe SWM facility to the receiving waters, natural channel design techniques can be employed. Guidance on natural channel design techniques is provided in "Natural Channel Systems". The outlet channel from an end-of-pipe SWM facility to the receiving waters should be shaded by plantings to minimize the temperature of the water discharged to the receiver.

12.2 PUBLIC CONSULTATION/EDUCATION

It should be noted that SWM can be more effective with the addition of a public education program. A program should be focused on informing residents and businesses about the use of best management practices and low-impact development measures. The LSRCA is committed to continuing community and public outreach to engage the communities and encourage their participation in developing the future implementation plans. The LSRCA currently runs workshops and other outreach programs that the Township could use as a foundation to an education program.

Moreover, The Township can model programs after those of The Region of York, such as their Water for Tomorrow Website or their Rain Barrel program to assist homeowners in reusing stormwater in their gardens.

12.3 SOURCES OF FUNDING

The MOECC has a program called Showcasing Water Innovation; to fund leading edge, innovative and cost-effective solutions for managing drinking water, wastewater and stormwater systems in Ontario communities.



Implementation May, 2016

It complements Ontario's Water Opportunities Act by fostering innovation, creating opportunities for economic development and protecting water resources.

Under the Showcasing Water Innovation program, the province is funding projects that:

- take an integrated and sustainable approach to solve water management challenges;
- use new and innovative approaches and technologies;
- produce results that can be easily used by other communities; and,
- create partnerships that highlight the benefits of collaboration.

In 2012, that program was used to fund the Lincoln SWM Pond (Pond 15) Retrofit, which was done to address resident concerns with respect to odor due to phosphorus loadings.

LSRCA's Landowner Environmental Assistance Program (LEAP) provides landowners with funding and technical assistance for environmental projects on their land. LEAP is administered by the LSRCA and made possible by funding from municipal partners and the support of the York, Durham, and Simcoe chapters of the Ontario Federation of Agriculture.

LEAP Funding Limitations:

- Work that proceeds prior to funding approval does not qualify for funding assistance;
- New operations, new buildings, work associated with additions to homes, or building expansions to increase herd capacity are not eligible;
- Funds are limited in each municipality. As a result, grants will be allocated by the committee, on a priority basis;
- Properties are limited to a maximum of \$25,000 for capital projects over the life of the program; and,
- If you have an outstanding violation with the LSRCA, or other regulatory agency, you may not be eligible.

Further information regarding this funding program can be found on the LSRCA website (http://www.lsrca.on.ca/leap). Note that in the past this program has been very popular so it is recommended that applications be submitted early in the year.

The LSRCA has developed the "Lake Simcoe Phosphorus Offset Program", a 5-year program (2014-2018) to offset TP generation for stormwater retrofits in existing areas (under Phase 1), consisting of conventional controls and LID measures. It is predicated on a zero TP load, via a partial "cash-in-lieu" type of system wherein new development pays an offset fee that would be applied to other areas which can be more effectively mitigated.

For example, a new development producing an unmitigated TP load of 3.5 kg/year would have an "offset ratio of 2.5 applied to it; or, 3.5 kg/year x 2.5 = 8.8 kg/year, with a total offset cost of \$303 600 (\$34 500/kg). That money would be applied to mitigating larger scale TP loading



sources, such as farmer's fields. Currently the LSRCA Phosphorus Offsetting Program has not yet been approved by the Province of Ontario.

13.0 NOTICE OF STUDY COMPLETION

A notice of Study Completion for this report was issued on November 12, 2015 and is included in **Appendix O**.



References May, 2016

14.0 REFERENCES

- AECOM., Uxbridge Urban Area Stormwater Management Study, Report to MOECC. February 2016. Ministry File SW:22-0917-03. Township File Q99-15. AECOM Project Number 42-61070.
- Cadman, M. D., D.A. Sutherland, G.G. Beck, D. Lepage, A.R. Couturier. 2007. Atlas of the Breeding Birds of Ontario, 2001-2005. (eds) Bird Studies Canada, Environment Canada, Ontario Field Ornithologists, Ontario Ministry of natural resources, and Ontario Nature, Toronto, xxii + 706pp
- Cadman, M. D., D.A. Sutherland, G.G. Beck, D. Lepage, A.R. Couturier. 2007. Atlas of the Breeding Birds of Ontario, 2001-2005. (eds) Bird Studies Canada, Environment Canada, Ontario Field Ornithologists, Ontario . Ministry of natural resources, and Ontario Nature, Toronto, 440pp
- Cadman, M. D., D.A. Sutherland, G.G. Beck, D. Lepage, A.R. Couturier. 2007. Atlas of the Breeding Birds of Ontario, 2001-2005. (eds) Bird Studies Canada, Environment Canada, Ontario Field Ornithologists, Ontario . Ministry of natural resources, and Ontario Nature, Toronto, xxii + 134pp
- Cadman, M.D., D.A. Sutherland, G.G. Beck, D. Lepage and A.R. Couturier (eds). 2007. Atlas of the Breeding Birds of Ontario 2001- 2005. Bird Studies Canada, Environment Canada, Ontario Field Ornithologists, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, and Ontario Nature, Toronto. 706 pp.
- Cadman, M.D., P.F.J. Eagles, and F.M. Helleiner, 1987. Atlas of the Breeding Birds of. Ontario. University of Waterloo Press.
- Chapman, L.J. and D.F. Putnam, 1984. The Physiography of Southern Ontario, Ontario Geological Survey Special Volume 2.
- Cink, Calvin L. 2002. Whip-poor-will (Caprimulgus vociferus), The Birds of North America Online (A. Poole, Ed.). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America Online: <u>http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/620doi:10.2173/bna.620</u>
- Copeland, Larry. Communities Seek a Substitute for Road Salt. http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation/2013/02/23/road-salt-substitute/1939793/ USA Today. accessed 2014/03/26
- COSEWIC 2002. COSEWIC assessment and update status report on the Milksnake Lampropeltis tirangulum in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa.. vi + 29 pp.



- COSEWIC 2002. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the eastern ribbonsnake *Thamnophis* sauritus in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. vi + 24 pp.
- COSEWIC 2006. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Golden-winged Warbler Vermivora chrysoptera in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. vii + 30 pp.
- COSEWIC, 2011. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the barn swallow *Hirunda rustica* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. ix + 37 pp. (www.sararegistry.gc.ca/status/status_e.cfm)
- COSEWIC. 2007. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Chimney Swift *Chaetura pelagica* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. vii + 49pp.
- COSEWIC. 2008. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Canada Warbler *Wilsonia Canadensis* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. vi + 35 pp.(www.sararegistry.gc.ca/status/status_e.cfm).
- COSEWIC. 2009. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Whip-poor-will *Caprimulgus vociferous* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. Vi + 28 pp.
- COSEWIC. 2010. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Acadian Flycatcher *Empidonax* virescens in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. x + 38 pp.
- COSSARO. 2009. COSSARO classifications from March 24-25 and May 27-29, 2009, reported to the Minister on June 11, 2009.
- Credit Valley Conservation, Toronto and Region Conservation. Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guide Version 1.0. 2010
- Credit Valley Conservation, Toronto and Region Conservation. Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guide Version 1.0. 2010
- Cuddy, Shelly; Soo Chan, Gayle, and Post, Ryan. Hydrogeological Assessment Submissions -Conservation Authority Guidelines to Support Development Applications. June 2013.

Durham Region. Durham Region Official Plan Consolidation. June 5, 2008.



References May, 2016

Durham Region. Municipal Wellhead Protection Studies.

http://www.durham.ca/works.asp?nr=departments/works/water/wellhead/wellheadinsid e.htm accessed 2014/03/26.

Durham Region. Uxbridge Brook Water Pollution Control Plant Annual Performance Report. 2014

- Eco Solutions. FUSION Treated Salt. http://www.eco-solutions.net/municipal-eco-salt accessed 2014/03/26
- Eder, T., 2002. Mammals of Ontario. Lone Pine Publishing, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada. pp. 184.
- Egorov, I. P. 2002. The Oak Ridges Moraine: Evaluation of Groundwater Resources in the Uxbridge Area, M.Sc. Thesis, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario.
- Environment Canada. Road Salts Code Implementation Implementation Guide. http://www.ec.gc.ca/sels-salts/default.asp?lang=En&n=E68EE1F4-1&offset=1&toc=show accessed 2014/03/26
- Friesen, Lyle and M. Stabb. 2001. Preserve Endangered Songbirds Factsheet: Acadian Flycatchers and Hooded Warblers. Bird Studies Canada. 2001.
- Institute for Catastrophic Loss Reduction for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. *Telling the Weather Story*. June 2012.
- Institute for Catastrophic Loss Reduction. Urban Flooding In Canada Lot-Side Risk Reduction Through Voluntary Retrofit. February 2013
- Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority, Durham Region. *Pefferlaw River Subwatershed Plan.* 2012
- Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority, Ministry of Environment. Annual Water Balances, Total Phosphorus Budgets and Total Nitrogen and Chloride Loads to Lake Simcoe (2004-2007). 2012
- Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority, Ministry of Environment. Annual Water Balances and Total Phosphorus Loads to Lake Simcoe (2007-2009). 2013
- Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority, Nottawasaga Valley Conservation Authority, Severn Sound Environmental Association. Proposed South Georgian Bay Lake Simcoe Source Protection Plan. October 22, 2012.
- Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority, Nottawasaga Valley Conservation Authority, Severn Sound Environmental Association. Lakes Simcoe and Couchiching-Black River SPA



Part 1 Approved Assessment Report. Submitted August 30, 2011, approved by MOECC January 19, 2012

- Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority. Stormwater Pond Maintenance and Anoxic Conditions Invetigation Final Report. 2011.
- Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority. Comprehensive Stormwater Management Master Plan Guidelines – Guidelines for the Development and Implementation of Comprehensive Stormwater Management Master Plans in the Lake Simcoe Watershed. April 26, 2011.
- Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority. Lake Simcoe Basin Stormwater Management and Retrofit Opportunities 2007. 2007.
- Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority. Lake Simcoe Region Watershed Development Policies. March 23 2012

Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority. Pefferlaw River Subwatershed Plan. 2012.

Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority. Uxbridge Brook Watershed Plan. February 1997.

Ministry of Environment. Lake Simcoe Protection Plan. July 2009.

Ministry of Environment. Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual. March 2003.

- Ministry of the Environment (MOECC), 2003. Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, March, 2003.
- Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change(MOECC). Interpretation Bulletin Ontario Ministry of Environment and climate Change Expectations Re: Stormwater Management, February, 2015.
- Ministry of Transportation. Identification of the Effect of Climate Change on Future Design Standards of Drainage Infrastructure in Ontario – Final Report. June, 2005.
- Richardson Foster Ltd. Detailed Stormwater Management Report Wyndance Golf Club Part of Lots 16, 17, 18 and 19 Concession 4 – Township of Uxbridge, Regional Municipality of Durham. June 2006.

RiverSides, Sierra Legal Defence Fund. A Low-Salt Diet for Ontario's Roads and Rivers. http://www.riversides.org/websitefiles/riversides_road_salts_report_final.pdf accessed 2014/03/26



References May, 2016

RiverSides. Reducing Road Salts Use. http://www.riversides.org/index.php?cat=3&page1=8&page2=37 accessed 2014/03/26

- Sernas Associates. Township of Uxbridge and Region of Durham Downtown Uxbridge Flood Reduction – Schedule 'C' Municipal Class Environmental Assessment – Environmental Study Report. November 15, 2012
- Sharpe, D.R., Russell, H.A.J., Logan, C., 2002. Geological Characterization of a Regional Aquitard: Newmarket Till, Oak Ridges Moraine Area, Southern Ontario, Earth Sciences Sector, General Information Product 34, Ministry of Natural Resources, Canada
- South Georgian Bay-Lake Simcoe Source Protection Committee., 2011. Approved Assessment Report: Lakes Simcoe and Couchiching-Black River Source Protection Area Part 1.
- Stantec Consulting Ltd. Hydrology Report on Dams at Elgin Pond, Electric Light Pond and the Preserve Pond. June 6 2013
- Stantec Consulting Ltd. Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Facility Assessment 2012. January 2013.
- Thornthwaite, C.W. and Mather, J. R., 1955. The Water Balance, Climatology, vol. 8, no.l., 104 pp.

Toronto. Wet Weather Flow Management Guidelines. November 2006.

- Township of Uxbridge. Official Plan Township of Uxbridge Office Consolidation. August 2007.
- Township of Uxbridge. Township of Uxbridge Design Criteria and Standard Detail Drawings for Subdivision Developments and Site Plans. 2009.
- TSH Associates, Donald Weatherbe Associates Inc., James Li. Township of Uxbridge Uxbridge Urban Area Stormwater Management Study Final Report July 2000. July 2000 (TSH Report)
- Weslake Inc. Sandhills Gold Club Community (Wyndance) Stormwater Management Report. November 2005.



Appendix A FIGURES





300 - 675 Cochrane Drive West Tower Markham, Ontario L3R 0B8 www.stantec.com

Township of Uxbridge Official Plan Schedule "A"





300 - 675 Cochrane Drive West Tower Markham, Ontario L3R 0B8 www.stantec.com

Township of Uxbridge Official Plan Schedule "F"


Pond Name
Campbell Drive
Estate at Wooden Sticks
Acton Industrial S.D.
Cemetery Road
Forsythe West
Quaker Village
Barton Farm
Mason Homes
Estate of Avonlea
Testa Height
Butternut Village
Maple Street

Pond I.D.	Pond Name
1W	Industrial Park West
1E	Industrial Park East
3	McGuckin
8	Deer ridge
17	Trifeletti West Goodwood
18	Trifeletti East Goodwood



Pond I.D.Pond Name16Siloam Sandford S.D. 22





Pond I.D. Pond Name

Udora S.D.

Leasudale S.D.

19

20



300 - 675 Cochrane Drive West Tower Markham, Ontario L3R 0B8 www.stantec.com

Client/Project

Township of Uxbridge

Stormwatermanagement Master Plan Uxbridge Stormwater Assessment Areas

Figure No.

Title

SWMF-1

Uxbridge Stormwater Management Facility Assessment (2013), SWM Pond Facility Index



\\cdl215-f01\work_group\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Drawing\sheet_files\fig\TSH-1.dwg 2014/10/27 3:07 PM By: Ng, Timothy





300 - 675 Cochrane Drive West Tower Markham, Ontario L3R 0B8 www.stantec.com

Client/Project

Township of Uxbridge

Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners Figure No.

TSH - 1

Title

Uxbridge Urban Area SWM Study July 2000 (Prepared by TSHi Associates)



Reach ID
— 1
2
3
4
— 5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
 NOTES Coordinate System: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N Base features produced under license with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources © Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2014; © Durham Region, 2014. Official Plan and SWM Facility Data: Township of Uxbridge. Official Plan Township of Uxbridge Office Consolidation. August 2007. Stantec Consulting Ltd., Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Facility Assessment 2012. January 2013. Stantec Consulting Ltd. Field Survey of Uxbridge Urban Area Erosion Prone Areas Conducted on Oct 29, 2014 Orthoimagery © Durham Region, 2014. Imagery taken in 2012. Client/Project SWM POND MASTER PLAN Title Erosion Areas of Concern within Uxbridge Urban Area Losion Areas of Concern within Uxbridge Urban Area
roject No. Scale 0 175 350 160621777
igure No. Sheet Revision
EKSNI I of I U

Copyright Reserved The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction of use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden. Highway 47 Reach Street Regional Road 21 SWM Pond Location Other Pond (Not SWM Pond) Areas A and B: Residential Photograph Location



Photo 1: Reach 2 – Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Several Undercut banks.



Photo 2: Reach 2 - Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Perched culvert.





Photo 3: Reach 2 - Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Looking downstream towards Elgin Park Drive illustrating some undercut banks



Photo 4: Reach 2 - Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Series of Several headcuts were found

PREPARED FOR: Township of Uxbridge Stream Assessment FIGURE NO. ERSN 2

TITLE PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD

PAGE 1 OF 4





Photo 5: Reach 3 - Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Several undercut banks



Photo 6: Reach 3 - Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Few slightly head cut areas



Photo 7: Reach 3 - There is some active erosion within the channel.



Photo 8: Reach 4 – Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Slumping gabion basket with water flowing underneath it.

PREPARED FOR: Township of Uxbridge Stream Assessment FIGURE NO. ERSN 2 IITLE PHOTOGRAPHIC

RECORD

PAGE 2 OF 4





Photo 9: Reach 10 - Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Debris jam within channel (a wheel barrow and several trees)



Photo 11: Reach 10 – Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Several Perched Culverts.



Photo 10: Reach 10 - Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Some active erosion noticed within channel around debris jam.



Photo 12: Reach 12 – Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Large headcut right off of West of Turner St.

PREPARED FOR: Township of Uxbridge Stream Assessment FIGURE NO. ERSN 2 TITLE PHOTOGRAPHIC

RECORD

PAGE 3 OF 4





Photo 13: Reach 12 – Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Some undercut sections and erosion noticed right off of West Turner St



Photo 15: Reach 16- Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Perched Culvert.



Photo 14: Reach 13 – Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Head cutting and a series of log jams near the intersection of reach 13 and reach 14.



Photo 16: Reach 19 – Refer to Figure ERSN 1 for location of shot. Several debris in channel at the bridge area.

PREPARED FOR: Township of Uxbridge Stream Assessment FIGURE NO. ERSN 2 TITLE PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD

PAGE

4 OF 4







dl 215-f01/work ised: 2016-03-16

	Stant	cec
--	-------	-----

Legend Study Area

InStudy Area

- SWM Pond Location Within Urban Boundary
- SWM Pond Location Outside of Urban Boundary

– Road

----- Railway

Watercourse

Waterbody

Conservation Authority Boundary

Municipal Boundary (Lower Tier)

Subwatershed

Future Settlement Area

Areas A and B: Residential

Notes

- Coordinate System: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N
- Base features produced under license with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources © Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2014; © Durham Region, 2014. 3. Official Plan and SWM Facility Data: Township of Uxbridge.
- Official Plan Township of Uxbridge Office Consolidation. August 2007.

Stantec Consulting Ltd., Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Facility Assessment 2012. January 2013.
 Orthoimagery © Durham Region, 2014. Imagery taken in 2012.

March 2016 160621777

Client/Project

Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners

Figure No. 2

Title

Uxbridge SWM Pond Locations



\\Cd1215-f01\work_group\0160. Revised: 2016-03-16 By: searles

	Stante)C
--	--------	----

Legend

- Study Area
- SWM Pond Location
- Road
- Waterbody
- Conservation Authority Boundary
- Subwatershed

Future Settlement Area

Area C: Hamlet Institutional/Commercial

Notes

- Coordinate System: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N
- Base features produced under license with the Ontario Ministry of 2. Natural Resources © Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2014; © Durham
- Region, 2014.
 Official Plan and SWM Facility Data: Township of Uxbridge. Official Plan Township of Uxbridge Office Consolidation. August 2007.

Stantec Consulting Ltd., Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Facility Assessment 2012. January 2013.
Orthoimagery © Durham Region, 2014. Imagery taken in 2012.

March 2016 160621777

Client/Project

Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Master Plan

Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners

Figure No. 3

Title

Coppin's Corners SWM Pond Location



\\Cdl 215-f01\work_group\016/04\Active\16/6/2177\Drawing\GI\$\mxd\\$WM\Report_Figures\16/6/21777_Fig04_Natural_Features Revised: 2016-05-16 By: searlies



\\Cd1215-61\\work_group\01686\Active\160621777\Drawing\GI\$\mxd\\$WM\Report_Fgures\160621777_Fg05_Topographic_M Revised: 2016.05-16 by: searles



\\Cdl 215-fb1\work_group\01666\Active\166621777\Drawing\GIS\mxd\\$WM\Report_Fgures\160621777_Fig06_Soils_Map.mx Revised: 2016-05-16 By: searles



\\Cdl 215-f01\work_group\01666\Active\166621777\Drawing\GS\mxd\SWM\Report_Fgures\166621777_Fig07_Sufficial_Geology.mxd Revised: 2016-63-16 By: searles





\\C d1215+611\work_group/01.60\$\Active\160.621777\Drawing\GiS\mxd\SWM\Report_Fgures\160621777_Fig09_WHF Revised: 2016-697: searles





Appendix B WILDLIFE RECORDS IN THE STUDY AREA



List of Sensitive Species

The **Snapping Turtle** (*Chelydra* serpentina) is provincially ranked as S3 (vulnerable) and is listed as Special Concern by both COSSARO and COSEWIC. The snapping turtle and their habitat are not protected under the ESA (2007). Snapping Turtles inhabit ponds, sloughs, streams, rivers, and shallow bays that are characterized by slow moving water, aquatic vegetation, and soft bottoms. Females show strong nest site fidelity and nest in sand or gravel banks at waterway edges in late May or early June (COSEWIC, 2008).

The **Eastern Ribbonsnake** is provincially ranked vulnerable (S3) and is considered a provincial and federal species of special concern. This species is not provincially regulated under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) (2007). The Eastern Ribbonsnake is restricted to southern Ontario, where it is quite local, and is usually found close to water (Lamond, 1994). They often frequent the edge of shallow ponds, streams, marshes, swamps, or bogs with dense vegetation nearby that provides cover, with abundant exposure to sunlight and upland areas for nesting (COSEWIC, 2002). Ontario ribbonsnakes have been found to hibernate in animal burrows or rock crevices (Lamond, 1994).

The **Eastern Milksnake** (*Lampropeltis triangulum*) is provincially ranked vulnerable (S3) and is considered a provincial and federal species of special concern. This species is not provincially regulated under the ESA (2007). Eastern milksnake occurs throughout southern Ontario and is considered uncommon and local throughout its range (Lamond, 1994). The Milksnake is frequently reported in and around buildings, especially old structures. However, it is found in a variety of habitats, including prairies, pastures, hayfields, rocky hillsides and a wide variety of forest types. Two important features of ideal habitat are proximity to water, and suitable locations for basking and egg-laying, nesting sites may include compost or manure piles, stumps, under boards, or in loose soil (COSEWIC, 2002).

The **Eastern Whip-poor-will** is ranked provincially as S4B (apparently secure breeding status rank) and is designated as a provincially and federally threatened species. This species is afforded general habitat protection under the ESA (2007). Whip-poor-will favour open woodlands with frequent clearings. Its preferred nesting sites contain shaded leaf litter or pine needles and generally occur along wooded edges or in clearings without any herbaceous growth (Cadman et al. 1987). The species is considered to be area-sensitive, preferring 100 hectares of suitable habitat for breeding. Recent survey data suggest a substantial decline in Whip-poor-will numbers and a constriction of range, prompting its recent federal and provincial designation. Reasons for the decline are currently unknown and speculative with habitat loss and degradation, automobile collisions and changes in food supply listed as the leading threats (COSEWIC, 2009). The decline is concurrent with, and likely linked to, noted declines (and associated provincial and federal designations) of a number of aerial-foraging birds.

The **Chimney Swift** is provincially ranked apparently secure (S4) and is designated a provincially and federally threatened species. The species is afforded general habitat protection under the

ESA (2007). Chimney Swift use chimneys for roosting and breeding, as well as walls, rafters, or gables of buildings and, less frequently, natural structures such as hollow trees, tree cavities and cracks in cliffs (Cadman et al., 2007). The main limiting factor contributing to the species' decline is the reduction of suitable breeding and roosting habitat through logging, removal of abandoned buildings and particularly the reduction in use of traditional chimneys; poor weather conditions during breeding season, pesticide use, chimney sweeping during breeding season and intolerance of some building owners are also contributing factors (COSEWIC, 2007).

The **Acadian Flycatcher** is provincially ranked imperiled to vulnerable (S2S3) and is designated a provincially and federally endangered species. This species is afforded general habitat protection under the ESA (2007). Its preferred breeding habitat generally consists of large mature forests and deeply wooded ravines (Friesen and Stabb, 2001). A minimum of thirty hectares of suitable habitat are required. Acadian Flycatchers generally prefer large tracts of undisturbed forest and in Ontario, the species often breeds in black ash swamps (Whitehead and Taylor, 2002). Due to its area sensitive nature, suitable habitat is limited in Ontario as forest cover within its breeding range is low and occurs as small, isolated patches. Other limiting factors include logging practices, invasive species, and encroachment on habitat by agriculture, residential development and utility corridors (COSEWIC, 2010).

The **Barn Swallow** is ranked as S4B provincially (apparently secure breeding status rank) and is designated a provincially and federally threatened species. This species is afforded general habitat protection under the ESA (2007). As their name suggests, Barn Swallows nest on walls or ledges of barns as well as on other human-made structures such as bridges, culverts or other buildings (Cadman et al., 2007). Where suitable nesting structures occur, Barn Swallow often form small colonies, sometimes mixed with Cliff Swallows. Barns Swallows feed on aerial insects while foraging in open habitat (COSEWIC, 2011). Barn Swallows are generally considered grassland species, foraging over meadows, hay, pasture or even mown lawn. They will also frequently forage in woodland clearings, over wetland habitats or open water where insect prey are abundant.

The **Golden-winged Warbler** is ranked as S4B (apparently secure breeding status) and is designated a provincial species of special concern and a federally threatened species. The Golden-winged warbler is confined to southern Ontario with local concentrations along the southern edge of the Canadian Shield, primarily around southeastern Georgian Bay and north of Kingston. This species has experienced a rapid decline in population size over the past decade likely due to natural succession of habitat and hybridization with the Blue-winged warbler. Breeding occurs in successional scrub habitats bordered by forests and nests are constructed on the ground (Cadman et al, 2007). Preference is shown towards early successional scrub (10-30 years into succession) and the species will not persist when the stage of succession has succeeded their requirements. Parasitism by Brown-headed Cowbirds may also be playing a role in population declines (COSEWIC, 2006).

The **Canada Warbler** is ranked as S4B (apparently secure) in Ontario and is designated as a species of Special Concern provincially, although it is designated as a federally threatened species. The Canada Warbler is usually found in moist mixed deciduous-coniferous forests with a well-developed understorey. It may also occur in shrub marshes, red maple stands, coniferous

riparian woodlands, ravines and steep brushy slopes, and regenerating forests. It is estimated that about one third of the Canada Warbler population breeds in Ontario. Although relatively abundant in Ontario, this species has been identified at risk due to a steady decline in the breeding population of about 2.4% per year. The main threat to this species appears to be habitat loss on its wintering grounds in South America, where approximately 90% of the cloud rainforest has been lost since the 1970's. Loss of breeding habitat to agriculture and a decline in prey (spruce budworm) may also be contributing factors to the Canada Warbler's decline (COSEWIC 2008; COSSARO 2009).

The **Eastern Meadowlark** is ranked as S4B provincially (apparently secure breeding status rank) and is designated as a provincially and federally threatened species. It is afforded general habitat protection under the ESA (2007). Meadowlarks are ground nesting birds (Harrison, 1975), which are often associated with human-modified habitats where they sing from prominent perches such as roadside wires, trees, and fenceposts. As a grassland species the Eastern Meadowlark typically occurs in meadows, hayfields and pastures. However, it will utilize a wider range of habitat than most grassland species, including mown lawn (e.g. golf course, parks), wooded city ravines, young conifer plantations and orchards (Peck and James 1983). The Eastern Meadowlark is generally tolerant of habitat with early succession of trees or shrubs. As with other grassland species, current threats are primarily the result of expanding urbanization and intensive farming practices (Cadman et al., 2007).

Bobolink is ranked as S4B provincially (apparently secure) and is a provincially and federally threatened species. This species is afforded general habitat protection under the *ESA* (2007). The Bobolink is generally referred to as a "grassland species". It nests primarily in forage crops with a mixture of grasses and broad-leaved forbs, predominantly hayfields and pastures. Preferred ground cover species include grasses such as Timothy and Kentucky bluegrass and forbs such as clover and dandelion (COSEWIC 2010). Bobolink is an area-sensitive species, with reported lower reproductive success in small habitat fragments (Kuehl and Clark 2002; Winter et al. 2004).

The Little Brown Myotis (formerly Little Brown Bat; *Myotis lucifugus*) is provincially ranked S5 (Secure) and is designated a provincially and federally endangered species. It is protected under ESA 2007. This species up until recently was considered the most common bat species in Ontario, and most frequently found bat species in North America. The recent change in status is due to significant declines in recent years attributed to a condition referred to as White-nose Syndrome (WNS). A widespread species, the Little Brown Bat is commonly found near waterbodies in buildings, attics, roof crevices and loose bark on trees or under bridges (Eder, 2002).

The **Northern Myotis** is listed as vulnerable (S3?; vulnerable) in Ontario and is designated as endangered federally and provincially. It is protected under *ESA*, 2007. The Northern Myotis (formerly Northern Long-eared Bat; *Myotis septentrionalis*) is a resident bat of upland forests of eastern North America, typically foraging for aerial insects in the forest understory. Maternity roosts are located under bark or in buildings with young born in June and July while hibernating colonies typically reside in cave crevices (Reid, 2006). The precipitous population decline of this species in recent years is attributed to a condition referred to as White-nose Syndrome (WNS). The **Tri-coloured Bat** (formerly Eastern Pipistrelle; *Perimyotis subflavus*) is provincially ranked vulnerable, rank uncertain (S3?) and is designated a federally endangered species. It is not listed on any schedule federally and thus is not yet afforded protection under SARA or ESA, 2007. The Tri-coloured Bat prefers partly open habitat such as fields with large trees or woodland edges while avoiding both denser and more open areas. It likely roosts in leaves, caves or buildings in the summer, and hibernates in caves and mines where the humidity is high. Maternity colonies are usually found either in tree cavities or man-made structures, but in at least parts of their range they have also been recorded utilizing live and dead foliage as well as squirrel nests. They generally forage at canopy height over open water (NatureServe 2011). Populations have recently declined precipitously due to the rapid spread of White Nose Syndrome (WNS).

Species	Scientific Name	Species	Scientific Name
Rainbow trout	Oncorhynchus mykiss	Slimy sculpin	Cottus cognatus
Brook trout	Salvelinus fontinalis	Mottled sculpin	Cottus bairdi
Brown trout	Salmo trutta	Pearl dace	Margariscus margarita
Ciscoe	Coregonus artedi	Central stoneroller	Campostoma anomalum
Muskellunge	Esox masquinongy	Yellow bullhead	Ameiurus natalis
White sucker	Catostomus commersoni	Brown bullhead	Ameiurus nebulosus
Northern hog sucker *	Hypentelium nigricans	Stonecat	Noturus flavus
Central mudminnow	Umbra limi	Brook stickleback	Culeae inconstans
Northern redbelly dace	Phoxinus eos	Rock bass	Ambloplites rupestris
Finescale dace	Phoxinus neogaeus	Green sunfish	Lepomis cyanellus
Brassy minnow	Hybognathus hankinsoni	Pumpkinseed	Lepomis gibbosus
Hornyhead chub	Nocomis biguttatus	Smallmouth bass	Micropterus dolomieu
River chub	Nocomis micropogon	Largemouth bass	Micropterus salmoides
Golden shiner	Notemigonus crysoleucas	White crappie*	Pomoxis annularis
Common shiner	Luxilus cornutus	Yellow perch	Perca flavescens
Blacknose shiner	Notropis heterolepis	Walleye	Sander vitreus
Rosyface shiner	Notropis rubellus	Greenside darter^	Etheostoma blennioides
Spotfin shiner	Cyprinella spiloptera	Rainbow darter	Etheostoma caeruleum
Bluntnose minnow	Pimephales notatus	Iowa darter	Etheostoma exile
Fathead minnow	Pimephales promelas	Johnny darter	Etheostoma nigrum
Blacknose dace	Rhinichthys atratulus	Blackside darter	Percina maculata
Longnose dace	Rhinichthys cataractae	Round goby*	Neogobius melanostomus
Creek chub	Semotilus atromaculatus		

Aquatic Species List Table 1 Aquatic Species List

Table 1 Aquatic Species List

Species	Scientific Name	Species	Scientific Name
*= Non-native invasive sp	pecies		

^= Non-native species to the Lake Simcoe watershed. This species is native to Ontario however, and is a species of Special Concern provincially

Wildife Records in the Study Area based on Bac	kground Data Sources							
COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	ONTARIO STATUS	GLOBAL STATUS	COSSARO	COSEWIC			
AMPHIBIANS								
Red-spotted Newt	Notophthalmus viridescens	S5	G5T5					
Northern Redback Salamander	Plethodon cinereus	34 S5	G5 G5					
American Toad	Anaxvrus americanus	S5	G5					
Tetraploid Gray Treefrog	Hyla versicolor	S5	G5					
Western Chorus Frog (carolinian)	Pseudacris triseriata	S4	G5	NAR	NAR			
Spring Peeper	Pseudacris crucifer	S5	G5					
Bullfrog	Lithobates catesbeiana	S4	G5					
Northern Green Frog	Lithobates clamitans	S5	G5					
Pickerel Frog	Lithobates palustris	S4	G5	NAR	NAR			
Wood Frog	Lithobates sylvatica	55 85	G5 G5	NAD	NAD			
Mink Frog	Lithobates sententrionalis	S5	G5	INAN	NAN			
REPTILES		00	00					
Snapping Turtle	Chelydra serpentina	S3	G5	SC	SC			
Midland Painted Turtle	Chrysemys picta marginata	S5	G5T5					
Eastern Gartersnake	Thamnophis sirtalis	S5	G5					
Eastern Ribbon Snake	Thamnophis sauritus	S3	G5	SC	SC			
Northern Watersnake	Nerodia sipedon sipedon	S5	G5T5	NAR	NAR			
Redbelly Snake	Storeria occipitomaculata	S5	G5					
Blown Snake	Diadophis punctatus	55 S4	G5 G5		NAR			
Fastern Milksnake	Lampropeltis triangulum	S3	G5 G5	SC	SC			
BIRDS				55	50			
Canada Goose	Branta canadensis	S5	G5					
Trumpeter Swan	Cygnus buccinator	S4	G4	NAR	NAR			
Wood Duck	Aix sponsa	S5	G5					
Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos	S5	G5					
Hooded Merganser	Lophodytes cucullatus	S5B,S5N	G5					
Common Merganser	Mergus merganser	S5B,S5N	G5					
Ring-necked Pheasant	Phasianus colchicus	SNA	G5					
Ruffed Grouse	Bonasa umpellus Meleogris gallepovo	55 85	G5 G5					
Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	S5	G5 G5					
Green Heron	Butorides virescens	S4B	G5					
Turkey Vulture	Cathartes aura	S5B	G5					
Osprey	Pandion haliaetus	S5B	G5					
Northern Harrier	Circus cyaneus	S4B	G5	NAR	NAR			
Sharp-shinned Hawk	Accipiter striatus	S5	G5	NAR	NAR			
Cooper's Hawk	Accipiter cooperii	S4	G5	NAR	NAR			
Northern Goshawk	Accipiter gentilis	S4	G5	NAR	NAR			
Red-shouldered Hawk	Buteo lineatus	S4B	G5		NAR			
Broad-winged Hawk	Buteo platypterus	55B 85	G5 G5	NAD	NAD			
American Kestrel	Falco sparverius	S5B	G5 G5	INAR	NAR			
Virginia Rail	Rallus limicola	S5B	G5					
Sora	Porzana carolina	S4B	G5					
Common Gallinule	Gallinula chloropus	S4B	G5					
Killdeer	Charadrius vociferus	S5B, S5N	G5					
Spotted Sandpiper	Actitis macularia	S5	G5					
American Woodcock	Scolopax minor	S4B	G5					
Rock Pigeon	Columba livia	SNA	G5					
Mourning Dove	Zenaida macroura	S5	G5					
Black-billed Cuckoo		S4D S5B	G5 G5					
Eastern Screech-Owl	Megascops asio	S5	G5	NAR	NAR			
Great Horned Owl	Bubo virginianus	S5	G5					
Long-eared Owl	Asio otus	S4	G5					
Eastern Whip-poor-will	Antrostomus vociferus	S4B	G5	THR	THR			
Chimney Swift	Chaetura pelagica	S4B, S4N	G5	THR	THR			
Ruby-throated Hummingbird	Archilochus colubris	S5B	G5					
Belted Kingfisher	Ceryle alcyon	S4B	G5					
Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	Spriyrapicus varius	55B	G5 C5					
Hainy Woodpecker	Picoides villosus	S5	G5 G5					
Northern Elicker	Colaptes auratus	S4B	G5					
Pileated Woodpecker	Drvocopus pileatus	S5	G5					
Eastern Wood-Pewee	Contopus virens	S4B	G5		SC-NS			
Acadian Flycatcher	Empidonax virescens	S2S3B	G5	END	END			
	Empidonax traillií	S5B	G5 CF					├──
Least FlycalCher	Emploonax minimus	34B 85P	G5			$\left \right $		<u>├</u> ──
Great Crested Elycatcher	Sayonnis prioebe Myjarchus crinitus	S4R	G5					
Fastern Kingbird		S4B	G5					
Yellow-throated Vireo	Vireo flavifrons	S4B	G5					
Blue-headed Vireo	Vireo solitarius	S5B	G5					
Warbling Vireo	Vireo gilvus	S5B	G5					
Red-eyed Vireo	Vireo olivaceus	S5B	G5					
Blue Jay	Cyanocitta cristata	S5	G5					
American Crow	Corvus brachyrhynchos	S5B	G5					

		ONTARIO	GLOBAL						
COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	STATUS	STATUS	COSSARO	COSEWIC		┝───┤		
	Tachycineta bicolor	S4B	G5 G5						
Northern Rough-winged Swallow	Stelaidoptervx serripennis	S4B	G5						
Bank Swallow	Riparia riparia	S4B	G5		THR-NS				
Cliff Swallow	Petrochelidon pyrrhonota	S4B	G5						
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	S4B	G5	THR	THR-NS				
Black-capped Chickadee	Poecile atricapillus	S5	G5						
Red-breasted Nuthatch	Sitta canadensis	S5	G5					L	
White-breasted Nuthatch	Sitta carolinensis	S5	G5						
Brown Creeper	Certhia americana	S5B	G5				⊢		
House Wren	Troglodytes aedon	S5B	G5				⊢		
Colden-crowned Kinglet	Pequius satrana	SOD SSB	G5 G5						
Eastern Bluebird	Sialia sialis	S5B	G5	NAR	NAR				
Veerv	Catharus fuscescens	S4B	G5	10/41					
Hermit Thrush	Catharus guttatus	S5B	G5						
Wood Thrush	Hylocichla mustelina	S4B	G5		THR-NS				
American Robin	Turdus migratorius	S5B	G5						
Gray Catbird	Dumetella carolinensis	S4B	G5						
Brown Thrasher	Toxostoma rufum	S4B	G5						
Northern Mockingbird	Mimus polyglottos	S4	G5					Ļ	
European Starling	Sturnus vulgaris	SNA	G5				\square		
Cedar Waxwing	Bombycilla cedrorum	S5B	G5		-	 	⊢		
Ovenbira	Seiurus aurocapilla	S4B	G5		-			<u> </u>	
Involutern waterthrush	rarkesia noveboracensis	55B	G5	60	тир	 	┝──┤		
Blue winged Warbler	Vermivora crirysoptera	04B	G4 C5	SU	IHK	 			+
Black-and-white Warbler	Mniotilta verie	S5R	G5		+	 			<u> </u>
Nashville Warbler	Oreothlynis ruficanilla	S5B	G5						
Mourning Warbler	Geothlypis philadelphia	S4B	G5						
Hooded Warbler	Setophaga citrina	S3B	G5	NAR	NAR				
American Redstart	Setophaga ruticilla	S5B	G5						
Magnolia Warbler	Setophaga magnolia	S5B	G5						
Yellow Warbler	Setophaga petechia	S5B	G5						
Chestnut-sided Warbler	Setophaga pensylvanica	S5B	G5						
Pine Warbler	Setophaga pinus	S5B	G5						
Yellow-rumped Warbler	Setophaga coronata	S5B	G5						
Black-throated Green Warbler	Setophaga virens	S5B	G5						
Canada Warbler	Wilsonia canadensis	S4B	G5	SC	THR			<u> </u>	
Wilson's Warbler	Cardellina pusilla	S4B	G5				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Eastern Towhee	Pipilo erythrophthalmus	S4B	G5				⊢		
Chipping Sparrow	Spizella passerina	S5B	G5				⊢−−−−	<u> </u>	
Field Sparrow	Spizella pusilla	S4B	G5						
Vesper Sparrow	Pooecetes gramineus	54B \$4B	G5						
Grassbapper Sparrow	Ammodramus savannarum	54D \$4D	G5		SC NS		⊢ –		-
Song Sparrow	Melospiza melodia	S5B	G5		30-113				
Swamp Sparrow	Melospiza deorgiana	S5B	G5						
White-throated Sparrow	Zonotrichia albicollis	S5B	G5						
Scarlet Tanager	Piranga olivacea	S4B	G5						
Northern Cardinal	Cardinalis cardinalis	S5	G5						
Rose-breasted Grosbeak	Pheucticus ludovicianus	S4B	G5						
Indigo Bunting	Passerina cyanea	S4B	G5						
Bobolink	Dolichonyx oryzivorus	S4B	G5	THR	THR-NS				
Red-winged Blackbird	Agelaius phoeniceus	S5	G5					<u> </u>	
Eastern Meadowlark	Sturnella magna	S4B	G5	THR	THR-NS				
Common Grackle	Quiscalus quiscula	S5B	G5				⊢]	<u> </u>	
Brown-neaded Cowbird	Molothrus ater	54B	G5						
		54B	G5		-	 	┝───┤		
Purple Finch	Haemornouspurpureus	S4B SNIA	G5				⊨−−−	<u> </u>	
American Goldfinch	Carduelis tristis	SINA S5B	G5 G5				⊢ –		-
House Sparrow	Passer domesticus	SNA	G5						
			00						
Masked Shrew	Sorex cinereus	S5	G5						
Northern Short-tailed Shrew	Blarina brevicauda	S5	G5						
Star-nosed Mole	Condylura cristata	S5	G5						
Little Brown Myotis	Myotis lucifugus	S5	G5	END	END-NS				
Northern Myotis	Myotis septentrionalis	S3?	G4	END	END-NS				
Tri-coloured Bat	Perimyotis subflavus	S3?	G5		END-NS				
Big Brown Bat	Eptesicus fuscus	S5	G5						
Eastern Cottontail	Sylvilagus floridanus	S5	G5				ļļ		ļ
Snowshoe Hare	Lepus americanus	S5	G5				<u> </u>	 	
European Hare	Lepus europaeus	SNA	G5		-		l		<u> </u>
Eastern Chipmunk	I amias striatus	55	G5			 		<u> </u>	
		00 85	G5			 			+
Red Squirrel	Tamiasciurus hudsonious	50 S5	G5						<u> </u>
Reaver	Castor canadensis	S5	G5			 -			
White-footed Mouse	Peromyscus leucopus	S5	G5					<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Muskrat	Ondatra zibethicus	S5	G5		+				
Meadow Vole	Microtus pennsvlvanicus	S5	G5			-			<u> </u>
Norway Rat	Rattus norvegicus	SNA	G5						<u> </u>

		ONTARIO	GLOBAL								
	SCIENTIFIC NAME	STATUS	STATUS	COSSARO	COSEWIC	1	1				
House Mouse Porcupine	Mus musculus Frethizon dorsatum	SNA S5	G5							<u> </u>	
Covote	Canis latrans	S5	G5								
Red Fox	Vulpes vulpes	S5	G5								
Raccoon	Procyon lotor	S5	G5								
Mink	Mustela vison	S4	G5								
Striped Skunk	Mephitis mephitis	S5	G5						Ļ		
River Otter	Lutra canadensis	S5	G5								
White-tailed Deer	Odocoileus virginianus	S5	G5							<u> </u>	
SUMMARY										<u> </u>	
Total Odonata:										<u> </u>	
Total Butterflies:											
Total Other Arthropods											
Total Amphibians:											
Total Reptiles:											
Total Birds:									ļ		
Total Breeding Birds:									Ļ		
Total Mammals:									 	<u> </u>	
									<u> </u>		
SIGNIFICANT SPECIES											
Global:										<u> </u>	
National:											
Provincial:											
Regional:									·		
Local:											
Explanation of Status and Acronymns									ļ		
									 	<u> </u>	
COSSARO: Committee on the Status of Species at Ri	sk in Ontario								<u> </u>		
RECION: Pare in a Site Region									 		
S1: Critically Imperiled—Critically imperiled in the prov	ince (often 5 or fewer occurrences)								<u> </u>	
S2: Imperiled—Imperiled in the province, very few por	pulations (often 20 or fewer).)									
S3: Vulnerable—Vulnerable in the province, relatively	few populations (often 80 or fewer)										
S4: Apparently Secure—Uncommon but not rare											
S5: Secure—Common, widespread, and abundant in	the province										
SX: Presumed extirpated									Ļ		
SH: Possibly Extirpated (Historical)											
SNR: Unranked									<u> </u>		
SU: Unrankable—Currently unrankable due to lack of	Information	not a quitr	able target	for conce	nvation ac	tivitio			<u> </u>		
SHA. Not applicable—A conservation status rank is no	S2S3) is used to indicate any r	ande of u	ncertaint	about th	ne status (of the	s. Sne	cies		<u> </u>	
S#B- Breeding status rank				aboutt							
S#N- Non Breeding status rank											
?: Indicates uncertainty in the assigned rank											
G1: Extremely rare globally; usually fewer than 5 occu	rrences in the overall range								L		
G1G2: Extremely rare to very rare globally										L	
G2: Very rare globally; usually between 5-10 occurren	ces in the overall range										
G2G3: Very rare to uncommon globally	100 0000000										
G3C4: Rare to common globally, usually between 20-											
G4: Common globally: usually more than 100 occurrent	nces in the overall range									<u> </u>	
G4G5: Common to very common globally											
G5: Very common globally; demonstrably secure											
GU: Status uncertain, often because of low search	ch effort or cryptic nature of the	species; m	nore data	needed.							
GNR: Unranked—Global rank not yet assessed.											
T: Denotes that the rank applies to a subspecies or va	riety								 	 	
Q: Denotes that the taxonomic status of the spec	cies, subspecies, or variety is qu	estionab	Ie.						 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
										<u> </u>	
SC: Special Concern										<u> </u>	
2 3 or NS after a COSEWIC ranking indicates th	e species is either on Schedule	2 Schedi	ile 3 or N	0 Sched	ule of the	Sner	Lies /	4t Ri	sk Δ		
NAR: Not At Risk											
IND: Indeterminant, insufficient information to assian s	status										-
DD: Data Deficient		1									
6: Rare in Site Region 6											
7: Rare in Site Region 7											

Appendix C ARCHEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT



STAGE 1 ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT, STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MASTER PLAN UXBRIDGE URBAN AREA TOWNSHIP OF UXBRIDGE, ON

Lots 26-34, Concessions 6 - 7, and Lots 16-18, Concession 4, Geographic Township of Uxbridge, Ontario



Prepared for: The Township of Uxbridge 51 Toronto Street South P.O. Box 190 Uxbridge, Ontario L9P 1T1

Prepared by: Stantec Consulting Ltd. 400-1331 Clyde Ave Ottawa, ON K2C 3G4

Licensee: Vincent Bourgeois License Number: P381 PIF Number: P381-0014-2014

Project # 160621777

April 7, 2015

ORIGINAL REPORT

Table of Contents

EXEC	UTIVE SUMMARY	iii
10	PRO JECT CONTEXT	1
1.1	DEVELOPMENT CONTEXT	1
	1.1.1 Objectives	1
1.2	HISTORICAL CONTEXT	2
	1.2.1 Post-Contact Aboriginal Resources	2
	1.2.2 Euro-Canadian Settler Resources	2 2
13		ა ა
1.0	1.3.1 The Natural Environment	0 3
	1.3.2 Pre-contact Aboriginal Resources	4
	1.3.3 Previously Identified Archaeological Sites and Surveys	9
2.0	FIELD METHODS	. 10
3.0	ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS	. 10
4.0	RECOMMENDATIONS	. 11
5.0	ADVICE ON COMPLIANCE WITH LEGISLATION	. 13
6.0	BIBLIOGRAPHY AND SOURCES	. 14
7.0	MAPS	. 17
8.0	CLOSURE	. 29
LIST C	OF TABLES	
Table	e 1 Southern Ontario Prehistoric Cultural Chronoloay, Years Before Present (BP)	5
Table	e 2: Registered Archaeological Sites within a One Kilometre Radius of the Study	
	Area	9
LIST C	OF FIGURES	
Figure	e 1 Study Area	19
Figure	e 2 Study Area - Current Conditions	20
Figure	e 3 Study Area Shown on 1877 Historical Map of Township of Uxbridge	21
Figure	e 4 Study Area Shown on Detailed Historical Map of Town of Uxbridge	22
Igui	Archaeological Potential - Overview	23
Figure	e 6 Uxbridge Study Area 300 Meter Buffers Around Features of Historic	0
-	Archaeological Potential	24
Figure	e 7 Coppin's Corners 300 Meter Buffers Around Features of Historic Archaeological Potential	25



STAGE 1 ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT, STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MASTER PLAN UXBRIDGE URBAN AREA TOWNSHIP OF UXBRIDGE, ON

Figure 8	Township of Uxbridge 300 Meter Buffers Around Features of Prehistoric	
	Archaeological Potential - Overview	26
Figure 9	Uxbridge Study Area 300 Meter Buffers Around Features of Prehistoric	
	Archaeological Potential	27

Project Personnel

Archaeology Project Director:	Jim Wilson, MA, Principal, Regional Discipline Leader, Archaeology (P001)
Overall Project Manager	Colin Varley, MA, RPA, Associate, Senior Archaeologist (P002)
Archaeology Task Manager	Colin Varley, MA (P002)
Licensed Archaeologist	Vincent Bourgeois, MA (P381)
Licensed Field Directors:	Vincent Bourgeois, MA (P381)
Report Writer:	Colin Varley, MA (P002)
Senior Review:	Jim Wilson, MA (P001)
Office Assistants:	Nicole Cruikshank, B.Sc. CertGIS
Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport:	Robert von Bitter

Executive Summary

Stantec was retained by the Township of Uxbridge to complete a Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment (AA) as part of an overall Schedule B Class Environmental Assessment for a Stormwater Management Master Plan for the Uxbridge Urban Area. The study area for the Stage 1 AA included Lots 26 to 34 in Concession 6 and 7, and Lots 16 to 18, Concession 4, Geographic Township of Uxbridge. The objectives of the Stage 1 AA were to compile available information about the known and potential archaeological heritage resources within the study area and to provide specific direction for the protection, management and/or recovery of these resources.

The Stage 1 archaeological assessment resulted in the determination that portions of the study areas exhibit high potential for the identification and recovery of archaeological resources. As such, a Stage 2 archaeological assessment will be required for the location of any SWM facilities that are located outside of areas identified as previously disturbed.

The MTCS is asked to review the results presented and accept this report into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports.

The Executive Summary highlights key points from the report only; for complete information and findings, the reader should examine the complete report.

STAGE 1 ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT, STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MASTER PLAN UXBRIDGE URBAN AREA TOWNSHIP OF UXBRIDGE, ON

This page left intentionally blank.

1.0 Project Context

1.1 DEVELOPMENT CONTEXT

The Township of Uxbridge (the Town) chose Stantec Consulting Ltd. to complete the Schedule B Class Environmental Assessment (Class EA) Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) for the Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner. The SWMMP was prepared in accordance with the Comprehensive SWM Master Plan Guidelines, prepared by the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA), dated April 26, 2011, and in accordance with the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Guideline, prepared by the Municipal Engineers Association, dated October 2000 (as amended in 2007 and 2011). As part of the Schedule B Class EA a Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment (AA) was required. The Stage 1 AA was completed by Stantec under Project Information Form (PIF) P381-0014-2014, issued to Vincent Bourgeois under Professional Consultant Licence P381.

The Township of Uxbridge and Coppin's Corners study areas are located south of Lake Simcoe. The Uxbridge study area is generally bounded by Ball Road (north), Concession Road 7 (east), Wagg Road (south), Concession Rd#6 (west). The Coppin's Corners study area is generally bounded by Regional Road HWY 47 (north), Concession Regional Road No. 1 (east), Regional Road No.21 (south) and Concession Road #4 (west). These study areas are the urban areas from the Town's Official Plan and can be seen in Figures 1 and 2. The study area drains to two sub watersheds: Uxbridge Brook and Pefferlaw Brook. There are existing Stormwater Management (SWM) facilities in place that consist of wet ponds. The intent of the SWMMP is to develop the practical and implementable framework which balances the requirements of proposed and existing development with infrastructure requirements, economic, social and environmental constraints and opportunities.

1.1.1 Objectives

For the purposes of this Stage 1 assessment the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport's (MTCS) 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (Government of Ontario 2011) were followed. The objective of the Stage 1 background study is to document the subject properties' archaeological and land use history and present conditions. This information will be used to support recommendations regarding cultural heritage value or interest as well as assessment and mitigation strategies. The Stage 1 research information was drawn from:

- The MTCS' Archaeological Sites Database (ASDB) for a listing of registered archaeological sites within a one kilometre radius of the study area;
- Reports of previous archaeological assessment within a radius of 50 metres around the property;
- Recent and historical maps of the property area;


- Archaeological management plans or other archaeological potential mapping when available; and
- Commemorative plaques or monuments.

1.2 HISTORICAL CONTEXT

1.2.1 Post-Contact Aboriginal Resources

The post-contact Aboriginal occupation of Southern Ontario was heavily influenced by the dispersal of various Iroquoian-speaking communities by the New York State Iroquois and the subsequent arrival of Algonkian-speaking groups from northern Ontario at the end of the 17th century and beginning of the 18th century (Konrad 1981; Schmalz 1991). By 1690, Algonkian speakers from the north appear to have begun to repopulate Bruce County (Rogers 1978:761). This is the period in which the Mississaugas are known to have moved into southern Ontario and the lower Great Lakes watersheds (Konrad 1981).

At the time of European contact there was a trail that ran from the area of Oshawa Harbour to Lake Scugog known as the Scugog Carrying Place (Frost, 1973). From Lake Scugog the trail connected with the lower Trent River Valley and from there up to Lake Simcoe and Georgian Bay.

1.2.2 Euro-Canadian Settler Resources

The Township of Uxbridge was surveyed in 1804 and 1805 by S.S. Wilmot (Farewell, 1907). Once the land was under survey a number of applicants began patenting lands. In 1804 15 patents of 200 acre lots were made (Johnson, 1973). Despite the patenting of those lands, actual settlement of the township was slow in coming. The earliest settlers (1806) in the Township were Elijah Collins in Lot 21, Concession 5, William Gould in Lot 31, Concession 5 and Dr. Christopher Beswick in what is now the Town of Uxbridge near the present day Elgin Pond. Collins and Gould were both Quakers from Pennsylvania, and several Quaker families followed them to Uxbridge by 1808. Dr. Beswick attempted to build a grist mill and saw mill at his property, but before they could be completed he sold his property to Joseph Collins, who completed the construction of both mills in 1809 (Farewell, 1907; Todd, 1980). The earliest road to Uxbridge cut east from Newmarket and ran north of present day Regional Road 8 (Todd, 1980).

Another significant event of 1808 was the birth of Joseph Gould, who became an important figure in the community for both his industrial and commercial enterprises in the town and his political career at both the municipal and provincial levels (Farewell, 1907: Weaver, 1913).

The War of 1812 stopped the influx of American settlers, and in some cases caused some already settled to return, and after the conclusion of the war immigration into Uxbridge Township was slow to return (Todd, 1980). While most immigration into Ontario County prior to the war had been Americans, after the war the influx was largely of British descent (Johnson, 1973). By the time of the 1826 census, the first to separate out the population of Uxbridge , there were only 228



inhabitants, compared to 891 in Pickering Township, 1098 in Whitby Township and 282 in Brock Township, which had only been opened for eight years (Johnson, 1973; Todd, 1980). It was not until 1840 that the population density of Uxbridge Township exceeded 5 persons per square mile (Johnson, 1973). However, between 1840 and 1850 the population of the township grew rapidly from under 700 to 2,289 (Johnson, 1973).

The increase in population also resulted in a significant increase in the area occupied and under cultivation. In 1840 there were 7,913 acres occupied and 2,456 under cultivation. A decade later those numbers had increased to 25,983 and 8,980, respectively. Cultivated area at both census takings was only in the low 30% range (Johnson, 1973). By 1871 the amount of land occupied had increased by 70% to 43,773 acres. The amount under cultivation, however, had increased three-fold to 28,457 acres (Johnson, 1973).

By the time of the 1877 Historical Atlas of Ontario County all of the lots in the township had been taken up and homesteads built (Figure 3) (Beers, 1877). The Uxbridge Township map shows not only the locations of the residences of each lot occupant but also indicates the locations on important public and commercial buildings, including churches, schools, meeting halls and mills. In particular, within the study area there are three churches and a school shown along Concession 6. A saw mill is shown in the east part of Lot 21, Concession 7. There are also cemeteries shown in Lots 28 and 29, Concession 6.

Much of the Uxbridge Urban Area study area was already developed town site by 1877 (Figure 4). Several mills are noted along Uxbridge Creek and near the still extant mill ponds in Elgin Park and on the east side of Toronto Street (Figure 4), and development along the margins of these mill ponds has been less intensive than other parts of the town area (Figures 6 and 9).

The study area at Coppin's Corners has until recently been agricultural in use. Four of the six parcels incorporated in the Coppin's Corners area as shown on the 1877 map are indicated as containing a family residence (Figure 3). However, two of the parcels, the Gould and Cook properties in the east and south-east part of the study area, are not indicated as having any residence and these properties appear to have been used exclusively at that time for agricultural purposes by landowners who resided elsewhere in the township.

1.2.3 Recent Reports

Previous archaeological assessment reports for the study area include reports by Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI, 1996) and by Kim Slocki (Slocki, 2009).

1.3 ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONTEXT

1.3.1 The Natural Environment

The majority of the study area is located within the Oak Ridges Moraine physiographic region (Chapman and Putnam 1984). The Oak Ridges Moraine is a large region that extends from the Niagara Escarpment in the west to the Trent River in the east and forms the height of land that



separates watersheds that drain south into Lake Ontario and north into Georgian Bay and the Trent River. The surface of the moraine is general hilly and comprised of a knob-and-basin relief. Over the whole of the moraine the hills are typically composed of sandy or gravelly material; however in some of the higher elevations around Uxbridge there are till soils that extend above the sand.

The extreme north-eastern corner of the study area is part of the Peterborough Drumlin Field physiographic region, which is a rolling till plain (Chapman and Putnam 1984). In the portions of the plain that border the Oak Ridges Moraine, including the present study area, the till is sandier. Many of the drumlins near the moraine also have coverings of almost stone free fine sand or silt that is likely wind blown off of the moraine (Chapman and Putnam 1984).

The surficial geology of the Uxbridge study area is composed primarily of Pontypool sandy loam, which has good drainage characteristics and is generally rolling to hilly terrain (Olding *et al.*, 1953). Pontypool soils cover almost the entire south half of the study area and a large pocket in the north-west corner. In the north-central and north-west part of the study area the predominant soil type is Dundonald sandy loam, which has good drainage characteristics and is undulating to rolling terrain (Olding *et al.*, 1953). The north-east corner of the study area, the portion in the Peterborough Drumlin Field region, is composed of three soil types: Brighton sandy loam, Schomberg silt loam and Bondhead sandy loam (Olding *et al.*, 1953). All of these soil types also have good drainage characteristics and are nearly level to rolling in terrain. With respect to suitability for farming the Dundonald sandy loam, Schomberg silt loam and Bondhead silt loam are considered to be good to fair crop land (Olding *et al.*, 1953). Brighton sandy loam is considered to be fair crop land and Pontypool sandy loam is a fair to poor crop land (Olding *et al.*, 1953).

The majority of the Coppin's Corners Study Area is Composed of PontyPool sandy loam, with a pocket of Brighton sandy loam at the east end and a pocket of Woburn sandy loam at the west end (Olding *et al.*, 1953). Woburn series soils are well drained and rolling. Of particular note, there have been several aggregate pits located around Coppin's Corners (Olding *et al.*, 1953).

There are relatively few areas of permanently saturated ground in the study area. These are largely restricted to the western boundary of the study area and a few margins along the headwaters of the Uxbridge Brook in the south and west of the study area and the Beaverton River in the east of the study area (Figure 5).

1.3.2 Pre-contact Aboriginal Resources

The following summary of the prehistoric occupation of Southern Ontario (see Table 3-1 for chronological chart) is based on syntheses in ASI (2004), Archaeologix (2008), Bursey *et al.* (n.d.), Ellis and Ferris (1990) and Jacques Whitford (2008).

The first identified human occupation of Ontario begins just after the end of the Wisconsin Glacial period. The first human settlement can be traced back 11,000 years, when this area was

settled by Native groups that had been living south of the emerging Great Lakes. This initial occupation is referred to as the "Palaeo-Indian" archaeological culture.

Table 1 Southern Ontario Prehistoric Cultural Chronology, Years Before Present (BP)				
ARCHAEOLOGICAL PERIOD	TIME	CHARACTERISTICS		
Early Palaeo-Indian	11,000–10,400 BP	caribou and extinct Pleistocene mammal hunters, small camps		
Late Palaeo-Indian	10,400–10,000 BP	smaller but more numerous sites		
Early Archaic	10,000-8,000 BP	slow population growth, emergence of woodworking industry, development of specialised tools		
Middle Archaic	8,000–4,500 BP	environment similar to present, fishing becomes important component of subsistence, wide trade networks for exotic goods		
Late Archaic	4,500-3,100 BP	increasing site size, large chipped lithic tools, introduction of bow hunting		
Terminal Archaic	3,100-2,950 BP	emergence of true cemeteries with inclusion of exotic trade goods		
Early Woodland	2,950-2,400 BP	introduction of pottery, continuation of Terminal Archaic settlement and subsistence patterns		
Middle Woodland	2,400-1,400 BP	increased sedentism, larger settlements in spring and summer, dispersed smaller settlement in fall and winter, some elaborate mortuary ceremonialism		
Transitional Woodland	1,400-1,100 BP	incipient agriculture in some locations, seasonal hunting & gathering		
Late Woodland (Early Iroquoian)	1,100-700 BP	limited agriculture, development of small village settlement, small communal longhouses		
Late Woodland (Middle Iroquoian)	700-600 BP	shift to agriculture as major component of subsistence, larger villages with large longhouses, increasing political complexity		
Late Woodland (Late Iroquoian)	600- 350 BP	very large villages with smaller houses, politically allied regional populations, increasing trading network		

Early Palaeo-Indian (EPI) (11,000-10,400 BP) settlement patterns suggest that small groups, or "bands", followed a pattern of seasonal mobility extending over large territories. Many (although by no means all) of the EPI sites were located on former beach ridges associated with Lake Algonquin, the post-glacial lake occupying the Lake Huron/Georgian Bay basin, and it is likely that the vegetative cover of these areas would have consisted of open spruce parkland, given the cool climatic conditions. Sites tend to be located on well-drained loamy soils, and on elevations in the landscape, such as knolls. The fact that artifact assemblages of EPI sites are composed exclusively of stone skews our understanding of the general patterns of resource extraction and use. However, the taking of large game, such as caribou, mastodon and mammoth, appears to be of central importance to the sustenance of these early inhabitants. Moreover, EPI sites often appear to be located in areas which would have intersected with migratory caribou herds



The Late Palaeo-Indian (LPI) period (10,400-10,000 BP) is poorly understood compared to the EPI, the result of less research focus than the EPI. As the climate warmed, the spruce parkland was gradually replaced and the vegetation of Southern Ontario began to be dominated by closed coniferous forests. As a result many of the large game species that had been hunted in the EPI period either moved north with the more open vegetation, or became extinct. Like the EPI, LPI peoples covered large territories as they moved around to exploit different resources. Palaeo-Indian site clusters closest to the project area include one at the Holland Marsh between Toronto and Lake Simcoe and at Rice Lake to the east of Uxbridge.

The transition from the Palaeo-Indian period to the Archaic archaeological culture of Ontario prehistory is evidenced in the archaeological record by the development of new tool technologies, the result of utilising an increasing number of resources as compared to peoples from earlier archaeological cultures, and developing a broader based series of tools to more intensively exploit those resources. During the Early Archaic period (10,000-8,000 BP), the jack and red pine forests that characterized the LPI environment were replaced by forests dominated by white pine with some associated deciduous elements. Early Archaic projectile points differ from Palaeo-Indian forms most notably by the presence of side and corner notching on their bases. A ground stone tool industry, including celts and axes, also emerges, indicating that woodworking was an important component of the technological development of Archaic peoples. Although there may have been some reduction in the degree of seasonal movement, it is still likely that population density during the Early Archaic was low, and band territories large.

The development of a more diversified tool technology continued into the Middle Archaic period (8,000-4,500 BP). The presence of grooved stone net-sinkers suggests an increase in the importance of fishing in subsistence activities. Another new tool, the bannerstone, also made its first appearance during this period. Bannerstones are ground stone weights that served as counterbalance for "atlatls" or spear-throwers, again indicating the emergence of a new technology. The increased reliance on local, often poor quality chert resources for chipped stone tools suggests that in the Middle Archaic groups inhabited smaller territories that often did not encompass a source of high quality raw material. In these instances lower quality materials which had been glacially deposited in local tills and river gravels were used.

This reduction in territory size appears to have been the result of gradual region-wide population growth, which forced a reorganization of subsistence practices, as more people had to be supported from the resources of a smaller area. Stone tools especially designed for the preparation of wild plant foods suggest that subsistence catchment was being widened and new resources being more intensively exploited. A major development of the later part of the Middle Archaic period was the initiation of long distance trade. In particular, native copper tools manufactured from sources near Lake Superior were being widely traded.

The trend towards decreased territory size and a broadening subsistence base continued during the Late Archaic (4,500-2,900 BP). Late Archaic sites are far more numerous than either Early or Middle Archaic sites. It appears that the increase in numbers of sites at least partly represents an increase in population. However, around 4,500 BP water levels in the Great Lakes began to take their modern form, rising from lower levels in the Early and Middle Archaic periods. It is likely that the relative paucity of earlier Archaic sites is due to their being inundated under rising lake levels.



The appearance of the first true cemeteries occurs during the Late Archaic. Prior to this period individuals were interred close to the location where they died. However, with the advent of the Late Archaic and local cemeteries, individuals who died at a distance from the cemetery would be returned for final burial at the group cemetery, often resulting in disarticulated skeletons, occasionally missing minor bone elements (e.g. finger bones). The emergence of local group cemeteries has been interpreted as being a response to both increased population densities and competition between local groups for access to resources in that cemeteries would have provided symbolic claims over a local territory and its resources.

Increased territoriality and more limited movement are also consistent with the development of distinct local styles of projectile points. The trade networks which began in the Middle Archaic expand during this period, and begin to include marine shell artifacts (such as beads and gorgets) from as far away as the Mid-Atlantic coast. These marine shell artifacts and native copper implements show up as grave goods, indicating the value of the items. Other artifacts such as polished stone pipes and slate gorgets also appear on Late Archaic sites. One of the more unusual of the Late Archaic artifacts is the "birdstone", small, bird-like effigies usually manufactured from green banded slate.

The Early Woodland period (2,900-2,200 BP) is distinguished from the Late Archaic period primarily by the addition of ceramic technology. While the introduction of pottery provides a useful demarcation point for archaeologists, it may have made less difference in the lives of the Early Woodland peoples. The first pots were very crudely constructed, thick walled, and friable. It has been suggested that they were used in the processing of nut oils by boiling crushed nut fragments in water and skimming off the oil. These vessels were not easily portable, and individual pots must not have enjoyed a long use life. There have also been numerous Early Woodland sites located at which no pottery was found, suggesting that these poorly constructed, undecorated vessels had yet to assume a central position in the day-to-day lives of Early Woodland peoples.

Other than the introduction of this rather limited ceramic technology, the life-ways of Early Woodland peoples show a great deal of continuity with the preceding Late Archaic period. For instance, birdstones continue to be manufactured, although the Early Woodland varieties have "pop-eyes" which protrude from the sides of their heads. Likewise, the thin, well-made projectile points which were produced during the terminal part of the Archaic period continue in use. However, the Early Woodland variants were side-notched rather than corner-notched, giving them a slightly altered and distinctive appearance. The trade networks which were established in the Middle and Late Archaic also continued to function, although there does not appear to have been as much traffic in marine shell during the Early Woodland period. These trade items were included in increasingly sophisticated burial ceremonies, some of which involved construction of burial mounds. Such burial sites from this period have been identified near Grenadier Pond and at Baby Point on the Humber River in Toronto

In terms of settlement and subsistence patterns, the Middle Woodland (2,200 B.C.-1,100 BP) provides a major point of departure from the Archaic and Early Woodland periods. While Middle Woodland peoples still relied on hunting and gathering to meet their subsistence requirements, fish were becoming an even more important part of the diet. Middle Woodland vessels are often heavily decorated with hastily impressed designs covering the entire exterior



surface and upper portion of the vessel interior. Consequently, even very small fragments of Middle Woodland vessels are easily identifiable.

It is also at the beginning of the Middle Woodland period that rich, densely occupied sites appear along the margins of major rivers and lakes. While these areas had been utilized by earlier peoples, Middle Woodland sites are significantly different in that the same location was occupied off and on for as long as several hundred years. Because this is the case, rich deposits of artifacts often accumulated. Unlike earlier seasonally utilized locations, these Middle Woodland sites appear to have functioned as base camps, occupied off and on over the course of the year. There are also numerous small upland Middle Woodland sites, many of which can be interpreted as special purpose camps from which localized resource patches were exploited. This shift towards a greater degree of sedentism continues the trend witnessed from at least Middle Archaic times, and provides a prelude to the developments that follow during the Late Woodland period.

Burial ceremonialism appears to reach its zenith in the Middle Woodland period, including the construction of large and elaborate burial mounds, including the Serpent Mound near Rice Lake. Exotic trade goods in large quantities are also associated with Middle Woodland burials.

The relatively brief period of the Transitional Woodland period is marked by the acquisition of cultivar plants species, such as maize and squash, from communities living south of the Great Lakes. The appearance of these plants began a transition to food production, which consequently led to a much reduced need to acquire naturally occurring food resources. Sites were thus occupied for longer periods and by larger numbers of people.

The Late Woodland period in southern Ontario is associated with societies referred to as the Ontario Iroquois Tradition. This period is often divided into three temporal components; Early, Middle and Late Iroquoian (see Table 3.1).

Early Iroquoian peoples continued to practice similar subsistence and settlement patterns as the Transitional Woodland. Villages tended to be small, with small longhouse dwellings that housed either nuclear or, with increasingly, extended families. Smaller camps and hamlets associated with villages served as temporary bases from which wild plant and game resources were acquired. Horticulture appears to have been for the most part a supplement to wild foods, rather than a staple.

The Middle Iroquoian period marks the point at which a fully developed horticultural system (based on corn, bean, and squash) emerged, and at which point cultivars became the staple food source. In this period villages become much larger than in the Early Iroquoian period, and longhouses also become much larger, housing multiple, though related, nuclear families. Food production through horticulture resulted in the abandonment of seasonal mobility that had characterized aboriginal life for millennia. Hunting, fishing, and gathering of wild food activities continued to occur at satellite camps. However, for the most part, most Iroquoian people inhabited large, sometimes fortified villages throughout southern Ontario.

During the Late Iroquoian period longhouses became smaller again, although villages became even larger. Most, if not all, of the Iroquoian communities along the north shore of Lake Ontario had moved by about 1600 either northward, joining with other groups in Simcoe County to form



the Petun and Huron, or westward to join other ancestral groups of the Neutral, situated at the west end of Lake Ontario and the Niagara Peninsula. By the 1660s the New York state based Five Nations Iroquois, in particular the Seneca, were using the central north shore of Lake Ontario for hunting, fishing, and participation in the European fur trade. Their main settlements were located near the mouths of the Humber and Rouge Rivers, two branches of the Toronto Carrying Place which linked Lake Ontario to the upper Great Lakes via Lake Simcoe. The main Seneca settlement at the Rouge River was the village of Ganatsekwyagon. From here travel routes extended to the east and west along the shoreline of Lake Ontario, which in turn led to trading partners still eager to acquire furs. Toward the end of the 17th century Seneca settlements, whose primary trading partners were English, came under increasing pressure from an alliance between the French at Cataraqui (Kingston) and the Ojibwa, Odawa and Potawatomi nations. By 1695 the Seneca had abandoned their villages on the north shore of Lake Ontario and returned to their ancestral homeland in Western New York State. Aboriginal use and occupation of the north shore of Lake Ontario continued until the late 18th and early 19th centuries.

1.3.3 Previously Identified Archaeological Sites and Surveys

In order that an inventory of archaeological resources could be compiled, the registered archaeological site records kept by the MTCS were consulted. In Ontario, information concerning archaeological sites is stored in the ASDB maintained by the MTCS. This database contains archaeological sites registered according to the Borden system. Under the Borden system, Canada is divided into grid blocks based on latitude and longitude. A Borden Block is approximately 13 kilometres east to west and approximately 18.5 kilometres north to south. Each Borden Block is referenced by a four-letter designator and sites within a block are numbered sequentially as they are found. The study area under review is within Borden Block BaGs.

Information concerning specific site locations is protected by provincial policy, and is not fully subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. The release of such information in the past has led to looting or various forms of illegally conducted site destruction. Confidentiality extends to all media capable of conveying location, including maps, drawings, or textual descriptions of a site location. The MTCS will provide information concerning site location to the party or an agent of the party holding title to a property, or to a licensed archaeologist with relevant cultural resource management interests.

An examination of the ASDB has shown that there are at present two registered archaeological sites within a one kilometre radius of the study area (Table 2). Previous archaeological assessment reports for the study area include reports by Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI, 1996) and by Kim Slocki (Slocki, 2009).

Table 2: Registered Archaeological Sites within a One Kilometre Radius of the Study A	rea

Borden #	Name	Cultural Affiliation
BaGs-25	Gould	19 th century Euro-Canadian
BaGs-32	Charlie	19 th century Euro-Canadian



2.0 Field Methods

The Stage 1 archaeological assessment compiled available information about the known and potential archaeological heritage resources within the study area. This Stage 1 archaeological assessment was conducted under archaeological consulting license P381 issued to Vincent Bourgeois, MA, of Stantec by the MTCS. No field visit occurred for this overview report.

3.0 Analysis and Conclusions

Archaeological potential is established by determining the likelihood that archaeological resources may be present on a subject property. Stantec applied archaeological potential criteria commonly used by the Ontario Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (Government of Ontario 2011) to determine areas of archaeological potential within the region under study. These variables include proximity to previously identified archaeological sites, distance to various types of water sources, soil texture and drainage, glacial geomorphology, elevated topography and the general topographic variability of the area.

Distance to modern or ancient water sources is generally accepted as the most important determinant of past human settlement patterns and, considered alone, may result in a determination of archaeological potential. However, any combination of two or more other criteria, such as well-drained soils or topographic variability, may also indicate archaeological potential. Finally, extensive land disturbance can eradicate archaeological potential (Wilson and Horne, 1995).

Distance to water is an essential factor in archaeological potential modeling. When evaluating distance to water it is important to distinguish between water and shoreline, as well as natural and artificial water sources, as these features affect sites locations and types to varying degrees. The MTCS (Government of Ontario 2011) categorizes water sources in the following manner:

- Primary water sources: lakes, rivers, streams, creeks;
- Secondary water sources: intermittent streams and creeks, springs, marshes and swamps;
- Past water sources: glacial lake shorelines, relic river or stream channels, cobble beaches, shorelines of drained lakes or marshes; and
- Accessible or inaccessible shorelines: high bluffs, swamp or marshy lake edges, sandbars stretching into marsh.

The study area is widely intersected by several small arms of the headwaters of the Uxbridge Creek, and in the north-east part by headwaters of the Beaverton River. While there are several ponds along these headwaters these are the result of damming of the watercourses and in their present form should not be considered to reflect natural hydrogrophy.

Soil texture can be an important determinant of past settlement, usually in combination with other factors such as topography. The study area is characterized by well drained sandy loam or



silty loam soils of variable quality for crop production. Any of these soils would have been suitable for pre-contact Aboriginal horticulture, and much of the study area has been used for cultivation over the last 150 years. As such there are no specific areas of greater archaeological potential based on soil texture.

For Euro-Canadian sites, archaeological potential can be extended to areas of early Euro-Canadian settlement, including places of military or pioneer settlements; early transportation routes; properties listed on the municipal register or designated under the *Ontario Heritage Act*; and property that local histories or informants have identified with possible historical events, activities or occupations. The study area and its environs are located within an area with a record of settlement and growth in the 19th century, and the archaeological potential could be high.

When the above listed criteria are applied to the study area, the archaeological potential for Aboriginal and historic Euro-Canadian sites is deemed to be high for portions of the Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner study areas (Figures 5-9). Within much of the Town of Uxbridge itself, some sub-urban areas to the north and south of Uxbridge, and in the Coppin's Corners study area that contains the golf course and developed area in the south-east corner, the archaeological potential is considered low due to extensive and deep modern disturbances. These activities have subjected the study area to extensive and deep land alterations which would have severely damaged the integrity of any archaeological resources, thus removing archaeological potential as per Section 7.7.3 Standard 2 of the *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists*.

4.0 Recommendations

Stantec was retained by the Township of Uxbridge to complete a Stage 1 AA as part of an overall Schedule B Class Environmental Assessment for a Stormwater Management Master Plan for the Uxbridge Urban Area. The study area for the Stage 1 AA included Lots 26 to 34 in Concession 6 and 7, and Lots 16 to 18, Concession 4 Geographic Township of Uxbridge.

The Stage 1 archaeological assessment resulted in the determination that portions of the study areas exhibit high potential for the identification and recovery of archaeological resources. As such, a Stage 2 archaeological assessment will be required for the location of any SWM facilities that are located outside of areas identified as previously disturbed.

Figures 5 to 9 identify areas where 300 m buffer zones have been applied to MTCS criteria for determining archaeological potential. In all areas covered by these buffer zones all Stage 2 AA must be carried out at 5 m survey intervals whether by pedestrian survey of open ploughed fields or test pit excavation survey in areas that are wooded and/or inaccessible to ploughing. For areas outside of these buffer zones pedestrian survey must still be carried out at 5 m survey intervals. The interval for test pit excavation survey outside of these buffer zones can be extended to 10 m.



Stage 2 AA by pedestrian survey will include the systematic walking of open ploughed fields at five metre intervals as outlined in Section 2.1.1 of the MTCS' 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (Government of Ontario 2011). The MTCS standards further require that all agricultural land, both active and inactive, be recently ploughed and sufficiently weathered to improve the visibility of archaeological resources. Ploughing must be deep enough to provide total topsoil exposure, but not deeper than previous ploughing, and must be able to ensure at least 80% ground surface visibility.

For Stage 2 AA conducted by test pit excavation will follow methodologies as outlined in Section 2.1.2 of the MTCS' 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (Government of Ontario 2011). The MTCS standards require that each test pit be approximately 30 centimetres in diameter, excavated to at least five centimetres in to subsoil, and have all soil screened through six millimetre hardware cloth to facilitate the recovery of any cultural material that may be present. Prior to backfilling, each test pit will be examined for stratigraphy, cultural features, or evidence of fill.

Should any areas of disturbance or features indicating that archaeological potential have been removed (for example, permanently wet areas, existing roads, and previous pipeline land alterations) not previously identified during the Stage 1 assessment be encountered during the Stage 2 archaeological assessment, they will be documented as outlined in Section 2.1.8 of the MTCS' 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (Government of Ontario 2011).

The Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport is asked to review the results presented and to accept this report into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports. Additional archaeological assessment is required; hence the study area remains subject to Section 48(1) of the Ontario Heritage Act (Government of Ontario 1990b) and may not be altered, or have artifacts removed from them, except by a person holding an archaeological license.



5.0 Advice on Compliance with Legislation

This report is submitted to the Ontario Minister of Tourism, Culture and Sport as a condition of licensing in accordance with Part VI of the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, c 0.18 (Government of Ontario 1990c). The report is reviewed to ensure that it complies with the standards and guidelines that are issued by the Minister, and that the archaeological fieldwork and report recommendations ensure the conservation, protection and preservation of the cultural heritage of Ontario. When all matters relating to archaeological sites within the project area of a development proposal have been addressed to the satisfaction of the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport, a letter will be issued by the ministry stating that there are no further concerns with regard to alterations to archaeological sites by the proposed development.

It is an offence under Sections 48 and 69 of the Ontario Heritage Act for any party other than a licensed archaeologist to make any alteration to a known archaeological site or to remove any artifact or other physical evidence of past human use or activity from the site, until such time as a licensed archaeologist has completed fieldwork on the site, submitted a report to the Minister stating that the site has no further cultural heritage value or interest, and the report has been filed in the Ontario Public Register of Archaeology Reports referred to in Section 65.1 of the Ontario Heritage Act.

Should previously undocumented archaeological resources be discovered, they may be a new archaeological site and therefore subject to Section 48(1) of the Ontario Heritage Act. The proponent or person discovering the archaeological resources must cease alteration of the site immediately and engage a licensed consultant archaeologist to carry out archaeological fieldwork, in compliance with Section 48(1) of the Ontario Heritage Act.

The Cemeteries Act, R.S.O. 1990 c. C.4 and the Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act, 2002, S.O. 2002, c.33 (when proclaimed in force) require that any person discovering human remains must notify the police or coroner and the Registrar of Cemeteries at the Ontario Ministry of Consumer Services.



6.0 Bibliography and Sources

ASI (Archaeological Services Inc), 1996. An Archaeological Assessment of Phases 5a, 5b & 5c, Proposed Quaker Village Subdivision 18T-94016, Part Lots 31 & 32, Concession 6, Township of Uxbridge, R. M. of Durham, Ontario. Report on file with the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport, Toronto, Ontario.

---2004. A Master Plan of Archaeological Resources for the City of Toronto, Interim Report. Report prepared for the City of Toronto, Heritage Preservation Services, Culture Division.

Archaeologix. 2008. Archaeological Assessment (Stage 1) Shell Proposed Refinery Project, St. Clair Township, Lambton County, Ontario. Report prepared for Jacques Whitford Limited, Markham, Ontario

Beers, J.H., 1877. Illustrated Historical Atlas of the County of Ontario, Ont. Toronto: J.H. Beers & Co.

Bursey, Jeff, Hugh Daechsel, Andrew Hinshelwood and Carl Murphy, n.d.. *The Archaeology of Ontario*. Toronto: Ontario Archaeological Society.

Chapman, L.J. and D.F. Putnam. 1984. *The Physiography of Southern Ontario*. 3rd Edition. Ontario Geological Survey, Special Volume 2. Toronto: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

Ellis, Chris J., and Neal Ferris (eds.), 1990. The Archaeology of Southern Ontario to A.D. 1650. Occasional Publication of the London Chapter, Ontario Archaeological Society, Number 5.

Farewell, J.E., 1907. County of Ontario: Short Notes as to Early Settlement and Progress of the County. Whitby, ON.: Whitby Gazette-Chronicle Press.

Frost, Leslie M., 1973. Forgotten Pathways of the Trent. Don Mills, ON: Burns & MacEachern Limited.

Government of Ontario. 2011. Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. Toronto: Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport.

Government of Ontario. n.d. Archaeological Sites Database Files. Toronto: Culture Services Unit, Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport.

Jacques Whitford. 2008. Stage 1 Archaeological Impact Assessment - Interconnecting and Third Party Pipelines. Report prepared for Shell Canada Products, Sarnia.

Johnson, Leo A., 1973. History of the County of Ontario, 1615-1875. Whitby, ON.: Corporation of the County of Ontario.



Konrad, Victor. 1981. An Iroquois Frontier: the North Shore of Lake Ontario during the Late Seventeenth Century. *Journal of Historical Geography* 7(2).

Olding, A.B., R.E. Wicklund and N.R. Richards, 1957. *Soil Survey of Ontario County*. Report No. 23 of the Ontario Soil Survey. Guelph: Experimental Farms Service, Canada Department of Agriculture and the Ontario Agricultural College.

Rogers, Edward S. 1978. Southeastern Ojibwa. In Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 15 Northeast, pp. 760-771. B.G. Trigger, ed. Washington: Smithsonian Institution.

Schmalz, Peter S. 1991. The Ojibwa of Southern Ontario. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Slocki, K., 2009. Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment of The Historic Charlie Site (BaGs-32) within the Proposed Development at 164 Cemetery Road, Part of Lots 26, 27, Concession 6, Town of Uxbridge, Regional Municipality of Durham, Ontario. Report on file with the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport, Toronto, Ontario.

Todd, Eleanor, 1980. Burrs and Blackberries from Goodwood. Goodwood, ON.: Eleanor Todd.

Weaver, Emily P., 1913. The Story of the Counties of Ontario. Toronto: Bell and Cockburn.

Wilson, J.A and M. Horne. 1995. City of London Archaeological Master Plan. London: City of London, Department of Planning and Development.

7.0 Maps

All maps will follow on succeeding pages.



Coordinate System:
 Base features produced under license with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources @ Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2013.

Study Area





- Coordinate System:
 Base features produced under license with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources @ Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2013.
 Orthoimagery © First Base Solutions, 2012.

Legend Study Area Client/Project Township of Uxbridge Archaeological Stage 1 Investigation

Figure No. 2

Title

Study Area **Current Conditions**

650000 645000 Hi contra Davis Drive C.C. Foures 18 N J.WR.son . Iter C Dan Standy T. Winth " * Inha Fogg S.Maynett Friguland K. Frigh £. 18. S. S Char ISSEA EBUSCIA Uxbridge 1. Johnsto eisen 18. Wes Ferguson Hill 6.1 Will Decat Her Perguson Swith MONT 11.60 J.D. Gould Weddifield SEPtorns Rost Hays C. Could J. Gould 1 r. Ball Alinka inst C.Gauld Hagh M 4885000 1034 John Ala Ferner THatchiason 298. R.While selit BEBrent BatrBenger 110 JLBrent R 1499 68 JT Bilber 11 J. Kell ington J.Gent Arren James Inchiesent Linne Geo Rear S. Hall 1. Case IW. Jones. dis A.Cassie 24 Sucken Read IPTLES D.O.Breek Hamilton AShell 5.Widema H. Inme J.Wei EVanlaven S. Boy Manley T.Phillips H+ W. M. Gend Mars 1.1820 K Lept ABagshaw TAB Booth ETho D'D 22: J. Lund 10 H Wagas W m Harmitten 6.Wherter Rea J.Ewen S.Hall Hall J.Railsen Jak, Milne J.Weir St J.Ren Wan Beare Gould St tins Mari 21 S Berrett . HOTER 50 . J.Ashenhurst Shell Sebeek. p C.Daws wheeler C.Gamble Aplank RPatterson ARCid Reid Jas Reid stille Thes BEATMEN Hockley K-Hajor Collins sile r. Gould Rob Reid Sugnam SCH 1.Vance A.Blueman Reid Daniel Roteing Mr#6 Smith Alex Con Reids Qualo J. Palme J.Bewli ADAS Cunninghas Coppin's at. Alpett Carra LRR 0000 .V.Young 20 E Bowles W.Walson 3841 JANON Corners EForsyth 11 DR Jus Regional Ro 2 + S Blackburg. 51-54 100 Sami Sharrard Mille 8 . 18 14-10 HEINTA S. Step 123. syth Br Milchell Willer & Arshil D. Burkholde? J.E.Gould WHaigh IR Stouffer " withher LIXT Alex D.Rusnell IBF0. W.Wagg E.Rusnell SHCaak with a J.Albright 14 Hilchell E.Pilkes Beatty 15 We Fariyth 81 9 m H 38% * pRI Smith Links d OSA Weez 7.De S. Wood ad. C.L. e.22 412 chs * Waddell Bapak WEAL 640 1,280 531 0 Watt MizBeam m) ? * 000W000 Echinson G Th E.H'cob into THE 1:50.000 Kaich Petty 645000 650'000

V.\0)1225/active/other_pc/1602177/Juxbridge/GIS/MXD/Archaeology/160621777_Arch_Fig03_HistoricalMap.mxd Revised: 201404.48y: recruitshant



Notes

1. Coordinate System:

 Map: Illustrated historical atlas of the county of Ontario, Ont. Toronto : J.H. Beers & Co., 1877. Client/Project Township of Uxbridge Archaeological Stage 1 Investigation

April 2014 Project No. 160621777

Figure No. 3

Title

Study Area Shown on 1877 Historical Map of Township of Uxbridge

			650000	•		
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Lane between Lots 32 of	1 2 2 9 2 8 9 9 00+	NT A		
	NORTH	としたのでののののであっているのでののののでのです。	5 T	45 50 5 Mioming	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198
	622 624 624 624 624 623 625 625 625 625 625 625 625 625 625 625	577 557 558 558 558 558 558 558 558 558	100	110 +10 h	ANNIE	
	616 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 742 9 7 8 9 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	HI 46 J	1997 - 19	294 294 294 295 295 295 295 295 295 295 295 295 295
LOT 32			5T	35 40 34 39	AA 430 44 551 44 551 540 552 540 550 540 550 540 540 550 540 540 540 540 540 540 540 540 540	2487
	614 617 9 619 9 619 591 592 592 592 592 592 592 592 592 592 592	5 25 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5			ontario	
	600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600	7557 7559 7559 7559 7559 7559 75567 7556 75567 7556 7556 7556 7556 7556 7556 7556 7556 7556	1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 199	15 30 B	138 444 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200
	JONATHAN			5 229 5 224 29		2227 272 272 272 272 272 272 272 272 27
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 7 7 8 9 9 9 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		STERLING ST	1022 1022 1022 1022 1022 1022 1022 1022	200 2740 2740 2740 2740 2740 2740 2740 2
	2 Zf 22 R3 24 25 26 27	20 30 31 32 33 34 35 32 37 38 39 4	2 21 42 543	15 19 13 20 2	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
	MAPLE		ST I	GOULD ST.E. 9	BLAKE	62 65 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55
	40 55 25 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	77777777777777777777777777777777777777	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	3 13 19 36 80 7 2 12 10 13 38 39	100 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	E Class E Class E Class E 60 E 60 E 60 E 60 E 60 E 60 E 73 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	51 45 52 45 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 55 52 55 55	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ALL		9 tat 2 Str DOMINIO	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N
LOT 31	128 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		ST S ST	2 4 64 1 3 5 63 67	67 69 69 70 77 74 74 74 74 74 74 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	847 847 846 846 846 846 846 846 846 846 846 846
	1 120 × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×		A STATE OF C C	7 70 6 71 5 72		
	432 173 1-6 481 162 433 447 145 463 464	ALBERT	ST SA ST ST	4 13 3 74		
<u> </u>	BROCK	BrocksStreet-West	AN CORRECT	2 4 75 76 71. 73 80 81 82	as & a+ as so at as	88 97 33 88 100 ron 9 rodrastoe 103
	238 239 239 239 239 239 239 239 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230	2212122 22122 2212 2212 221 2212 2212 221 2212 2212	T Say to J. D. D.	80 +9 31 82 21 24 25 26 27 28	29 2 30 31 32 33 24 35 2 38 37 38 39 40 41	42 43 4+ 45 +6 47 E +3 48 50 34
	242 274 279 285 208 2+3 876 278 287 280	237 300 V 100 311 E 8 2 100 ST 210 ANIC ST 21 A	The second second	13 101 A 2 P 17 401 A 2 P		
	246 271 284 284 284 245 COLLINS 246 314 318 426 329	$\begin{array}{c c} 2399 \\ \hline \\ 2399 \\ \hline \\ 309 \\ \hline \\ 300 \\ \hline \\ 309 \\ \hline \\ 300 \\$		15 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14		-
	2+1 3+5 320 2 325 330 2+4 3+6 321 4 325 330	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\begin{array}{c} 13\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12$	R	
L.OT 30	249 250 348 329 348 329 0 348 329 0 321 321 321 321 321 327 317 321 317 321 4 317 321 4 317 317 317 317 317 317 317 317 317 317	$\begin{array}{c} 337 & 517 \\ 338 & 747 \\ \hline 338 & 747 \\ \hline 348 & 547 \\ \hline 348 & 547 \\ \hline \end{array}$		2		7 7 7
	A 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238	1717 1844 200 1917 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Main-S	2 8 139 2 7 139	J. H	PUQTUI
	234 4 336 481 264 375 235 4 389 1932 4 255 10 389 1932 1 255 10 376	0 <u>19</u> 336 357 337 19 306 357 377 19 307	HALL AND	6		
	250 100 144 310 318 251 1447 311 377 258 PERRY	57 57 55 57 55 57 55 57 55 55 55 55 55 5		3 +87 +85 2 +86 +87	14 - D	
	224 330 331 331 327 40,0 ***			1 E		
	264 394 394 434 434 4 393 394 495 405 4 394 405 404 4 404 4	TOULDVILLE ORIGENA				
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	262 CHERRY ST 047 4	22 Constant and the second and the s		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		
36.	263 + 52 UO 53 + 54 	W/LSON	ST.		The Drin	hard. B
		10 0 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	547 1 4r 930 342 b	and is	entre Beach	neur a D
		1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	310 531 340 534 541 535	20	1 Street	
	WW State		542 536 ST .		23 24 D D 25	
	268 455	111 HIHE 250 255 257 257 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	575 578 578 STA		22 1. 91 11	28
	the second set of the second set of all of	Line Deliveen Lots N	28 & 20	× 1	7.4 ° E	The Martin Barris and a state of the
	450000			4995		



Legend Study Area

Notes

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N
 Map: Illustrated historical atlas of the county of Ontario, Ont. Toronto : J.H. Beers & Co., 1877.









- Registered Archaeological Site
- Historic Archaeological Potential Study Region

Legend

- Study Area
- Built up Areas Previously disturbed
- Permanently Saturated Area Wooded Area

Client/Project

Township of Uxbridge Archaeological Stage 1 Investigation Figure No. 5

Title Township of Uxbridge 300 Meter Buffers Around **Features of Historic** Archaeological Potential -Overview

April 2014 Project No. 160621777

Notes

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N
 Base features produced under license with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources @ Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2013.



V:\01225\active\other_pc\160621777_uxbridge\GIS\MXD\Archaeology\160621777_Arch_Fig06_HistoricFeatures_UxbridgeDetail.mxd Revised: 2014-04-04 By: ncuekshank



Notes

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N
 Base features produced under license with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources @ Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2013.

Legend



Historic Archaeological Potential



- Study Area
- Built up Areas Previously disturbed



Client/Project Township of Uxbridge Archaeological Stage 1 Investigation Figure No. 6 Title

April 2014 Project No. 160621777

Uxbridge Study Area 300 Meter Buffers Around Features of Historic **Archaeological Potential**





Coordinate System: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N
 Base features produced under license with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources @ Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2013.

Legend



Historic Archaeological Potential



- Built up Areas Previously disturbed
- Permanently Saturated Area



Client/Project Township of Uxbridge Archaeological Stage 1 Investigation Figure No. 7 Title

April 2014 Project No. 160621777

Coppin's Corners 300 Meter Buffers Around **Features of Historic Archaeological Potential**





 Coordinate System: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N
 Base features produced under license with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources @ Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2013.

Legend





- Built up Areas Previously disturbed
- Permanently Saturated Area



Client/Project

Township of Uxbridge Archaeological Stage 1 Investigation

Figure No.

8

Title

Township of Uxbridge 300 Meter Buffers Around Features of Prehistoric Archaeological Potential -Overview





1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N Base features produced under license with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources © Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2013.

Legend





Area of Prehistoric Archaeological



- Study Area
- Built up Areas Previously disturbed Permanently Saturated Area
- Wooded Area

Client/Project

Township of Uxbridge Archaeological Stage 1 Investigation

Figure No. 9

Title Uxbridge Study Area **300 Meter Buffers Around Features of Historic and Prehistoric Archaeological Potential**

8.0 Closure

This report has been prepared for the sole benefit of the Township of Uxbridge and may not be used by any third party without the express written consent of Stantec Consulting Ltd. and Township of Uxbridge. Any use which a third party makes of this report is the responsibility of such third party.

We trust this report meets your current requirements. Please do not hesitate to contact us should you require further information or have additional questions about any facet of this report.

Yours truly,

Colin Varley, MA Associate, Senior Archaeologist Tel: (613) 722-4420 Fax: (613) 722-2799 Colin.Varley@Stantec.com

m

Jim Wilson, MA Principle, Regional Discipline Leader, Archaeology Tel: (613) 722-4420 Fax: (613) 722-2799 Jim.Wilson@Stantec.com

Appendix D EXISTING CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING



Existing Conditions VO2 Schematic



Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area

Hydrologic Modeling Parameters and Revisions Project No. 160621777 December 2014

Subwatershed	ID	Unit Hydrograph	Area in 2009	LSRCA Model	Stantec Up	dated Area	Timp	Ximp	CN	Averag from 2009 L	e Slope SRCA model	Stantec Williams Initial Tp ¹	2009 LSRCA TP Multiplier	Stantec Tp Calibrated	Calibrated Tp in 2009 LSRC/ Model ²	A Revison to LSRCA Model
			(ha)	(miles ²)	(ha)	(miles ²)	(%)	(%)		%	(ft/mile)	(hrs)		(hrs)	Widder	
	2050	STANHYD	75.60	0.29	89.70	0.35	0.40	0.25	70	-	-				-	Revised area
1032	1032	NASHYD	650.21	2.51	610.08	2.36	-	-	70	4.09%	215.95	0.622	3 75	2.33	2.49	Revised area
1052	SI	IN/	725.81	2.80			_	_	_	1 09%	215 95	0.665	5.75	2.49		
	50			-	699.78	2.70	_	_	_	4.0576	215.55	0.656		2.46	_	Updated Tp for NASHYD 1032
	2031	STANHYD	28.90	0.11	55.98	0.22	0.55	0.35	59	-	-				-	Revised area, updated Timp and Ximp
1040	1040	NASHYD	29.98	0.12	14.62	0.06	-	-	59	6.10%	322.08	0.119	3 75	0.45	0.76	Revised area
1040	SI	IN A	58.88	0.23		-	_	_	_	6 10%	372.08	0.205	5.75	0.77	_	
	50	111		-	70.60	0.27	_	_	_	0.1078	522.08	0.220		0.82	_	Updated Tp for NASHYD 1040
	2020	STANHYD	25.7	0.10	24.78	0.10	0.60	0.40	58	-	-		_		-	Revised area, updated Timp and Ximp, catchment now routed through a pond
	2021	STANHYD	510 13	2.00	70.42	0.27	0.55	0.35	58	-	-		_		-	New catchment to represent uncontrolled urban areas
1044	1044	NASHYD	515.15	2.00	443.50	1.71	-	-	58	7.81%	412.37	0.397	6.60	2.62	2.84	Revised area
	SI	M	544.83	2.10		-	_	_	-	7 81%	412 37	0.430	_	2.84		
	50			-	538.70	2.08				7.0170	412.57	0.429		2.83		Updated Tp for NASHYD 1044
	2010	STANHYD	13.8	0.05	22.70	0.09	0.40	0.25	58	-	-				-	Revised area
	2011	STANHYD	31	0.12	40.62	0.16	0.40	0.25	58	-	-		_		-	Revised area
1045	2012	NASHYD	204.2	0.79	26.45	0.10	-	-	58	1.80%	95.28	0.275	6 60	1.82	-	Added for future residential
1045	1045	NASHYD	204.2	0.75	170.73	0.66	-	-	58	7.20%	380.16	0.285	0.00	1.88	2.17	Revised area
	SI	IN A	249.00	0.96		-	_	_	_	7 20%	380.16	0.330	_	2.18		
	50			-	260.50	1.01	_	_	_	7.20%	580.10	0.336		2.22	_	Updated Tp for NASHYD 1045
	9146	NASHYD	369.57	1.43	369.57	1.43	-	-	55	8.20%	432.96	0.361	3.50	1.26	1.20	No change
1046	9246	NASHYD	54.89	0.21	54.89	0.21	-	-	65	8.20%	432.96	0.172	3.50	0.60	0.60	No change
	1046	NASHYD	672.95	2.60	672.95	2.60	-	-	59	8.20%	432.96	0.456	6.15	2.81	2.80	No change
1047	-	NASHYD	479.57	1.85	479.57	1.85	-	-	59	7.54%	398.11	0.417	6.60	2.75	2.73	No change
	2040	STANHYD	169.2	0.65	145.27	0.56	0.40	0.25	71	-	-				-	Revised Area
	2041	STANHYD	100.5	0.05	82.05	0.32	0.45	0.30	71	-	-				-	New catchment to represent uncontrolled urban areas
1050	2042	NASHYD	E02 7	2 20	54.50	0.21	-	-	71	3.51%	185.37	0.262	2 75	0.98	-	Added for future residential
1059	1059	NASHYD	592.7	2.29	487.62	1.88	-	-	71	5.68%	299.90	0.483	5.75	1.81	2.16	Revised Area
	CI		761.00	2.94		-				5.68%	200 00	0.575		2.16		
	30	111		-	769.44	2.97	-	-	-	5.0670	233.30	0.577		2.17	-	Updated Tp for NASHYD 1059
1060	-	NASHYD	406.96	1.57	406.96	1.57	-	-	60	5.77%	304.66	0.447	2.60	1.16	1.16	No change

Notes: 1. Williams Tp = 6.54 * A ^ 0.39 * S ^ -0.5 Where A = Area in Square Miles and S = Slope in feet / mile.

2. In the 2009 LSRCA model, the Tp was calculated based on the entire area of the subwatershed (both STANDHYD and NASHYD area) not just the NASHYD area. The same modeling approach has been followed in this assessment.

Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area

Weighted Imperviousness Project No. 160621777

Project No. 160621 December 2014

Catchment 2020

	% Imporvious	Area (ba)	Weighted
	76 Impervious	Alea (IIa)	% Impervious
Residential	40%	15.08	0.24
Commercial	85%	9.70	0.33
Green Space	25%	0.00	0.00
	TOTAL	24.78	58%

Catchment 2021

	% Importations	Area (ba)	Weighted
	% impervious	Area (na)	% Impervious
Residential	40%	48.30	0.27
Commercial	85%	22.12	0.27
Green Space	25%	0.00	0.00
	TOTAL	70.42	54%

Catchment 2031

	% Importious	Area (ba)	Weighted
	% impervious	Alea (IIa)	% Impervious
Residential	40%	39.06	0.28
Commercial	85%	16.92	0.26
Green Space	25%	0.00	0.00
	TOTAL	55.98	54%

Catchment 2040

	% Importations	Area (ba)	Weighted
	% impervious	Area (IIa)	% Impervious
Residential	40%	124.75	0.34
Commercial	85%	0.00	0.00
Green Space	25%	20.52	0.04
	TOTAL	145.27	38%

Catchment 2041

	% Imponious	Area (ha)	Weighted
	% impervious	Area (na)	% Impervious
Residential	40%	73.11	0.36
Commercial	85%	8.94	0.09
Green Space	25%	0.00	0.00
	TOTAL	82.05	45%

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ _____ v V I SSSSS U U A CALIB v v I SS U U A A L SS U U AAAAA L STANDHYD (2050) Area (ha)= 89.70 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 v v ID= 1 DT=15.0 min v v I SS U A A SSSSS UUUUU A A LLLLL IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) vv т Surface Area (ha)= 35.88 53.82 000 TTTTT TTTTT H Н Ү Ү М М 000 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 T T H H Y MM MM 0 0 T T H H Y MM MM 0 0 T T H H Y M M 0 0 T T H H Y M M 000 0 0 Average Slope (%) = 1 00 1 00 0 0 773.30 40.00 Length (m) = 000 Mannings n .250 .013 15.59 Developed and Distributed by Clarifica Inc. Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57.68 Copyright 1996, 2007 Clarifica Inc. over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 10.86 (ii) 29.14 (ii) All rights reserved. 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .08 .04 ***** DETAILED OUTPUT ***** *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.96 1.59 3.942 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 Input filename: C:\Program Files (x86)\Visual OTTHYMO 2.3.3\voin.dat RUNOFF VOLUME 43.20 13.50 20.93 (mm) = Output filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.70 43.70 43.70 .48 Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Existing.ou RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .31 Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Existing.su ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL DATE: 12/11/2014 TIME: 1:59:52 PM THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. USER: (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. COMMENTS: _ CALTR STANDHYD (2031) Area (ha)= 55.98 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 ***** IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 1 ** 2-Year Storm 25.19 2.50 Surface Area (ha)= 30.79 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 610.90 40 00 Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans MASS STORM Mannings n = .013 .250 \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst 57.68 Ptotal = 43.70 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 14.07 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Storage Coeff. (min)= 9.43 (ii) 28.47 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= Mass curve time step = 15.00 min 15.00 30.00 .09 .04 TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW 2.70 .68 3.117 (iii) hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr (cms)= . 25 .96 3.25 1.75 6.25 7.87 9.25 1.33 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 .50 1.01 3.50 1.75 6.50 7.87 9.50 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 43.20 10.73 22.09 1.22 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = . 75 1.03 3.75 1.75 6.75 4.16 9.75 1.17 43.70 43.70 43.70 1.00 1.07 4.00 1.75 7.00 2.83 10.00 1.19 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .25 .51 7.25 1.15 1.25 1.12 4.25 2.41 2.62 10.25 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! 1.50 1.15 4.50 2.83 2.62 10.50 1.75 1.17 4.75 3.16 7.75 2.62 10.75 1.00 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: 2.00 1.22 5.00 3.83 8.00 2.62 11.00 .93 5.25 8.25 CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL 2.25 1.22 5.24 2.24 11.25 .82 2.50 1.22 5.50 5.24 8.50 1.92 11.50 .75 2 75 1 31 5 75 20 98 8 75 1 68 11 75 66 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT 3.00 1.49 6.00 57.68 9.00 1.49 12.00 .59 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB CALIB (1032) Area (ha)= 610.08 Curve Number (CN)= 70.0 Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 STANDHYD (2020) Area (ha)= 24.78 NASHYD ID= 1 DT=15.0 min ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.46 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Unit Hyd Opeak (cms)= 9.472 Surface Area (ha)= 14.87 9.91 Dep. Storage (mm) = 10.00 2.50 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.298 (i) Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.000 Length (m)= 406.40 40.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 8.387 Mannings n .013 .250 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 57.68 14.61 .192 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= over (min) 15.00 30.00 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.38 (ii) 26.14 (ii)

Page 1

Page 2

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .04 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.45 .29 1.627 (jii) TUMF TO PEAK (brs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00	
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 33.70 10.73 19.92 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.70 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .77 .25 .46	IDENTIFICATION IN TOTAL I IMP(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Surface Area (ha)= 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 389.00 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57.68 10.35 over (min) 15.00 30.00
	Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.19 (ii) 28.72 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .04
CALIE CALIE STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 Length (m)= 68.20	*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= .83 .27 .999 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 43.20 9.21 17.71 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .21 .41 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57.68 13.62 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 10.10 (ii) 29.39 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (cms)= .09 .04	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 I a = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.32 .82 3.818 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 33.70 10.40 18.56 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .77 .24 .42	CALIB CALIB STANDH7D (2011) Area (ha) = 40.62 ID = 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.</pre>	Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 520.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57.68 10.35
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	over (min) 15.00 45.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.56 (ii) 30.09 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 45.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .03
NASHYD (1044) Area (na)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= ID=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.83 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 5.986	$ \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{PEAR FIOW} & (\text{Cms}) = & 1.43 & .44 & 1.613 & (111) \\ \text{TIME TO PEAR} & (\text{hrs}) = & 6.00 & 6.50 & 6.00 \\ \text{RUNOFF VOLUME} & (\text{mm}) = & 43.20 & 9.21 & 17.71 \\ \text{TOTAL RATINFALL} & (\text{mm}) = & 43.70 & 43.70 \\ \text{RUNOFF COEFFICIENT} & .99 & .21 & .41 \\ \end{array} $
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .163 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 12.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (rmm)= .950 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .022 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>
CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= 170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.22	CALIB Area (ha)= 26.45 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)=
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .555
PEAK FLOW (cmm) = .069 (1) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 11.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = .950 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .022	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .011 (1) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= .950 TOTAL RATURALL (mm)= 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .022
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

Page 3

Page 4
V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB NASHYD (1047) Area (ha)= 479.57 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min CALTR Area (ha)= 487.62 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.75 NASHYD (1059) Ia (mm) = 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs) = 2.17 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 6.661 186 (i) Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 8.583 DEAK FLOW (cmg) = TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 12.000 RUNOFF VOLUME PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.081 (i) (mm) = .987 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 8.697 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .023 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .199 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB
 NASHYD
 (9146)
 Area
 (ha)= 369.57
 Curve Number
 (CN)= 55.0

 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min
 Ia
 (mm)= 25.00
 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00

 ------ U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.20
 CALTR STANDHYD (2041) Area (ha) = 82.05 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min | Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 11.763 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) PEAK FLOW (cms)= .306 (i) Surface Area (ha)= 36.92 45.13 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 1.544 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.700 .50 Dep. Storage (mm) = 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 739.60 40.00 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .035 Mannings n .013 .250 = (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57 68 27 85 15.00 30.00 over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= 10.58 (ii) 25.07 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 15.00 30.00 CALIB .09 .04 (9246) NASHYD Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW 3.27 1.59 4.273 (iii) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min (cms)= ----- U.H. Tp(hrs)= .60 TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.20 43.70 14.58 43.70 23.16 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .33 .53 DEAK FLOW (cmg) = .088 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 7.000 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 2.245 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 2.245 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .051 CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB (1046) CALTB STANDHYD (2040) Area (ha)= 145.27 |ID= 1 DT=15.0 min | Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.180 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 58.11 87.16 PEAK FLOW (cms) = .259 (i) Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 12.000 1.00 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = .987 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 Length (m)= 984.10 40.00 Mannings n .013 .250 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .023 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57.68 26.99 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. over (min) 15 00 30 00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 12.55 (ii) 27.22 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .08 .04 CALTR *TOTALS* NASHYD (1040) Area (ha)= 14.62 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.55 2 85 6.339 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= ID= 1 DT=15.0 min 6.00 6.25 6.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .82 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 43.20 14.39 21.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.70 43.70 43.70 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .681 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .33 .49 DEAK FLOW (cms) = .083 (i) ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 5.698 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .130

Page 5

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	2.8331.495.83357.688.8331.4911.83.592.9171.495.91757.688.9171.4911.92.593.0001.496.00057.689.0001.4912.00.59
CALIE NASHYD (2042) Area (ha)= 54.50 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .98 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.124 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .049 (i) TUME TO PEAK (brs)= 8.750	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .398 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .010 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 11.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= .949 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .022 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIE	RESERVOIR (9021) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT = 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW
NASHYD (1060) Area (ha) = 406.96 Curve Number (CN) = 60.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm) = 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = 1.3400 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 1.841 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 5.901	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2050) 89,700 3.942 6.00 20.93 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 1.121 6.75 20.89 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin] (%)= 28.44 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 45.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha) = .9644
CALLE (2254) Area (ba)- 24.78 Curre Number (CN)- 58.0	RESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW Cmms) (cmms) (ha.m.) .00000 .08000 .8375 .0100 4735
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.38 NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP. TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH	.0450 .7030 2.380 1.2455 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 1.627 6.00 19.92 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .010 12.25 19.43
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .61 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=375.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .4723
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ADD HYD (5065) AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) + ID2= 2 (1044): 443.50 1.63 ID = 3 (5065): 538.70 3.821 6.00 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. RESERVOIR (9019) IN- 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-updat	e Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
(cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 1.0800 .5900 .0000 .2600 1.2200 .7400 .5700 .3500 1.3500 .9300 .9900 .4700 2.8300 .9900 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 6.339 6.00 21.59 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9020) 145.270 .198 12.00 21.57 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 3.12 3.12
(ha) (cmms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 .999 6.00 17.71 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 .130 7.25 6.25	TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=360.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 2.7014
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 12.98 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 75.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .2806	SHIFT HYD (9029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=150.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ADD HYD (7001) AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.	ID= 2 (1060): 406.96 1.84 7.25 5.90 SHIFT ID= 1 (9029): 406.96 1.84 9.75 5.90
+ ID2= 2 (2011): 40.62 1.613 6.00 17.71 ID = 3 (7001): 63.32 1.613 6.00 13.60 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (5062) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
	ID = 3 (5062): 699.78 2.917 8.50 9.99 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	$\begin{vmatrix} ADD HYD (5064) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) \\ \hline IDl = 1 (1045): 170.73 .069 11.25 .95 \\ + ID2 = 2 (7002): 89.77 .1.613 6.00 9.87 \\ \hline ID1 = 3 (5064) - 260.50 1.613 .600 4.02 \\ \hline ID1 = 3 (5064) - 260.50 1.613 .600 - 8.7 \\ \hline ID1 = 3 (5064) - 260.50 - 8.7 \\ \hline ID1 = $
RESERVOIR (9147) IN= 2> OUTF1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min Cmmp) (ha.m.) (cmms) (ha.m.)	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
.0000 ******* 0.0010 ******* AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 .306 8.50 1.54 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .5705	ADD HYD (7004) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDI= 1 (9147): 369.57 .000 .00 .00 + ID2= 2 (9248): 54.89 .000 .00 .00 IDI= 3 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
RESERVOIR (9248) IM= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 (cmms) .0010 ******	ADD HYD (7013) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (ba) (cmm) (brm) 1 - 1 (2041) : 82.05 4.273 6.00 23.16 + ID2= 2 (9020) : 145.27 .198 12.00 21.57
AREA QFRAK IFRAK K.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 .088 7.00 2.24 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK NSED (man)=****** MAXIMUM NSED .1232	ID = 3 (7013): 227.32 4.338 6.00 22.15 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
RESERVOIR (9020) OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE IN= 2> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 1.7200 5.0000 .2200 3.0000 2.5000 7.0000	IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 > DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .0800 34.48 278.78 .0800 62.07 280.75 .0800 75.86 280.87 .0800 110.34 277.13 .0800

Page 9

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge
124.14 276.45 .0800 / .0350 Main Channel 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel 151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0350 Main Channel 213.79 277.31 .0800 255.17 278.25	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 66.98 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=150.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .2640
275.86 278.49 .0800 289.66 279.07 .0800 303.45 278.41 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800 <	$ \begin{vmatrix} \text{ADD HYD} & (5061) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ \hline 11 + 2 = 3 \\ \hline 11 + 2 = 3 \\ \hline 11 + 2 = 3 \\ \hline 111 = 1 (1059): 487.62 2.081 \\ \hline 101 = 1 (1059): 487.62 4.338 \\ \hline 101 = 1 (1059): 487.62 \\ \hline 101 $
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ID = 3 (5061): 769.44 4.465 6.00 12.17 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 ROUTE CEN (9251) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning
2.17 276.67 .4218+06 204.7 2.83 34.30 2.38 276.88 .5168+06 258.7 2.91 33.25 2.60 277.10 .6278+06 323.9 3.00 32.28 2.82 277.32 .7548+06 402.4 3.11 31.21 3.03 277.53 .8948+06 517.5 3.37 28.78 3.25 277.75 .1058+07 645.6 3.58 27.06 3.47 277.97 .1228+07 787.1 3.76 25.78 3.62 278.40 .1608+07 942.2 3.91 24.79 3.90 278.40 .1608+07 1110.1 4.03 24.06	100 276.33 .0800 46.71 277.77 .0800 57.10 277.40 .0800 62.29 276.96 .0800 67.48 275.94 .0800 77.86 273.27 .0800 83.05 272.29 .0800 93.43 270.99 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel
<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTM MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9029) 406.96 1.84 9.75 5.90 .26 .66 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6019) 406.96 .94 11.50 5.90 .21 .58</pre>	150.53 271.36 .0350 / 0.0800 Main Channel 186.86 273.45 .0800 207.62 274.37 .0800 233.57 275.12 .0800 247.79 275.41 .0800
ADD HYD (9250) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. TD1=1 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00 + 1D2=2 (1046): 672.95 .259 12.00 .99 TD = 3 (9250): 1097.41 .259 12.00 .61 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
ADD HYD (7014) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ID = 3 (7014): 281.82 4.338 6.00 18.17 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m/s) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9018) 1097.41 .17 14.50 .60 .01 .81 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9251) 1097.41 .17 15.25 .60 .01 .81</pre>
RESERVOIR (9018) IN= 2> OIT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 4.8100 1.1900 .4200 .6400 14.3300 1.2700 3.2000 1.1100 .00000 .0000	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 .259 12.00 .61 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 .173 14.50 .60	ID = 3 (7016): 1176.40 4.465 6.00 10.00 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
Page	a 11

\01606\Active\160621777	\SWM Master	Plans\	Analysis\S	WM\Hydro	ology\Uxbridge-u	pdate Dec 2014\Uxbrid
ADD HYD (5000) 1 + 2 = 3	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.		
ID1= 1 (1047): + ID2= 2 (9251):	(ha) 479.57 1097.41	(cms) .186 .170	(hrs) 12.00 15.25	(mm) .99 .60		
ID = 3 (5000):	1576.98	.312	13.00	.72		
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO) NOT INCLUI	DE BASEF	LOWS IF AP	14.		
1 + 2 = 3	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.		
TD1= 1 (5064):	(ha) 260 50	(cms)	(hrs) 6 00	(mm) 4 02		
+ ID2= 2 (5000):	1576.98	.312	13.00	.72		
ID = 3 (5001):	1837.48	1.613	6.00	1.19		
NOTE: DEAK ELONG DO	NOT INCI.	E BACER	LOWS TE AN	īv		
NOIL: FEAR FLOWS DO	, NOI INCLUL	- DHOEF	LONG IF AF			
RESERVOIR (9017)						
DT= 15.0 min	OUTFLOW	STORAGE	OUTE	LOW :	STORAGE	
	(cms)	(ha.m.)	(cn	15) 1300	(ha.m.)	
	.2800	.2500	3.8	200	3.9500	
	.7100	.6300	4.6	700 600	4.2000	
	1.5600	1.7300	8.	800	4.8500	
	1.8400	2.2600	35.4	1000	6.6100 8.6500	
	201		ODEAK	TOPAK	D V	
	(ha	1)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)	
INFLOW : ID= 2 (500) OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (90)	.) 1837.48 /) 1837.48	81 81	1.613 .415	6.00 12.50	1.19	
DEAK		NIGHTON	[0 (0	(*) - 25	70	
TIME S	SHIFT OF PEA	AK FLOW	(n	$(\sqrt[6]{}) = 23$ (10) = 390	.00	
MAXIMU	IM STORAGE	USED	(ha.	m.)=	.3693	
ADD HYD (9041)	APEA	ODEAK	TOFAK	PV		
1 + 2 - 3	(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)		
ID1= 1 (5065): + TD2= 2 (9017);	538.70 1837.48	3.821	6.00 12.50	4.10		
ID = 3 (9041):	2376.18	4.012	6.00	1.85		
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO	NOT INCLUI	DE BASEF	LOWS IF AN	IY.		
ADD HYD (5002)						
1 + 2 = 3	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	(hrs)	R.V. (mm)		
ID1= 1 (2031):	55.98	3.117	6.00	22.09		
+ ID2= 2 (9041):	2376.18	4.012	6.00	1.85		
ID = 3 (5002):	2432.16	7.129	6.00	2.32		
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO	NOT INCLUI	DE BASEF	LOWS IF AN	ΙΥ.		
SHIFT HYD (9040)						
IN= 2> OUT= 1	ADEA	DEAK	TOPAK	D V		
(DICI = 00.0 0000	A 17 H. 44	UP 6 A 5	(PBAN	K.V.		

	IN= 2	-> OI	JT:	= 1				
Ì	SHIFT= 6	50.0	mż	in	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.
÷					(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)
		ID=	2	(5002):	2432.16	7.13	6.00	2.32
	SHIFT	ID=	1	(9040):	2432.16	7.13	7.00	2.32

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\

IN= 2> (OUT= 1	Routing t:	ime step	(min)'= 15	5.00		
	<	- DATA FOR SEG	CTION (1.0)	>		
	Distan	ce Elevat	tion	Manning			
	30 1	JU 274 RD 273	.29	.0800			
	51.3	30 270	.17	.0800			
	61.	50 266	.84	.0800			
	66.1 102	30 266. 70 265	.02	.0800	Mai	n Channel	
	123.2	20 261	.00	.0350	Mai	n Channel	
	128.4	40 261	.17	.0350	Mai	n Channel	
	154.0	0 264 50 266	.62	.0350	Mai	n Channel	
	205.4	40 268	.07	.0800			
	236.3	20 268	.74	.0800			
	282.4	40 271 90 272	11	.0800			
	348.9	90 274	.45	.0800			
<		TRAVE	L TIME TA	BLE		>	
DEPTH	ELEV (m)	VOLUME	FLOW RA	re velo	OCITY (C)	TRAV.TIME	
.63	261.63	.702E+04	5.2	1	14	22.47	
1.26	262.26	.220E+05	24.8	1	.74	14.74	
1.89	262.89	.443E+05	64.0 127.4	2	2.22	11.54	
3.16	264.16	.111E+06	219.6		3.04	8.44	
3.79	264.79	.156E+06	343.2	3	3.39	7.57	
4.42	265.42	.209E+06	501.2 766 4	-	8.70	6.94	
5.90	266.90	.428E+06	1123.8	4	1.05	6.34	
6.64	267.64	.570E+06	1628.6	4	1.40	5.84	
7.38	268.38	.738E+06	2225.4	4	1.65 1.80	5.53	
8.85	269.85	.116E+07	3743.0	4	1.95	5.18	
9.59	270.59	.141E+07	4656.3	5	5.10	5.03	
10.33	271.33	.167E+07	5671.9 6784.6	5	5.24 5.36	4.90	
11.81	272.81	.226E+07	8029.1	5	5.47	4.69	
12.55	273.55	.259E+07	9393.0	5	5.59	4.60	
13.25	2/4.25	.2956+07	10048.3			4.02	
		AREA	QPEAK	ydrograpn TPEAK	R.V.	<-pipe / c MAX DEPTH	MAX 1
THEFT ON	TD- 2 //	(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)	(m)	(m/:
OUTFLOW	ID= 2 () ID= 1 ()	5029) 2432.16	4.21	7.25	2.32	.51	1.
ADD HYD 1 + 2 =	(5003) 3	AREA	OPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.		
		(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)		
+ ID	L = 1 (602) 2 = 2 (104)	2432.16 14.62	4.213	6.75	2.32		
=== ID	= 3 (500)	3): 2446.78	4.284	7.25	2.34		
NOTE: 1	PEAK FLOW	S DO NOT INCLU	UDE BASEFI	LOWS IF AN	Ψ.		
ADD HYD	(5004)						
1 + 2 =	3	AREA (ha)	QPEAK	(brs)	R.V.		
ID	L= 1 (500)	3): 2446.78	4.284	7.25	2.34		
+ ID2	2= 2 (701)	5): 1176.40	4.465	6.00	10.00		
ID	= 3 (500	4): 3623.18	6.621	7.25	4.82		
NOTE: 1	PEAK FLOW	5 DO NOT INCLU	UDE BASEFI	LOWS IF AN	IΥ.		

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbrid
IN= 2> OUT= 1 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. SHIFT=120.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	Mass curve time step = 15.00 min TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .25 1.33 3.25 2.42 6.25 10.88 9.25 .50 1.40 3.50 2.42 6.50 10.88 9.50
ROUTE CHN (6031) IN= 2> 001F 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
1.09 252.97 .0192+05 8.2 .09 120.14 1.45 253.33 .1512+06 18.8 .65 134.06 1.91 253.79 .3832+06 68.4 .93 93.14 2.38 254.26 .7132+06 157.3 1.15 75.58 2.84 254.72 .1122+07 287.3 1.33 65.13 3.31 255.19 .1612+07 454.2 1.47 59.01 3.77 255.65 .2172+07 659.0 1.58 54.87 4.24 256.12 .279+07 908.7 1.69 51.25 4.70 256.58 .3462+07 1199.1 1.80 48.03 5.17 257.05 .4142+07 1527.9 1.92 45.17 5.63 257.51 .4852+07 1892.4 2.03 42.71 6.10 257.98 .5562+07 2291.8 2.13 40.59 6.56 255.44 .6342+07 2725.7 2.23 38.74 7.03 258.91 .7112+07 3193.6 2.33 37.12 7.49 259.37 .7912+07 3495.3 2.43 35.69 7.96 259.84 .8742+07 4221.9 2.51 34.48 8.42 260.30 .9612+07 4697.6 2.54 34.09 <pre></pre>	CALIB STANDHYD (2050) ID=1 DT=15.0 min Mrea (ha)= 89.70 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 35.88 53.82 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 773.30 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 44.49 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 9.54 (ii) 21.55 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.26 3.26 6.334 (Jii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.95 23.47 32.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .39 .54 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
$\begin{array}{c} \text{ADD HYD} & (5005) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \end{array} \qquad \qquad \text{AREA} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{OPEAK} & \text{TPEAK} & \text{R.V.} \\ \text{(ha)} & (\text{cms}) & (\text{hrg}) & (\text{mm}) \end{array} \\ \\ \text{ID1= 1 (5062): 659.78 2.917 8.50 9.99} \\ + & \text{ID2= 2 (6031): 3623.18 3.731 12.25 4.82} \end{array}$	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) THE STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
ID = 3 (5005): 4322.96 5.948 10.25 5.66 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	CALIB Area (ha)= 55.98 STANDHYD (2031) Area (ha)= 55.98 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 Surface Area (ha)= 30.79 25.19 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 610.90 40.00 Man.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 41.24 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.28 (ii) 20.67 (ii)

ns\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\

RAIN mm/hr 1.84 1.69

1.69 1.64 1.60 1.50

1.50 1.38 1.28 1.14 1.04 .92 .82

TOTALS 6.334 (iii) 6.00

step = 15.00 min

Page 15

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.86 1.44 4.768 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.95 19.06 33.37 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .32 .55	CALIB NASHYD (1044) Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min I a (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .721 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.500 RUNDFF VOLUME (mm)= 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB Area (ha) = 24.78 STANDHYD (2020) Area (ha) = 24.78 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 40.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 14.87 9.91 Dep. Storage (mm) = 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 406.40 40.00 Length (m) = 406.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 79.79 42.78 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 6.49 (ii) 18.69 (ii) 18.69 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 .05 *TOTALS* *TOTALS*	CALIB NASHYD (1045) Area (ha)= 170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.22 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .318 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 6.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cmm)= 2.05 .61 2.442 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 50.45 19.05 31.61 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT - .83 .32 .52 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOLL DE E SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	CALIB Area (ha) = 22.70 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 IMPERVIOUS IMPERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm) = 50 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 389.00 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 79.79 30.97 over (min) 5.00 30.00 Storage Coeff.(min) = 6.32 (ii) 20.20 (ii) Ubit Word Tomber (nois) = 15.00 30.00
CALIB Area (ha)= 70.42 STANDHYD (2021) Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min ImpERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Impervious (i) Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Impervious (i) Length (m)= 665.20 40.00 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 665.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max. Eff. Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 40.05 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.87 (ii) 21.40 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Storage (min)= 15.00 50	Unit Hyd. ppeak (mm)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. ppeak (mm)= 1.10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cmm)= 1.18 .59 1.550 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLME (mm)= 59.95 16.62 27.45 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOF COEFFICIENT = .99 .27 .45 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
<pre>virtual peak (cmms)= 1.09 .00 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cmms)= 4.77 1.73 5.861(iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 50.45 18.53 29.70 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .83 .31 .49 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	CALIB STANDHYD (2011) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.62 IDEERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 16.25 24.37 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 520.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 30.97 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.52 (ii) 21.41 (ii)

Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (mm) = 1.00 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.04 1.03 2.687 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 59.95 16.62 27.45 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .27 .45 Unit Hyd Opeak (cms) = 9.180	59.0
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.04 1.03 2.687 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLIDME (mm)= 59.55 16.62 27.45 Area (ha)= 672.95 Curve Number (CN)= 9 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 1 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= .9 .7 .45	59.0
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! Unit Hvd Opeak (cms)= 9.180	3.00
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:PEAK FLOW(cms)=1.141 (i)CN* = 58.0Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 10.500(ii) TIME STOP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUALRULNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 4.480THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.450(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .074	
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	
CALIB NASHYD (2012) Area (ha)= 26.45 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 Da = 1DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	59.0 3.00
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .055 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.000 RUNOFF VOLIME (mm)= 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 6.450	
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE CONTROL OF THE PEAK FLOW DOES NOT F	
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	
CALIB NASHVD (1047) Area (ba)= 479 57 Curve Number (CN)= 59 0	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	71.0
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 6.661	3.00
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .821 (i) Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 8.583 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.250 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.194 (i)	
TOTAL RAINPALL (mm)= 60.450 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.500 RUNDFF COEFFICIENT = .074 RUNDFF VOLDUME (mm)= 17.056 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. TOTAL RAINPALL (mm)= 60.450	
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	
CALIB	
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 11.763)
DEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.204 (i) IMPERVIOUS (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.500 Surface Area (ha)= 36.92 45.13 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 5.165 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 5.165 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .085 Des 1.00 1.00	
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 47.99	
Initial NASHYD (9246) Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 *TOTALS* ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.71 2.99 6.636 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .60 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 PUNMER VULUME (rms)= 5.95 24.95 35.45 55.45	(iii)
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494 TOTAL RAILPRALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .41 .59	
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .398 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 7.283 TOTAL REINFRIME 50.450 (i) (i) CN DECOMPNIES SELECTED FOR DEPUTORS LOSSES (i)	
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .120 CN* = 71.0 CDV PERIOUS LOSSES. CU* = 71.0 LOSS COEFFICIENT = .120 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
<pre>IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 58.11 87.16 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 984.10 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 46.60 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 11.02 (ii) 22.82 (ii) Unit Hyd. Teak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Teak (min)= .08 .04 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cmms)= 6.59 5.40 10.054 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.95 24.68 33.50 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45</pre>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .41 .55 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
CALIE NASHYD (2042) Area (ha)= 54.50 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.124 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.124 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.48 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 6.907 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.451	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .398 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .045 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.833 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIE CALIE MASHYD (1060) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min ID=	$\begin{bmatrix} \text{RESERVOIR (9021)} \\ \text{N} = 2 > 007 = 1 \\ \text{DT} = 15.0 \text{ min} & \text{OUTFLOW STORAGE} & \text{OUTFLOW STORAGE} \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 13.400 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.879 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 11.988 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 RUNOFF COSFFICIENT = .198	INFLOW : ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 6.334 6.00 32.59 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 2.428 6.75 32.56 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 38.33 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 45.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.3607
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	RESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .0100 .4725 .0450 .7030 .2380 1.2455
NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP. TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 2020) 24.780 2.442 6.00 31.61 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .045 12.00 31.04 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 1.84 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=360.00

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Ux	xbridge\
MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .7023	(ha)(cms)(hrs)(mm)INFLOW:ID= 2(9146)369.5701.2047.505.17OUTFLOW:ID= 1(9147)369.570.000.00.00
ADD HYD (7008) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (mag) (hrs) (mmn) ID1= 1 (9022): 24.78 .045 12.00 31.04 + ID2= 2 (2021): 70.42 5.861 6.00 29.70 ID = 3 (7008): 95.20 5.866 6.00 30.05	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.9089
NOIS, FERR FLORD DO NOI INCLUDE DRUEFDOND IF ANI.	.0000 ******* .0010 *******
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{ADD HYD} & (5065) \\ \mbox{1} + 2 = 3 \\ \mbox{1} \\ \mbox{2} \\ \mbox{1} \\ \mbox{1} \\ \mbox{2} \\ \mbox{2} \\ \mbox{1} \\ \mbox{1} \\ \mbox{2} \\ \mbox{2} \\ \mbox{2} \\ \mbox{3} \\ \mbox{2} \\ \mbox{3} \mbox{3} \\ \mbox{3} \mbox{3} \\ \mbox{3} \mb$	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 .398 6.75 7.28 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](\$)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = .3998
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	RESERVOIR (9020)
RESERVOIR (9019) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.)	IN= 2> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 1.7200 5.0000 .2200 3.0000 2.5000 7.0000
.0000 .0000 1.0800 .5900 .0000 .2600 1.2200 .7400 .5700 .3500 1.3500 .9300 .9900 .4700 2.8300 .9900	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 10.054 6.00 33.50 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9020) 145.270 .752 9.00 33.47
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 1.550 6.00 27.45 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 .476 6.50 15.99	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 7.48 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=180.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 3.7118
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 30.71 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .3393	SHIFT HYD (9029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=150.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ADD HYD (7001) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. ID1 = 1 (9019): 22.70 .476 6.50 15.99 + ID2 = 2 (2011): 40.62 2.687 6.00 27.45 ID = 3 (7001): 63.32 2.687 6.00 23.34 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ADD HYD (7002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
Image: The second se	$ \begin{vmatrix} ADD HYD & (5064) \\ & 1 + 2 = 3 \\ & (ha) & (cms) & (hrs) & (nm) \\ & (ha) & (cms) & (hrs) & (nm) \\ \hline TD1 = 1 & (1045) : & 170.73 & .318 & 9.50 & 4.32 \\ + & ID2 = 2 & (7002) : & 89.77 & .2.689 & 6.00 & 17.74 \\ & ID = 3 & (5064) : & 260.50 & 2.696 & 6.00 & 8.95 \\ \hline ID = 3 & (5064) : & 260.50 & 2.696 & 6.00 & 8.95 \\ \hline \end{tabular} $
RESERVOIR (9147) IM= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .0010 ******* .0010 *******	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. Page 23

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (9147): 369.57 .000 .00 .00 + ID2= 2 (9248): 54.89 .000 .00 .00 ID = 2 (7004): 404.45 .000 .00	ID = 3 (9250): 1097.41 1.141 10.50 2.75 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (7014) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ADD HYD (7013) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1=1 (2041): 82.05 6.636 6.00 35.45 + ID2=2 (9020): 145.27 .752 9.00 33.47 	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1=1 (7013): 227.32 6.736 6.00 34.19 + ID2=2 (2042): 54.50 .248 7.25 6.91 ID = 3 (7014): 281.82 6.768 6.00 28.91 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID = 3 (7013): 227.32 6.736 6.00 34.19 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	RESERVOIR (9018)
ROUTE CHN (6019) Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	IN= 2> OUTF 1 DT= 15.0 min (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 4.8100 1.1900 .4200 .6400 14.3300 1.2700 1.5900 9.400 53.8000 1.3300 3.2000 1.1100 .0000 .0000
	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 1.141 10.50 2.75 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 1.065 11.75 2.75
124.14 276.45 .0800 / .0350 Main Channel 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel 151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0350 Main Channel 213.79 277.31 .0800 Main Channel	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 93.36 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 75.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .8056
255.17 278.25 .0800 275.86 278.49 .0800 289.66 279.07 .0800 303.45 278.41 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800 <pre></pre>	ADD HYD (5061) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1=1 (1059): 487.62 4.194 8.50 17.06 + ID2=2 (7014): 281.82 6.768 6.00 28.91 ID = 3 (5061): 769.44 7.105 6.00 21.40 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ROUTE CHN (9251) Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 278.33 .0800 46.71 277.77 .0800 57.10 277.40 .0800 62.29 276.96 .0800 67.48 275.94 .0800 83.05 272.29 .0800 93.43 270.99 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 119.38 270.02 .0350 Main Channel
<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-></pre>	150.53 271.36 .0350 / .0800 Main Channel 186.86 273.45 .0800 207.62 274.37 .0800 233.57 275.12 .0800 247.79 275.41 .0800
ADD HYD (9250) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1=1 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00 + ID2=2 (1046): 672.95 1.141 10.50 4.48	C

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ADD HYD (9041) 1 + 2 = 3 (ba) (cms) (brs) (mm) ID1= 1 (5065): 538.70 5.875 6.00 8.87 + ID2= 2 (9017): 1837.48 1.633 13.75 4.08 ID = 3 (9041): 2376.18 6.182 6.00 5.16 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
AREA QPEAK TEEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9018) 1097.41 1.07 11.75 2.75 .08 .81 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9251) 1097.41 1.02 12.75 2.75 .08 .81	ADD HYD (5002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ADD HYD (7016) 1 + 2 = 3 IDl= 1 (5061): 769.44 7.105 6.00 21.40 + ID2= 2 (6019): 406.96 2.125 11.00 11.99 ID = 3 (7016): 1176.40 7.105 6.00 18.14 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ID = 3 (5002): 2432.16 10.950 0.00 5.01 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ADD HYD (5000) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. ID1 = 1 (1047): 479.57 .821 10.25 4.48 + ID2 = 2 (9251): 1097.41 1.018 12.75 2.75 ID = 3 (5000): 1576.98 1.726 12.00 3.27 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ROUTE CHN (6029) Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation 0.00 274.29 .0800 30.80 51.30 270.17 .0800 61.60 66.80 266.84 .02.70 265.42 .032.00 261.00 .032.00 261.00 .032.00 261.00
ADD HYD (5001) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1= 1 (5064): 260.50 2.696 6.00 8.95 + 1D2= 2 (5000): 156.98 1.726 12.00 3.27 ID = 3 (5001): 1837.48 2.706 6.00 4.08	126.40 201.17 .0330 Main Channel 154.00 264.62 .0800 Main Channel 174.60 266.82 .0800 236.20 268.07 236.20 268.74 .0800 236.20 268.74 302.90 272.11 .0800 348.90 274.45
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. RESERVOIR (9017) IN = 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .2800 .2500 2.8300 3.4900 .2800 .2500 3.8200 3.9500 .7100 .6300 4.6700 4.2000 1.1300 1.1400 7.3600 4.6900 1.6500 1.7300 8.7800 4.8500 1.8400 2.2600 35.4000 6.6100 2.2700 2.9600 ******* 8.6500 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (5001) 1837.481 1.633 13.75 4.08 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 60.33 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=465.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.8687	c

Page 27

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014	Jxbridge\ V:\016	06\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
INFLOW : ID= 2 (9040) 2432.16 10.95 7.00 5.81 .82 1.27 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6029) 2432.16 6.73 7.25 5.81 .68 1.17		8.42 260.30 .961E+07 4697.6 2.54 34.09 <pre></pre>
ADD HYD (5003) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (6029): 2432.16 6.727 7.25 5.81 + ID2= 2 (1040): 14.62 .176 6.75 11.61 	II O ADD 1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ADD HYD (5004) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (5003): 2446.78 6.875 7.25 5.85 + ID2= 2 (7016): 1176.40 7.105 6.00 18.14	N *** S ****	ID = 3 (5005): 4322.96 11.108 10.50 11.25 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID = 3 (5004): 3623.18 11.786 7.25 9.84 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	MASS Ptot	: STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst .al= 71.22 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE
SHIFT HYD (9015) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=120.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cmms) ID= 2 (5004) 3623.18 11.79 7.25 9.84 SHIFT ID= 1 (9015): 3623.18 11.79 9.25 9.84		Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Mass curve time step = 15.00 min TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .25 1.57 3.25 2.85 6.25 12.82 9.25 2.17 .50 1.65 3.50 2.85 6.50 12.82 9.50 1.99 .75 1.68 3.75 2.85 6.76 6.78 9.75 1.91 1.00 1.74 4.00 2.85 7.20 4.62 10.02 1.94 1.25 1.82 4.25 3.93 7.25 1.88 4.27 10.25 1.88
IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 <pre></pre>		$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel 198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel 249.80 254.00 .0800 334.90 255.77 .0800 351.90 256.37 .0800 414.40 260.24 .0800 455.50 260.75 .0800 514.40 261.48 .0800	CALT NASH ID= 1 U: P	B Area (ha) = 610.08 Curve Number (CN) = 70.0 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm) = 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs) = 2.46 Init Hyd Qpeak (cms) = 9.472 EXEK FLOM (cms) = 6.388 (i) 'IME TO PEAK (hrs) = 8.750
<pre><</pre>		INFOF VOLDME (INF) - 21.220 UNOFF COEFFICIENT = .318 i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
1.91 203.79 1.8384+00 b8.4 .93 93.14 2.38 254.26 7138+06 157.3 1.15 75.58 2.84 254.72 .1128+07 287.3 1.33 65.13 3.31 255.19 .1618+07 454.2 1.47 59.01 3.77 255.65 .2178+07 659.0 1.58 54.87 4.24 256.12 .2798+07 908.7 1.69 51.25 4.70 256.58 .346E+07 1199.1 1.80 48.03 5.17 257.05 .4484+07 1279.4 2.03 42.71 6.10 257.98 .5588+07 2291.8 2.13 40.59 6.56 258.44 .6342+07 1275.7 2.23 38.74 7.03 258.91 .7118+07 3193.6 2.33 37.12 7.49 259.37 .7918+07 365.3 2.43 35.69 7.96 259.84 .874E+07 4221.9 2.51 34.48	CALI STAN ID= 1 S D A L M M S S S	BTPTD (2050) Area (ha)= 89.70 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Nurface Area (ha)= 35.88 53.82 bep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 verage Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 lannings n = .013 .250 lax. Eff. Inten. (mm/hr)= 94.01 58.25 verage Coeff. (min)= 8.93 (ii) 19.72 (ii)
	Page 29	Pa

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05	
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.10 4.45 7.981 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.72 30.65 40.67 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 PUNDEF CODEFICIENT - 90 4.3 57	STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface bras (ba)= 32.69
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Dep. Storage $(mm) = 10.00$ 2.50 Average Slope $(\$) = 1.00$
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	Length (m)= 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
CN* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SNOULD BE SWALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 53.21 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.31 (ii) 19.49 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05
CALIE Area (ha)= 55.98 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.72 2.40 7.245 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 61.22 24.53 37.37 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .86 .34 .52
Surface Area (ha)= 30.79 25.19 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 610.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SWALLER OR EQUAL
Max.Ell.Interl.(mm, hr)= 94.01 54.71 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.76 (ii) 18.82 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 10.0 05	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
WITL Hyd. peak (tims)- .10 .10 *TOTALS* * PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.62 1.99 5.800 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.72 25.19 41.13 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .35 .58	CALIB NASHYD (1044) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.83 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 5.986
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	PEAK FLOW (cms) = 1.282 (i)
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE CORFERCIENT 	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 7.546 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .106
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB STANDHYD (2020) Area (ha)= 24.78 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00	CALIE
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 14.87 9.91	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937
Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 406.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .572 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 7.546 TOTAL PATHENLL (mm) = 71.220
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 56.75 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.07 (ii) 16.97 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00	(ii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* DDBW FYGH (cms)= .144	
FEAR FLOW (Cmm) = 2.44 .55 2.5960 (111) TIME TO PEAR (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 61.22 25.17 39.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFUTENT = .86 .35 .56	CALLB STANDHYD (2010) Area (ha)= 22.70 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Surface Area (ha) = 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 389.00 40.00
CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE CORFERINT	Mannings n = .013 .250 Max Eff Inten (mm/hr)= 94.01 41.43
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.92 (ii) 18.28 (ii)

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05	
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.40 .82 1.928 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.72 22.15 34.29 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .31 .48	CALIB
***** WARNING COORD COORD IS CHARTED THAN THE CORDI	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 11.763
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 I a = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.098 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 7.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 8.408 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .118 (i) EEK HOW DOES NOT INVITUE PASSELOW IE ANY
	(1) FERCEDON DEED NOT INCLUDE ERBETION IF ANT.
CALLB Area (ha)= 40.62 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)=	
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS Surface Area (ha)= 16.25 24.37 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= .50.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.494 PEAK (hrs)= 6.500 RTINOFF VOLUME (mm)= 11.652 "071L PENTERLI. (mm)= 71.220
over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.04 (ii) 19.41 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .164 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.44 1.44 3.351 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.72 22.15 34.29 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .31 .48	CALIB NASHYD (1046) Area (ha)= 672.95 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.80
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.180
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DI) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.027 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 7.804 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .110
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALLB Area (ha)= 26.45 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 I Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	CALIB NASHYD (1040) Area (ha)= 14.62 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .82
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .099 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 8.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 7.546 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .106	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .681 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .250 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 16.207
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .228
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIE Area (ha) = 479.57 Curve Number (CN) = 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Image:	CALIB NASHYD (1059) Area (ha)= 487.62 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00

Page 33

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB STANDHYD (2041) Area (ha)= 82.05 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00	CALIB NASHYD (1060) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min II (mm) = 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 36.92 45.13 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 739.60 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 13.400 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.477 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 16.717 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =235
over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.70 (ii) 19.19 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms) = 5.64 4.05 8.287 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 70.72 32.37 43.87 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .45 .62	CALIB NASHYD (9254) Area (ha)= 24.78 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID=1 DT= 5.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.38
**** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP.
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) THME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	TIME RAIN TIME RAIN <th< td=""></th<>
CALIB STANDHYD (2040) Area (ha)= 145.27 D= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 58.11 87.16 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 984.10 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 60.66 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 10.33 (ii) 20.94 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05 *TOTALS*	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 7.93 7.33 12.687 (iii) TIME TO DEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.72 32.04 41.71 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .45 .59	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
<pre>**** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .398
CALIB NASHYD (2042) Area (ha)= 54.50 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 D= 1 D7=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .080 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.583 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 7.546 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .106
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .473 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 11.717	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

Page 35

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 1.2000 .9900 .0290 .3700 2.7000 4.200 .0290 .6900 6.1000 2.1800 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 3.472 6.50 40.63 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 43.51 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 1.6071	ADD HYD (7001) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. ID1 = 1 (9019): (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID2 = 2 (2011): 40.62 3.351 6.00 34.29 ID3 = 3 (7001): 63.32 3.351 6.00 30.18 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
RESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 .0000 .0800 .0100 .4725 .0450 .7030 .2380 1.2455	<pre>(h + 2 = 3 AKEA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. </pre>
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 2.988 6.00 39.59 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .080 11.00 38.99	RESERVOIR (9147) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 ******* .0010 *******
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/jin](%)= 2.67 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=300.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .8370	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 2.098 7.50 8.41 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00
ADD HYD (7008) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDI=1 (9022): 24.78 .080 11.00 38.99 + ID2=2 (2021): 70.42 7.245 6.00 37.37 IDI= 1 (7008): 95.20 7.252 6.00 37.79 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED
ADD HYD (5065) 1 + 2 = 3 ID1= 1 (7008): 95.20 7.252 6.00 37.79 + ID2= 2 (1044): 443.50 1.282 10.00 7.55 ID = 3 (5065): 538.70 7.274 6.00 12.89 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 .705 6.50 11.65 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .6396
RESERVOIR (9019) UN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 1.0800 .5900 .0000 .2600 1.2200 .7400 .5700 .3500 1.3500 .9300	K55KYULK (9020) IN= 2> OUTF1 0 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cmm) (ha.m.) (cmms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 1.7200 5.0000 .2200 3.0000 2.5500 7.0000 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 12.687 6.00 41.71 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9020) 145.270 1.220 8.25 41.69
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 1.928 6.00 34.29 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 .712 6.50 22.83 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](\$)= 36.93 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .3911	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/2in](%]= 9.62 TIME FHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=135.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 4.3353

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
SHIFT ID= 1 (9029): 406.96 5.48 9.75 16.72	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ADD HYD (5064) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	3.90 278.40 .160E+07 1110.1 4.03 24.06 <pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>
NOTE: PEAR FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (9250) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ADD HYD (7013) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	ADD HYD (7014) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. ID1 = 1 (7013): 227.32 8.413 6.00 42.48 + ID2 = 2 (2042): 54.50 .473 7.25 11.72 ID1 = 3 (7014): 281.82 8.490 6.00 36.53 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	RESERVOIR (9018) DT= 0UTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 .48100 1.1900 .4200 .6400 1.5900 .9400 3.2000 1.1100 .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 AREA OPEAK TINFLOW : ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 2.027 0UTFLOW: ID= 1 (19018) 0UTFLOW: REDUCTION [Oput/Oin](%) = 98.74
137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel 151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0350 Main Channel 213.79 277.31 .0800 255.17 278.25 .0800 275.86 279.07 .0800 303.45 278.41 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800	TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) = 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = .9837 ADD HYD (5061) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. TDL= 1 (1059): 487.62 5.792 8.50 23.33 + ID2= 2 (7014): 281.82 8.490 6.00 36.53 TIDE = 2 (7014): 281.82 8.490 6.00 28.16 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

V:\01606\Active\160621777\	SWM Master 1	Plans∖Ana	lysis\SWM\	Hydro	logy\Uxbri	dge-update	Dec 2014\Uxbridge	!\
ROUTE CHN (9251)								
IN= 2> OUT= 1 F	Routing time	step (mi	n)'= 15.00					
<pre> DA1</pre>	TA FOR SECTIO	ON (1.	0)>					
Distance	Elevatio	n N	lanning					
.00 46.71	278.33		.0800					
57.10	277.40		.0800					
62.29	276.96		.0800					
77.86	273.27		.0800					
83.05	272.29		.0800					
109.00	270.02		.0350	Main	Channel			
119.38	270.02	0.25	.0350	Main	Channel			
150.53	273.45	.035	.0800	Main	Channel			
207.62	274.37		.0800					
233.57 247.79	275.12		.0800					
CEPTH ELEV	VOLUME F	IME TABLE	VELOCIT	 У Т	RAV.TIME			
(m) (m) ((cu.m.)	(cms)	(m/s)		(min)			
.28 270.30 .9	950E+04	3.7	.81		43.21			
.85 270.87 .4	184E+05	32.0	1.39		25.24			
1.13 271.15 .7	776E+05	59.6	1.61		21.71			
1.70 271.72 .1	L51E+06	157.2	2.18		16.04			
1.99 272.01 .1	L95E+06	225.9	2.44		14.37			
2.27 272.29 .2	242E+06	305.9 398.1	2.65		13.21			
2.84 272.86 .3	350E+06	501.8	3.01		11.61			
3.12 273.14 .4	109E+06 0 172E+06	617.0 744.3	3.17		11.05			
3.69 273.71 .5	539E+06	882.9	3.44		10.18			
3.97 273.99 .6	511E+06 1	033.6	3.55		9.85			
4.54 274.56 .7	768E+06 1	370.2	3.75		9.35			
4.82 274.84 .8	356E+06 1	556.4	3.82		9.16			
5.39 275.41 .1	LO5E+07 1	967.6	3.93		8.91			
		breda	ograph		<pre>c nino / d</pre>	hannal >		
	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK R.	-> V. 1	<-pipe / c MAX DEPTH	MAX VEL		
	(ha)	(cms)	(hrs) (m	m)	(m)	(m/s)		
OUTFLOW : ID= 2 (9018) OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9251)	1097.41	2.00	10.50 4.	78 78	.15	.81		
ADD HYD (7016)								
1 + 2 = 3	AREA (QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.				
ID1= 1 (5061):	(ha) 769.44 9	(cms) .019	(hrs) 6.00 28	(mm) .16				
+ ID2= 2 (6019):	406.96 3	.432 1	.0.75 16	.71				
TD = 3 (7016):	1176 40 9	039 1	0 25 24	20				
15 - 5 (7010).	11/01/10		.0.25 21	. 20				
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO	NOT INCLUDE	BASEFLOW	IS IF ANY.					
ADD HYD (5000)								
1 + 2 = 3	AREA ((ha)	QPEAK (cms)	(hrs)	R.V. (mm)				
ID1= 1 (1047):	479.57 1	.461 1	0.00 7	.80				
+ ID2= 2 (9251):	1097.41 1	.886 1	1.50 4	.78				
ID = 3 (5000):	1576.98 3	.229 1	1.25 5	.70				
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO	NOT INCLUDE	BASEFLON	IS TE ANY					
LOIL: I LIK I LOND DO	11000000							

| ADD HYD (5001) |

	3	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)		
ID1 + ID2	= 1 (5064): = 2 (5000):	260.50 1576.98	3.372 3.229	6.00 11.25	13.05 5.70		
=== ID	= 3 (5001);	1837.48	3.962	11.00	 6.74		
NOTE: P	EAK FLOWS D	O NOT INCI	LUDE BASE	FLOWS IF A	NY.		
RESERVOIR (9017) UT- 1						
DT= 15.0 mi	n	OUTFLOW	STORAG	E OUT	FLOW S	TORAGE	
		.0000	.000	0 2.	8300 (3.4900	
		.2800	.250	0 3.	8200 6700	4.2000	
		1.1300 1.5600	1.140 1.730	0 7. 0 8.	3600 7800	4.6900 4.8500	
		1.8400 2.2700	2.260 2.960	0 35. 0 ***	4000 ****	6.6100 8.6500	
		1	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.	
INFLOW :	ID= 2 (500)	1) 1837	(ha) .481	(cms) 3.962	(hrs) 11.00	(mm) 6.74	
OUTFLOW:	ID= 1 (901	7) 1837	.481	3.066	13.00	6.74	
	PEAK	FLOW I	REDUCTION	[Qout/Qin](%) = 77.	38	
	MAXIM	UM STORAG	GE USED	(ha	.m.)= 3.	6017	
	0041)						
1 + 2 =	3	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.		
ID1	= 1 (5065):	538.70	7.274	6.00	12.89		
	///////////////////////////////////						
+ ID2 ===	= 2 (9017):	1037.40			=======		
+ ID2 === ID	= 2 (9017):	2376.18	7.656	6.00	8.14		
+ ID2 === ID NOTE: P	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS D	2376.18 0 NOT INC	7.656	6.00 FLOWS IF A	8.14 NY.		
+ ID2 === ID NOTE: P	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS D	2376.18	7.656	6.00 FLOWS IF A	8.14 NY.		
+ ID2 === ID NOTE: P 	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS D 	2376.18 2 NOT INCI	7.656	6.00 FLOWS IF A	8.14 NY.		
+ ID2 === ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 =	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS D 	2376.18 0 NOT INCI AREA (ha)	7.656 LUDE BASE QPEAK (cms)	FLOWS IF A TPEAK (hrs)	8.14 NY. R.V. (mm)		
+ ID2 === ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID1 + ID2	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS DO 5002) 3 = 1 (2031): = 2 (9041):	2376.18 2376.18 0 NOT INCI AREA (ha) 55.98 2376.18	7.656 LUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 5.890 7.656	6.00 FLOWS IF A TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 6.00	8.14 NY. R.V. (mm) 41.13 8.14		
+ ID2 === ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID1 + ID2 === ID	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS D =	2376.18 2376.18 0 NOT INCI AREA (ha) 55.98 2376.18 2432.16	7.656 LUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 5.890 7.656 13.545	13.00 6.00 FLOWS IF A TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 6.00	8.14 NY. (mm) 41.13 8.14 8.90		
+ ID2 === ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 === ID NOTE: P	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS DI = 5002) 3 = 1 (2031): = 2 (9041): = 3 (5002): EAK FLOWS DI	2376.18 2376.18 0 NOT INCI AREA (ha) 55.98 2376.18 2376.18 2376.18	7.656 LUDE BASE (cms) 5.890 7.656 13.545 LUDE BASE	13.00 6.00 FLOWS IF A TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 6.00 6.00 FLOWS IF A	8.14 NY. (mm) 41.13 8.14 8.90 NY.		
+ ID2 === ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = === ID NOTE: P	= 2 (901'): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS DO ====================================	AREA (ha) 55.98 2376.18 2376.18 2376.18 2432.16	2,656 2,000 BASE 2,000 BASE 2,000 Comparison 2,656 13,545 2,000 BASE	TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 6.00 6.00 FLOWS IF A	R.V. (mm) 41.13 8.14 8.90 NY.		
+ ID2 === ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID H 1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P 	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS DO =	2376.18 2376.18 2 NOT INCI AREA (ha) 55.98 2376.18 2376.18 2376.18	7.656 LUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 5.890 7.656 13.545 LUDE BASE	TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 FLOWS IF A	R.V. (mm) 41.13 8.90 NY.		
+ ID2 === ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 H + ID2 === ID NOTE: P 	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS DO = 5002) 3 = 1 (2031): = 2 (9041): = 3 (5002): EAK FLOWS DO = 9040) UT= 1 min	2376.18 2376.18 D NOT INCI AREA 2376.18 2432.16 D NOT INCI AREA	7.656 LUDE BASE OPEAK (cms) 7.656 13.545 LUDE BASE	5.00 6.00 FLOWS IF A TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 6.00 FLOWS IF A TPEAK	R.V. R.V. (mm) 41.13 8.14 8.90 NY.		
+ ID2 === ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID H + ID2 === ID NOTE: P NOTE: P SHIFT HYD (IN= 2> 0 SHIFT = 60.0 TD=	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS D = 1 (2031): = 2 (9041): = 3 (5002): EAK FLOWS D = 9040) UT = 1 min 2 (5002):	2376.18 2376.18 D NOT INCT AREA (ha) 2376.18 2432.16 D NOT INCT AREA (ha) 2432.16	7.656 UUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.545 UUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.55	13.00 6.00 FLOWS IF A TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 6.00 FLOWS IF A TPEAK (hrs) 6.00	R.V. (mm) 8.14 NY. 		
+ ID2 === ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 === ID NOTE: P SHIFT HYD (IN-2> 0 ID= SHIFT ID=	= 2 (901'): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS DO 	2376.18 2 76.18 D NOT INCI AREA (ha) 55.98 2376.18 2432.16 D NOT INCI AREA (ha) 2432.16 2432.16	7.656 LUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.55 LUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.55	TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 5.00 6.00 6.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	R.V. (mm) 41.13 8.14 8.90 NY. R.V. (mm) 8.90 8.90		
+ ID2 === ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 + ID2 ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P SHIFT HYD (IN-2> 0 IN-2> 0 ID SHIFT ID=	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS DO =	2376.18 2 776.18 D NOT INCI AREA (ha) 55.98 2432.16 D NOT INCI AREA (ha) (ha) 2432.16 2432.16	7.656 UUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.545 UUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.55 13.55	TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 FLOWS IF A TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 7.00	R.V. (mm) 41.13 8.14 8.90 NY. R.V. (mm) 8.90 NY.		
+ ID2 ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P SHIFT HYD (SHIFT HYD (IN= 2> 0 SHIFT ID= SHIFT ID= SHIFT ID= ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS D = 1 (2031): = 2 (9041): = 3 (5002): EAK FLOWS D = 3 (5002): EAK FLOWS D = 9040) UT= 1 = = = =	2376.18 2376.18 D NOT INCI AREA (ha) 2376.18 2376.18 2376.18 2432.16 D NOT INCI AREA (ha) 2432.16 2432.16 2432.16 2432.16	7.656 UDDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.55 13.55 13.55 13.55 13.55	TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 FLOWS IF A 6.00 FLOWS IF A FLOWS IF A (hrs) 6.00 7.00 (min)'= 1	R.V. (mm) 41.13 8.14 8.90 NY. R.V. (mm) 8.90 8.90 5.00		
+ ID2 ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P SHIFT HYD (SHIFT HYD (IN-2> 0 SHIFT 60.0 ID SHIFT ID SHIFT ID COUTE CRN (IN-2> 0 IN-2> 0	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): = AK FLOWS DI = 1 (2031): = 2 (9041): = 2 (9041): = 3 (5002): EAK FLOWS DI = 3 (5002): = 3 (5002): = 0040) UT= 1 = Construction = Distance	2376.18 2376.18 D NOT INCI AREA (ha) 55.98 2376.18 2376.18 2376.18 2432.16 0 NOT INCI AREA (ha) 2432.16 245 2432.16 2432.1	7.656 UDDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.545 UDDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.55 13.55 13.55 LUDE BASE Composition (cms) 13.55 LUDE BASE Composition (cms) Composition	TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 FLOWS IF A 6.00 FLOWS IF A 6.00 FLOWS IF A (hrs) 6.00 (min)'= 1 1.0) Manning	R.V. (mm) 41.13 8.14 NY. 		
+ ID2 ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P SHIFT HYD (SHIFT HYD (IN-2> 0 SHIFT 60.0 ID= SHIFT ID= SHIFT ID= SHIFT ID= SHIFT CRN (IN-2> 0 IN-2> 0	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): = AK FLOWS D = 1 (2031): = 2 (9041): = 2 (9041): = 3 (5002): EAK FLOWS D = 3 (5002): EAK FLOWS D =	2376.18 2376.18 D NOT INCI AREA (ha) 55.98 2376.18 2376.18 2376.18 2432.16 0 NOT INCI AREA (ha) 2432.16 245 2432.16 2432.1	7.656 UUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.545 UUDE BASE 	TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 FLOWS IF A 6.00 6.00 FLOWS IF A (min)'= 1 1.0) Manning Manning Manning	R.V. (mm) 41.13 8.14 8.90 NY. 5.00		
+ ID2 ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID H1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P SHIFT HYD (SHIFT HYD (IN= 2> 0 SHIFT 60.0 ID SHIFT ID SHIFT ID ID ID SHIFT CR. (IN - 2> 0 IN - 2> 0	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS D = 1 (2031): = 2 (9041): = 2 (9041): = 2 (9041): = 3 (5002): EAK FLOWS D = 3 (5002): EAK FLOWS D = 0040): 1 (9040): 1 (9040): = Constance = Distance 51.30	2376.18 2376.18 D NOT INCI AREA (ha) 55.98 2376.18 2376.18 2376.18 2376.18 2432.16 D NOT INCI AREA (ha) 2432.16 D NOT INCI AREA (ha) 2432.16 Control Control Contro	7.656 UUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.545 UUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.55	TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 FLOWS IF A 6.00 6.00 FLOWS IF A 6.00 FLOWS IF A (min)'= 1 1.0) Manning .0800 .0800 .0800	R.V. (mm) 41.13 8.14 		
+ ID2 ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P SHIFT HYD (SHIFT HYD (IN= 2> 0 SHIFT ID= SHIFT ID= SHIFT ID= ID NOTE CRN (IN= 2> 0	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS D = = 2 (9041): = 2 (9041): = 2 (9041): = 2 (9041): = 3 (5002): EAK FLOWS D = 9040) UT= 1 min 2 (5002): 1 (9040): 1 (9040): Distance .00 30.80 51.30 61.60 66.60	AREA (ha) 55.98 2376.18 D NOT INCI 55.98 2376.18 2376.18 2376.18 2376.18 2432.16 D NOT INCI 2432.16 D NOT INCI 2432.16 2432.16 Call Call Call Call Call Call Call Call	7.656 UUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.545 UUDE BASE 13.545 UUDE BASE (cms) 13.55 15	TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 FLOWS IF A 6.00 FLOWS IF A 6.00 FLOWS IF A 6.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00	R.V. (mm) 41.13 8.14 		
+ ID2 ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P SHIFT HYD (SHIFT HYD (IN= 2> 0 SHIFT ID= SHIFT ID= ID NOTE CHN (IN 2> 0	= 2 (9017): = 3 (9041): EAK FLOWS D = = 1 (2031): = 2 (9041): = 2 (9041): = 3 (5002): EAK FLOWS D = 9040) UT= 1 min 2 (5002): 1 (9040): UT= 1 D Distance .00 30.80 51.30 66.80 102.70 123.20	2376.18 2376.18 D NOT INCI (ha) 55.98 2376.18 2376.18 2376.18 2376.18 2432.16 D NOT INCI 2432.16 2432.	7.656 UUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.545 UUDE BASE 13.545 UUDE BASE QPEAK (cms) 13.55 15	<pre>13.00 15.00 1</pre>	R.V. (mm) 41.13 8.14 8.90 NY. 5.00 > Main Main	Channel Channel	

 $\label{eq:V:01606Activel60621777} \mbox{MM Master PlansAnalysis} \mbox{SWM} \mbox{Hydrology} \mbox{Uxbridge-update Dec 2014} \mbox{Uxbridge} \mbox{V:} \mbox{Ol606Active} \mbox{Active} \mbox{Active$

Page 41

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
174.60 266.82 .0800 205.40 268.07 .0800 236.20 268.74 .0800 282.40 271.31 .0800 302.90 272.11 .0800 348.90 274.45 .0800	113.50 254.00 .0800 153.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel 187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel 198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel 249.80 254.00 .0800 334.90 255.77 351<90
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	414.40 260.24 .0800 465.50 260.75 .0800 514.40 261.48 .0800 <
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6029) 2432.16 8.54 7.25 8.90 .74 1.21 ADD HYD (5003) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (6029): 2432.16 8.541 7.25 8.90	<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9015) 3623.18 15.92 9.25 13.90 1.35 .66 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6031) 3623.18 11.30 13.50 13.90 1.19 .67</pre>
+ ID2= 2 (1040): 14.62 .250 6.75 16.21 ID = 3 (5003): 2446.78 8.749 7.25 8.94 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{ADD HYD} & (5005) \\ \mbox{1} & + 2 = 3 \end{array} & \mbox{AREA} & \mbox{QPEAK} & \mbox{TPEAK} & \mbox{R.V.} \\ \mbox{(ha)} & (\mbox{cms}) & (\mbox{hrs}) & (\mbox{mm}) \\ \mbox{$ID1=1$} & (5062): & 699.78 & 7.487 & 8.50 & 24.94 \\ \mbox{$+$ ID2=2$} & (6031): & 3623.18 & 11.300 & 13.50 & 13.90 \\ \mbox{$ID1=1$} & \mbox{$ID1=1$} & (5005): & 4322.96 & 14.955 & 10.50 & 15.68 \end{array} $
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{ADD HYD} & (5004) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ & (ha) & (cms) & (hrs) & (mm) \\ \hline \text{ID1= 1} & (5003): & 2446.78 & 8.749 & 7.25 & 8.94 \\ + & \text{ID2= 2} & (7016): & 1176.40 & 9.039 & 10.25 & 24.20 \\ \hline \hline \text{ID = 3} & (5004): & 3623.18 & 15.922 & 7.25 & 13.90 \\ \hline \end{array} $	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst Ptotal= 83.15 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE
SHIFT HID (9015) IN=2> OUT=1 SHIFT=120.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	Duration of storm = 12.00 nrs Mass curve time step = 15.00 min TIME RAIN Time RAIN
ROUTE CHN (6031) Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 IN=2> OUT=1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 Control (1.0) 015tance Elevation Manning 00 34.10 260.43 62.240 259.79 79.50 255.72	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

$ \begin{bmatrix} C_{k,1},m_{k},1,132,2\\ T_{k},1,17-15,0,m_{k},1,112,2\\ T_{k},1,17-15,0,m_{k},1,12,2\\ T_{k},1,12,1,12,12,12\\ T_{k},1,12,12,12,12\\ T_{k},1,12,12,12,12\\ T_{k},1,12,12,12\\ T_{k},1,12,12\\ T_{k},1,12\\ T_{k},1,12,12\\ T_{k},1,12,12\\ T_{k},1,12,12\\ T_$	ridge\
CALLB NASHTD (132) ID= 1 07=5.0 Area (ha) = 610.06 Curve Number (0N) = 70.0 Ia (m) = 5.00 Curve Number (0N) = 70.0 Ia (m) = 5.00 Curve Number (0N) = 70.0 Ib (NTM, Forkk (rms) = 5.56 (1) TIME TO PARTON (2020) TIME TO PARTON (2020) (1) FARK (rms) = 5.56 (1) TIME TO PARTON (2020) (1) FARK FLOW DOES NOT HULDER BAREFLOW IF ANY. THE TO PARTON (2020) TIME TO PARTON (2021) TIME TO PARTON (2021	
Territories Unit Hyd Opeak (cma) = 9,472 JMERWIOUS (1) PEAK FLOW (cma) = 8,456 (1) Surface Area (ha) = 10.00 2.50 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 30.044 Surface Area (ha) = 10.00 2.50 NUNDEF VOLUME (mm) = 30.044 Surface Area (ha) = 15.00 3.61 CALIB Total Hap(19) = 40.00 Dir. com. (1) = 25.00 Surface Area (ha) = 15.08 si, 1 1.00 1.00 Surface Area (ha) = 15.08 si, 1 3.618 3.618 Max. Eff. Inten. (mm/hr) = 109.76 74.53 5.31 (11) Duit Hyd. peak (ma) = - 3.618 (11) 3.618 (11) Surface Area (ha) = 15.00 3.620 Max. Eff. Inten. (mm/hr) = 109.76 74.53 Max. Eff. Inten. (mm/hr) = 109.76 74.53 S.15 6.00 Max. Eff. Inten. (mm/hr) = 109.76 74.53 S.15 S.15 Max. Eff. Inten. (mm/hr) = 109.76 74.53 S.15 S.15 Max. Eff. Inten. (mm/hr) = 109.76 74.53 S.15 S.15 Max. Eff. Inten. (mm/hr) = 109.76 74.53 S.15 S.15 Max. Eff. Inten. (mm/hr) = 109.76 74.53 S.15 S.15 Max. Eff. Inten. (mm/hr) = 109.76	
CALLE STADNHYD (2050) Area (ha)= 89.70 IND TOTALLS* ID = 1 DT=15.0 min / TIME TO TEAL Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 IND FRVIOUS (i) 3.61.6 Surface Area (ha)= 35.88 53.82 Dep. Storage (m) = 5.0 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 Dep. Storage (m) = 0.03 .250 .00 Mannings n = 0.03 .250 Unit Hyd. peak (min)* 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (min)* 15.00 30.00 PEAK FLOW (cms)* 6.06 5.90 9.919 (iii) TIME TO PEAK FLOW (cms)* 83.15 83.15 WOOFF VOLUME (mn) = 83.15 83.15 WINOFF VOLUME (mn) = 83.15 83.15 PEAK FLOW (cms)* 6.06 5.90 9.919 (iii) Unit Hyd. peak (min)* 15.00 30.00 WINOFF VOLUME (mm) = 83.15 83.15	
Unit Hyd. Tyeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tyeak (min) = .09 .00 *TOTALS* *TOTALS* CALIB PEAK FLOW (cms) = 6.06 5.90 9.919 (ii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 82.65 39.14 50.02 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .47 .60 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! Surface Area (ha) = 10.00 (i) ON DEOCEFICITE FOR DEPUTIONE SEFECTED FOR DEPUTIONE SE	
(1) Ch* = 70.0 Ia Dep.Storage (Above) nammings in013 .250 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 69.10 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) (iii) WEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
Unit Hyd. Tyeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tyeak (min) = 1.0 .05 *TOTALS* *TOTALS* (min) = 5.46 2.68 7.193 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 .09 .00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 5.0 TOTAL RAINFALL (mn) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .39 .60 .00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! Unit Hyd. Queek (cms) = 5.986 (i) CN PEOCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .00	

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
CALIB Area (ha)= 170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.22	CALIB Area (ha)= 26.45 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.82
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .555
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .930 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 11.915 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .143	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .163 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 8.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 11.915 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .143
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIE Area (ha)= 22.70 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	CALIB NASHYD (1047) Area (ha)= 479.57 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. To(hrs)= 2.75
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = .889.00 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 109.76 54.15	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = 6.661 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.351 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.750 RUNOFF VOLLME (mm) = 12.300 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .148
Storage Coeff. (min) = 5.56 (ii) 16.67 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. coel (coel) = 15.00 0.5	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
OHIC Hyd. peak (tums)- .11 .03 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (tums)= 1.65 1.12 2.372 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (tum)= 82.65 28.85 42.30 TOTAL RAINFALL (tum)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .35 .51	CALIB NASHYD (9146) Area (ha)= 369.57 Curve Number (CN)= 55.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.20 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 11.763
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SLECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	PEAK FLOW (cms) = 3.332 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 12.712 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .153
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIE	CALIB NASHYD (9246) Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .60
Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (*)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 520.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 54.15 over (min) 15.00 30.00	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.134 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 17.315 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .208
Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.62 (ii) 17.73 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
TOTLLS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.88 1.95 4.134 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.65 28.85 42.30 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .35 .51	CALIB Area (ha)= 672.95 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.80
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = 9.180
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	PEAK FLOW (cms) = 3.258 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 12.300 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .148

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbri	dge\V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 9.70 (ii) 19.34 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00
CALIB NASHYD (1040) Area (ha)= 10= DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)=	UDIL HYG. PEAK (CTMS)= .09 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (CTMS)= 9.43 9.68 15.788 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.65 40.71 51.20 TOTOL PENTRUE (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .681	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .49 .62
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .342 (i) TIME TO PEAK (brs)= 6.750	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 21.923 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .264	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE CORFFICIENT.
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB Area (ha)= 487.62 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 ID= 1 D=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.17	CALIB NASHYD (2042) Area (ha)= 54.50 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 ID= 1 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .98
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 8.583	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = 2.124
PEAK FLOW (cms) = 7.732 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 8.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 30.906 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .372	PEAK FLOW (cmms) = .791 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 7.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 18.000 TOTAL FAITHFALL (mm) = 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .216
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB STANPHYD (2041) Area (ha)= 82.05 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00	CALIB NASHYD (1060) Area (ha)= 406.96 Curve Number (CN)= 60.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (m)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 36.92 45.13 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 739.60 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 13.400 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 7.472 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 22.578
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 79.39 over(min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.18 (ii) 17.71 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS*	TUTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .272 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.69 5.34 10.214 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RINOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.65 41.10 53.56 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RINOFF COEFFICIENT - .99 .49 .64	CALIB Area (ha)= 24.78 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= ID= 5.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.38 2.38 100
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP.
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) THE STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .083 1.83 3.083 3.33 6.083 14.97 9.08 2.53 .167 1.83 3.167 3.33 6.250 14.97 9.17 2.53 .250 1.83 3.250 3.33 6.250 14.97 9.25 2.53 .333 1.93 3.333 6.33 14.97 9.25 2.53
CALIB STANDHYD (2040) Area (ha)= 145.27 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 58.11 87.16 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 984.10 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 77.23	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	Page 49 Page 50

1.583	2.20 4.500	5.39	7.500	4.99	10.50	2.06
1.667	2.23 4.583	6.02	7.583	4.99	10.58	1.90
1.750	2.23 4.750	6.02	7.750	4.99	10.75	1.90
1.033	2.33 4.833	7.28	7.917	4.99	10.92	1.76
2.000 2.083	2.33 5.000 2.33 5.083	7.28 9.98	8.000 8.083	4.99 4.26	11.00 11.08	1.76 1.56
2.167	2.33 5.167	9.98	8.167	4.26	11.17	1.56
2.333	2.33 5.333	9.98	8.333	3.66	11.33	1.43
2.417	2.33 5.417	9.98	8.500	3.66	11.42	1.43
2.583 2.667	2.49 5.583	39.91	8.583 8.667	3.19 3.19	11.58 11.67	1.26
2.750	2.49 5.750	39.91 109.76	8.750 8.833	3.19 2.83	11.75 11.83	1.26
2.917	2.83 5.917	109.76	8.917	2.83	11.92	1.13
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cm	s)= .398	100170	5.000	2.05	11.00	
PEAK FLOW (cm	s)= .130 (#	L)				
TIME TO PEAK (hr. RUNOFF VOLUME (m TOTAL RAINFALL (m RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	s)= 9.333 m)= 11.915 m)= 83.150 = .143					
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES	NOT INCLUDE BA	ASEFLOW IF	ANY.			
ESERVOIR (9021)						
N= 2> OUT= 1)T= 15.0 min	OUTFLOW S?	ORAGE	OUTFLOW	STOR	AGE	
	(cms) (1	na.m.)	(cms) 1.2000	(ha.	n.) 9900	
	.0290	.3700	2.7000	1.	4200	
		.0500	0.1000	2.		
	AREA (ha)	QPEA (cms	K TP) (h	rs)	R.V. (mm)	_
INFLOW : ID= 2 (205 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (902	J) 89.700 1) 89.700	9.91 4.68	96 86	.00	50.02 49.98	2 B
PEAK	FLOW REDUC	TION [Qout	t/Qin](%)=	47.27		
TIME : MAXIM	SHIFT OF PEAK UM STORAGE	FLOW USED	(min)= (ha.m.)=	30.00 1.864	Э	
ESERVOIR (9022)						
ESERVOIR (9022) N= 2> OUT= 1 'T= 15.0 min	OUTFLOW SI	ORAGE	OUTFLOW	STOR	AGE	
ESERVOIR (9022) N= 2> OUT= 1 T= 15.0 min	OUTFLOW ST (cms) (f .0000	ORAGE ha.m.) .0000	OUTFLOW (cms) .0800	STOR (ha.)	AGE n.) 8375	
ESERVOIR (9022) N= 2> OUT= 1 N= 15.0 min	OUTFLOW ST (cms) (h .0000 .0100 .0450	CORAGE ha.m.) .0000 .4725 .7030	OUTFLOW (cms) .0800 .1300	STOR (ha.)	AGE n.) 3375 9815 2455	
ESERVOIR (9022) N= 2> OUT= 1 JT= 15.0 min	OUTFLOW S7 (cms) (f .0000 .0100 .0450	CORAGE 1a.m.) .0000 .4725 .7030	OUTFLOW (cms) .0800 .1300 .2380	STOR (ha.) 1.	AGE n.) 3375 9815 2455	
ESERVOIR (9022) N= 2> OUT= 1 VT= 15.0 min	OUTFLOW S7 (cms) (h .0000 .0100 .0450 AREA (ha)	CORAGE ha.m.) .0000 .4725 .7030 QPEAI (cms	OUTFLOW (cms) .0800 .1300 .2380 K TP) (h	STOR (ha.) 1. EAK	AGE n.) 3375 9815 2455 R.V. (mm)	
ESERVOIR (9022) N= 2> OUT= 1 VT= 15.0 min INFLOW : ID= 2 (202 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (902	OUTFLOW S7 (cms) (H .0000 .0100 .0450 AREA (ha)) 24.780 2) 24.780	CORAGE ha.m.) .0000 .4725 .7030 QPEAI (cms 3.611 .130	OUTFLOW (cms) .0800 .1300 .2380 K TP) (h 3 6 0 9	STOR (ha. 1. EAK urs) .00 .50	AGE n.) 3375 9815 2455 R.V. (mm) 48.78 48.16	8 5
ESERVOIR (9022) N= 2> OUT= 1 VT= 15.0 min INFLOW : ID= 2 (202 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (902	OUTFLOW ST (cmms) (t .0000 .0100 .0450 AREA (ha)) 24.780 2) 24.780 2) 24.780	TORAGE 1a.m.) .0000 .4725 .7030 QPEAI (cms 3.611 .130 CTION [Qout	OUTFLOW (cms) .0800 .1300 .2380 C TP) (h 8 6 0 9 t/Qin](%)=	STOR (ha.: 1. EAK rs) .00 .50 .50 .50	AGE n.) 3375 9815 2455 R.V. (mm) 48.78 48.16	3 5
ESERVOIR (9022) N= 2> OUT= 1 T= 15.0 min INFLOW : ID= 2 (202 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (902 PEAK TIME MAXIM	OUTFLOW S7 (cms) (1 .0000 .0100 .0450 AREA (ha) 0) 24.780 2) 24.780 2) 24.780 2) 24.780 SILET OF PEAK HIFT OF PEAK	CORAGE ia.m.) .0000 .4725 .7030 QPEAI (cms 3.61 .13 CTION [Qout FLOW USED	OUTFLOW (cms) .0800 .1300 .2380 X TP) (h 3 6 0 9 t/Qin](%)= (min)= (ba.m.)=	STOR (ha. 1. EAK (rs) .00 .50 3.59 210.00 .981	AGE n.) 3375 9815 2455 R.V. (mm) 48.78 48.16	3 5
ESERVOIR (9022) N= 2> OUT= 1 T= 15.0 min INFLOW : ID= 2 (202 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (902 PEAK TIME MAXIM	OUTFLOW S: (cms) (1 .0000 .0100 .0450 AREA (ha) 0 24.780 FLOW REDUC SHIFT OF PEAK JM STORAGE	CORAGE la.m.) .0000 .4725 .7030 QPEAL .130 CTION [Qout FLOW USED	OUTFLOW (cms) .0800 .1300 .2380 (ha 6 0 9 E/Qin](%)= (min)= (ha.m.)=	STOR (ha. 1. EAK rrs) .00 .50 3.59 210.00 .981	AGE n.) 3375 9815 2455 R.V. (mm) 48.78 48.10	9 5
ESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> OUT= 1 VT= 15.0 min INFLOW : ID= 2 (202 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (902 PEAK TIME MAXIM	OUTFLOW S: (cms) (1 .0000 .0450 AREA (ha) 0 24.780 FLOW REDUC SHIFT OF PEAK JM STORAGE	CORAGE ha.m.) .0000 .4725 .7030 QPEAI (cms 3.611 .131 CTION [Qout FLOW USED	OUTFLOW (cms) .0800 .1300 .2380 (transport) (h 3 6 0 9 (min) = (ha.m.) =	STOR (ha. 1. EAK (rs) .00 .50 .50 .50 .50 .50 .981	AGE n.) 3375 9815 2455 R.V. (mm) 48.77 48.10	9 5
ESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> OUT= 1 JT= 15.0 min INFLOW : ID= 2 (202 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (902 PEAK TIME : MAXIM 	OUTFLOW S: (cms) (1 .0000 .0450 AREA (ha) 0) 24.780 FLOW REDUC SHIFT OF PEAK UM STORAGE	CORAGE ha.m.) .0000 .4725 .7030 QPEAI (cms 3.611 .130 TTION [Qout FLOW USED	OUTFLOW (cms) .0800 .1300 .2380 C TP) (h 3 6 0 9 E/Qin](%)= (min)= (ha.m.)=	STOR (ha.:	AGE n.) 3375 2455 R.V. (mm) 48.78 48.16	8 5
ESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> OUT= 1 VT= 15.0 min INFLOW : ID= 2 (202 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (902 PEAK TIME MAXIM 	OUTFLOW S: (cms) (1 .0000 .0450 AREA (ha) 0) 24.780 FLOW REDUC SHIFT OF PEAK UM STORAGE AREA ((ha) (CORAGE ia.m.) .0000 .4725 .7030 QPEAI (cms 3.61i .130 TTION [Qout FLOM USED 	OUTFLOW (cms) .0800 .1300 2380 C TP) (h 3 6 5 9 2./Qin](%)= (ha.m.)= 	STOR (ha.	AGE n.) 3375 2455 R.V. (mm) 48.77 48.10	9 5
ESERVOIR (9022) N= 2> OUT= 1 T= 15.0 min INFLOW : ID= 2 (202 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (902 PEAK TIME: MAXIM 	OUTFLOW S: (cms) (1 .0000 .0100 .0450 AREA (ha) 2) 24.780 2) 24.780 2) 24.780 SHIFT OF PEAK (M STORAGE AREA ((ha) (24.78 . 70.42 8.	CORAGE 14. m.) 1.0000 .4725 .7030 .7030 .7030 .7030 .7030 .710N [Qout FLOW USED] .710N [Qout FLOW USED] .710N [Timms] (11.130 9 855 6 6 1.000 .710	OUTFLOW (cms) .0800 .1300 .2380 C TP (ha 6 0 9 (ha.m.)= (ha.m.)= (ha.m.)= PEAK R rrs) (5.50 48. 00 46.	STOR (ha.	AGE n.) 3375 9815 2455 R.V. (mm) 48.77 48.16	3 5
ESSERVOIR (9022) N= 2> OUT= 1 T= 15.0 min INFLOW : ID= 2 (202 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (902 PEAK TIME MAXIM 	OUTFLOW S: (cms) (1 .0000 .0150 AREA (ha) 0) 24.780 2) 24.780 2) 24.780 2) 24.780 ELOW REDUC SHIFT OF PEAK UM STORAGE AREA ((ha) (24.78 . 70.42 8. 95.20 8.	TORAGE 1a.m.) .0000 .4725 .7030 .7030 .0000 .131 TTION [Qout FLOW USED 	OUTFLOW (cms) .0800 .1300 .2380 C TP (ha 6 9 c/Qinl(%)= (min)= (ha.m.)= (ha.m.)= .50 48. 0.0 46. .00 46.	STOR (ha. EAK (rs) .00 .50 210.00 .981 	AGE n.) 3375 9815 2455 R.V. (mm) 48.77 48.10	8 5

ADD HYD 1 +	(5065 2 = 3 ID1= 1 ID2= 2 ======= ID = 3) (7008): (1044):	AREA (ha) 95.20 443.50	QPEAK (cms) 8.864 2.063 8.907	TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 9.75	R.V. (mm) 46.74 11.92 ======		
NOTE	: PEAK I	FLOWS DO	NOT INC	LUDE BASEF	LOWS IF	ANY.		
RESERVO IN= 2 DT= 15.	IR (9019 -> OUT= : 0 min) 1 	OUTFLOW (cms) .0000 .0000 .5700 .9900	STORAGE (ha.m.) .0000 .2600 .3500 .4700	E OU (0 1 0 1 0 2	TFLOW cms) .0800 .2200 .3500 .8300	STORAGE (ha.m.) .5900 .7400 .9300 .9900	
INFL OUTF	OW : ID= LOW: ID=	2 (2010 1 (9019 PEAK TIME SI MAXIMUN) 22) 22 FLOW H HIFT OF I M STORAG	AREA (ha) .700 .700 REDUCTION PEAK FLOW GE USED	QPEAK (cms) 2.372 .929 [Qout/Qi (h	TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 6.50 n](%)= 39 (min)= 30 a.m.)=	R.V. (mm) 42.30 30.84 0.15 0.00 .4536	
ADD HYD 1 +	(7001 2 = 3 ID1= 1 ID2= 2) (9019): (2011):	AREA (ha) 22.70 40.62	QPEAK (cms) .929 4.134	TPEAK (hrs) 6.50 6.00	R.V. (mm) 30.84 42.30		
NOTE	ID = 3 : PEAK I	(7001): FLOWS DO	63.32 NOT INCI	4.414 LUDE BASER	6.00 PLOWS IF	38.19 ANY.		
ADD HYD 1 +	(7002 2 = 3 ID1= 1 ID2= 2) (7001): (2012):	AREA (ha) 63.32 26.45	QPEAK (cms) 4.414 .163	TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 8.50	R.V. (mm) 38.19 11.92		
NOTE	ID = 3 : PEAK 1	(7002): FLOWS DO	89.77 NOT INCI	4.423 LUDE BASEF	6.00 FLOWS IF	30.45 ANY.	-	
RESERVO IN= 2 DT= 15.	IR (9147 -> OUT= 1 0 min) 1 	OUTFLOW (cms) .0000	STORAGE (ha.m.)	2 OU (TFLOW cms) .0010	STORAGE (ha.m.) *******	
INFL OUTF	OW : ID= LOW: ID=	2 (9146 1 (9147 PEAK TIME S: MAXIMU) 369) 369 FLOW H HIFT OF H	AREA (ha) .570 .570 REDUCTION PEAK FLOW	QPEAK (cms) 3.332 .000 [Qout/Qi	TPEAK (hrs) 7.25 .00 n](%)= (min)=*** a.m.)= 4	.00 .6980	
RESERVO IN= 2 DT= 15.	IR (9248 -> OUT= 3 0 min) 	OUTFLOW (cms) .0000	STORAGE (ha.m.)		TFLOW cms) .0010	STORAGE (ha.m.)	
			1	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.	

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\

Page 51

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbr
INFLOW : ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 (cms) (hrs) (mm) OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00	ID = 3 (7013): 227.32 10.369 6.00 52.04 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
TIME SHIFT OF DEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USD (min)=****** (ha.m.) = .9504	ROUTE CIN. (6019) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 C> DISTAF FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 281.05 .0800 34.48 278.78 .0800 75.86 280.87 .0800 110.34 277.13 .0800 124.14 276.45 .0800 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel 137.7 277.41 .0800 255.17 278.25 213.79 277.731 .0800 225.17 278.49 .0800 225.17 278.49 .0800 289.66 279.97 .0800 2275.86 278.41 .0800 289.56 279.97 .0800 2274.70 .6655.04 .6 .72.84 .9800 244.14 .247.89 .247.89 .11.1 1.35 71.58 .78 275.69 .278.41 .0800 302.47 .283 41.60 .99 274.89 .2478.40 .11.1 1.35 71.58
ADD HYD (5064) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	<pre></pre>
ADD HYD (7004) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	1 + 2 = 3 AREA QUEAK TPEAK R.V.
ID = 3 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. ADD HYD (7013) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. ID1= 1 (2041): 82.05 10.214 6.00 53.56 + ID2= 2 (9020): 145.27 1.758 7.75 51.17 Bree 52	ADD HYD (7014) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. TD1= 1 (7013): 227.32 10.369 6.00 52.04 + 1D2= 2 (2042): 54.50 .791 7.00 18.00 TD = 3 (7014): 281.82 10.515 6.00 45.46 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

ydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\

<-pipe / channel-> MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (m) (m/s) .48 1.14 .41 1.03

V:\01606\Active \160621777\SWM Master Plans Analysis SWM Hydrology Uxbridge-update Dec 2014 Uxbridge-update Dec 2014 Uxb	bridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014	\Uxbridge\
RESERVOIR (9018) IM= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.)		OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9251) 1097.41 3.08 11.00 7.54 .24 .81	
.0000 .0000 4.8100 1.1900 .4200 .6400 14.3300 1.2700 1.5900 .9400 53.8000 1.3300 3.2000 1.1100 .0000 .0000 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 3.258 9.75 7.54		ADD HYD (7016) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1= 1 (5061): 769.44 11.307 6.00 36.24 + 1D2= 2 (6019): 406.96 5.034 10.75 22.58 TD = 3 (7016): 1176.40 12.683 10.25 31.51	
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 3.253 10.00 7.54 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 99.83		NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 15.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.1127		ADD HYD (5000) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK (hrs) (mm)	
ADD HYD (5061) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)		ID1= 1 (1047): 479.57 2.351 9.75 12.30 + ID2= 2 (9251): 1097.41 3.082 11.00 7.54 	
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{ID1}=1 \ (1059): \ 487.62 \ 7.732 \ 8.50 \ 30.91 \\ + \ \text{ID2}=2 \ (7014): \ 281.82 \ 10.515 \ 6.00 \ 45.46 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \\ \text{ID}=3 \ (5061): \ 769.44 \ 11.307 \ 6.00 \ 36.24 \\ \end{array} $		NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		ADD HYD (5001) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	
ROUTE CHN (9251) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Distance Elevation Manning .00 278.33 .0800 46.71 277.77 .0800 57.10 277.40 0800		NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
57.10 277.40 .0800 62.29 276.96 .0800 67.48 275.94 .0800 77.86 273.27 .0800 93.05 272.29 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 119.38 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 150.53 271.36 .0350 .0800 207.62 274.37 .0800 233.57 275.12 .0800 247.79 275.41 .0800		RESERVOIR (9017) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE 0000 .0000 2.8300 3.4900 .2800 .2500 3.8200 3.9500 .7100 .6300 4.6700 4.2000 1.1300 1.1400 7.3600 4.8500 1.8400 2.2600 35.4000 6.6100 2.2700 2.9600 ******* 8.6500	
<pre><</pre>		AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (5001) 1837.481 6.467 10.50 10.31 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9017) 1837.481 6.123 11.50 10.31	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 94.68 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 60.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 4.4676	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$ \begin{array}{c} \text{ADD HYD (9041)} \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ & (ha) & (cms) & (hrs) & (mm) \\ \hline \text{ID1= 1 (5065): } 538.70 & 8.907 & 6.00 & 18.07 \\ + \text{ ID2= 2 (9017): } 1837.48 & 6.123 & 11.50 & 10.31 \\ \hline \text{ID1= 3 (9041): } 2376.18 & 9.391 & 6.00 & 12.07 \\ \hline \text{NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.} \end{array} $	
<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-></pre>		ADD HYD (5002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	
	Page 55		Page 56

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014	4\Uxbridge\
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (2031): 55.98 7.193 6.00 50.09 + ID2= 2 (9041): 2376.18 9.391 6.00 12.07 ID = 3 (5002): 2432.16 16.584 6.00 12.94 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
SHIFT HYD (9040) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT= 60.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	ID = 3 (5004): 3623.18 20.960 7.25 19.01 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
SHIFT ID= 1 (9040): 2432.16 16.58 7.00 12.94	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 	SHIFT ID= 1 (9015): 3623.18 20.96 9.25 19.01
51.30 270.17 .0800 61.60 266.84 .0800 66.80 266.02 .0800 102.70 265.42 .0350 Main Channel 123.20 261.00 .0350 Main Channel 128.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel 154.00 266.82 .0800 205.40 268.07 .0800 236.20 268.74 .0800 282.40 271.31 .0800 348.90 274.45 .0800	<pre> DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 260.30 .0800 .0800 .34.10 260.43 .0800 .62.40 259.79 .0800 .09.0 .13.50 254.00 .0800 .13.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel .187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel .198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel .198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel .204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel .249.80 254.00 .0800 </pre>
<pre><</pre>	351.90 256.37 .0800 414.40 260.24 .0800 465.50 260.75 .0800 514.40 261.48 .0800 <
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
<pre></pre>	7.03 258.91 .71LE+07 3193.6 2.33 37.12 7.49 259.37 .79LE+07 3695.3 2.43 35.69 7.96 259.84 .874E+07 4221.9 2.51 34.48 8.42 260.30 .96LE+07 4697.6 2.54 34.09
ADD HYD (5003) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1= 1 (6029); 2422 16 10.795 7.25 12.94	AREA OPEAR TPEAK R.V. MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9015) 3623.18 20.96 9.25 19.01 1.47 .65 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6031) 3623.18 16.19 14.50 19.01 1.36 .65
+ ID2 = 2 (1040): 14.62 .342 6.75 21.92 ID = 3 (5003): 2446.78 11.077 7.25 13.00 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
	Page 57 Page 57

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
ID = 3 (5005): 4322.96 19.436 10.50 21.21 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	CALIE CALIE STANDHYD (2031) Area (ha)= 55.98 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00
MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (h)= 30.79 25.19 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 610.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
Ptotal=104.07 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE 	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 102.01 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.65 (ii) 15.28 (ii) Unit Wod Treak (min)= 15.00 30.00
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 10 00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.95 4.03 9.610 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 46.64 66.57 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .45 .64 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .64 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) .61 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. .61 .64
CALIB NASHYD (1032) ID=1 DT=15.0 min Area (ha)= 610.08 Curve Number (CN)= 70.0 III (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.46 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.472 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 12.719 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 144.321 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .426 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	CALIB CALIB STANDHYD (2020) Area (ha)= 24.78 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 14.87 9.91 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 406.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 105.80 over (min) 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.22 (ii) 13.71 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00
CALIB STANDHYD (2050) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00	OHIC Hyd. peak (Lum) - .11 .00 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.64 2.12 5.761 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 94.07 46.60 65.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .90 .45 .63 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER ON EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit. Hyd. peak (mms)= 12.00 30.00 Unit. Hyd. peak (mms)= 120.00 5 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 7.74 8.71 13.552 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 55.08 67.20 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .53 .65 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (CN* = 70.0 I a = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	CALIE CALIE STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 99.64 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.14 (ii) 15.84 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 201	14\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 8.63 4.89 11.845 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 94.07 45.60 62.55 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .90 .44 .60 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	CALIB Area (ha) = 40.62 STANDHYD (2011) Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 16.25 24.37 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 520.40 40.00 Mannings n .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 137.37 78.85
<pre>(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB (ASHYD (1044) (ID=1 DT=15.0 min) (ID= 1 DT=</pre>	over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.05 (ii) 15.61 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.66 2.99 5.609 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 41.80 57.25 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .40 .55 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL Forma E SMALER OR EQUAL 55
<pre>(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY</pre>	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIE STANDHYD (2010) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Area (ha)= 22.70 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 Length (m)= 389.00 40.00 Mannings n = over (min) 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.08 (ii) Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.08 (ii)	CALTE NASHYD (1047) ID=1 DT=15.0 min Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 4.294 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 4.294 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = $.210$
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .11 .07 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.09 2.10 4.191(iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 41.80 57.25 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .40 .55 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 IA = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	CALIE NASHYD (9146) Area (ha)= 369.57 Curve Number (CN)= 55.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
	Page 61 Page 62

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ 15.00 over (min) 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.47 (ii) 15.81 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 15.00 30.00 CALIB .10 .05 NASHYD (9246) Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 *TOTALS* 13.792 (iii) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min PEAK FLOW 8.54 7.81 (cms)= TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= U.H. Tp(hrs)= .60 6.00 6.25 6.00 103.57 104.07 57.41 104.07 71.26 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .55 .68 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.047 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.500 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 28.911 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 104.070 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .278 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB
 NASHYD
 (1046)
 Area
 (ha)= 672.95
 Curve Number
 (CN)= 59.0

 ID= 1
 DT=15.0
 imin
 ia
 (mm)= 30.00
 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00

 ------- U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.80
 2.80
 * of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
 CALTR STANDHYD (2040) Area (ha)= 145.27 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.180 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 58.11 87.16 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.946 (i) Dep. Storage (mm) = . 50 1.50
 TIME TO PEAK
 (hrs) = 9.500

 RUNOFF VOLUME
 (mm) = 21.895

 TOTAL RAINFALL
 (mm) = 104.070
 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 984.10 40.00 Mannings n .013 .250 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .210 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 108.14 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= 15 00 30 00 8.87 (ii) 17.29 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05 CALIB *TOTALS* (1040) NASHYD Area (ha)= 14.62 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 DEAK FLOW (cms)= 12.10 14.21 21.603 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = ----- U.H. Tp(hrs)= .82 103.57 56.93 68.59 104.07 104.07 104.07 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .681 1.00 .55 .66 DEAK FLOW (cms) = .525 (i) ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 33.262 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 33.202 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .320 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. ------
 CALIB
 Area
 (ha)=
 54.50
 Curve Number
 (CN)=
 71.0

 ID=
 1
 DT=15.0
 Ia
 (mm)=
 30.00
 # of Linear Res.(N)=
 3.00
 CALIB
 NASHYD
 (1059)
 Area
 (ha)= 487.62
 Curve Number
 (CN)= 71.0

 ID= 1
 DT=15.0
 min
 Ia
 (mm)= 9.00
 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00

 ------- U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.17
 2.17
 ----- U.H. Tp(hrs)= .98 Unit Hvd Opeak (cms)= 8,583 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.124 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 11.484 (i) PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.481 (i)
 TIME TO PEAK
 (hrs)=
 8.250

 RUNOFF VOLUME
 (mm)=
 45.460

 TOTAL RAINFALL
 (mm)=
 104.070
 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 30.846 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .437 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .296 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALTR CALTR Area (ha)= 406.96 Curve Number (CN)= 60.0 Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.16 STANDHYD (2041) Area (ha)= 82.05 NASHYD (1060) Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min ID= 1 DT=15.0 min IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 13.400 36.92 45.13 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1 00 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 11.495 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 7.000 Length (m)= 739.60 40.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 34.179 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 Mannings n .013 .250 = RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 111.02 328 Page 63 Page 64 V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

CALIB			
NASHYD (9254)	Area (ha)=	24.78	Curve Number (CN)= 58.0
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min	Ia (mm)=	30.00	<pre># of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00</pre>
	U.H. Tp(hrs)=	2.38	

NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP.

		TR	ANSFORMEI	HYETOGR	RAPH	-	
TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.083	2.29	3.083	4.16	6.083	18.73	9.08	3.16
.167	2.29	3.167	4.16	6.167	18.73	9.17	3.16
.250	2.29	3.250	4.16	6.250	18.73	9.25	3.16
.333	2.41	3.333	4.16	6.333	18.73	9.33	2.91
.417	2.41	3.417	4.16	6.417	18.73	9.42	2.91
.500	2.41	3.500	4.16	6.500	18.73	9.50	2.91
.583	2.46	3.583	4.16	6.583	9.91	9.58	2.79
.667	2.46	3.667	4.16	6.667	9.91	9.67	2.79
.750	2.46	3.750	4.16	6.750	9.91	9.75	2.79
.833	2.54	3.833	4.16	6.833	6.74	9.83	2.83
.917	2.54	3.917	4.16	6.917	6.74	9.92	2.83
1.000	2.54	4.000	4.16	7.000	6.74	10.00	2.83
1.083	2.66	4.083	5.74	7.083	6.24	10.08	2.75
1.167	2.66	4.167	5.74	7.167	6.24	10.17	2.75
1.250	2.66	4.250	5.74	7.250	6.24	10.25	2.75
1.333	2.75	4.333	6.74	7.333	6.24	10.33	2.58
1.417	2.75	4.417	6.74	7.417	6.24	10.42	2.58
1.500	2.75	4.500	6.74	7.500	6.24	10.50	2.58
1.583	2.79	4.583	7.53	7.583	6.24	10.58	2.37
1.667	2.79	4.667	7.53	7.667	6.24	10.67	2.37
1.750	2.79	4.750	7.53	7.750	6.24	10.75	2.37
1.833	2.91	4.833	9.12	7.833	6.24	10.83	2.21
1.917	2.91	4.917	9.12	7.917	6.24	10.92	2.21
2.000	2.91	5.000	9.12	8.000	6.24	11.00	2.21
2.083	2.91	5.083	12.49	8.083	5.33	11.08	1.96
2.167	2.91	5.167	12.49	8.167	5.33	11.17	1.96
2.250	2.91	5.250	12.49	8.250	5.33	11.25	1.96
2.333	2.91	5.333	12.49	8.333	4.58	11.33	1.79
2.417	2.91	5.417	12.49	8.417	4.58	11.42	1.79
2.500	2.91	5.500	12.49	8.500	4.58	11.50	1.79
2.583	3.12	5.583	49.95	8.583	4.00	11.58	1.58
2.667	3.12	5.667	49.95	8.667	4.00	11.67	1.58
2.750	3.12	5.750	49.96	8.750	4.00	11.75	1.58
2.833	3.54	5.833	137.37	8.833	3.54	11.83	1.42
2.917	3.54	5.917	137.37	8.917	3.54	11.92	1.42
3.000	3.54	6.000	137.37	9.000	3.54	12.00	1.42
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)=	.398					
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .238 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.167 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 21.265							
RUNOFF COEFFICIEN	T =	.204					
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.							

RESERVOIR (9021) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min	OUTFLOW S (cms) (.0000 .0290 .5000	TORAGE ha.m.) .0000 .3700 .6900	OUTFLOW (cms) 1.2000 2.7000 6.1000	STORAGE (ha.m.) .9900 1.4200 2.1800
INFLOW : ID= 2 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 I T M	ARE# (ha) (2050) 89.700 (9021) 89.700 EAK FLOW REDU IME SHIFT OF PEAK AXIMUM STORAGE	QPEAK (cms) 13.552 6.901 CTION [Qout/ FLOW USED	TPEAM (hrs) 6.00 (0.00 (0.00 (0.00 (0.00) (0	R.V. (mm) 67.20 67.16 0.92 0.00 2.3882

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ RESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2---> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) .0000 (ha.m.) .0000 (cms) .0800 (ha.m.) .8375 .0100 .4725 .1300 .9815 .0450 .7030 .2380 1.2455 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) 24.780 (cms) 5.761 (mm) 65.59 (hrs) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2020) 6.00 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .238 8.75 64.96 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 4.13 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=165.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = 1.2452 ADD HYD (7008) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (9022): 24 78 238 8.75 64 96 + ID2= 2 (2021): 70.42 11.845 62.56 ---------------------ID = 3 (7008): 95.20 11.880 6.00 63.19 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. ADD HYD (5065) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (7008): 95.20 11.880 6.00 63.19 + ID2= 2 (1044): 443.50 3.773 9.75 21.26 ID = 3 (5065): 538.70 11.982 6.00 28.67 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. RESERVOIR (9019) TN= 2---> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .5900 .0000 1.0800 .0000 1.2200 1.3500 .5700 .3500 .9300 .9900 .4700 2.8300 .9900 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 57.25 4.191 6.00 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 1.116 6.50 45.79 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 26.63 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (min)= 30.00 (ha.m.)= .6335 ADD HYD (7001) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1= 1 (9019): 6.50 45.79 22.70 1,116 + ID2= 2 (2011): 40.62 5.609 57.25 _____ _____ _____ _____ ID = 3 (7001): 63.32 6.549 6.00 53.14 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. ADD HYD (7002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA **OPEAK** TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
ID1= 1 (7001): 63.32 6.549 6.00 53.14 + ID2= 2 (2012): 26.45 .305 8.25 21.26 ID = 3 (7002): 89.77 6.570 6.00 43.75 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$ \begin{vmatrix} \text{ADD HYD} & (5064) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \end{vmatrix} $ AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. $ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{ID1} = 1 & (1045): 170.73 & 1.719 & 8.75 & 21.26 \\ + & \text{ID2} = 2 & (7002): 89.77 & 6.570 & 6.00 & 43.75 \\ \hline \end{array} $ $ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{ID} = 3 & (5064): 260.50 & 6.647 & 6.00 & 29.01 \\ \hline \end{array} $
RESERVOIR (9147) OUTFLOW STORAGE IN= 2> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 (cmms) (cmms) .0010 *******	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cmms) (hmm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 6.047 7.25 21.79 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = .00 .00	$ \begin{vmatrix} 1 + 2 = 3 \\ \\ (ha) & (cms) \\ (brs) & (mm) \\ 1D1= 1 (9147): 369.57 & .000 & .00 \\ + ID2= 2 (9248): 54.89 & .000 & .00 \\ \\ ID= 3 (7004): 424.46 & .000 & .00 \\$
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 8.0530	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
INFLOW : ID= 2 (9246) OUTFLOW STORAGE INFLOW : ID= 1 (9248) OUTFLOW STORAGE INFLOW : ID= 1 (9248) OUTFLOW STORAGE INFLOW : ID= 1 (9246) OUTFLOW STORAGE INFLOW : ID= 1 (9248) OUTFLOW STORAGE	ADD HYD (7013) ADD HYD (7013) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (bas) (cms) (brs) (mm) ID1= 1 (2041): 82.05 13.792 6.00 71.26 + ID2= 2 (9020): 145.27 2.400 7.50 68.57 ID = 3 (7013): 227.32 14.003 6.00 69.54 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.5870	ROUTE CHN (6019) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00
RESERVOIR (9020) INE 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 .2200 3.0000 2.5000 7.0000 .2200 3.0000 .2200 3.0000 .25000 7.0000 .25000 7.0000 .25000 7.0000 .25000 7.0000 .25000 7.0000 .25000 7.0000 .25000 7.0000 .25000 7.0000 .25000 7.0000 .25000 7.0000 .25000 7.0000 .25000 7.0000 .25000 7.0000 .25000 7.0000 .25000 7.0000 .2001 145.270 2.400 .00145.270 2.400 7.50 68.57 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin] (%) = 11.11 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) = 90.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = 6.7462 </td <td><pre> C DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 281.05 .0800 34.48 278.78 .0800 62.07 280.75 .0800 10.34 277.13 .0800 110.34 277.13 .0800 124.14 276.45 .0800 / .0350 Main Channel 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel 151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0800 255.17 278.25 .0800 255.17 278.25 .0800 289.66 279.07 .0800 303.45 278.41 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800 </pre></td>	<pre> C DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 281.05 .0800 34.48 278.78 .0800 62.07 280.75 .0800 10.34 277.13 .0800 110.34 277.13 .0800 124.14 276.45 .0800 / .0350 Main Channel 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel 151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0800 255.17 278.25 .0800 255.17 278.25 .0800 289.66 279.07 .0800 303.45 278.41 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800 </pre>
SHIFT HYD (9029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 IN= 2> OUT= 1 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. SHIFT=150.0 min AREA (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID= 2 (1060): 406.96 11.49 7.00 34.18 SHIFT ID= 1 (9029): 406.96 11.49 9.50 34.18	Construction TRAVEL TIME TABLE Construction DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME (m) (mu.n.) (cms) (min) (cu.n.) (cms) (min) .20 274.70 .655E+04 .6 .56 172.84 .39 274.89 .247E+05 4.2 1.00 97.27 .59 275.09 .478E+05 11.1 1.35 71.58 .78 275.28 .756E+05 21.4 1.64 58.99 .98 275.48 .108E+06 35.2 1.89 51.17 1.17 275.67 .145E+06 52.9 2.12 45.70
ADD HYD (5062) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (mas) (mus) (hrs) (mus) ID1= 1 (1032): 610.08 12.719 8.75 44.32 + ID2= 2 (9021): 89.70 6.901 6.50 67.16	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec	2014\Uxbridge\
<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-></pre>	109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 119.38 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 150.53 271.36 .0350 /0800 Main Channel 186.86 273.45 .0800 ////////////////////////////////////
D HYD (9250) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) 1 D1= 1 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00 + ID2= 2 (1046): 672.95 5.946 9.50 21.89 ID = 3 (9250): 1097.41 5.946 9.50 13.43 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
D HYD (7014) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (7013): 227.32 14.003 6.00 69.54 + ID2= 2 (2042): 54.50 1.481 7.00 30.85 = ID = 3 (7014): 281.82 14.328 6.00 62.06	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. ESERVOIR (9018) N= 2> OUT= 1 T= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 4.8100 1.1900 .4200 .6400 14.3300 1.2700 1.5900 .9400 53.8000 1.3300 3.2000 0.0000 0000	<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL</pre>
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 5.946 9.50 13.43 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 5.975 9.50 13.43 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%=100.48 TIME TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= .00	I DE ALCON GENERAL ALCON IDE 1 (5061): 769.44 15.963 8.00 51.54 + IDE 2 (6019): 406.96 8.029 10.50 34.18 IDE 3 (7016): 1176.40 19.459 10.00 45.53 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
**** WARNING : HYDROGRAPH PEAK WAS NOT REDUCED. CHECK OUTFLOW/STORAGE TABLE OR REDUCE DT. > HYD (5061) > HYD (5061) > L + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDI= 1 (1059): 487.62 11.434 8.25 45.46 + ID2= 2 (7014): 21.769.44 15.961): 769.44 15.963 8.00 51.54	ADD HYD (5000) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (1047): 479.57 4.294 9.50 21.89 + ID2= 2 (9251): 1097.41 5.713 10.50 13.43 ID = 3 (5000): 1576.98 9.870 10.00 16.00 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$ \begin{vmatrix} ADD HYD & (5001) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ (ha) & (cms) & (hrs) & (mm) \\ \hline ID1 = 1 & (5064) : 260.50 & 6.647 & 6.00 & 29.01 \\ + ID2 = 2 & (5000) : 1576.98 & 9.70 & 10.00 & 16.00 \\ \hline ID = 3 & (5001) : 1837.48 & 12.025 & 9.75 & 17.85 \\ \hline NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. \\ \hline RESERVOIR & (9017) \\ IM = 2> OUT = 1 \\ \hline DOTT = 0 & (0017) \\ IM = 2> OUT = 1 \\ \hline DOTT = 0 & (0017) \\ \hline DOTT$

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
.0000 .0000 2.8300 3.4900 .2800 .2500 3.8200 3.9500 .7100 .6300 4.6700 4.2000 1.1300 1.1400 7.3600 4.6900 1.5600 1.7300 8.7800 4.8500 1.8400 2.2600 35.4000 6.6100 2.2700 2.9600 ******* 8.6500 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (5001) 1837.481 12.022 9.75 17.85 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9017) 1837.481 12.002 10.00 17.85 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 99.81 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 15.00	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 5.0639 ADD HYD (9041) 1 + 2 = 3 IDl= 1 (5065): 538.70 11.982 6.00 28.67 + ID2= 2 (9017): 1837.48 12.002 10.00 17.85 	AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m/s) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9040) 2432.16 16.72 11.25 21.37 1.18 1.63 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6029) 2432.16 16.72 11.25 21.37 1.00 1.43
ADD HYD (5002) 1 + 2 = 3 (ba) (cms) (brs) (mm) TDI= 1 (2031): 55.98 9.610 6.00 66.57 + ID2= 2 (9041): 2276.18 16.418 10.00 20.30 ID = 3 (5002): 2432.16 22.279 6.00 21.37 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{ID = 3 (5003): } 2446.78 \ 16.784 \ 11.25 \ 21.44 \\ \text{NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.} \\ \hline \\ $
IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT= 60.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
.00 274.29 .0800 30.80 273.73 .0800 51.30 270.17 .0800 61.60 266.84 .0800 66.80 266.02 .0800 102.70 265.42 .0350 Main Channel 122.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel 124.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel 154.00 264.62 .0350 Main Channel 174.60 266.82 .0800 205.40 268.74 .0800 236.20 268.74 .0800 236.20 268.74 .0800 302.90 272.11 .0800 302.90 272.11 .0800 302.90 274.45 .0800 <	ROUTE CLN (6031) Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 IN=2> OUT=1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Distance Elevation Manning .00 .00 260.30 .01 260.43 .02 .0800 .03 .0500 .04.10 260.43 .05 .0500 .06 .0800 .07 .05.12 .0800 .0350 Main Channel .07.2 .051.08 .0800 .0350 .0900 .0350 .013.50 .0550 .025.77 .0800 .031.90 .255.77 .0350 .0350 .0351.90 .255.77 .0350.9 .256.37 .0351.90 .256.37 .044.40 .260.24 .050 .514.40 .051.40 .0800 .051.4.40 .0800 .051.4.40 .0800 .051.4.40 .0800
3.16 264.16 .111E+06 219.6 3.04 8.44	(m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min)

							jp	
26	252 24	5067.04	4		20	222.00		
. 30	252.24	.5966+04	. 4		. 39	223.90		
. / 3	252.01	.2386+05	2.8		.01	141.05		
1.09	252.97	.619E+05	8.2		.69	126.14		
1.45	253.33	.151E+06	18.8		.65	134.06		
1.91	253.79	.383E+06	68.4		.93	93.14		
2.38	254.26	.713E+06	157.3		1.15	75.58		
2.84	254.72	.112E+07	287.3	1.33		65.13		
3.31	255.19	.161E+07	454.2	1.47		59.01		
3.77	255.65	.217E+07	659.0		1.58	54.87		
4.24	256.12	.279E+07	908.7	1.69		51.25		
4.70	256.58	.346E+07	1199.1		1.80			
5.17	257.05	.414E+07	1527.9		1.92	45.17		
5.63	257.51	.485E+07	1892.4		2.03	42.71		
6.10	257.98	.558E+07	2291.8		2.13	40.59		
6.56	258.44	.634E+07	2725.7		2.23	38.74		
7.03	258.91	.711E+07	3193.6		2.33	37.12		
7.49	259.37	.791E+07	3695.3		2.43	35.69		
7.96	259.84	.874E+07	4221.9		2.51			
8.42	260.30	.961E+07	4697.6		2.54	34.09		
			< hv	drograph	>	<-pipe / d	channel->	
		AREA	OPEAK	TPEAK	RV	MAX DEPTH	MAX VEL	
		(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)	(m)	(m/s)	
INFLOW :	TD= 2 (9	015) 3623 18	34 82	12 50	29 26	1 60	72	
OUTFLOW:	TD= 1 (6	031) 3623.18	28.80	14.00	29.26	1.54	. 69	
HYD (5005)							
+ 2 =	3	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.			
		(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)			
ID1	.= 1 (5062	2): 699.78	14.432	8.50	47.25			
+ ID2	2= 2 (6031	.): 3623.18	28.797	14.00	29.26			
ID	= 3 (5005): 4322.96	33.085	13.50	32.17			
NOTE: E	FAK FLOWS	DO NOT INCL	IDE BASEFI	OWSTEN	NV			
	Dine i Done		obb briobrib	0110 11 11				
SH								

Appendix EPHOSPHORUS LOADING RATE CALCULATIONS


Table C REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF DURHAM Uxbridge Brook WPCP 2014 Operational Data Effluent Wastewater Flow and Analyses

	Effluer	t Plant	Flow			Effluent Analyses																	
Month	Total	Avg	Max	СВ	OD.	Susp	ended	Total	Phosp	horus	Dissolved	TKN	To	tal Ammo	nia Nitrog	jen	Un-ionized	Nitrate	Alkalinity	p	н	Tempe	erature
		Day	Day		,	So	lids				Phosphorus		Wi	nter	Sun	nmer	Ammonia	Nitrogen	CaCO3				
				conc.	load	conc.	load	conc.	lo	ad	conc.	conc.	conc.	load	conc.	load	conc.	conc.	conc.				
	m³	m³/d	m³/d	mg/L	kg/d	mg/L	kg/d	mg/L	kg/d	kg/mth	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L N	kg/d N	mg/L N	kg/d N	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	min	max	min	max
January	105 179	3 393	5.847	1.4	4.8	5	15.6	0.09	0.31	9	0.01	0.96	0.2	0.5			0.0	22.3	97	6.5	7.2	6.1	12.4
February	95.024	3.394	5.971	1.0	3.4	5	18.3	0.08	0.27	8	0.01	1.14	0.3	1.0			0.0	23.4	88	6.5	7.0	8.6	15.9
March	111.735	3.604	4,404	0.4	1.4	2	7.6	0.06	0.22	7	0.01	2.64	1.6	5.7			0.0	20.6	119	6.5	7.3	7.7	13.0
April	158,140	5.271	6,867	0.7	3.7	2	12.1	0.04	0.21	6	0.00	4.11	3.5	18.6			0.0	14.7	170	6.6	7.6	10.3	13.1
May	140,749	4,540	5,420	1.5	6.8	4	17.7	0.07	0.32	10	0.02	1.95			1.5	6.9	0.0	20.7	160	6.6	7.4	11.8	16.2
June	119,001	3,967	4,639	0.9	3.6	4	17.5	0.04	0.16	5	0.01	0.93			0.1	0.2	0.0	21.2	114	6.4	7.3	15.7	18.8
July	114,961	3,708	4,267	1.0	3.7	2	7.0	0.03	0.11	3	0.01	0.78			0.0	0.1	0.0	19.3	119	6.5	7.5	17.5	19.0
August	113,402	3,658	3,956	1.1	4.0	2	5.5	0.06	0.22	7	0.01	1.02			0.3	1.1	0.0	18.3	135	6.7	7.7	17.7	19.9
September	122,237	4,076	4,863	1.5	6.1	2	7.3	0.07	0.29	9	0.02	1.87			1.1	4.6	0.0	15.2	143	6.6	7.5	16.8	19.9
October	131,256	4,234	5,148	1.8	7.6	3	11.4	0.08	0.34	11	0.03	7.48			5.1	21.5	0.0	12.0	167	6.4	7.4	13.9	18.7
November	118,526	3,951	4,465	1.1	4.3	2	9.1	0.06	0.24	7	0.02	5.93			2.2	8.7	0.0	18.5	142	6.4	7.4	11.3	16.7
December	124,186	4,006	4,501	0.9	3.6	3	12.4	0.06	0.24	7	0.02	0.77	0.0	0.08			0.0	28.0	95	6.6	7.2	10.7	13.5
Total	1,454,396	1.1. 1.1	2.52							89						1000					1310		
Average	121,200	3,985		1.1	4.4	3	12.0	0.06	0.25	7	0.01	2.47	1.1	5.2	1.5	6.2	0.0	19.5	129				
Max	158,140	A Bart	6,867	1.8	7.6	5	18.3	0.09	0.34	11	0.03	7.48	3.5	18.6	5.1	21.5	0.0	28.0	170		7.7		19.9
Min	95,024			0.4	1.4	2	5.5	0.03	0.11	3	0.00	0.77	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	12.0	88	6.4		6.1	

ECA Limit				8.5	30.9	10	36.3	0.15	0.78			6	21.8	3	10.9	0.1		6.0	9.5		
ECA Objective	and the second	1.08		5		5	ET A.M.	0.1				5		2			8	6.5	9.0	0203	
LSPRS*			105-00-1						N 103	286	and the second	Red average									
Compliant				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes		Yes	Yes		

Comments

Objectives for CBOD₅, Suspended Solids and Total Ammonia Nitrogen apply to individual samples upon issuance of the new approval (June 28, 2012)

* Lake Simcoe Phosphorus Reduction Strategy

Plant flow metered at the final effluent

Refer to Section 2.1 - Plant Performance Evaluation of the Annual Performance Report for definition used to determine compliance with ECA Effluent limits.

5

Table E REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF DURHAM Uxbridge Brook WPCP Operational Data 2014 Effluent Objective Analyses and Exceedance

	Effluent Objective Analyses and Exceedance															
Month	CBODs		Suspended Solids		Total Phosphorus		Total Ammonia Nitrogen			pH				E.coli		
	max. conc. mg/L	# of exceedances	max. conc. mg/L	# of exceedances	max. conc. mg/L	# of exceedances	max. Winter	conc. Summer	# of exceedances	min. conc. mg/L	# of exceedances	max. conc. mg/L	# of exceedances	monthly conc cfu/100ml	# of exceedances	
January	2.2	0	10.0	4	0.24	6	0.7		0	6.5	2	7.2	0	0	0	
February	1.8	0	10.3	5	0.17	8	0.6		0	5.8	9	7.0	0	0.7	0	
March	0.8	0	4.2	0	0.13	1	4.8		0	6.5	1	7.3	0	0	0	
April	1.0	0	4.4	0	0.09	0	6.8		9	6.6	0	7.6	0	0	0	
May	2.2	0	9.3	3	0.13	6		5.0	8	6.6	0	7.4	0	0	0	
June	1.0	0	27.0	2	0.09	0	-	0.1	0	6.4	3	7.3	0	0	0	
July	1.4	0	4.6	0	0.08	0		0.1	0	6.5	1	7.5	0	0	0	
August	1.5	0	2.5	0	0.13	2		2.4	0	6.7	0	7.7	0	0	0	
September	1.8	0	3.4	0	0.12	3		3.7	3	6.6	0	7.5	0	0	0	
October	3.7	0	4.3	0	0.13	5		21.0	13	6.4	4	7.4	0	0	0	
November	1.5	0	3.5	0	0.09	0		20.1	4	6.4	3	7.4	0	0	0	
December	1.3	0	4.4	0	0.13	4	0.1		0	6.6	0	7.2	0	0	0	
Total		0		14		35	-		37		23		0		0	
Average	1.7		7.3		0.13		2.6	7.5								
Minimum	0.8		2.5		0.08		0.1	0.1		5.8						
Maximum	3.7		27.0		0.24		6.8	21.0				7.7		1		
ECA Objective	5		5		0.1		5	2		6.5		9.0		200		
Minimum Maximum ECA Objective	0.8 3.7 5		2.5 27.0 5		0.08 0.24 0.1		0.1 6.8 5	0.1 21.0 2		5.8 6.5		7.7 9.0		1 200		

ŝ,

1

Note: Concentration objectives apply to any single result.

Uxbridge SWMMP Phosphorus Calculations

Project Number: 1606 21777 Updated: Nov 2014

The following is a summary of calculations used to calculate phosphorus loadings:

Average Annual Phosphorus Loading/Land Use Area x Mitigation Measure Reduction (if applicable)= Phosphorus Loading (kg/year/ha) for that Land Use Area Type

Average Annual Phosphorus Loading/Land Use Area x Land Use Area x Mitigation Measure Reduction (if applicable)= Phosphorus Loading (kg/year) for that Land Use Area Type

For landuse categories and loading rates, refer to Table 2-3 and 2-4 of *Estimation of the Phosphorus Loadings to Lake Simcoe*, prepared by The Louis Berger Group, Inc. (2010) and refer to the Township of Uxbridge Official Plan Schedule A (April 2008)

*The residential and industrial area categories and rates(Liang 1999) are not Canwet landuse categories. They are from monitoring data from Liang, 1999 that are indicated in the Lake Simcoe Basin Stormwater Management and Retrofit Opportunities. Prepared by the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (2007) **For a multiple stages of phosphorus removal use equation below :** *A+B - A X B/100 = Total % removal, Where A and B are different removal %'s* Refer to Equation 4-1 from the *New Jersey Stormwater Best Management* Practices Manual Prepared by the State of New Jersey (2004)

Average LID Removal:

Refer to Table 4.4.3 "Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guide" (2010) by the TRCA

The average LID phosphorus removal is based on the average total phosphorus removal of infiltration trenches, grass swales/perforated pipe systems: 79.5 % Total Phosphporus Removal

Assumed level 1 pond phosphorus removal is 63% based on MOE's Lake Simcoe Phosphorus Loading Development Tool (2012) Assumed level 3 pond phosphorus removal is 63% based on MOE's Lake Simcoe Phosphorus Loading Development Tool (2012)

Total Phosphorus loadings for each condition = Sum of Phosphorus Loading (kg/year) for the Land Use Areas within the Uxbridge Urban Area

Uxbridge SWMMP

Phosphorus Calculations

Project Number: 1606 21777 Updated: November 2014

CANWET Land Use Category	Existing and Future Condition Land Use	Existing and Future Condition Average Annual Phosphorus Loading Rate (kg/ha)
		Pefferlaw-Uxbridge Brook
Hay-Pasture		
Cropland		
Quarry	General Agricultural Area, Permanent Agricultural Area	0.068
Turf-Sod		
Tile Drainage		
Forest	Forest Area, (Future Residential Area - Currently Forest Area)	0.0300
Wetland		
Stream Banks	Environmental Constraint Area	0.120
Groundwater		
	Recreational Mixed Use Area, Cemetery Area, Park and Open Space Area, Private Open Space Area Golf	
Transition	Course, Major Open Space Area, Oak Ridges Moraine	0.098
Septics		
Polder		
*Residential (Liang 1999)	Residential Area, Residential Area Higher Density, Mixed Use Area, Employment Area, , Proposed School Site	1.320
	Corridor commerical Area, Employment Area, Institutional Area, Brock St. Mixed Used Area	
*Industrial/commerial (Liang 1999)		1.820

For land use categories and loading rates:

Refer to Table 2-3 and Table 2-4 of Estimation of the Phosphorus Loadings to Lake Simcoe, prepared by The Louis Berger Group, Inc. (2010)

and refer to the Township of Uxbridge Official Plan Schedule A (April 2008)

*The residential and industrial (Liang 1999) are not Canwet landuse categories. They are from monitoring data from Liang, 1999 that are indicated in the

Lake Simcoe Basin Stormwater Management and Retrofit Opportunities. Prepared by the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (2007)

Uxbridge SWMMP

Phosphorus Loadings with SWMF

Project Number: 1606 21777 Updated: November 2014

Assumed wet pond phosphorus removal is 63% based on MOE's Lake Simcoe Phosphorus Loading Development Tool (2012)

The following calculations are assuming existing SWM ponds treatment is present and that all high density areas can be affected by an LID approach that has an approximate total phosphorus removal of

Additionally, two ponds are implemented treating the future residential areas 81.04 ha

Assumed wet pond phosphorus removal is 63% based on MOE's Lake Simcoe Phosphorus Loading Development Tool (2012)

A+B - A X B/100 = Total % removal, Where A and B are different removal %'s

92.42% Removal using LID and SWMF

Existing Conditions	Phosphorus Loading (kg/ha)	Wet Pond Treatment (ha)	Uncontrolled (ha)	Phosphorus Loading (kg/year) After Treatment
Cemetery Area, Park & Open Space Area, Private Open Space Area Golf Course	0.10	35.93	48.19	6.03
Environmental Constraint Area	0.12	0.52	77.86	9.37
Forest Area	0.0300	2.42	106.51	3.21
Residential, Schools, Roads, etc.	1.32	228.64	225.63	409.50
Commercial, Employment Area, Institutional	1.82	12.11	47.98	95.48
			Total Phosphorus Loading	523.58

Future Conditions (no swm measures for future development (Area A and B))	Phosphorus Loading (kg/ha)	Wet Pond Treatment (ha)	Uncontrolled (ha)	Phosphorus Loading (kg/year) After Treatment
Cemetery Area, Park & Open Space Area, Private Open Space Area Golf Course	0.10	35.93	48.19	6.03
Environmental Constraint Area	0.12	0.52	77.86	9.37
Forest Area	0.0300	2.19	25.70	0.784
Residential, Schools, Roads, etc.	1.32	228.87	306.44	516.29
Commercial, Employment Area, Institutional	1.82	12.11	47.98	95.48
			Total Phosphorus Loading	627.94
		•		
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds for future development (Area A and B))	Phosphorus Loading (kg/ha)	Wet Pond Treatment (ha)	Uncontrolled (ha)	Phosphorus Loading (kg/year) After Treatment
Cemetery Area, Park & Open Space Area, Private Open Space Area Golf Course	0.10	35.93	48.19	6.03
Environmental Constraint Area	0.12	0.52	77.86	9.37
Forest Area	0.0300	2.19	25.70	0.784
Residential, Schools, Roads, etc.	1.32	309.68	225.63	449.08
Commercial, Employment Area, Institutional	1.82	12.11	47.98	95.48
			Total Phosphorus Loading	560.74
Future Conditions (LID treatment for future residential (Area A and B) and commercial lands)	Phosphorus Loading (kg/ha)	Wet Pond Treatment (ha)	Uncontrolled (ha)	Phosphorus Loading (kg/year) After Treatment
Cemetery Area, Park & Open Space Area, Private Open Space Area Golf Course	0.10	35.93	48.19	6.03
Environmental Constraint Area	0.12	0.52	77.86	9.37
Forest Area	0.0300	2.19	25.70	0.784
Residential, Schools, Roads, etc.	1.32	228.87	306.44	194.70
Commercial, Employment Area, Institutional	1.82	12.11	47.98	26.06
			Total Phosphorus Loading	236.94
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds and LID's for future development (Area A and B)and commercial lands)	Phosphorus Loading (kg/ha)	Wet Pond Treatment (ha)	Uncontrolled (ha)	Phosphorus Loading (kg/year) After Treatment
Cemetery Area, Park & Open Space Area, Private Open Space Area Golf Course	0.10	35.93	48.19	6.03
Environmental Constraint Area	0.12	0.52	77.86	9.37
Forest Area	0.0300	2.19	25.70	0.784
Residential, Schools, Roads, etc.	1.32	309.68	225.63	180.92
Commercial, Employment Area, Institutional	1.82	12.11	47.98	26.06
			Total Phosphorus Loading	223.15
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds for future development (Area A and B), LID's for future development and commercial lands and retrofit of	Phosphorus Loading (kg/ba)	Wat Pand Treatment (ha)	Uncontrolled (ba)	Phoenhorus Loading (kg/yoar) After Treatment
existing ponds)	Filosphorus Loading (kg/ha)	wet Fond Treatment (na)	Oncontrolled (ha)	Phospholus Loading (kg/year) Arter Treatment
Cemetery Area, Park & Open Space Area, Private Open Space Area Golf Course	0.10	35.93	48.19	4.99
Environmental Constraint Area	0.12	0.52	77.86	9.35
Forest Area	0.0300	2.19	25.70	0.837
Residential, Schools, Roads, etc.	1.32	309.68	225.63	92.04
Commercial, Employment Area, Institutional	1.82	12.11	47.98	19.57
			Total Phosphorus Loading	126.79

79.50%

Uxbridge SWMMP

Phosphorus Loadings for Future Development Areas A and B Project Number: 1606 21777

Updated: November 2014

Assumed wet pond phosphorus removal is 63% based on MOE's Lake Simcoe Phosphorus Loading Development Tool (2012)

The following calculations are assuming existing SWM ponds treatment is present and that all high density areas can be affected by an LID approach that has an approximate total phosphorus removal of

Additionally, two ponds are implemented treating the future residential areas 81.04 ha Assumed wet pond phosphorus removal is 63% based on MOE's Lake Simcoe Phosphorus Loading Development Tool (2012)

A+B - A X B/100 = Total % removal, Where A and B are different removal %'s

92.42% Removal using LID and SWMF

Existing Conditions	Phosphorus Loading (kg/ha)	Wet Pond Treatment (ha)	Uncontrolled (ha)	
Forest Area	0.0300		81.04	
			Total Phosphorus Loading	
Future Conditions (no swm measures for future development (Area A and B))	Phosphorus Loading (kg/ha)	Wet Pond Treatment (ha)	Uncontrolled (ha)	
Residential, Schools, Roads, etc.	1.32		81.04	
			Total Phosphorus Loading	
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds for future development (Area A and B))	Phosphorus Loading (kg/ha)	Wet Pond Treatment (ha)	Uncontrolled (ha)	
Residential, Schools, Roads, etc.	1.32	81.04		
			Total Phosphorus Loading	
Future Conditions (LID treatment for future residential (Area A and B) and commercial lands)	Phosphorus Loading (kg/ha)	Wet Pond Treatment (ha)	Uncontrolled (ha)	
Residential, Schools, Roads, etc.	1.32		81.04	
			Total Phosphorus Loading	
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds and LID's for future development (Area A and B)and commercial lands)	Phosphorus Loading (kg/ha)	Wet Pond Treatment (ha)	Uncontrolled (ha)	
Residential, Schools, Roads, etc.	1.32	81.04		
			Total Phosphorus Loading	
Future Conditions (Wet Ponds for future development (Area A and R) LID's for future development and commercial lands and retrofit of existing ponds)	Phosphorus Loading (kg/ba)	Wet Pond Treatment (ba)	Uncontrolled (ba)	
	· ····································	there and theutilent (hu)		
Residential, Schools, Roads, etc.	1.32	81.04		
			Total Phosphorus Loading	



Uxbridge SWMMP MOE Phosphorus Tool Phosphorus Loadings Calculation

Assumed wet pond phospohrus removal is 63% based on MOE's Lake Simcoe Phosphorus Loading Development Tool (2012) The following calculations are assuming existing SWM ponds treatment are present and that all high density areas can be affected by an LID approach that has an approximate total phosphorus removal of 79.5% Additionally, two ponds are implemented treating future residential areas 81.04 ha.

A+B-AXB/100= Total % removal, Wehere A and B are different removal % 92.4% removal using LID and SWMF

Pre- Development Phosphorus Load Based Upon MOE Phosphorus Tool Land Use Phosphorus Loadings Coefficients										
Land Use	Area	Phosphorus Loading Coefficient (kg/ha)	BMP Removal Efficiency	BMP P Load (kg/yr)						
Forest	184	0.03	0%	5.52						
Forest	2.94	0.03	63%	0.03						
High Intensity - Commercial/Industrial	48	1.82	0%	87.36						
High Intensity - Commercial/Industrial	12.1	1.82	63%	8.15						
High Intensity - Residential	226	1.32	0%	298.32						
High Intensity - Residential	229	1.32	63%	111.84						
Transition	48.2	0.04	0%	1.93						
Transition	35.9	0.04	63%	0.53						
			Total	513.68						

Post-Devleopment Phosphorus Load Based Upon MOE Phosphorus Tool Land Use Phosphorus Loadings Coefficients										
Land Use	Area	Phosphorus Loading Coefficient (kg/ha)	BMP Removal Efficiency	BMP P Load (kg/yr)						
Forest	104	0.03	0%	3.12						
Forest	2.71	0.03	92.4%	0.01						
High Intensity - Commercial/Industrial	48	1.82	79.5%	17.91						
High Intensity - Commercial/Industrial	12.1	1.82	92.4%	1.67						
High Intensity - Residential	226	1.32	79.5%	61.16						
High Intensity - Residential	310	1.32	92.4%	31.10						
Transition	48.2	0.04	0%	1.93						
Transition	35.9	0.04	92.4%	0.11						
			Total	117.00						

Phosphorus Net Reduction -396.68 kg/year

The Phosphorus Net Reduction is similar to the Phosphorus Loading Assessment Results -396.79 kg/year (refer to Section 4.4.7 of the Stormwater Management Master Plan)

Appendix FCLIMATE CHANGE/ FUTURE CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING



F.1 CLIMATE CHANGE HYDROLOGIC MODELING



UXBRDIGE SWM-MP CLIMATE CHANGE ASSESSMENT

MTO Analysis of Station of	and Assessmer	nt of % Increase	e over Existing								ulucia 07 inc.e	vo ovo fro	Current				
Sidilon G6140754			10	,	2	0	1	0.5			11YSIS % INC		n Coneni	2	0	1	0.5
Refurn Perio nrs	24	18	12	6	3	2	1	0.5		24	18	12	6	3	2	1	0.5
mins	1440	1080	/20	360	180	120	60	30		1440	1080	/20	360	180	120	60	30
2 Current	2.1	2.6	3.5	6.3	11	14.4	23.2	39.2									
2 2050s	2.4	2.9	3.9	6.9	12.1	15.9	25.5	43.1		1.14286	1.11538	1.11429	1.09524	1.1	1.1041/	1.09914	1.09949
2 2080s	2.6	3.2	4.3	7.6	13.3	17.5	28.1	47.5		1.2381	1.23077	1.22857	1.20635	1.20909	1.21528	1.21121	1.21173
5 Current	2.7	3.3	4.5	7.9	13.9	18.3	29.3	49.6									
5 2050s	3.3	4	5.4	9.6	16.8	22	35.4	59.8		1.26923	1.25	1.25581	1.26316	1.26316	1.25714	1.25979	1.25895
5 2080s	3.7	4.5	6.1	10.8	18.9	24.9	40	67.5		1.42308	1.40625	1.4186	1.42105	1.42105	1.42286	1.42349	1.42105
10 Current	3.1	3.8	5.1	9	15.8	20.8	33.4	56.4									
10 2050s	3.9	4.7	6.4	11.4	19.9	26.1	42	70.9		1.25806	1.23684	1.2549	1.26667	1.25949	1.25481	1.25749	1.25709
10 2080s	4.4	5.4	7.3	12.9	22.7	29.7	47.8	80.7		1.41935	1.42105	1.43137	1.43333	1.43671	1.42788	1.43114	1.43085
20 Current	3.5	4.2	5.7	10.1	17.7	23.2	37.3	63									
20 2050s	4.5	5.4	7.3	13	22.9	30	48.3	81.5		1.28571	1.28571	1.2807	1.28713	1.29379	1.2931	1.29491	1.29365
20 2080s	5.1	6.2	8.4	15	26.2	34.4	55.3	93.4		1.45714	1.47619	1.47368	1.48515	1.48023	1.48276	1.48257	1.48254
50 Current	3.9	4.8	6.4	11.4	20.1	26.3	42.3	71.5									
50 2050s	5.2	6.3	8.6	15.2	26.7	35.1	56.4	95.3		1.33333	1.3125	1.34375	1.33333	1.32836	1.3346	1.33333	1.33287
50 2080s	6	7.3	9.9	17.6	30.8	40.5	65.1	109.9		1.53846	1.52083	1.54688	1.54386	1.53234	1.53992	1.53901	1.53706
100 Current	4.3	5.2	7	12.5	21.9	28.7	46.1	77.9									
100 2050s	5.8	7	9.5	16.9	29.6	38.9	62.5	105.5		1.34884	1.34615	1.35714	1.352	1.3516	1.3554	1.35575	1.3543
100 2080s	6.7	8.1	11	19.6	34.3	45	72.3	122.2		1.55814	1.55769	1.57143	1.568	1.56621	1.56794	1.56833	1.56868
Current Uxbridge IDF Da Year\Time	ta e																
(min) 1440	720	360	240	180	120	60	30	15	10	5						
	2 2.12	3.64	6.25	8.54	10.66	14.50	24.24	39.44	61.23	76.76	105.57						
	5 2.93	5.04	8.65	11.84	14.78	20.13	33.70	54.88	85.30	107.01	147.29						
10	3.45	5.93	10.19	13.95	17.41	23.71	39.70	64.66	100.49	126.06	173.52						
2	5 4.02	6.93	11.90	16.31	20.37	27.78	46.76	76.92	121.60	154.64	218.94						
10	0 4.96	8.67	15.12	20.88	26.22	36.02	61.17	101.00	158.93	200.63	278.63						

Increase in Uxbridge Intensities The current Uxubridge intensity value were increased by same percent the MTO Station analysis

Year∖Time									
(min)	1440	720	360	240	180	120	60	30	
5 yr 2050	3.575549071	6.106159336	10.38156672	14.39294606	17.8621	24.1977	40.7126	66.1702	
5 yr 2080	4.008948958	6.869429253	11.72732537	16.19206432	20.0948	27.3874	46.0029	74.6904	
	1440	720	360	240	180	120	60	30	
100 yr 2050	6.691103461	11.67487057	20.52104669	28.23470969	35.438	48.8204	82.9374	136.789	
100 yr 2080	7.729378136	13.50949308	23.76121195	32.74558046	41.065	56.4761	95.942	158.442	



Q = CiA	Therefore, D2/D1 = $\sqrt{(i2/i1)}$
$I = A/t^C$	
Da√A	

AaQ

source = remineres, new dees diameter change with climate change for 5 year conveyance?

С	ld IDF Data	2050	2080
i	93.33	156.96	177.72
D	1.00	1.30	1.38
D	525	681	724
D	1050	1362	1449

5	440.6	0	0.674
10	508.05	0	0.67
25	592.4	0	0.667
50	654.5	0	0.665
100	715.6	0	0.663

Future Conditions VO2 Schematic (with SWM Controls)



V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ _____ == v V I SSSSS U U A L V V I SS U U A A L V V I SS U U AAAAA L I SS U U A A L I SSSSS UUUUU A A LLLLL v v vv Developed and Distributed by Clarifica Inc. Copyright 1996, 2007 Clarifica Inc. All rights reserved. ***** DETAILED OUTPUT ***** Input filename: C:\Program Files (x86)\Visual OTTHYMO 2.3.3\voin.dat Output filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Future With Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Future With DATE: 12/11/2014 TIME: 3:31:01 PM USER: COMMENTS: ____ --***** Current 5-Year Storm MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans Pitelame V (Analysis)SWM(Hydrology/Uxbridge)12hrSCS.mst Ptotal= 60.45 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CUVE Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Mass curve time step = 15.00 min

TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.25	1.33	3.25	2.42	6.25	10.88	9.25	1.84
.50	1.40	3.50	2.42	6.50	10.88	9.50	1.69
.75	1.43	3.75	2.42	6.75	5.75	9.75	1.62
1.00	1.47	4.00	2.42	7.00	3.92	10.00	1.64
1.25	1.55	4.25	3.34	7.25	3.63	10.25	1.60
1.50	1.60	4.50	3.92	7.50	3.63	10.50	1.50
1.75	1.62	4.75	4.38	7.75	3.63	10.75	1.38
2.00	1.69	5.00	5.30	8.00	3.63	11.00	1.28
2.25	1.69	5.25	7.25	8.25	3.10	11.25	1.14
2.50	1.69	5.50	7.25	8.50	2.66	11.50	1.04
2.75	1.81	5.75	29.02	8.75	2.32	11.75	.92
3.00	2.06	6.00	79.79	9.00	2.06	12.00	.82

NASHYD (1032) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min	Area Ia U.H.	(ha) = (mm) = Tp(hrs) =	610.08 9.00 2.46	Curve Number (CN)= 70.0 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak	(cms)=	9.472		

 PEAK FLOW
 (cms) =
 4.618
 (i)

 TIME TO PEAK
 (hrs) =
 9.000

 RUNOFF VOLUME
 (mm) =
 16.513

 TOTAL RAINFALL
 (mm) =
 60.450

 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
 =
 .273

1

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\

CAL STA	JB ANDHYD (2050)	Area	(ha) =	89.70	Dir C	opp (%)-	25.00
1D=	I DT=15.0 min Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length	(ha) = (mm) = (%) = (m) =	IMPERVIO 35.88 .50 1.00 773 30	10.00 JS PI	ERVIOUS 53.82 2.50 1.00 40.00	onn.(%)= (i)	25.00
	Mannings n Max.Eff.Inten.(over Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpeak Unit Hyd. peak	(m) = = (min) = (min) = (min) = (cms) =	,73.30 .013 79.79 15.00 9.54 15.00	(ii)	.250 44.49 30.00 21.55 30.00	(ii)	
	PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICI	(cms)= (hrs)= (mm)= (mm)= ENT =	4.26 6.00 59.95 60.45 .99		3.26 6.25 23.47 60.45 .39	*1	FOTALS* 6.334 (iii 6.00 32.59 60.45 .54
****	WARNING: STORA (i) CN PROCEE CN* = (ii) TIME STEP THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW	GE COEFF. URE SELEC 70.0 I (DT) SHC STORAGE C DOES NOT	IS SMALL TED FOR P a = Dep. DULD BE SM. COEFFICIEN INCLUDE	ER THAN ERVIOUS Storage ALLER OI T. BASEFLOI	TIME S' LOSSES (Abov R EQUAL W IF AN	TEP! : e) Y.	
CAL STA ID=	IB ANDHYD (2031) 1 DT=15.0 min	Area Total	(ha)= Imp(%)=	55.98 55.00	Dir. C	onn.(%)=	35.00
	Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n	(ha) = (mm) = (%) = (m) = =	IMPERVIO 30.79 .50 1.00 610.90 .013	JS PI	ERVIOUS 25.19 2.50 1.00 40.00 .250	(i)	
	Max.Eff.Inten.(over Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpeak Unit Hyd. peak	<pre>mm/hr)= (min) (min)= (min)= (cms)=</pre>	79.79 15.00 8.28 15.00 .09	(ii)	41.24 30.00 20.67 30.00 .05	(ii)	
	PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICI	(cms) = (hrs) = (mm) = (mm) = ENT =	3.86 6.00 59.95 60.45 .99		1.44 6.25 19.06 60.45 .32	×	TOTALS* 4.768 (iii 6.00 33.37 60.45 .55
* * * * *	<pre>vWARNING: STORA (i) CN PROCED CN* = (ii) TIME STEP THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW</pre>	GE COEFF. URE SELEC 59.0 I (DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT	IS SMALL TED FOR P a = Dep. 3 DULD BE SM COEFFICIEN INCLUDE	ER THAN ERVIOUS Storage ALLER OI F. BASEFLOI	TIME S' LOSSES (Abov R EQUAL W IF AN	TEP! : e) Y.	
CAL STA ID=	JB NDHYD (2020) 1 DT=15.0 min	Area Total	(ha)= Imp(%)=	24.78 60.00	Dir. C	onn.(%)=	40.00
	Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n	(ha) = (mm) = (%) = (m) = =	IMPERVIO 14.87 10.00 1.00 406.40 .013	JS PI	ERVIOUS 9.91 2.50 1.00 40.00 .250	(i)	
	Max.Eff.Inten.(over Storage Coeff.	mm/hr)= (min) (min)=	79.79 15.00 6.49	(ii)	42.78 30.00 18.69	(ii)	

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ -
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00	
VICTALS* *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.05 .61 2.42 (ii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 50.45 19.05 31.61 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT 83 .32 .52	CALIB STANDHYD (2012) Area (ha)= 26.45 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Surface Area (ha)= 10.58 15.87 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 I a = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASSFLOW IF ANY. 	Length (m)= 419.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 30.97 over (min) 15.00 30.00
	Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.61 (ii) 20.50 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05
CALLB CALLB STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.36 .68 1.792 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.95 16.62 27.45 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .99 .27 .45
Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 685.20 40.00	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 40.05 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.87 (ii) 21.40 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cmm)= .09 .05	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 I a = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms) = 4.77 1.73 5.861 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 50.45 18.53 29.70 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .83 .31 .49	CALIB CALIB STANDHYD (2010) Area (ha)= 22.70 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SNOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL</pre>	Surface Area (ha)= 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (m)= 50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 389.00 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 30.97 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.32 (ii) 20.20 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05
NASHYD (1044) Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 Im (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.83 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 5.986	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.18 .59 1.50 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.95 16.62 27.45 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .27 .45
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .721 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.500	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 I a = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
(1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(111) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB Area (ha)= 170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 NDSHYD 1045) Area (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 ID=1 DT=15.0 Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.22	CALIB STANDHYD (2011) Area (ha)= 40.62 D=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 16.25 24.37 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .318 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 PUNDER CONDERCITARY .072	Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 520.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
<pre>(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	<pre>max.bilifile:um/if/= //5./9 30.9/ over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.52 (ii) 21.41 (ii)</pre>

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS*	
PEAK PLOW (cms)= 2.04 1.03 2.687 (iii)	
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 59.95 16.62 27.45	CALIB Area (ha) = 14.62 Curve Number (CN) = 59.0
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.45 60.45 60.45 RINOFF CORPETCIENT = 99 2.7 45	ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
WARNING, SIGRAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME SIEP!	Unit Hyd Qpeak (Coms)= .601
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)	PEAK FLOW $(cms) = .176$ (i) TIME TO PEAK $(hrs) = 6.750$
(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 11.606
IHAN IHE SIGRAGE COFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUBE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	RINOFF COEFFICIENT = .192
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
ONTE	
CALLS NASHYD (1047) Area (ha)= 479.57 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0	
ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm) = $30.00 \# \text{ of Linear Res. (N)} = 3.00$ I.H. To(brs) = 2.73	CALIB NASHYD (1059) Area (ba)= 487.62 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0
	ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
Unit nyu yyeax (Cmu)= 0.710	0.H. 1p(mrs)= 2.1/
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .825 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.250	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 8.583
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 4,480	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.194 (i)
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .074	RUNOFF VOLUME ($m_1 = 17.056$
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 RUNOF COEFFICIENT = .282
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
NASHYD (9146) Area (ha)= 369.57 Curve Number (CN)= 55.0	
D= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.20	CALIB STANDHYD (2042) Area (ha)= 54.50
Unit Hud Oneak (cms)= 11 763	ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
PEAR FLOW $(cms) = 1.204 (1)$ TIME TO PEAK $(hrs) = 7.500$	SUFIACE AFEA (NA)= 21.80 32.70 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 5.165	Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00
RINGF COSFFICIENT = .085	Mannings n = $.013$.250
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 46.60
	over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.22 (ii) 20.01 (ii)
	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00
CALLS NASHYD (9246) Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0	*TOTALS*
ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.69 2.15 4.075 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00
Train The Course (and) - 2 404	RUNOPFF VOLUME (mm) = 59.95 24.68 33.50
onze nje greak (ums/- 3.127	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = $.99$.41 .55
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .398 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.750	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 7.283 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.450	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .120	$CN^* = 71.0$ Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(11) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALLS Active Area (ha) = 672.95 Curve Number (CN) = 59.0	CALIB
ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.80	STANDHYD (2041) Area (ha)= 82.05 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00
Thit Und Onesk (ame) = 0 180	
Unit nyu (yeak (cus)- 9.100	Surface Area (ha) = 36.92 45.13
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.141 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.500	Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 4,440	Length $(m) = 739.60 40.00$
RUNDF COEFFICIENT = .074	wannings n = .uib .250
	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 47.99

Page 5

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2	014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWN	\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 9.29 (ii) 20.94 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.71 2.99 6.636 (iii)	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 38.33)= 45.00)= 1.3607
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.95 24.95 35.45 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .41 .59	RESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLO 	W STORAGE
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	.0000 .0000 .26 .0150 .6000 .477 .1240 .7875 .96	0 .8805 0 1.0180 0 1.2660
<pre>(N* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STPP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	AREA QPEAK (ha) (cms) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 2.442 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .065	TPEAK R.V. (hrs) (mm) 6.00 31.61 11.00 31.20
CALIB	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (mir MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 2.64)=300.00)= .6853
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 58.11 87.16 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 984.10 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	ADD HYD (7008) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) IDl= 1 (9022): 24.78 .065 11.00 + ID2=2 (2021): 70.42 5.861 6.00 2	R.V. (mm) 1.20 9.70
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 46.60 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 11.02 (ii) 22.82 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .08 .04 *TOTALS*	ID = 3 (7008): 95.20 5.867 6.00 T NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY	===== 0.09
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.59 5.40 10.054 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.95 24.68 33.50 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .41 .55	ADD HYD (5065) AREA QPEAK TPEAK 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) IDI= 1 (7008): 95.20 5.867 6.00	R.V. (mm) 0.09
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	+ ID2= 2 (1044): 443.50 .721 10.50 ID = 3 (5065): 538.70 5.876 6.00 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	4.32 ===== 8.88
CALIE NASHYD Area (ha)= 406.96 Curve Number (CN)= 60.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.16	RESERVOIR (9257) IN= 2> 00TF DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFL DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFL .0000 (ha.m.) (cms) .0000 .0000 .099 .0110 .4435 .165 .0550 .62655 .393	W STORAGE (ha.m.) 0 .7350 0 .8595 0 1.0800
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.879 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 11.988 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 DUNGFF COPERTORMT = 188	AREA QPEAK (ha) (cms) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2012) 26.450 1.792 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9257) 26.450 .055 PEAK ELOW PERMETION [Cont.(Oin)]/	TPEAK R.V. (hrs) (mm) 6.00 27.45 11.25 27.06
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=315.00)= .6262
RESERVOIR (9021) IN= 2> 0UT=1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .0290 .3700 .5000 .6900 6.1000 2.1800	RESERVOIR (9019) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLL DT= 15.0 min (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) 0.000 .2600 1.22(.0000 .2500 1.35(.9900 4700 2.83)	W STORAGE (ha.m.) 0 .5900 0 .7400 0 .9300 0 .900
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 6.334 6.00 32.59 OUTFFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 2.428 6.75 32.56	AREA QPEAK (ha) (cms) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 1.550	TPEAK R.V. (hrs) (mm) 6.00 27.45
	Page 7	Page 8

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-upda	te Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec	c 2014\Uxbridge\
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 .476 6.50 15.99 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 30.71 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .3393		INFLOW : ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 10.054 6.00 33.50 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9020) 145.270 .752 9.00 33.47 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 7.48 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=180.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 3.7118	
ADD HYD (7001) AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.		SHIFT HYD (9029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=150.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	
RESERVOIR (9147) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 *******		$ \begin{vmatrix} \text{ADD HYD} & (5062) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ \hline \text{ID} = 1 & (1032): & 610.08 & 4.618 & 9.00 & 16.51 \\ + 102 - 2 & (9021): & 83.70 & 2.428 & 6.75 & 32.56 \\ \hline \text{ID} = 3 & (5062): & 699.78 & 5.556 & 8.50 & 18.57 \\ \hline \end{array} $	
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 1.204 7.50 5.17 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00		NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=***** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.9089		ADD HYD (7002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	
RESERVOIR (9248) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 *******		ID = 3 (7002): 89.77 2.693 6.00 24.44 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 .398 6.75 7.28 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .3998		ADD HYD (7004) 1 + 2 = 3 IDI= 1 (9147): 369.57 .000 .00 .00 + ID2= 2 (9248): 54.89 .000 .00 .00 ID = 3 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00	
RESERVOIR (9258) OUTFLOW STORAGE IN=2> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 .4730 1.6365 .0490 1.06590 .7910 1.8915 .2480 1.4290 1.4810 2.3855 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2042) 54.500 4.075 6.00 33.50		ADD HYD (7013) ADD HYD (7013) 1 + 2 = 3 (7013) ID1= 1 (2041): 82.05 6.635 6.00 35.45 + ID2= 2 (9020): 145.27 .752 9.00 33.47 ID1= 3 (7013): 227.32 6.736 6.00 34.19	
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9258) 54.500 .248 9.00 33.39 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 6.08 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=180.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.4289		NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
RESERVOIR (9020) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE		<pre>< DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 281.05 .0800 34.48 278.78 .0800 62.07 280.75 .0800 75.86 280.87 .0800 110.34 277.13 .0800 110.34 277.13 .0800 124.14 276.45 .0800 / 1030 Main Channel 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel 151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel</pre>	
	Page 9		Page 1(

01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\
172.41 276.25 .0350 Main Channel 213.79 277.31 .0800 255.17 278.25 .0800 275.86 278.49 .0800 289.66 279.07 .0800 303.45 278.41 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800	.0000 .0000 4.8100 1.1900 .4200 .6400 14.3300 1.2700 1.5900 .9400 53.8000 1.3300 3.2000 1.1100 .0000 .0000 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cmm) (hrs) (mm)
TRAVEL TIME TABLE DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) .20 274.70 .665E+04 .6 .56 172.84 .39 274.89 .247E+05 4.2 1.00 97.27 .59 275.20 .478E+05 11.1 1.35 71.58 .78 275.28 .55E+05 21.4 1.64 58.99	OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 1.065 10.75 2.75 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 93.36 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 75.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .8056
.98 275.48 .108E+06 35.2 1.89 51.17 1.17 275.67 .145E+06 52.9 2.12 45.70 1.37 275.87 .147E+06 74.8 2.33 41.60 1.56 276.06 .233E+06 101.2 2.53 38.37 1.76 276.26 .248E+06 160.8 2.73 35.50 1.95 276.65 .342E+06 204.7 2.83 34.30 2.38 276.85 .516E+06 258.7 2.91 33.25 2.60 277.10 .627E+06 323.9 3.00 32.28 2.82 277.32 .754E+06 402.4 3.11 31.21	ADD HYD (5061) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (1059): 487.62 4.194 8.50 17.06 + ID2= 2 (7014): 281.82 6.761 6.00 34.03 ID = 3 (5061): 769.44 7.098 6.00 23.27
3.03 277.53 .894E+06 517.5 3.37 28.78 3.25 277.75 .105E+07 645.6 3.58 27.06 3.47 277.97 .122E+07 787.1 3.76 25.78 3.68 278.18 .140E+07 942.2 3.91 24.79 3.90 278.40 .160E+07 1110.1 4.03 24.06	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
<pre></pre>	<pre></pre>
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	77.86 273.27 .0800 83.05 272.29 .0800 93.43 270.99 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 119.38 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 150.53 271.36 .0350 / .0800 Main Channel 166.86 273.45 .0800 207.62 274.37 233.57 275.12 .0800 205.00 205.00
OTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	247.79 275.41 .0800
HYD (9250) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
HYD (7014) + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDL= 1 (9258): 54.50 .248 9.00 33.39 + ID2= 2 (7013): 227.32 6.736 6.00 34.19	3.69 273.71 .539E+06 882.9 3.44 10.18 3.97 273.99 .611E+06 1033.6 3.55 9.85 4.26 274.28 .687E+06 196.8 3.66 9.57 4.54 274.56 .768E+06 1370.2 3.75 9.35 4.82 274.84 .856E+06 1556.4 3.82 9.16 5.11 275.13 .950E+06 1757.0 3.89 9.01 5.39 275.41 .105E+07 1967.6 3.93 8.91
ID = 3 (7014): 281.82 6.761 6.00 34.03 OTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel=> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9018) 1097.41 1.07 11.75 2.75 .08 .81 OUTPELOW: ID= 1 (9251) 1097.41 1.02 12.75 2.75 .08 .81</pre>
RVOIR (9018) 2> OUT= 1 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.)	

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update	Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
ADD HYD (7016) 1 + 2 = 3 (ba) (cms) (brs) (mm) 1D1= 1 (5061): 769.44 7.098 6.00 23.27 + ID2= 2 (6019): 406.96 2.125 11.00 11.99 ID = 3 (7016): 1176.40 7.098 6.00 19.37 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ADD HYD (5000) ADD HYD (5000) TD1= 1 (1047): 479.57 .825 10.25 4.48 + ID2= 2 (9251): 1097.41 1.018 12.75 2.75 ID = 3 (5000): 1576.98 1.725 12.00 3.27 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. 	ROUTE CHN (6029) Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 < Distance Distance Elevation 0 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 274.29 .00 276.20 .01 270.17 .0800 66.80 .020 261.00 .0350 Main Channel .128.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel .128.40 266.82 .0800 226.40 .026.462 .0350 Main Channel
ID = 3 (500): 1570.55 17.25 12.00 5.27 ID = 3 (500): 1837.48 2.711 6.00 4.41 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	222.90 272.11 .0800 302.90 272.11 .0800 348.90 274.45 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .
IN=2> OUT=1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 2.8300 3.4900 .2800 .2500 3.8200 3.9500 .7100 .6300 4.6700 4.2000 1.1300 1.1400 7.3600 4.6800 1.5600 1.7300 8.7800 4.8500 1.8400 2.2600 35.4000 6.6100 2.2700 2.9600 ******* 8.6500 AREA QPEAK TDEAK R.V. (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (5001) 1837.481 2.711 6.00 4.41 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9017) 1837.481 1.643 13.75 4.41	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ADD HYD (9041) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ma) + 465.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = 1.8892	13.29 274.29 .295E+07 10648.3 5.55 4.62 <pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>
ID1= 1 (5065): 538.70 5.876 6.00 8.88 + ID2= 2 (9017): 1837.48 1.643 13.75 4.41 	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ADD HYD (5002) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. ADD HYD (5004) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)
	Page 13

	- (orono (nected (room)), (and indect i faith (numination) (and indect her for (and
ID1= 1 (5003): 2446.78 6.877 7.25 6.10 + ID2= 2 (7016): 1176.40 7.098 6.00 19.37	** SIMULATION NUMBER: 5 ** Current 100-Year Storm
ID = 3 (5004): 3623.18 11.731 7.25 10.41	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SNM Master Plans \Analysis\SMM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst Ptotal=104.07 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE
	Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Mass curve time step = 15.00 min
ID= 2 (5004): 3623.18 11.73 7.25 10.41 HIFT ID= 1 (9015): 3623.18 11.73 9.25 10.41	TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .25 2.29 3.25 4.16 6.25 18.73 9.25 3.16 .50 2.41 3.50 4.16 6.75 18.73 9.50 2.91 .75 2.46 3.75 4.16 6.75 9.91 9.75 2.79
TE CHN (6031) 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	
<pre> DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning</pre>	$ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
113,50 254.00 .0800 153.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel 187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel 198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel 249.80 254.00 .0800 .0800 331.90 255.37 .0800 .0000	CALIB
414.40 260.24 .0800 465.50 260.75 .0800 514.40 261.48 .0800 <	PEAK FLOW $(cms) = 12.719$ (i) TIME TO PEAK $(hrs) = 8.750$ RUNOFF VOLUME $(mm) = 44.321$ TOTAL RAINFALL $(mm) = 104.070$ RUNOFF CORFFICIENT $= 4.26$
(m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (min) .36 252.24 .5968+04 .39 223.30 .73 252.61 .2388+05 2.8 .61 141.05 .00 250.72 .5962+04 .61 141.05	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
1.45 253.33 1.51E+06 18.8 .65 134.06 1.91 253.79 .383E+06 68.4 .93 93.14 2.38 254.26 .713E+06 157.3 1.15 75.58 2.84 254.27 .112E+07 287.3 1.33 65.13	CALIB STANDHYD (2050) Area (ha)= 89.70 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
3.77 255.65 .217E+07 659.0 1.58 54.87 4.24 256.12 .279E+07 908.7 1.69 51.25 4.70 256.58 .346E+07 1199.1 1.80 48.03 5.17 257.05 .414E+07 1527.9 1.92 45.17 5.63 257.98 .558E+07 2291.8 2.13 40.59 6.10 257.98 .558E+07 2291.8 2.13 40.59	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 35.88 53.82 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= .773.30 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
b.50 255.44 .634E+U/ 2125.7 2.23 38.74 7.03 258.91 .711E+07 3193.6 2.33 37.12 7.49 259.37 .791E+07 3695.3 2.43 35.69 7.96 259.84 .874E+07 4697.6 2.54 34.09	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 105.00 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.68 (ii) 16.20 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05
<pre></pre>	$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $
	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
$\begin{array}{c} \text{HYD} & (5005) \\ + & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \\ \text{ID1} = 1 & (5062); & 699, 78 \\ + & \text{ID2} = 2 & (6031); & 3623.18 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{AREA} & \text{QPEAK} & \text{TPEAK} & \text{R.V.} \\ \text{(mm)} \\ \text{(mm)} \\ \text{(mm)} \\ \text{1.5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 8, 5, 0, 18, 5, 7} \\ \end{array}$	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COSFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
ID = 3 (5005): 4322.96 11.089 10.50 11.73 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 30.79 25.19 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 610.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 137.37 102.01 over (min) 05 5torage Coeff. (min) = 6.66 (ii) 15.28 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 .05	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .90 .44 .60 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
OHIL HY0. Deak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.95 4.03 9.610 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 46.64 66.57 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .45 .64 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) ON PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	CALIB CALIB NASHTO (1044) Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 5.986 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.773 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 21.265 TOTALR RAINFALL (mm)= 140.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .204 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIE Area (ha)= 24.78 STANDHYD (2020) Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 ID= 1 D7=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 14.87 9.91 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 406.40 40.00 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 105.80 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.22 (ii) 13.71 (ii)	CALIB Area (ha)= 170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.719 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hm)= 21.265 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .204 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 11.08 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.64 2.12 5.761 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 94.07 46.60 65.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .90 .45 .63 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	CALIB Area (ha) = 26.45 [JD = 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 10.58 15.87 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 419.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 137.37 78.85 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 5.32 (ii) 14.88 (ii)
CALIE CALIE STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 ID= 1 D7=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 99.64 Over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.14 (ii) 15.84 (ii) Unit Hyd. peak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (min)= 0.5 *TOTALS* *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.63 4.99 11.845 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RNNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 104.07 104.07	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .11 .07 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.42 2.43 4.852 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 103.57 41.80 57.25 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .40 .55 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CM* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

$\label{eq:linear} $$ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Decomposition (Compared State) (Compa$	2014\Uxbridge\V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 389.00 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 137.37 78.85 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 5.08 (ii) 14.64 (ii)	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 11.763 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.047 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 21.790 TOTAL RAITNFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .209 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd. peak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (min)= 11.00 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.09 2.10 4.191 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 41.80 57.25 TOTAL RAIMFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .40 .55	CALIB NASHYD (9246) Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .60
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494 PEAK ELOW (cms)= 2.047 (i) TIME TO PEAK (mms)= 6.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 28.911 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .278
CALIB Area (ha)= 40.62 STANDHYD (2011) Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 16.25 24.37 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50	(1) PEAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 520.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 78.85 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.05 (ii) 15.61 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS*	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.946 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 21.895 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .210 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEPLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cmms)= 3.66 2.99 5.609 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 41.80 57.25 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT 1.00 .40 .55	CALIB
<pre>**** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .681 PEAK FLON (cms)= .525 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.750 RINNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 33.262 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .320
CALIE NASHYD (1047) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Unit Hyd Opeak (cms)= 6 710	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms) = 0.115 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 4.316 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 21.895 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .210	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = 8.583 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 8.583 TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 8.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 45.460 TOTAL RAINPALL (mm) = 04.070
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .437 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
	Page 19

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ 	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm.) = 103.57 56.93 68.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm.) = 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .55 .66
Surface Area (ha) = 21.80 32.70 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Lendth (m) = 602.80 40.00	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 108.14 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.61 (ii) 15.03 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00	CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.84 5.60 8.616 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 55.93 68.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .55 .66	CALIB NASHYD (1060) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.16 La (ms)= 1.3,400 LAPA (cms)= 1.3,400
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 11.495 (i)
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL 	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 34.179 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .328
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB	RESERVOIR (9021) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUT=15.0 min (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) .0000 .0000
Surface Area (ha)= 36.92 45.13 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 739.60 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	.0290 .3700 2.7000 1.4200 .5000 .6900 6.1000 2.1800 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (rms) (hrs) (mm)
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 111.02	INFLOW : ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 13.552 6.00 67.20 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 6.901 6.50 67.16
over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.47 (ii) 15.81 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 50.92 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 2.3882
PEAK FLOW (cms) = 8.54 7.81 13.792 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 103.57 57.41 71.26 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .55 .68	$ \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \text{RESERVOIR } (9022) \\ \text{IN} = 2 > \text{ OUTF 1 } \\ \text{DT} = 15.0 \text{ min } \\ \text{OUTFLOW } \text{ STORAGE } \text{ OUTFLOW } \text{ STORAGE } \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{CODS } \text{ (ha m) } \text{ (CODS) } \text{ (ha m) } \end{array} $
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:)</pre>	.0000 .0000 .2620 .8805 .0150 .6000 .4710 1.0180 .1240 .7875 .9610 1.2660
<pre>(ii) TIME STPP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 5.761 6.00 65.59 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .587 6.75 65.18
CALIE STANDHYD (2040) Area (ha)= 145.27 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(\$)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(\$)= 25.00	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 10.19 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 45.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.0811
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 108.14 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.87 (ii) 17.29 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00	+ 1D2= 2 (2021): 70.42 11.845 6.00 62.56 ID = 3 (7008): 95.20 11.879 6.00 63.24 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 12.10 14.21 21.603 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00	
Page 21	Page 22

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 20	014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbri	idge\
ADD HYD (5065) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (7008): 95.20 11.879 6.00 63.24		DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 ******* .0010 *******	
+ ID2= 2 (1044): 443.50 3.773 9.75 21.26		AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 2.047 6.50 28.91 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00	
ROLE. FEAR FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE DASEFLOWS IF ANI.		PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=***** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.5870	
IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 .0990 .7350 .0110 .4435 .1630 .8595 .0550 .6265 .3050 1.0800 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.		RESERVOIR (9258) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW 1.6365 O490 1.0690 OUTFLOW 1.8915	
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2012) 26.450 4.852 6.00 57.25 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9257) 26.450 .305 8.25 56.86		.2480 1.4290 1.4810 2.3855 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	
<pre>PEAK FLOW KEDUCTION [QOLT QIT](\$] = 6.28 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=135.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.0799</pre>		INFLOW : LD= 2 (2042) 54.500 8.516 6.00 68.59 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9258) 54.500 1.479 7.00 68.49 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 17.17 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 60.00	
RESERVOIR (9019) UNE IN= 2> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) .0000 .0000 1.0800 .5900 .0000 2600 1.2200 7400		MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 2.3855	
.5700 .3500 1.3500 .9300 .9900 .4700 2.8300 .9900 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.		(cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 1.7200 5.0000 .2200 3.0000 2.5000 7.0000	
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 4.191 6.00 57.25 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 1.116 6.50 45.79 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Oout/Qin] (%) = 26.63 7100 1000		AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 21.603 6.00 68.59 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9020) 145.270 2.400 7.50 68.57 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Oout/Oin](%)= 11.11	
MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .6335		TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 90.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 6.7462	
ADD HYD (7001) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.		SHIFT HYD (9029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT150.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		ADD HYD (5062) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	
RESERVOIR (9147) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 6.0447 7.25 21.79 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00		NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 8.0530		ADD HYD (7002) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (9257): 26.45.305 8.25 56.86	
RESERVOIR (9248) IN= 2> OUT= 1		+ ID2= 2 (7001): 63.32 6.549 6.00 53.14 ID = 3 (7002): 89.77 6.591 6.00 54.23	
	Page 23		Page 24

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbrid
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (5064) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA OPRAK TPRAK R.V.
ADD HYD (7004) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	$\begin{array}{c} (ha) & (cms) & (hrs) & (mm) \\ 1D1 = 1 & (1045): & 170.73 & 1.719 & 8.75 & 21.26 \\ + & 1D2 = 2 & (7002): & 89.77 & 6.591 & 6.00 & 54.23 \\ \hline 1D2 = 3 & (5064): & 260.50 & 6.668 & 6.00 & 32.63 \\ \end{array}$
+ ID2= 2 (9248): 54.89 .000 .00 .00	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID = 3 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (9250) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ADD HYD (7013) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
+ ID2= 2 (9020): 145.27 2.400 7.50 68.57	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID = 3 (7013): 227.32 14.003 6.00 69.54 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
ROUTE CHN (6019) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 281.05 .0800 34 48 278.78 .0800	ADD HYD (7014) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1=1 (9258): 54.50 1.479 7.00 68.49 + ID2=2 (7013): 227.32 14.003 6.00 69.54 ID = 3 (7014): 281.82 14.091 6.00 69.34 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
62.07 260.75 .0800 75.86 280.87 .0800 110.34 277.13 .0800 124.14 276.45 .0800 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel .0510 151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0350 .137.93 .0800 213.79 277.31 .0800 255.17 278.45 .0800 275.66 279.07 .0800 203.45 278.41 .0800	RESERVOIR (9018) IN= 2> 0UT= 1 DT= 15.0 min 0UTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 .4200 .6400 .4200 .53.8000 .32000 1.1100 .0000 .0000 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.
312.47 278.40 .0800	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 5.946 9.50 13.43 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 5.975 9.50 13.43
DEFIN DEF VOLDONE Flow RAIS VELOCITI IRRV.TIME (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (mis) (min) .20 274.70 6652E+04 .6 .56 172.84 .39 274.89 .247E+05 4.2 1.00 97.27	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)=100.48 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= .00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.2011
.59 275.09 .478E+05 11.1 1.35 71.58 .78 275.28 .756E+05 21.4 1.64 58.99 .98 275.48 .108E+06 35.2 1.89 51.17 1.17 275.67 .145E+06 52.9 2.12 45.70	**** WARNING : HYDROGRAPH PEAK WAS NOT REDUCED. CHECK OUTFLOW/STORAGE TABLE OR REDUCE DT.
1.37 275.87 .187E+06 74.8 2.33 41.60 1.56 276.06 .233E+06 101.2 2.53 38.37 1.76 276.26 .284E+06 132.2 2.71 35.80 1.95 276.45 .342E+06 160.8 2.73 35.50 2.17 276.64 .421E+06 204.7 2.83 34.30 2.38 276.88 .516E+06 258.7 2.91 33.25 2.60 277.10 .627E+06 323.9 3.00 32.28 2.82 277.32 .754E+06 402.4 3.11 31.21 3.03 277.57 .105E+07 645.6 3.58 27.06 3.47 277.97 .122E+07 787.1 3.76 25.78 3.68 276.10 .400E+07 .42.2 .391 24.79	ADD HYD (5061) 1 + 2 = 3 (ba) (cms) (brs) (mm) TD1= 1 (1059): 487.62 11.484 8.25 45.46 + ID2= 2 (7014): 281.82 14.091 6.00 69.34 TD = 3 (5061): 769.44 16.196 8.25 54.21 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
3.90 278.40 .160E+07 1110.1 4.03 24.06	ROUTE CHN (9251)
AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (m) (m/s) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9029) 406.96 11.49 9.50 34.18 .59 1.36 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6019) 406.96 8.03 10.50 34.18 .50 1.17	IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge
67.48 275.94 .0800 77.86 273.27 .0800 83.05 272.29 .0800 93.43 270.99 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 119.38 270.02 .0350 150.53 271.43 .0800 207.62 273.45 .0800 233.57 275.41 .0800	RESERVOIR (9017) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 2.8300 3.4900 .2800 3.8200 3.9500 .1100 .6300 4.6700 4.2000 .11300 1.1300 1.8200 4.6500 1.5600 1.7300 8.7800 4.8500 1.8400 2.2700 35.4000 6.6100 2.2700 2.9600 ******* 8.6500 1.7300 55.4000 55.4000
<pre>Control TRAVEL TIME TABLE</pre>	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (5001) 1837.481 12.073 10.00 18.36 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9017) 1837.481 12.052 10.00 18.36 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = 99.82 TIME <shift flow<="" of="" peak="" td=""> (min) = .00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = 5.0673</shift>
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ADD HYD (9041) 1 + 2 = 3 ID1= 1 (5065): 538.70 II.982 6.00 28.68 + ID2= 2 (9017): 1837.48 12.052 10.00 18.36 ID = 3 (9041): 2376.18 16.532 10.00 20.70 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-></pre>	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
ADD HYD (7016) 1 + 2 = 3 (Da) (cms) (Drs) (mm) ID1= 1 (5061): 769.44 16.196 8.25 54.21 + ID2= 2 (6019): 406.96 8.029 10.50 34.18 ID = 3 (7016): 1176.40 19.820 10.00 47.28 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ADD HYD (5000) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. ID1 = 1 (1047): 479.57 4.316 9.50 21.89 + 1D2 2 (29251): 1097.41 5.713 10.50 13.43 ID1 = 3 (5000): 1576.98 9.884 10.00 16.00 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ROUTE CHN (6029) Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 c> Data FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 30.80 273.73 .0800 51.30 270.17 .0800 61.60 266.84 .02.70 255.42 .0350 Main Channel
ADD HYD (5001) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	123.20 261.00 .0350 Main Channel 128.40 251.17 .0350 Main Channel 154.00 264.62 .0350 Main Channel 174.60 266.82 .0800 205.40 268.07 .0800 236.20 268.74 .0800 302.90 272.11 .0800 348.90 274.45 .0800
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	<pre></pre>

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update De	c 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C
<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-></pre>	7.03 258.91 .711E+07 3193.6 2.33 37.12 7.49 259.37 .791E+07 3695.3 2.43 35.69 7.96 259.84 .874E+07 4221.9 2.51 34.48 8.42 260.30 .961E+07 4697.6 2.54 34.09 << hydrograph>
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{ADD HYD} & (5003) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ \text{ID1} + 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \text{ID1} & 1 & (6029) & 2432.16 & (6.837 & 11.25 & 21.75 \\ + & 1D2 + 2 & (1040) & 14.62 & 525 & 6.75 & 33.26 \\ \hline & & & & & & & \\ \text{ID1} & 1 & 3 & (5003) & 2446.78 & 16.899 & 11.25 & 21.82 \\ \end{array} $	AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9015) 3623.18 35.37 12.50 30.09 1.61 .72 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6031) 3623.18 29.26 14.00 30.09 1.55 .69 ADD HYD (5005) 1 + 2 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. ADD HYD (5004) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (5062): 699-78 14(432 8.50 47.25 + ID2= 2 (6031): 3623.18 29.257 14.00 30.09 ID = 3 (5005): 4322.96 33.598 13.50 32.87 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID1= 1 (5003): 2446.78 16.899 11.25 21.82 + ID2= 2 (7016): 1176.40 19.820 10.00 47.28 	*** SIMULATION NUMBER: 6 ** 2050 5-Year Storm MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst Ptotal= 73.95 mm
SHIFT HYD (9015) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=120.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. TD= 2 (5004): 3623.18 35.37 10.50 30.09 SHIFT ID= 1 (9015): 3623.18 35.37 12.50 30.09	Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Mass curve time step = 15.00 min TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .25 1.63 3.25 2.96 6.25 13.31 9.25 2.25 .50 1.72 3.50 2.96 6.50 13.31 9.50 2.07
ROUTE CLN (6031) Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 < DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 260.30 .0800 34.10 260.43 .0800 62.40 259.79 .0800 .13.50 255.72 .0800	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
153.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel 187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel 198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel 249.80 254.00 .0800 .0800 34.90 255.77 .0800 .0800 414.40 260.24 .0800 .0800 514.40 261.48 .0800 .0800	CALIB NASHYD (1032) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm) = 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs) = 2.46 Unit Hyd Qpeak (crms) = 9.472 PEAK FLOW (crms) = 6.865 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 8.750
	Page 29

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 24.271 Mannings n .013 .250 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.950 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .328 97.61 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 60.48 15.00 over (min) 30.00 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.98 (ii) 16.61 (ii) Unit Hvd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* CALTR PEAK FLOW 2.54 .91 3.130 (iii) (cms)= (ha)= 89.70 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= STANDHYD (2050) Area 6.00 6.25 6.00 |ID= 1 DT=15.0 min | Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 63 95 26 81 41 66 73.95 73.95 73.95 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .86 .36 .56 Surface Area (ha)= 35.88 53.82 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1 00 Length (m) = 773.30 40.00 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: $\label{eq:CN*} CN^* = 58.0 \quad \mbox{Ia} = \mbox{Dep. Storage} \ (\mbox{Above}) \ (\mbox{ii}) \ \mbox{TIME STEP} \ (\mbox{DT}) \ \mbox{Should be SMALLER OR EQUAL}$.250 Mannings n = .013 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 97.61 61.88 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. over (min) 15.00 30.00 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Storage Coeff. (min)= Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 8.80 (ii) 19.33 (ii) 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05 *70741.5* CALTR PEAK FLOW 5.32 4.77 8.415 (iii) STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 (cms)= TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 6.00 6.25 6.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.45 32.55 42.78 TOTAL RAINFALL IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) (mm) = 73.95 73.95 73.95 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .44 .58 Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm) = 10.00 2.50 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 685.20 40.00 Length (m)= (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: Mannings n .013 .250 (1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
 CN* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 97.61 56.73 over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= 15.00 30.00 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 8.19 (ii) 19.09 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* 7.607 (iii) 6.00 CALTR PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.96 2.58 STANDHYD (2031) Area (ha)= 55.98 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min | Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 63.95 26.14 39.37 73.95 73.95 73.95 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .86 .35 .53 Surface Area (ha)= 30.79 25.19 Dep. Storage (mm) = . 50 2.50 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (%)= 1.00 Average Slope 1.00 40.00 Length (m)= 610.90 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL Mannings n .013 .250 = Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 97.61 58.31 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= 15.00 30.00 7.64 (ii) 18.42 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 | CALIB NASHYD (1044) | Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 |D= 1 DT=15.0 min | Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.81 2.14 6.183 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 ----- U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.83 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.45 26.83 43.14 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.95 73.95 73.95 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = Unit Hvd Opeak (cms)= 5,986 .99 .36 .58 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! PEAK FLOW (cms) = 1.447 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 8.476 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.950 CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .115 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALTR CALIB STANDHYD (2020) (1045) Area (ha)= 24.78 Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 Area (ha)= 170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 NASHYD ID= 1 DT=15.0 min TD= 1 DT=15.0 min U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.22 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 14.87 9.91 2.50 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937 Dep. Storage 10.00 (mm) = Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 PEAK FLOW (cms) = .647 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.250 406.40 Length (m) = 40.00

Page 31

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 8.476 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.950 Mannings n .013 .250 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .115 97.61 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 44.24 15.00 over (min) 30.00 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Storage Coeff. (min)= Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 6.94 (ii) 18.98 (ii) 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* CALTR PEAK FLOW 2.54 1.55 3.526 (iii) (cms)= (ha) = 26.45 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= STANDHYD (2012) Area 6.00 6.25 6.00 |ID= 1 DT=15.0 min | Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 73 45 23 63 36 08 73.95 73.95 73.95 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .32 .49 Surface Area (ha)= 10.58 15.87 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1 00 Length (m) = 419.90 40.00 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: $\label{eq:CN*} CN^* = 58.0 \quad \mbox{Ia} = \mbox{Dep. Storage} \ (\mbox{Above}) \ (\mbox{ii}) \ \mbox{TIME STEP} \ (\mbox{DT}) \ \mbox{Should be SMALLER OR EQUAL}$.013 .250 Mannings n = Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 97.61 44.24 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. over (min) 15.00 30.00 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Storage Coeff. (min)= Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 6.10 (ii) 18.14 (ii) 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *70741.5* CALTR PEAK FLOW 1.69 1.03 2.346 (iii) (1047) Area (ha)= 479.57 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 (cms)= NASHYD TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = U.H. Tp(hrs) = 2.73 73.45 23.63 36.08 73.95 73.95 73.95 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .32 .49 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 6.710 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.655 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 10.000 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 8.762 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.950 (i) TIME STEP (DT) SHOLD BS MALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .118 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALTR CALTR (9146) Area (ha)= 369.57 Curve Number (CN)= 55.0 C=15.0 min | Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 STANDHYD (2010) Area (ha) = 22.70 NASHYD ID= 1 DT=15.0 min | Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min ----- U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.20 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 9.08 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 11.763 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm)= 2.50 1.00 (cms)= 2.356 (i) (%)= PEAK FLOW Average Slope 1.00 40.00 Length (m)= 389.00 TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 7.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 9.331 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.950 Mannings n .013 .250 = Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 97.61 44.24 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .126 over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= 15.00 30.00 5.83 (ii) 17.87 (ii) (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.46 89 2.027 (iii) CALTR TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= (9246) Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 6.00 6.25 6.00 NASHYD RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.45 23.63 36.08 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.95 73.95 73.95 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .60 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .32 .49 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! PEAK FLOW (cms) = .797 (i) (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.500 CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 12.877 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.950 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .174 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALTR STANDHYD (2011) Area (ha)= 40.62 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 CALTR
 CALIB
 Area
 (ha)= 672.95
 Curve Number
 (CN)= 59.0

 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min
 Ia
 (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00

 ----- U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.80
 TD= 1 DT=15.0 min IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) (ha)= Surface Area 16.25 24.37 .50 Dep. Storage (mm) = 2.50 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.180 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.287 (i) Length (m) = 520.40 40.00

Page 33

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 8.762 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 73.950 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .118 (i) DOEN FLOCE DOED NOT UNLED DECEMBER 10 NM	Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 739.60 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 97.61 66.21 Max.eff.inten.(mm/hr) = 15.00 200
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.57 (ii) 18.82 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05
CALIB Area (ha)= 14.62 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	PEAK FLOW (cms) = 5.88 4.34 8.719 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RINOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.45 34.32 46.06 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.95 73.95 73.95
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .270 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 17.461 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.950 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .236	<pre>(i) CN FROMEDIC COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN THE STEP! (i) CN FROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALLB Area (ha)= 487.62 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.17	CALIB STANDHYD (2040) Area (ha)= 145.27 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 8.583 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.221 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 25.006 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 73.950 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .338	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (1) Surface Area (ha) = 58.11 87.16 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (*) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 984.10 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 97.61 64.37
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 10.17 (ii) 20.53 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05
CALIB	*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 8.27 7.85 13.381 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.45 33.98 43.85 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 73.95 73.95 73.95 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .46 .59 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 602.80 40.00 Mannings n - .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 97.61 64.37	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.58 (ii) 17.94 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05	(111) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms) = 3.35 3.11 5.390 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.45 33.98 43.85 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.95 73.95 73.95 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 9 46 .59	Units MASHTD (1060) Area (ha) = 406.96 Curve Number (CN) = 60.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm) = 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 U.H. F(hrs) = 1.16 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = 13.400
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.</pre>	PEAK FLOW (cms) = 5.913 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 18.003 TOTAL PALIMFALL (mm) = 73.950 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .243 (i) DEV FLOR DOCO NOT INVILLE DACENION IF NW
(III) FERK FLUM DUEG NUI INCLUUE DROEFLUM IF ANI.	(1) FER FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE DESERVIN IF ANI.
CALIB STANDHYD (2041) Area (ha)= 82.05 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00	RESERVOIR (9021) IN= 2> 0UT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW Cms) (cms) (cms) (cms) (cms)
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (1) Surface Area (ha) = 36.92 45.13 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50	.0000 .0000 1.2000 .9900 .0290 .3700 2.7000 1.4200 .5000 .6900 6.1000 2.1800
Page 35	Page 36

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 8.415 6.00 42.78 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 3.748 6.50 42.74 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 44.54 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.6654	.9900 .4700 2.8300 .9900 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 2.027 6.00 36.08 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 .761 6.50 24.63 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 37.52 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .4046
RESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> 0UT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 .0150 .6000 .1240 .7875 .1240 .7875 .1240 .7875 .1150 .6000 .1240 .7875 .1240 .7875 .1150 .6000 .1240 .7875 .9610 1.2660 AREA QPEAK TINFLOW : ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 .126 8.75 .126 .126 .126 .126 .126 .126 .127 .126 .128 .126 .124 .126 .124 .126 .124 .126 .124 .126 .128 .128 .14.100 .126 .156 8.75 .124 .126 .126 .126 .121 .1200 .121 .1210 .121	ADD HYD (7001) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
PEAR FLOW REDUCTION [QOUT/QIN](#)= 4.97 TIME SHIFT OF PEAR FLOW (min)=165.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .8091	RESERVOIR (9147) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW INFLOW OUTFLOW OUTFLOW OUTFLOW OUTFLOW OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW
ADD HYD (7008) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 2.356 7.50 9.33 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 3.4483
$\begin{array}{c} \text{ADD HYD (5065)}\\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ \hline \\ \text{ID1= 1 (7008): 95.20 7.615 6.00 39.86}\\ + 1D2 = 2 (1044): 443.50 1.447 10.00 8.48\\ \hline \\ \text{IDD= 3 (5065): 538.70 7.641 6.00 14.02}\\ \hline \\ \text{NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.}\\ \end{array}$	RESERVOIR (9248) OUTFLOW STORAGE IN= 2> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 ******* .0010 .0010 ******* .0010 .0010 ******* .0010 .0010 ******* .0010 INFLOW : ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 .000 .00 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=******
RESERVOIR (9257) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 .0010 .0090 .0010 .0090 .0110 .4435 .0550 .6265 .3050 1.0800 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (mm) (ha) OUTFLOW: ID= 2 (2012) 26.450 .113 9.50 .014 .113 .015 .113 .016 .1435 .017FLOW: ID= 1 (9257) 26.450 .113 9.50 .014 .001/(201)[(%)= 4.83 THME SHIFET OR PEAK FLOW min=210.00	MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = .7068
IALE DIFT OF TERK LDWN (mm.)=2000 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .7631	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 9.98 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=105.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=1.6891

Page 37

:\01606\Active\16	062177	7\SWM Mast	er Plans	\Analysis\	SWM\Hydro	ology\Uxbridge-updat	e Dec 2014\Uxbrid
		0000	000		7200	5 0000	
		.2200	3.000	0 1. 0 2.	5000	7.0000	
		7	AREA	OPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.	
		((ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)	
INFLOW : ID=	2 (204	0) 145. 0) 145	. 270	13.381	6.00	43.85	
OUIFLOW: ID-	1 (902	0) 145.	. 270	1.340	0.25	43.02	
	PEAK	FLOW F	REDUCTION	[Qout/Qir	1](%)= 10	.06	
	MAXIM	UM STORAG	SE USED	(ha	((111) = 135) (.m.) = 4	.5029	
SHIFT HYD (9029)							
SHIFT=150.0 min	.	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.		
TD- 0 (1		(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)		
SHIFT ID= 2 (1	029):	406.96	5.91	9.75	18.00		
ADD HYD (5062) 1 + 2 = 3		AREA	OPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.		
		(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)		
ID1= 1 (+ ID2= 2 (1032):	610.08 89.70	6.865 3 748	8.75	24.27 42 74		
=======	======	==========	========	=========			
ID = 3 (5062):	699.78	8.006	8.25	26.64		
NOTE: PEAK F	LOWS D	O NOT INCI	LUDE BASE	FLOWS IF A	ANY.		
ADD HYD (7002)		1057	ODEAK	TOPAK	рv		
		(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)		
ID1= 1 (9257):	26.45	.113	9.50	35.70		
+ 102- 2 (/001/.			=======	=======		
ID = 3 (7002):	89.77	3.594	6.00	33.07		
NOTE: PEAK F	LOWS D	O NOT INCI	LUDE BASE	FLOWS IF #	ANY.		
ADD HYD (7004)		AREA	OPEAK	TDEAK	RV		
		(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)		
ID1= 1 (9147):	369.57	.000	.00	.00		
+ 102- 2 (9248).	J4.05		.00			
ID = 3 (7004):	424.46	.000	.00	.00		
NOTE: PEAK F	LOWS D	O NOT INCI	JUDE BASE	FLOWS TE A	NY.		
JOID I LAR	2000 0	1401					
ADD HYD (7013)							
1 + 2 = 3		AREA (ha)	QPEAK	(hrs)	R.V. (mm)		
ID1= 1 (2041):	82.05	8.719	6.00	46.06		
+ ID2= 2 (9020):	145.27	1.346	8.25	43.82		
ID = 3 (7013):	227.32	8.852	 6.00	44.63		
NOTE: PEAK F	LOWS D	U NOT' INCI	JUDE BASE	FLOWS IF #	ANY.		
DOUTE OUN (6010)							
IN= 2> OUT= 1	.	Routing t	ime step	(min)'= 1	5.00		
<	tance	ATA FOR SE	ECTION (1.0) Manning	>		
DIS	.00	281	L.05	.0800	,		
	34.48	278	3.78	.0800			
	62.07	280	0.75	.0800			

	75.86	280.	87	.0800				
	110.34	277.	13	.0800		-1 1		
	124.14	276.	45 .08	00 / .0350	Mair	1 Channel		
	157.93	274.	50 76	.0350	Mair	Channel		
	172.41	274.	25	.0350	Main	Channel		
	213.79	277.	31	.0800				
	255.17	278.	25	.0800				
	275.86	278.	49	.0800				
	289.66	279.	07	.0800				
	312.47	278.	40	.0800				
<	FLEV	VOLUME	FLOW RATE	E	 ту т	PAV TIME		
(m)	(m)	(cu.m.)	(cms)	(m/s)	(min)		
.20	274.70	.665E+04	.6	. 5	6	172.84		
.39	274.89	.247E+05	4.2	1.0	0	97.27		
.59	275.09	.478E+05	11.1	1.3	5	71.58		
. 78	275.48	.108E+05	35.2	1.6	9	51.17		
1.17	275.67	.145E+06	52.9	2.1	2	45.70		
1.37	275.87	.187E+06	74.8	2.3	3	41.60		
1.56	276.06	.233E+06	101.2	2.5	3	38.37		
1 95	276.20	.284E+06	160 8	2.5	3	35.80		
2.17	276.67	.421E+06	204.7	2.8	3	34.30		
2.38	276.88	.516E+06	258.7	2.9	1	33.25		
2.60	277.10	.627E+06	323.9	3.0	0	32.28		
2.82	277.53	./54E+06 .894E+06	4∪∠.4 517 5	3.]	⊥ 7	31.21 28.78		
3.25	277.75	.105E+07	645.6	3.5	8	27.06		
3.47	277.97	.122E+07	787.1	3.7	6	25.78		
3.68	278.18	.140E+07	942.2	3.9	1	24.79		
3.90	278.40	.1002+07	1110.1	4.0	3	24.00		
		2002	< hyd	rograph	>	<-pipe / c	hannel->	
		(ha)	(Cms)	(brs) (v. mm)	(m)	(m/s)	
INFLOW :	ID= 2 (90)	29) 406.96	5.91	9.75 18	.00	.44	1.07	
OUTFLOW:	ID= 1 (60)	L9) 406.96	3.85	10.75 18	.00	.37	.92	
ADD HYD (5064)							
ADD HYD (1 + 2 =	5064) 3	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 =	5064) 3	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = 	5064) 3 = 1 (1045) - 2 (7002)	AREA (ha) 170.73	QPEAK (cms) .647 3 594	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00	R.V. (mm) 8.48			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID1 + ID2 ===	5064) 3 = 1 (1045) = 2 (7002)	AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID1 + ID2 === ID	5064) 3 = 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064)	AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 3.614	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 6.00	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 ==== 6.95			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID1 + ID2 === ID NOTE: P	5064) 3 = 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) ===================================	AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 3.614 DE BASEFLO	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 6.00 J WS IF ANY.	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 ===== 6.95			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = IDL + ID2 == ID NOTE: P	5064) 3 = 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) EAK FLOWS 1	AREA (ha) : 170.73 : 89.77 : 260.50 DO NOT INCLU	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 ======= 3.614 DE BASEFLC	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 6.00 1 WS IF ANY.	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 ===== 6.95			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID1 + ID2 === ID NOTE: P	5064) 3 = 1 (1045) 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) EAK FLOWS 1	AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50 DO NOT INCLU	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 3.614 DE BASEFLC	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 5 6.00 1 WS IF ANY.	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 ===== 6.95			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID1 + ID2 === ID NOTE: P	5064) 3 = 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) EAK FLOWS 1 	AREA (ha) : 170.73 : 89.77 : 260.50 DO NOT INCLU	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 3.614 DE BASEFLC	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 5.00 1 0.00 1 WS IF ANY.	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 ===== 6.95			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID1 + ID2 == ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 =	5064) 3 = 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) EAK FLOWS 1 	AREA (ha) : 170.73 : 89.77 : 260.50 DO NOT INCLU	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 3.614 DE BASEFLC	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 3 	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 6.95			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID1 + ID2 === ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 =	5064) 3 = 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) EAK FLOWS 1 9250) 3 	AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50 DO NOT INCLU AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 ======= 3.614 DE BASEFLC QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 1 WS IF ANY. TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 6.95 R.V. (mm)			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID1 + ID2 = ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID1	5064) 3 = 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) EAK FLOWS 1 	AREA (ha) : 170.73 : 89.77 : 260.50 DO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) : 424.46	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 3.614 DE BASEFLC 	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 WS IF ANY. TPEAK (hrs) .00	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 ===== 6.95 R.V. (mm) .00			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = IDD + ID2 === ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID ID ADD HYD (1 + 2 =	5064) 3 	AREA (ha) : 170.73 : 89.77 : 260.50 NOO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) : 424.46 672.95	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 3.614 DE BASEFLC 	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 3 6.00 1 WS IF ANY. TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 ===== 6.95 			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID1 + ID2 === ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID1 + ID2 + ID2 + ID2 ID1 + ID2 === ID NOTE: P 	5064) 3 = 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = = 3 (5064) EAK FLOWS 1 9250) 3 = 1 (7004) = 2 (1046) = 3 (9250)	AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50 DO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 424.46 672.95	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 3.614 DE BASEFLC QPEAK (cms) .000 2.287	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 1 WS IF ANY. TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 ==== 6.95 R.V. (mm) .00 8.76 ====			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 == ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 == ID ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID	5064) 3 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) = 3 (5064) = 2 (5004) = 1 (7004) = 1 (7004) = 2 (1046) = 3 (9250) = 3 (9250)	AREA (ha) : 170.73 : 89.77 : 260.50 DO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) : 424.46 : 672.95 : 1097.41	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 3.514 DE BASEFLC 	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 2 6.00 1 WS IF ANY. 	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 ===== 6.95 R.V. (mm) .00 8.76 ===== 5.37			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 = ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P NOTE: P	5064) 3 = 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) = 3 (5064) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) = 1 (7004) = 2 (1046) = 3 (9250) = 3 (9250) = 3 (9250)	AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50 NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 424.46 672.95 : 1097.41	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 3.614 DE BASEFLO .000 2.287 2.287 DE BASEFLO	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 2 6.00 1 WS IF ANY. TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 10.00 WS IF ANY.	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 6.95 R.V. (mm) .00 .00 8.76 ===== 5.37			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P	5064) 3 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) = 9250) 3 1 (7004) = 2 (1046) = 3 (9250) 3 (9250) 4 (9250) 4 (9250) 4 (9250) 4 (9250) 5 (9250) 5 (9250) 7 (92	AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50 XOO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 424.46 672.95 1097.41	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 .000 QPEAK (cms) .000 2.287 2.287 DE BASEFLC	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 1 000 1 WS IF ANY. .00 10.00 WS IF ANY.	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 6.95 R.V. (mm) 8.76 ===== 5.37			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 + ID2 == ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 == ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P	5064) 3 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) 9250) 3 = 1 (7004) = 3 (9250) 3 (9250) 3 (9250) 3 (9250) 5 (AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50 DO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 424.46 672.95 1097.41	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 .614 DE BASEFLC .000 2.287 DE BASEFLC 	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 1 0.00 1 0.00 1 0.00 1 0.00 000 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0.00 0 0 0 0	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 ===== 6.95 			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 == ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 == ID NOTE: P NOTE: P ADD HYD (ADD HYD (5064) 3 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) = 22500 3 	AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50 00 NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 424.46 672.95	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 DE BASEFLC (cms) .000 2.287 2.287 DE BASEFLC	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 1 WS IF ANY. 	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 ===== 6.95 			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 = ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ADD HYD (1 + 2 =	5064) 3 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) = 22500 3 1 (7064) = 22500 3 1 (7064) = 2 (1046) = 3 (5250) 2 (1046) = 3 (5250) EAK FLOWS I EAK FLOWS I 	AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50 NOT INCLU AREA AREA AREA	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 1 6.00 1 WS IF ANY. 	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 ===== 6.95 .00 8.76 ===== 5.37 R.V.			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 + ID2 == ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P 	5064) 3 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) = 9250) 3 = 1 (7004) = 3 (9250) 3 (9250) = 3 (9250) EAK FLOWS 1 	AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50 DO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 424.46 672.95 1097.41 DO NOT INCLU	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 .594 DE BASEFLC 	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 1 0.00 1 WS IF ANY. .00 10.00 WS IF ANY. 	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 6.95 6.95 7. 8.70 (mm) .00 8.76 5.37 R.V. (mm)			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID H ID2 ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P ID ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P ID ID NOTE: P ID ID NOTE: P ID ID NOTE: P ID ID NOTE: P ID ID NOTE: P ID ID ID NOTE: P ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID	5064) 3 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) = 2 (5064) = 2 (5064) = 2 (2005) 3 	AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50 00 NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 1097.41 00 NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 54.50 277.32	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 DE BASEFLC 	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 1 WS IF ANY. 	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 6.95 (mm) 8.76 5.37 R.V. (mm) 3.75			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = IDD + ID2 ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P ID NOTE: P ID ID NOTE: P ID ID ID ID NOTE: P ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID	5064) 3 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) = 9250) 3 - 1 (7004) = 2 (1046) = 3 (9250) 5 (9250) 7 (1040) 5	AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50 00 NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 424.46 672.95 1097.41 00 NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 54.50 227.32	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 DE BASEFLC .000 2.287 DE BASEFLC 2.287 DE BASEFLC .000 5.588 8.852	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 1 WS IF ANY. 	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 ==== 6.95 .00 .00 8.76 ===== 5.37 R.V. (mm) 3.75 4.63			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 + ID2 		AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50 00 NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 100 NOT INCLU 1097.41 00 NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 54.50 227.32 281.82	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 3.594 DE BASEFLC 	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 1 WS IF ANY. 	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 6.95 R.V. (mm) 8.76 5.37 R.V. (mm) 3.75 4.63 ====			
ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID + ID2 + ID2 === ID NOTE: P ADD HYD (1 + 2 = ID NOTE: P 	5064) 3 1 (1045) = 2 (7002) = 2 (7002) = 3 (5064) = 22500 3 22500 3 22500 3 22500 3 2 (1046) = 3 (9258) = 1 (9258) = 1 (9258) = 1 (9258) = 2 (27012) = 3 (9250) 3 3 3 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	AREA (ha) 170.73 89.77 260.50 DO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 424.46 672.95 1097.41 DO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 54.50 227.32 281.82	QPEAK (cms) .647 3.594 DE BASEFLC QPEAK (cms) .000 2.287 DE BASEFLC QPEAK (cms) .538 8.852 8.885	TPEAK (hrs) 9.25 6.00 1 WS IF ANY. 	R.V. (mm) 8.48 3.07 6.95 R.V. (mm) .00 8.76 5.37 R.V. (mm) 3.75 4.63			

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge
RESERVOIR (9018) DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 4.8100 1.1900 .4200 .6400 14.3300 1.2700 .1500 .9400 53.8000 1.3300 .2000 1.1100 .0000 .0000 MREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 2.287 10.00 5.37 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 99.07 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) = 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = 1.0118	ADD HYD (7016) 1 + 2 = 3 ID1= 1 (5061): 769.44 9.470 6.00 32.13 + 1D2= 2 (6019): 406.96 3.854 10.75 18.00
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	ADD HYD (5000) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cma) (hrs) (mm) TDI=1 (1047): 479.5 1.655 10.00 8.76 + ID2=2 (9251): 1097.41 2.144 11.50 5.37 ID = 3 (5000): 1576.98 3.673 11.00 6.40 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (5001) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (Da) (coms) (hrs) (mm) ID1=1 (5064): 260.50 3.614 6.00 16.95 + ID2=2 (5000): 1576.98 3.673 11.00 6.40 ID = 3 (5001): 1837.48 4.537 10.75 7.90 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. RESERVOIR (9017)
77.86 273.27 .0800 83.05 272.29 .0800 93.43 270.99 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel .0350 Main Channel 150.53 271.36 .0350 Main Channel 186.86 273.45 .0800 207.62 274.37 .0800 233.57 275.12 .0800 247.79 275.41 .0800	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME (m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min) .28 270.30 .950E+04 3.7 .81 43.21 .57 270.59 .257E+05 13.9 1.14 30.76 .85 270.87 .484E+05 32.0 1.39 25.24 1.13 271.15 .776E+05 59.6 1.61 21.71 1.42 .271.44 .1122+06 100.0 1.87 18.71 1.70 271.72 .151E+06 157.2 2.18 16.04 1.99 272.21 .195E+06 225.9 2.44 14.37 2.27 272.29 .242E+06 305.9 2.65 13.21 2.55 272.57 .294E+06 398.1 2.84 12.31	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (nmn) INFLOW : ID= 2 (5001) 1833.481 4.537 10.75 7.90 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9017) 1837.481 3.732 12.75 7.90 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 82.26 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=120.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 3.9117
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ADD HYD (9041) 1 + 2 = 3 ID1 = 1 (5065): 538.70 7.641 6.00 14.02 + ID2 = 2 (9017): 1837.78 3.732 12.75 7.90 ID = 3 (9041): 2376.18 8.048 6.00 9.29 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-></pre>	ADD HYD (5002) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)

age 42

ID1= 1 (2031): 55.98 6.183 6.00 43.14 + ID2= 2 (9041): 2376.18 8.048 6.00 9.29 	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. SHIFT HYD (99440)	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (5003): 2446.78 9.263 7.25 10.11 + ID2= 2 (7016): 1176.40 10.022 10.25 27.24 ID = 3 (5004): 3623.18 17.022 7.25 15.67
3HIFT HYD (9040) IN= 2> OUT= 1 3HIFT= 60.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	ID = 3 (5004): 3623.18 17.022 7.25 15.67
Nn = 2> OUT= 1 HIFT= 60.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	
(iiia) (ciiia) (iiia) (iiia)	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID= 2 (5002): 2432.16 14.23 6.00 10.07 SHIFT ID= 1 (9040): 2432.16 14.23 7.00 10.07	SHIFT HYD (9015) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=120.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
OUTE CHN (6029) N= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	(na) (Cms) (nrs) (mm) ID= 2 (5004): 3623.18 17.02 7.25 15.67 SHIFT ID= 1 (9015): 3623.18 17.02 9.25 15.67
<pre><> DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 274.29 .0800</pre>	
30.80 273.73 .0800 51.30 270.17 .0800 61.60 266.84 .0800	IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00
01100 200.04 .0000 66.80 266.02 .0800 102.70 265.42 .0350 Main Channel 123.20 261.00 .0350 Main Channel 128.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel 154.00 264.62 .0350 Main Channel 174.60 266.82 .0800 205.40 268.07 .0800 236.20 268.74 .0800 236.20 271.31 .0800 302.90 272.11 .0800 348.90 274.45 .0800	Distance Elevation Manning .00 260.30 .0800 34.10 260.43 .0800 62.40 259.79 .0800 113.50 254.00 .0800 115.3.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel 187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel 198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel 249.80 254.00 .0800 334.90 255.77 .0800
<pre><</pre>	351.90 256.37 .0800 414.40 260.24 .0800 455.50 260.75 .0800 514.40 261.48 .0800
1.26 262.26 .220E+05 24.8 1.74 14.74 1.89 262.89 .443E+05 64.0 2.22 11.54 2.53 263.53 .741E+05 127.4 2.65 9.69 3.16 264.16 .111E+06 219.6 3.04 8.44 3.79 264.79 .156E+06 343.2 3.39 7.57 4.42 265.42 .209E+06 501.2 3.70 6.94 5.16 266.16 .304E+06 766.4 3.88 6.61 5.90 266.90 .422E+06 1123.8 4.05 6.34 6.64 267.64 .570E+06 1628.6 4.40 5.84 7.38 268.38 .738E+06 2225.4 4.65 5.53 8.12 269.12 .941E+06 2928.9 4.80 5.35 8.85 269.85 .116E+07 3743.0 4.95 5.18 8.85 269.85 .116E+07 3743.0 4.95 5.18 8.85 269.85 .116E+07 3743.0 4.95 5.18 8.85 269.85 .116E+07 5671.9 5.24 4.90 11.07 272.07 .195E+07 6571.9 5.24 4.90 11.01 272.01 .195E+07 8029.1 5.47 4.69 12.55 273.55 .259E+07 9393.0 5.59 4.60 12.55 273.55 .259E+07 9393.0 5.59 4.62 < hydrograph> <-pipe / channel->	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ARRA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m/s) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9040) 2432.16 14.23 7.00 10.07 .92 1.36 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6029) 2432.16 9.04 7.25 10.07 .75 1.22	7.49 259.37 .791E+07 3695.3 2.43 35.69 7.96 259.84 .874E+07 4221.9 2.51 34.48 8.42 260.30 .961E+07 4697.6 2.54 34.09
DD HYD (5003) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	<pre></pre>
$\begin{array}{c} \text{(ha)} & (\text{cms}) & (\text{hrs}) & (\text{mm}) \\ \text{ID1=1} & (6029): 2432.16 & 9.038 & 7.25 & 10.07 \\ + \text{ID2=2} & (1040): & 14.62 & .270 & 6.75 & 17.46 \end{array}$	
ID = 3 (5003): 2446.78 9.263 7.25 10.11	ADD HYD (5005) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (brs) (mm)
	ID1= 1 (5062): 699.78 8.006 8.25 26.64

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
ID = 3 (5005): 4322.96 15.980 10.50 17.45	
	CALIB
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	STANDHYD (2031) Area (na)= 55.98 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00
** SIMULATION NUMBER: 7 ** 2050 100-Year Storm	Surface Area (ha)= 30.79 25.19
	Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Average stope} (\%)- 1.00 & 1.00\\ \text{Length} (m)= 610.90 & 40.00 \end{array}$
MASS STORM Filename: V:01606/Active/160621777/SWM Master Plans	Mannings n = .013 .250
Ptotal=141.08 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 186.23 162.88
	over (min) 15.00 15.00
Mass curve time step = 15.00 min	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00
	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .08
hrs mu/hr hrs mu/hr hrs mu/hr hrs mu/hr	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 9.61 8.50 18.115 (iii)
.25 3.10 3.25 5.64 6.25 25.39 9.25 4.29	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00
.50 5.27 5.50 5.04 6.50 25.39 9.50 5.95 .75 3.33 3.75 5.64 6.75 13.43 9.75 3.78	RUNOFF VOLOME (mm)= 140.56 /4.24 97.46 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 141.08 141.08 141.08
1.00 3.44 4.00 5.64 7.00 9.14 10.00 3.84	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .53 .69
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
1.75 3.78 4.75 10.21 7.75 8.46 10.75 3.22	(1) ON PROGRAMME OF PAREN FOR NEWLYNY, 100000
2.00 3.95 5.00 12.36 6.00 6.00 11.00 2.99 2.25 3.95 5.25 16.93 8.25 7.22 11.25 2.65	(1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PROVIDES EXES. CN* = 59.0 I a = Dep. Storage (Above)
2.50 3.95 5.50 16.93 8.50 6.21 11.50 2.43	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB	CALIB
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00
U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.46	
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.472	Surface Area (ha)= 14.87 9.91
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 20.955 (i)	Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.750	Length (m) = 406.40 40.00
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = /2.405 TOTAL RAINFAL (mm) = 141.080	Mannings n = .013 .250
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .513	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 186.23 168.94
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Storage Coeff. (min) = 4.62 (ii) 11.67 (ii)
	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00
	TOTALS
CALIB STANNAR (2050) Area (ba)= 89.70	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.00 3.63 8.631 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (brs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00
ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(\$)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(\$)= 25.00	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 131.08 74.17 96.94
	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 141.08 141.08 141.08 RINNEE CORFECTENT = 93 53 69
Surface Area (ha) = 35.88 53.82	***** WARNING: GTORAGE CORFE IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEDI
Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00	
Length (m)= //5.50 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	(1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVITORS LOSSES. $CN^* = 58.0$ I.a = Dep. Storage (Above)
New DEE Takes (my (he)) 100 02 100 02	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
over (min) 15.00 15.00	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.80 (ii) 13.95 (ii) Thit Hvd Topak (min)= 15.00 15.00	
Unit Hyd. peak (mms) = .10 .07	
TOTALS PRAK FLOW (cms)= 10.75 17.73 28.484 (jij)	CALIB STANDHYD (2021) Area (ba)= 70.42
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00	ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 140.58 85.53 99.29 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 141.08 141.08 141.08	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .61 .70	Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Den Strange (ma)= 10.00 2.50
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00
(i) ON PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	Length $(m) = 685.20 + 40.00$ Mannings n = .013 .250
CN* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)	
(11) TIME STEP (UT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	Max.FIT.INTER.(mm/hr)= 186.23 159.66 over (min) 15.00 15.00
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.32 (ii) 13.53 (ii)
	Unit Hyd. peak (mm)= 10.00 10.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .08

Page 45
V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ -
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 11.96 10.32 22.282 (iii)	CALIB
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (nm)= 131.08 72.81 93.21 TOTAL RAINFALL (nm)= 141.08 141.08 141.08 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 9.3 .52 .66	STANDH7D (2010) Area (na)= 22.70 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Surface Area (ha)= 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 389.00 40.00
CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	Mannings n = .013 .250
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) peak flow does not include baseflow if any.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 186.23 128.07 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 4.50 (ii) 12.37 (ii)
	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (mms)= .11 .08
CALTE NASHYD (1044) Area (ba)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0	*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.87 3.69 6.555 (iji)
ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNNEE VOLUME (mm)= 140.58 67.58 85.83
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 5.986	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 141.08 141.08 141.08 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .48 .61
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 7.624 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.500	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 41.825 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 141.080	<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)</pre>
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .296	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE CORFFICIENT.
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
INASHYD (1045) Area (ha)= 170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	CALLS STANDHYD (2011) Area (ha)= 40.62 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 16.25 24.37
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.503 (i)	Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.750 RUNOFP VOLUME (mm)= 41.824	Length (m) = 520.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 141.080 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .296	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 186.23 128.07
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.36 (ii) 13.23 (ii)
	Unit Hyd. ipeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .11 .08
CALIB	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.04 6.41 11.458 (iii)
STANDHYD (2012) AFCa (na)= 26.45 ID=1 DF=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	TIME TO PEAK $(\text{MYS}) = 6.00$ 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME $(\text{mm}) = 140.58$ 67.58 85.83
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	TUTAL RAINFALL (nmm)= 141.08 141.08 141.08 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .48 .61
Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
Length (m)= 419.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 186.23 128.07	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff, (min) 4.71 (ii) 12.58 (ii)	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .11 .08	
TOTALS	CALIB NASHYD (1047) Area (ha) = 479.57 Curve Number (CN) = 59.0
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 PUNNEE YOUTHE (hrs)= 140.65 67.58 85.93	ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia $(mm) = 30.00 \# \text{ of Linear Res.}(N) = 3.00$
RONOFF VOLUME (mm) = 141.08 141.08 141.08 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT 1.00 .48 .61	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 6.710
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 8.696 (i)
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	RUNOFF VOLUME $(mm) = 42.904$
(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .304
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
CALIE CALIE NASHYD (9146) Area (ha)= 369.57 Curve Number (CN)= 55.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.20	 CALIB STANDHYD (2042) Area (ha)= 54.50 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 11.763 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 12.134 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 41.596 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 141.080 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .295	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 21.80 32.70 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 186.23 166.50 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.85 (ii) 12.94 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00
CALIB Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .60 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .08 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.69 11.39 18.084 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 140.58 87.79 100.99 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 141.08 141.08 141.08 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .62 .72
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.003 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 53.190 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 141.080 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .377 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>
CALIB NASHYD (1046) Area (ha)= 672.95 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.80	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.180 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 11.966 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.500 RUNOFF VOLIME (mm)= 42.904 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 141.080 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .304	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 36.92 45.13 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 736.60 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 186.23 170.66 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.62 (ii) 13.63 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .08
CALBD CALBD Area (ha) = 14.62 Curve Number (CN) = 59.0 ID ID ID Id (mm) = 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs) = .82 Unit Hyd Opeak (cms) = .681	$\begin{array}{c} \text{PEAK FLOW} & (\text{cms}) = & 11.86 & 15.77 & 27.623 \ (\text{iii}) \\ \text{TIME TO PEAK} & (\text{hrs}) = & 6.00 & 6.00 & 6.00 \\ \text{RUNOFF VOLUME} & (\text{mm}) = & 140.58 & 88.40 & 104.05 \\ \text{TOTAL RAINFALL} & (\text{mm}) = & 141.08 & 141.08 & 141.08 \\ \text{RUNOFF COEFFICIENT} & = & 1.00 & .63 & .74 \\ \end{array}$
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .904 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.750 RUNOFF VOLIME (mm)= 56.500 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 141.080 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .400 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>
CALIE ha)= 487.62 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	CALIB STANDHYD (2040) Area (ha)= 145.27 D= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 8.583 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 18.905 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.974 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 141.080 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .524 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 58.11 87.16 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 984.10 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 186.23 166.50 over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.86 (ii) 14.94 (ii)

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxb	ridge\V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .10 .07 *TOTALS*	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 16.90 28.51 45.416 (l11) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 140.58 87.79 100.99 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 141.08 141.08 141.08 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .62 .72	$\begin{array}{c} \text{ADD HYD} & (5065) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ \text{(b)} & (1 + 2) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ \text{(cms)} & (1 + 2) \\ $
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)</pre>	$\begin{array}{c} 1D1 = 1 \\ + D2 = 2 \\ 1044); \\ + 443.50 \\ 7.624 \\ 9.50 \\ 41.82 \\ \hline \\ 1D = 3 \\ (5065); \\ 538.70 \\ 22.954 \\ 6.00 \\ 51.06 \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$
 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SWALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
CALIB NASHYD (1060) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min La (mm) = 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs) = 1.16	IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 19.800 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 57.870 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 141.080 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .410	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2012) 26.450 7.595 6.00 85.83 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9257) 26.450 .599 7.00 85.44 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 7.88
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 60.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.5375
RESERVOIR (9021) UTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE	RESERVOIR (9019) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 28.484 6.00 99.29 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 11.711 6.25 99.25	.9900 .4700 2.8300 .9900 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 6.855 6.00 85.83 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 1.809 6.50 74.38
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 41.12 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 15.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 3.4623	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 27.59 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .9647
RESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 .2620 .8805 .0150 .6000 .4710 1.0180 .1240 .7875 .9610 1.2660	$ \begin{vmatrix} ADD HYD (7001) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ 1D1 = 1 (9019) \\ + ID2 = 2 (2011) \\ + ID2 = 2 (2011) \\ \end{vmatrix} $ AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (mm) (mm) (h) (cms) (mm) (mm) (h) (b) (b) (cms) (mm) (mm) (h) (b) (cms) (mm) (mm) (h) (cms) (mm) (mm) (h) (cms) (mm) (mm) (h) (cms) (mm) (mm) (h) (cms) (mm) (h) (cms)
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 8.631 6.00 96.94 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 1.340 6.50 96.53	ID = 3 (7001): 63.32 12.603 6.00 81.72 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 15.52 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.4727	RESERVOIR (9147) IN-2> OUT=1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 *******
ADD HYD (7008) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 12.134 7.25 41.60 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00
ID = 3 (7008): 95.20 22.672 6.00 94.07	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 15.3728

Page 51

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update	Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
	ID1= 1 (9257): 26.45 .599 7.00 85.44 + ID2= 2 (7001): 63.32 12.603 6.00 81.72
RESERVOIR (9248)	ID = 3 (7002): 89.77 12.776 6.00 82.82
DF= 15.0 mln OUTFLOW STOKAGE OUTFLOW STOKAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 ******* .0010 *******	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 4.003 6.50 53.19 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=******* MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 2.9197	ADD HYD (7004) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
IN= 2> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE	$ \begin{vmatrix} ADD HYD & (7013) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ mnn \\ 1D1 = 1 & (2041): 82.05 & 27.623 & 6.00 & 104.05 \\ + & ID2 = 2 & (9020): & 145.27 & 3.637 & 7.25 & 100.96 \\ \hline \\ 1D1 = 3 & (7013): & 227.32 & 29.473 & 6.00 & 102.08 \\ \hline \\ 1D2 = 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \\ 1D2 = 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \\ 1D3 = 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \\ 1D3 = 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \\ 1D3 = 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \\ 1D3 = 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \\ 1D3 = 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9258) 54.500 2.816 6.75 100.89 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 15.57 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 45.00 MAXIMUM STOPAGE USED (ha m)= 3 3593	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
	IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00
RESERVOIR (9020) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min 0000 (cms) 0000 .2200 3.0000 2.5000 7.0000 .0000 .2200 .0000 .2200 .0000 .2200 .0000 .2200 .0000 .2200 .2200 .2000 .2000 .2000 .2000 .2000 .2000 .2000 .2000 .2000 .2000 .2000 .2000 .2000 .2000 .01 .01 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) = 75.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE (ha.m.) = 9.9216	Distance Elevation Manning .00 281.05 .0800 34.48 278.78 .0800 62.07 280.75 .0800 75.86 280.87 .0800 110.34 277.13 .0800 124.14 276.45 .0800 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel .137.73 .276.25 137.93 274.50 .0350 137.93 274.50 .0350 137.73 .0400 .0350 213.79 277.13 .0800 275.17 278.25 .0350 275.86 278.49 .0800 289.66 279.07 .0800 303.45 278.41 .0800
SHIFT HYD (9029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=150.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	Communication Constraint Cons
ADD HYD (5062) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 AREA (cmms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (1032): 610.08 20.956 8.75 72.40 + ID2= 2 (9021): 89.70 11.711 6.25 99.25 ID = 3 (5062): 699.78 23.217 8.50 75.85 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ADD HYD (7002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEFTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9029) 406.96 19.80 9.50 57.87 .75 1.59
	Dago 52

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6019) 406.96 14.91 10.50 57.87 .66 1.45	Distance Elevation Manning .00 278.33 .0800 46.71 277.77 .0800 57.10 277.40 .0800 62.29 276.96 .0800 67.48 273.27 .0800 77.86 273.27 .0800 83.05 272.29 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 119.38 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 150.53 271.36 .0550 / .0600 Main Channel 207.62 274.37 .0800 Main Channel 233.57 275.12 .0800 Main Channel 247.79 275.41 .0800 Main Channel
ADD HYD (9250) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00 + ID2= 2 (1046): 672.95 11.966 9.50 42.90 ID = 3 (9250): 1097.41 11.966 9.50 26.31 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	<pre></pre>
ADD HYD (77014) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. ID1=1 (9258): 54.50 2.816 6.75 100.89 + 1D2=2 (7013): 227.32 29.473 6.00 102.08 ID1 = 3 (7014): 281.82 30.538 6.00 101.85	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. RESERVOIR (9018) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 (4.8100 1.1900 .4200 .6400 14.3300 1.2700 1.5900 .9400 [5.3,8000 1.3300	<pre></pre>
3.2000 1.1100 .0000 .0000 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 11.966 9.50 26.31 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 12.026 9.50 26.31 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)=100.50 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOM (min)= .00 MAYNIMI SCOPACE USED (barn)= 1.2720	1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1= 1 (5061): 769.44 33.183 6.00 84.18 + ID2= 2 (6019): 406.96 14.914 10.50 57.87 ID = 3 (7016): 1176.40 33.843 10.00 75.08 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
**** WARNING : HYDOGRAPH PEAK WAS NOT REDUCED. CHECK OUTFLOW/STORAGE TABLE OR REDUCE DT.	ADD HYD (5000) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ADD HYD (5061) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	ID1= 1 (1047): 479.57 8.696 9.25 42.90 + ID2= 2 (9251): 1097.41 11.790 9.75 26.31 ID = 3 (5000): 1576.98 20.360 9.75 31.36 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID = 3 (5061): 769.44 33.183 6.00 84.18 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
ROUTE CHN (9251) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 < DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)>	$ \begin{vmatrix} 1 + 2 = 3 \\ \\ (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) \\ ID1 = 1 (5064): 260.50 12.987 6.00 55.95 \\ + ID2 = 2 (5000): 1576.98 20.360 9.75 31.36 \\ \\ ID = 3 (5001): 1837.48 24.868 9.25 34.84 \\ \end{vmatrix} $

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ 	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	<> DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME
RESERVOIR (9017) IN= 2> OUTP 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .2800 .2800 .1300 .1400 .5600 .7100 .6300 .7200 .6300 .7400 .5600 .7200 .7300 .7400 .5600 .7300 .7400 .7400 .7400 .7500 .7100 .6300 .7400	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 5.9113	<pre><pre></pre></pre>
ADD HYD (9041) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (5065): 538.70 22.954 6.00 51.06 + ID2= 2 (9017): 1837.48 24.804 9.50 34.84 ID = 3 (9041): 2376.18 33.610 9.50 38.52 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6029) 2432.16 34.04 10.75 39.88 1.41 1.84 ADD HYD (5003) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDI= 1 (6029): 2432.16 34.038 10.75 39.88 + ID2 - 2 (1040): 14.62 .904 6.75 56.50
ADD HYD (5002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	ID = 3 (5003): 2446.78 34.150 10.75 39.97 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. ADD HYD (5004) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ba) (cms) (hrs) (mm)
NULE. FEAR FLOWS DO NUL INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANT.	$\begin{array}{c} 1111 = 1 & (5003), & 2440, 1/8 & 34, 130 & 10, 1/3 & 35, 97 \\ + & 1102 & 2 & (7016); & 1176, 40 & 33, 843 & 10, 00 & 75, 08 \\ \hline \\ 1D = 3 & (5004); & 3623, 1.8 & 67, 198 & 10, 25 & 51, 37 \end{array}$
SHIFT HYD (9040) IN=2> OUT=1 SHIFT=60.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) ID=2 (5002): 2432.16 42.24 6.00 39.88 SHIFT ID=1 (9040): 2432.16 42.24 7.00 39.88	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ROUTE CHN (6029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	SHIFT=120.0 min AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.
< DATA FOR SECTION (1.0) > Distance Elevation Manning .00 274.29 .0800 30.80 273.73 .0800 .000 .000 .000 .000 51.30 270.17 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .0800 .020.270 .0350 Main Channel .023.20 .0310 .0350 Main Channel .023.20 .0310 .0350 Main Channel .020.20 .026.40 .0350 Main Channel .020.20 .026.40 .0350 Main Channel .020.40 .026.40 .0350 Main Channel .020.40 .026.40 .020.40 </td <td><pre> ROUTE CEN (6031) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 Distance Elevation Manning .00 260.30 .0800 34.10 260.43 .0800 62.40 259.79 .0800 79.50 255.72 .0800 113.50 254.00 .0800 153.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel 187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel 198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.400 .0800</pre></td>	<pre> ROUTE CEN (6031) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 Distance Elevation Manning .00 260.30 .0800 34.10 260.43 .0800 62.40 259.79 .0800 79.50 255.72 .0800 113.50 254.00 .0800 153.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel 187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel 198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.400 .0800</pre>

334.90 351.90 414.40 465.50	255.77 256.37 260.24 260.75	.0800 .0800 .0800 .0800				Unit Hyd PEAK FLC
	201.80 TRAVEL TIME TABI VOLUME FLOW RATI (cu.m.) (cu.m.) <th>LE</th> <th>TRAV.TIME (min) 223.90 141.05 126.14 134.06 93.14 75.58 65.13 59.01 54.87 51.25 48.03 45.17 42.71 40.59 38.74 37.12</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>CALIB CALIB CALIB CALIB STANDHYD (ID= 1 DT=15. Surface Dep. Stc Average Length Mannings Max.Eff.</th>	LE	TRAV.TIME (min) 223.90 141.05 126.14 134.06 93.14 75.58 65.13 59.01 54.87 51.25 48.03 45.17 42.71 40.59 38.74 37.12			CALIB CALIB CALIB CALIB STANDHYD (ID= 1 DT=15. Surface Dep. Stc Average Length Mannings Max.Eff.
7.49 259.37 7.96 259.84 8.42 260.30 INFLOW : ID= 2 (901 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (603	.791E+07 4221.9 .874E+07 4221.9 .961E+07 4697.6 < hyc AREA QPEAK (ha) (cms) 15) 3623.18 67.20 31) 3623.18 58.12	2.43 2.51 2.54 TPEAK R.V. (hrs) (mm) 12.25 51.37 13.25 51.37	35.69 34.48 34.09 <-pipe / chi MAX DEPTH 1 (m) 1.90 1.82	annel-> MAX VEL (m/s) .92 .85		Storage Unit Hyd PEAK FLC TIME TO RUNOFF V TOTAL RE RUNOFF C
DD HYD (5005) 1 + 2 = 3	AREA QPEAK	TPEAK R.V.				(i) CN C (ii) TI
ID1= 1 (5062); + ID2= 2 (6031); ID = 3 (5005); NOTE: PEAK FLOWS I	(1137) (Cuttor) : 699.78 23.217 : 3623.18 58.118 : 4322.96 66.515 DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLO	(hrs) (mm) 8.50 75.85 13.25 51.37 13.00 55.33 DWS IF ANY.				TH (iii) PE
ID1 = 1 (5062); + ID2 = 2 (6031); ID = 3 (5005); NOTE: PEAK FLOWS I * SIMULATION NUMBER: HASS STORM	: 699.78 23.217 : 3623.18 58.118 : 4322.96 66.515 DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLO 8 *** 2080 5 Filename: V:\01606\Ac \Analysis\Comments: SCS 24 HR b	(hrs) (mm) 8.50 75.85 13.25 51.37 13.00 55.33 WS IF ANY. 	Orm SWM Master P. bridge\l2hrSd	lans CS.mat		TH (iii) PF
ID1= 1 (5062); + ID2= 2 (6031); ID = 3 (5005); NOTE: PEAK FLOWS I ** SIMULATION NUMBER: ** SIMULATION NUMBER: MASS STORM	: 699.78 23.217 : 699.78 23.217 : 3623.18 58.118 : 4322.96 66.515 DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLO 	(hrs) (mm) 8.50 75.85 13.25 51.37 13.00 55.33 WS IF ANY. 	Orm SWM Master P. bridge\12hrS(lans CS.mst		TH (iii) PE
ID1= 1 (5062): + ID2= 2 (6031) 	Image: Class of the second	(hrs) (mm) 8.50 75.85 13.25 51.37 13.00 55.33 WS IF ANY. 5-Year St by 160621777 WM Hydrology 4 TIME RAI 4 SS CURY 5 6.25 14.9 6 6.25 14.9 6 6.75 7.9 8 7.75 4.9 7 7.75 4.9 7 7.75 4.9 7 8.00 4.9 7 8.00 4.9 5 8.25 4.2 8 8.50 3.6 6 8.75 3.1 9 9.00 2.8	N TIME r hrs r hrs r hrs s 10.00 8 10.00 8 10.25 8 10.75 8 11.00 5 11.25 9 11.75 2 12.00	PAIN CS.mst RAIN mm/hr 2.52 2.22 2.22 2.26 2.19 2.06 1.89 1.76 1.56 1.43 1.26 1.26 1.13		TH (iii) PE

621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\

(cms)= 9.472

PEAK FLOW	(cms)=	8.521	(i)	
TIME TO PEAK	(hrs)=	8.750		
RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm) =	29.960		
TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm) =	83.020		
RUNOFF COEFFICI	ENT =	.361		

DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

CALTB	-			
STANDHYD (2050)	Area	(ha)= 89.70)	
ID= 1 DT=15.0 min	Total	Imp(%)= 40.00) Dir. Conn.(<pre>%) = 25.00</pre>
	-	IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)	
Surface Area	(ha)=	35.88	53.82	
Dep. Storage	(mm) =	.50	2.50	
Average Slope	(%)=	1.00	1.00	
Mannings n	(((() =	.013	.250	
Max.Eff.Inten.	(mm/hr)=	109.59	74.34	
ove	r (min)	15.00	30.00	
Unit Hvd. Tpea	(min)=	15.00	30.00	
Unit Hyd. peak	(cms)=	.09	.05	
				TOTALS
PEAK FLOW	(cms)=	6.04	5.89	9.897 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK RUNOFE VOLUME	(nrs)=	82 52	6.25 39.05	49 92
TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm) =	83.02	83.02	83.02
RUNOFF COEFFIC	IENT =	.99	.47	.60
***** WARNING: STOR	AGE COEFF	. IS SMALLER TH	HAN TIME STEP!	
(i) CN PROCE	DURE SELE	CTED FOR PERVIC	DUS LOSSES:	
(ii) TIME STE	70.0 . D (DT) SU	LA = Dep. SLOPA	D FOUNT	
THAN THE	STORAGE (COEFFICIENT.	t ont byond	
(iii) PEAK FLO	W DOES NO	F INCLUDE BASE	FLOW IF ANY.	
	-			
CALIB	_			
STANDHYD (2031)	Area	(ha) = 55.98) Dir Conn (s)- 35.00
1D- 1 D1-15.0 mill	- 10tai	Tub(*)- 22.00	DII. COIII. (*)= 55.00
		IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)	
Surface Area	(ha)=	30.79	25.19	
Dep. Storage	(mm) =	.50	2.50	
Length	(m)=	610.90	40.00	
Mannings n	=	.013	.250	
Max.Eff.Inten.	(mm/hr)=	109.59	70.74	
Storage Coeff	(min)=	15.00 7 29 (ii)	30.00 17.27 (ii)	
Unit Hyd. Tpea	k (min)=	15.00	30.00	
Unit Hyd. peak	(cms)=	.10	.05	
DENK ELON	()	F 4F	0 67	*TOTALS*
TIME TO PEAK	(cms)=	5.45	2.0/	6.00
RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm) =	82.52	32.47	49.99
TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm) =	83.02	83.02	83.02
RUNOFF COEFFIC	IENT =	.99	.39	.60
***** WARNING: STOR	AGE COEFF	. IS SMALLER TH	HAN TIME STEP!	
(i) CN PROCE	DURE SELE	CTED FOR PERVIC	OUS LOSSES:	

59.0 IA = DEP. Storage (ADOVE) CP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL : STORAGE COEFFICIENT. W DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

Area (ha)= 24.78 Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbr: -
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 14.87 9.91 Dep. Storage (mm) = 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (*) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 406.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 109.59 73.38 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 5.71 (ii) 15.55 (ii) Unit Hyd. peak (min) = 11 .05	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .926 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 11.864 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.020 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .143 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW $(cms) =$ 2.87 1.13 3.611 (iii) TIME TO PEAK $(hrs) =$ 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME $(mm) =$ 73.02 32.45 48.68 TOTAL RAINFALL $(mm) =$ 83.02 83.02 83.02 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 88 .39 .59	CALIB Area (ha)= 26.45 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 La = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOLLD E SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	Surface Area (ha)= 10.58 15.87 Dep. Storage (mm)= 50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 Length (m)= 40.00 Mannings n = .013 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.59 54.01 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.83 (ii) 16.94 (ii)
CALIE Area (ha)= 70.42 STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (mmin) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.0 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.91 1.29 2.741 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.52 28.77 42.21 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.02 83.02
Dep. Storage (mm) = 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 109.59 68.92 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.81 (ii) 17.90 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (mm) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. reak (cmm) = .10 .05	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.76 3.22 8.837 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.02 31.67 46.14 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.02 83.02 83.02 RUNOFF CORFFICIENT = 88 .38 .56	CALIB STANDHYD (2010) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 IMPRRVIOUS IMPRRVIOUS IMPRRVIOUS IMPRRVIOUS
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	Surface Area (ha) = 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 389.00 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/h) = 109.59 54.01 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 5.56 (ii) 16.68 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00
CALIB Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .11 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.65 1.11 2.367 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.52 28.77 42.21 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.02 83.02 RUNOFF CORFUCTENT = 99 .35 .51
PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.054 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 11.864 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.020 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .143 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i1) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (i1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>
CALLE Area (ha)= 170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 Dr=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.22	CALLE STANDHYD (2011) Area (ha)= 40.62 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2	2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 16.25 24.37 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (*)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 520.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.59 54.01 .000 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.63 (ii) 17.74 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (cmm)= .05 .05 .05 .05	U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.80 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.180 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.244 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 12.247 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.020 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .148 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.88 1.95 4.125 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.52 28.77 42.21 TOTAL RAITFALL (mm)= 83.02 83.02 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .35 .51	CALIB CALID NASHYD (1040) Area (ha)= 1D= 1D=15.0 min IA (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= U.H. Tp(hrs)= .82
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .681 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .341 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 21.857 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.020 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .263
CALIB NASHYD (1047) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min U.H. Tp(hrs) = 2.73 Unit Hvd Opeak (cms) = 6.710	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.351 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 12.247 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.020 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .148 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = 8.583 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 7.710 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 8.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 30.821 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.020 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .371
CALIB NASHYD (9146) Area (ha)= 369.57 Curve Number (CN)= 55.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
UNIT HYA (DEAR (Cms)= 11.763 PEAK FLOW (Cms)= 3.317 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 12.661 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.020 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .153	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 21.80 32.70 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB NASHYD (9246) Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.59 77.04 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.24 (ii) 16.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.80 3.82 6.324 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.129 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.500 RUNOPF VOLIME (mm)= 17.249 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.020 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .208 (i) DENK EKON DECE NOT INCUME DASEELON IN DWY	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.52 40.62 51.09 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.02 83.02 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 9.9 .49 .62 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 La pep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
(1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE HASEFLOW IF ANY.	<pre>IHAM THE STURAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY</pre>
	Page 63 Pag

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 20:	14\Uxbridge\
ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00	DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 36.92 45.13 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 739.60 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	.0000 .0000 1.2000 .9900 .0290 .3700 2.7000 1.4200 .5000 .6900 6.1000 2.1800 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TNETON : D= 2 (2050) 89 700 9.897 6.00 49.92
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.59 79.20 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.18 (ii) 17.72 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS*	OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (2031) 89.700 4.675 6.50 49.88 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 47.23 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.8617
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.68 5.32 10.192 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.52 41.00 53.46 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.02 83.02 83.02 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .99 .49 .64	RESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	.0000 .0000 .2620 .8805 .0150 .6000 .4710 1.0180
 (1) CN FROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVISOS DOSES. (1) CN FROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVISOS DOSES. (1) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (11) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	II240 .7875 II2600 II2600 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 3.611 6.00 48.68 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .253 8.00 48.27
CALIB Area (ha)= 145.27 STANDHYD (2040) Area (ha)= 145.27 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 7.02 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=120.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .8752
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 58.11 87.16 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 984.10 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	$ \begin{vmatrix} ADD HYD & (7008) \\ 1 & 1 + 2 = 3 \end{vmatrix} $ AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.59 77.04 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 9.71(ii) 19.36 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05 *TOTALS*	ID = 3 (7008): 95.20 8.847 6.00 46.70 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 9.42 9.65 15.753 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.52 40.62 51.09 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.02 83.02 83.02 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .49 .62	ADD HYD (5065) 1 + 2 = 3 ID1= 1 (7008): 95.20 8.847 6.00 46.70
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	+ ID2= 2 (1044): 443.50 2.054 9.75 11.86
 (1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: ON* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	ID = 3 (5065): 538.70 8.890 6.00 18.02 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
CALIE NASHYD (1060) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min U.H. Tp(hrs) = 1.16	RESERVOIR (9257) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE
UNIL HYG UDEAK (CMS)= 13.400 PEAK FLOW (CMS)= 7.449 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 22.511 TOTAL PAINFUL (mm)= 23.020	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hms) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2012) 26.450 2.741 6.00 42.21 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9257) 26.450 .162 9.00 41.82
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 5.91 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=180.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .8579
RESERVOIR (9021) IN= 2> OUT= 1	RESERVOIR (9019) IN= 2> OUT= 1
	Page 65

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 1.0800 .5900 .0000 .2600 1.2200 .7400 .5700 .3500 1.3500 .9300 .9900 .4700 2.8300 .9900 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 2.367 6.00 42.21 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 .926 6.50 30.75 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](\$)= 39.13 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .4529	RESERVOIR (9020) IN= 2> OUT=1 DT=15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 1.7200 5.0000 .2200 3.0000 2.5000 7.0000 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 15.753 6.00 51.09 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9020) 145.270 1.755 7.75 51.07 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)=11.14 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=105.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 5.0902
ADD HYD (7001) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	SHIFT HYD (9029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=150.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID= 2 (1060): 406.96 7.45 7.00 22.51 SHIFT ID= 1 (9029): 406.96 7.45 9.50 22.51
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (5062) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDI= 1 (1032): 610.08 8.521 8.75 29.96 + ID2= 2 (9021): 89.70 4.675 6.50 49.88 IDI = 3 (5062): 699.78 9.834 8.50 32.51 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
INFLOW : ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 3.317 7.25 12.66 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = 4.6793 	ADD HYD (7002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDI= 1 (9257): 26.45 .162 9.00 41.82 + ID2= 2 (7001): 63.32 4.402 6.00 38.10 IDI= 3 (7002): 89.77 4.411 6.00 39.20
DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0010 ******* .0010 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 1.129 6.50 17.25 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = .00 .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) =****** .9468	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
RESERVOIR (9258) IN=2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .4730 .0490 1.6365 .2480 1.4290 .2480 1.4290 DEEA OPEAK DEEA OPEAK	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
INFLOW : ID= 2 (2042) 54.500 6.324 6.00 51.09 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9258) 54.500 .786 7.25 50.99 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 12.42 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 75.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = 1.8885	ID = 3 (7013): 227.32 10.347 6.00 51.93 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
<pre>< DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 281.05 .0800 34.48 278.78 .0800 62.07 280.75 .0800</pre>	ID = 3 (7014): 281.82 10.386 6.00 51.75 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
75.86 280.87 .0800 110.34 277.13 .0800 124.14 276.45 .0800 / .0350 Main Channel 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel 151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0350 Main Channel 213.79 277.31 .0800 255.17 278.25 .0800 275.86 279.07 .0800	RESERVOIR (9018) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 1.8100 1.1900 .42300 1.43300 1.2700 1.5900 .9400 53.8000 1.3300 3.2000 1.1100 .0000 .0000
303.45 278.41 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800	AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 3.244 9.75 7.51
C	OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 3.231 10.00 7.51 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = 99.61 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) = 15.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = 1.1118
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
2.38 276.88 .516E+06 258.7 2.91 33.25 2.60 277.10 .627E+06 323.9 3.00 32.28 2.82 277.32 .754E+06 402.4 3.11 31.21	ID = 3 (5061): 769.44 11.175 6.00 38.49
3.03 277.53 .894E+06 517.5 3.37 28.78 3.25 277.75 .105E+07 645.6 3.58 27.06 3.47 277.97 .122E+07 787.1 3.76 25.78	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
3.68 278.18 .140E+07 942.2 3.91 24.79 3.90 278.40 .160E+07 1110.1 4.03 24.06	ROUTE CHN (9251) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00
<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL</pre>	<pre>> DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning</pre>
ADD HYD (5064) ADD HYD (5064) 1 + 2 = 3 TD1= 1 (1045): 170.73 .926 9.00 11.86 + ID2= 2 (702): 89.77 4.11 6.00 39.20 	77.66 273.27 .0800 83.05 272.29 .0800 93.43 270.99 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 119.38 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 150.53 271.36 .0350 Main Channel 186.86 273.45 .0800 Main Channel 207.62 274.37 .0800 233.57 275.41 247.79 275.41 .0800 247.79 275.41
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	<
ADD HYD (9250) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ADD HYD (7014) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (9258): 54.50 .786 7.25 50.99 + ID2= 2 (7013): 227.32 10.347 6.00 51.93	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-upda	te Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
<pre>< bydrograph> <-pipe / channel> AREA</pre>	-	ADD HYD (5002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. ID1= 1 (2031): 55.98 7.179 6.00 49.99 + ID2= 2 (9041): 2376.18 9.375 6.00 12.36 ID = 3 (5002): 2432.16 16.554 6.00 13.22
ADD HYD (7016)		NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (5061): 759.44 11.175 6.00 38.49 + ID2= 2 (6019): 406.96 5.018 10.75 22.51 ID = 3 (7016): 1176.40 12.898 10.25 32.96 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		SHIFT HYD (9040) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT= 60.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ma) (cms) (hrs) ID= 2 (5002): 2432.16 16.55 6.00 13.22 SHIFT ID= 1 (9040): 2432.16 16.55 7.00 13.22
ADD HYD (5000) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDl= 1 (1047): 4 79.57 2.351 9.75 12.25 + ID2= 2 (9251): 1097.41 3.068 11.25 7.51 IDI = 3 (5000): 1576.98 5.267 10.75 8.95 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		ROUTE CHN (6029) Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 274.29 .0800 30.80 273.73 .0800 51.30 270.17 .0800 61.60 266.84 .0800 102.70 255.42 .0350 Main Channel .0350
ADD HYD (5001) ADD HYD (5001) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (5064): 260.50 4.443 6.00 21.28 + ID2= 2 (5000): 1576.98 5.267 10.75 8.95 ID = 3 (5001): 1837.48 6.478 10.50 10.70		123.20 261.00 .0350 Main Channel 128.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel 154.00 264.62 .0350 Main Channel 174.60 266.82 .0800 205.40 268.77 .0800 236.20 268.74 .0800 302.90 272.11 .0800 348.90 274.45 .0800
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		<pre>>> TRAVEL TIME TABLE> DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME </pre>
RESERVOIR (9017) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 2.8300 3.4900 .2800 .2500 3.8200 3.9500 .1000 .0300 4.6700 4.2000 .1300 1.1400 7.3600 4.6800 1.4500 2.2600 35.4000 6.6100 2.2700 2.9600 ******* 8.500 1.8400 2.2600 15.4000 6.6100 2.2700 2.9600 ******* R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (5001) 1837.481 6.478 10.50 10.70 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 94.82 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 60.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE NSED (har.m.) = 4.4708 4.4708		$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ADD HYD (9041) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDL= 1 (5065): 538.70 8.890 6.00 18.02 + ID2= 2 (9017): 1837.48 6.142 11.50 10.70 ID = 3 (9041): 2376.18 9.375 6.00 12.36 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		$\begin{array}{c} \text{AREA} & \text{QPEAK} & \text{TPEAK} & \text{R.V.} & \text{MAX} \text{ DEPTH} & \text{MAX} \text{ VEL} \\ \text{(ha)} & (\text{cms}) & (\text{hrs}) & (\text{mm}) & (\text{m}) & (\text{m}/\text{s}) \\ \text{INFLOW} : \text{ID} = 2 & (9040) & 2432.16 & 16.55 & 7.00 & 13.22 & 1.00 & 1.43 \\ \text{OUTFLOW} : \text{ID} = 1 & (6029) & 2432.16 & 10.77 & 7.25 & 13.22 & .81 & 1.26 \\ \end{array}$
	Page 71	

age 72

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\	Uxbridge\ U:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
ID = 3 (5003): 2446.78 11.054 7.25 13.28 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	5005 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1= 1 (5062): 699-78 9.834 8.50 32.51 + TD2= 2 (6031): 3623.18 16.417 14.50 19.67
ADD HYD (5004) 1 + 2 = 3 ID1= 1 (5003): 2446.78 11.054 7,25 13.28 + ID2= 2 (7016): 1176.40 12.898 10.25 32.96 ID = 3 (5004): 3623.18 20.917 7.25 19.67 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCs.mst
SHIFT HYD (9015) IN=2> OUT= 1 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. SHIFT-120.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. ID= 2 (5004): 3623.18 20.92 7.25 19.67 SHIFT ID= 1 (9015): 3623.18 20.92 9.25 19.67 COUTE CLIN (6031) ROUTING time step (min)'= 15.00 Control CLIN (6031) ROUTING time step (min)'= 15.00 Control CLIN (6031) ROUTING time step (min)'= 15.00 Control CLIN (6031) ROUTING time step (min)'= 15.00	Ptotal=163.64 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE
34.10 260.43 .0800 62.40 259.79 .0800 79.50 255.72 .0800 113.50 254.00 .0800 153.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel 187.30 251.06 .0350 Main Channel 198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel 249.80 254.00 .0800 334.90 255.77 .0800 351.90 256.37 .0800 414.40 260.24 .0800 514.40 261.48 .0800	2.75 4.91 5.75 78.55 8.75 6.28 11.75 2.49 3.00 5.56 6.00 216.00 9.00 5.56 12.00 2.23
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 163.640 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .555 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
7.03 258.91 .711E+07 3193.6 2.33 37.12 7.49 259.37 .791E+07 3695.3 2.43 35.69 7.96 259.84 .874E+07 4221.9 2.51 34.48 8.42 260.30 .961E+07 4697.6 2.54 34.09 <pre></pre>	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 216.00 199.57 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 6.41 (ii) 13.00 (ii) Uhit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 15.00 Uhit Hyd. peak (ms) = .10 .08 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 12.60 22.46 35.058 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 163.14 105.05 119.57 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 163.64 163.64 163.64 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .64 .73
ADD HYD (5005)	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) Page 73 Page 74

	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
	 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 216.00 198.84 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.96 (ii) 12.56 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. neak (cmsi= 10 08
$\frac{1}{10 \times 10^{10}} \frac{1}{10 \times 1$	CALIB STANDHYD (2031) ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.98 Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 30.79 25.19 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 610.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 216.00 202.49 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.56 (ii) 12.11 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (mm)= 1.12 08 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 11.24 10.93 22.163 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLIME (mm)= 163.14 92.27 117.07 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 163.64 163.64 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .56 .72 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	OHIL Hyd. (peak (Lum) = 1.10 .10 <td< th=""></td<>
UNIT Fyd. peak (lms)= .11 .03 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.2 4.6 10.480 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOPY OULDME (ims)= 135.64 163.70 TOTAL RAINFALL (ims)= 163.64 163.64 163.64 RUNOPY COEFFICIENT = .94 .5 .71 ****** WARIND: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! IMPERVIOUS DESEST: .94 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .10.6 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .250 (ii) CN PROCEDURE STROTTINUEUE BASEFICH IF NY. .00 .50 THE STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! .013 .250 Aurage SLOPE (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS (i) .00 ITHE STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! .013 .250 Mainings n .013 .250 .01 TIME TO PEAK (LM pois) .013 .250 TIME TO PEAK (Ims)= .013 .250 TAND THE STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! .013 .02 IDE 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 .00 <	CALIB Area (ha)= 24.78 STANDHYD (2020) Area (ha)= 24.78 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 14.87 9.91 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 406.40 40.00 Maxnings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 216.00 210.03 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 4.35 (ii) 10.81 (ii) Unit Hyd, Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00	
Image: Contract of the state of the sta	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .11 .08 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.82 4.66 10.480 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUMOFF VOLUME (mm)= 153.64 92.19 116.77 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 163.64 163.64 163.64 RUMOFF COEFFICIENT = .94 .56 .71 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	CALIB CALIB STADBYD (2012) Area (ha)= 26.45 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 TMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 10.58 15.87 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 419.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 216.00 160.56 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (mn)= 4.44 (ii) 11.63 (ii)
	CALIE Area (ha)= 70.42 STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (mm)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .11 .08 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.88 5.53 9.412 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLME (mm)= 163.14 84.61 104.25 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 163.64 163.64 163.64 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .52 .64 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .352 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB CALIB STANDHYD (2010) Area (ha)= 22.70 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
 NASHYD
 (9146)
 Area
 (ha)= 369.57
 Curve Number
 (CN)= 55.0

 ID= 1
 DT=15.0
 min
 Ia
 (mm)= 25.00
 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min U.H. Tp(hrs) = 1.20 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 11.763 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 16.452 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.452 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 55.472 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 163.640 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .339 389.00 40.00 Length (m) = Mannings n .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 216.00 160.56 over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= 15.00 15.00 4.24 (ii) 11.43 (ii) (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .11 .08 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.34 4.78 8.121 (iii) CALIB TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)=
 NASHYD
 (9246)
 Area
 (ha)=
 54.89
 Curve Number
 (CN)=
 65.0

 ID=
 1 DT=15.0 min
 Ia
 (mm)=
 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)=
 3.00
 6 00 6 00 6 00 163.14 84.61 104.25 ----- U.H. Tp(hrs)= .60 163.64 163.64 163.64 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .52 .64 Unit Hvd Opeak (cms)= 3,494 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.334 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (nm) = 69.658 TOTAL RAINFALL (nm) = 163.640 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .426 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB STANDHYD (2011) Area (ha)= 40.62 CALIB Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 (1046) Area (ha)= 672.95 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min NASHYD ID= 1 DT=15.0 min | Ia PERVIOUS (i) ----- U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.80 IMPERVIOUS Surface Area (ha)= 16.25 24.37 2.50 Dep. Storage . 50 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.180 (mm) = Average Slope 1.00 1.00 (%)= Length (m) = 520.40 40.00 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 16.241 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.250 .013 Mannings n = .250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 57.584 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 163.640 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .352 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 216.00 160.56 15.00 over (min) 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.05 (ii) 12.24 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 15.00 15.00 .11 .08 *TOTALS* 5.89 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 8.33 14.215 (iii)
 CALIB
 Area
 (ha)=
 14.62
 Curve Number
 (CN)=
 59.0

 ID=1
 D1=15.0
 min
 Ia
 (mm)=
 9.00
 # of Linear Res.(N)=
 3.00
 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 163.14 84.61 104 25 163.64 163.64 163.64 ----- U.H. Tp(hrs)= .82 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .52 .64 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! Unit Hvd Opeak (cms)= .681 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: PEAK FLOW (cms) = 1.161 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 72.173 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 163.640 CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .441 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALTR Area (ha)= 479.57 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 (1047) NASHYD ID= 1 DT=15.0 min CALIB
 NASHYD
 (1059)
 Area
 (ha)= 487.62
 Curve Number
 (CN)= 71.0

 ID=
 DT=15.0
 ini
 Ia
 (mm)= 9.00
 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00

 ------- U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.17
 2.17
 (1059) U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.73 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 6.710 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 11.800 (i) Unit Hyd Opeak (cms)= 8.583 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 57.584 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 23.751 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.250 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 163.640

Page 77

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 92.548 Mannings n .013 .250 = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 163.640 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .566 216.00 203.46 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= over (min) 15.00 15.00 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.40 (ii) 13.94 (ii) Unit Hvd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .07 *TOTALS* CALTR PEAK FLOW 19.86 36.03 55.894 (iii) (cms)= (ha) = 54.50 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= STANDHYD (2042) Area 6.00 6.00 6.00 |ID= 1 DT=15.0 min | Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 121 42 (mm) = 163 14 107 51 TOTAL RAINFALL 163.64 163.64 163.64 (mm) = IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .66 .74 Surface Area (ha)= 21.80 32.70 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1 00 Length (m) = 602.80 40.00 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .013 .250 Mannings n = Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 216.00 203.46 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. over (min) 15.00 15.00 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Storage Coeff. (min) = 5.52 (ii) 12.06 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .11 .08 *70741.5* CALTR PEAK FLOW 7.82 14.35 22.177 (iii) (1060) Area (ha)= 406.96 Curve Number (CN)= 60.0 Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.16 (cms)= NASHYD ID= 1 DT=15.0 min TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 107.51 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 163.14 121.42 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 163.64 163.64 163.64 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .74 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 13.400 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! PEAK FLOW (cms)= 25.427 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.803 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
 CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 163.640 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .451 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALTR RESERVOIR (9021) STANDHYD (2041) Area (ha)= 82.05 IN= 2---> OUT= 1 Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00 OUTFLOW ID= 1 DT=15.0 min DT= 15.0 min STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) .0000 1.2000 .0000 . 9900 Surface Area (ha)= .0290 .3700 2.7000 1.4200 36.92 45.13 Dep. Storage (mm) = . 50 1.50 .5000 .6900 6.1000 2.1800 1.00 (%)= Average Slope 1.00 40.00 Length (m)= 739.60 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) 89.700 (cms) 35.058 (hrs) 6.00 Mannings n .013 .250 (mm) = INFLOW : ID= 2 (2050) 119.57 208.38 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 216.00 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 14.535 6.25 119.53 over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= 15.00 15.00 6.24 (ii) 12.71 (ii) PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = 41.46 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .08 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 4.1333 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 13.89 19.87 33.759 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 163.14 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 108.18 124.67 RESERVOIR (9022) TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 163.64 163.64 163.64 IN= 2---> OUT= 1 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW 1.00 .66 .76 STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! 0000 0000 .2620 .8805 .0150 .6000 .4710 1.0180 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .1240 .7875 .9610 1.2660 CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. INFLOW : ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 10.480 6.00 116.77 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 1.840 6.50 116.36 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 17.55 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW CALTR (min)= 30.00 STANDHYD (2040) Area (ha)= 145.27 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.7162 Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 TD= 1 DT=15.0 min IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 58.11 87.16 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 ADD HYD (7008) 1.00 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1 + 2 = 3AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. Length (m)= 984.10 40.00 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)

Page 79

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec	2014/Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
ID1= 1 (9022): 24.78 1.840 6.50 116.36 + ID2= 2 (2021): 70.42 27.297 6.00 112.68	OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00
ID = 3 (7008): 95.20 28.072 6.00 113.64 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=***** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 20.5009
ADD HYD (5065) 1 + 2 = 3 (ba) (cms) (brs) (mm) ID1= 1 (7008): 95.20 28.072 6.00 113.64 + ID2= 2 (1044): 443.50 10.352 9.25 56.24 ID = 3 (5065): 538.70 28.519 6.00 66.38 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	IN=2> OUT=1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT=15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 ******* .0010 ******* AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. INFLOW ID = 2 (9246) 54.891 5.334 6.50 69.66 OUTFLOW: ID = 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00
RESERVOIR (9257) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cmm) (ha.m.) (cmm) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 .0990 .7350 .0110 .4435 .1630 .8595 .0550 .6265 .3050 1.0800 AREA OPEAK TYEAK R.V.	Image: Part of Park FLOW (min)=************************************
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2012) 26.450 9.412 6.00 104.25 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9257) 26.450 .795 7.00 103.86 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 8.45 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 60.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.8435	.2480 1.4290 1.4810 2.3855 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2042) 54.500 22.177 6.00 121.42 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9258) 54.500 3.645 6.75 121.32 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 16.43 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 45.00
RESERVOIR (9019) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cmms) (ha.m.) (cmms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 1.0800 .5900 .0000 .2600 1.2200 .7400 .5700 .3500 1.3500 .9300 .9900 .4700 2.8300 .9900	MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 3.9736
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 8.121 6.00 104.25 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 3.917 6.25 92.79	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ba) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 55.894 6.00 121.42 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9020) 145.270 4.424 7.25 121.39
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 48.24 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 15.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.0963	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 7.91 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 75.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 11.9496
ADD HYD (7001) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (9019): 22.70 3.917 6.25 92.79 + ID2= 2 (2011): 40.62 14.215 6.00 104.25 ID = 3 (7001): 63.32 15.467 6.00 100.14	SHIFT HYD (9029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=150.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID= 2 (1060): 406.96 25.43 7.00 73.80 SHIFT ID= 1 (9029): 406.96 25.43 9.50 73.80
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. VESERVOIR (9147)	$ \begin{vmatrix} ADD HYD & (5062) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\$
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 16.452 7.25 55.47	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
	Page 81

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
ADD HYD (7002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.	< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (nm) (m) (m/s)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	INFLOW : ID= 2 (9029) 406.96 25.43 9.50 73.80 .84 1.71 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6019) 406.96 19.83 10.25 73.80 .75 1.59
10 = 3 (7002), $63.77 15.763 0.00 101.23$	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (5064) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ADD HYD (7004) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	ID1= 1 (1045): 170.73 4.780 8.50 56.24 + ID2= 2 (7002): 89.77 15.769 6.00 101.23
IDI=1 (9147): 369.57 .000 .00 .00 + ID2=2 (9248): 54.89 .000 .00 .00	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID = 3 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (9250) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)
ADD HYD (7013)	ID1= 1 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00 + ID2= 2 (1046): 672.95 16.241 9.25 57.58
1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	ID = 3 (9250): 1097.41 16.241 9.25 35.31
ID1=1 (2041): 82.05 33.759 6.00 124.67 + ID2=2 (9020): 145.27 4.424 7.25 121.39	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
1D = 3 (7013): 227.32 36.085 6.00 122.58	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (7014) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ROUTE CHN (6019) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	ID1= 1 (9258): 54.50 3.645 6.75 121.32 + ID2= 2 (7013): 227.32 36.085 6.00 122.58
<> Distance Elevation Manning	ID = 3 (7014): 281.82 37.782 6.00 122.33
.00 241.05 .0800 34.48 278.78 .0800 62.07	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
62.07 280.75 10800 75.86 280.87 0800 110.34 277.13 0800	RESERVOIR (9018)
124.14 276.85 .0800 / .0550 Main Channel 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel 151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0350 Main Channel 213.79 277.31 .0800 .0800	DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE 0.000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .01900 .0000 .0000 14.8100 1.1900 .2700 .1500 .0400 14.3300 1.2700
275.86 278.49 .0800 289.66 279.07 0800	3.2000 1.1100 .0000 .0000
303.45 278.41 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800 <	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (Iba) (cms) (mm) INFLOW: ID=2 (9250) 1097.411 16.241 9.25 35.31 OUTFLOW: ID=1 (9018) 1097.411 16.528 9.25 35.31
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](\$)=101.77 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= .00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.3075
.78 275.28 .7568+05 21.4 1.64 58.99 .98 275.48 .1088+06 35.2 1.89 51.17 1.17 275.67 .1458+06 52.9 2.12 45.70	**** WARNING : HYDROGRAPH PEAK WAS NOT REDUCED. CHECK OUTFLOW/STORAGE TABLE OR REDUCE DT.
1.37 275.87 .187E+U6 74.8 2.33 41.60 1.56 276.06 .233E+06 101.2 2.53 38.37 1.76 276.26 .284E+06 132.2 2.71 35.80 1.95 276.45 .342E+06 160.8 2.73 35.50 2.17 276.67 .421E+06 204.7 2.83 34.30 2.38 276.88 .516E+06 258.7 2.91 33.25 2.60 277.10 .627E+06 323.9 3.00 32.28	ADD HYD (5061) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
2.82 277.32 .754E+06 402.4 3.11 31.21 3.03 277.53 .894E+06 517.5 3.37 28.78	TD = 3 (5061): 769.44 41.349 6.00 103.46
3.25 277.75 1.05E+07 645.6 3.58 27.06 3.47 277.97 1.22E+07 787.1 3.76 25.78 3.68 278.18 1.40E+07 942.2 3.91 24.79	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
3.90 278.40 .160E+07 1110.1 4.03 24.06	

$\label{eq:loss_loss} $$V:\0606\to 160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update I $	Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	$\label{eq:linear} V:\label{linear} V:\$	2014\Uxbridge\
ROUTE CHN (9251) IN= 2> ODT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 278.33 .0800 46.71 277.77 .0800 57.10 277.40 .0800 62.29 276.96 .0800		(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (5064): 260.50 16.099 6.00 71.74 + ID2= 2 (5000): 1576.98 27.637 9.50 42.08 ID = 3 (5001): 1837.48 33.811 9.25 46.29 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
67.48 275.94 .0800 77.86 273.27 .0800 83.05 272.29 .0800 93.43 270.99 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 119.38 270.02 .0350 166.66 273.45 .0350 207.62 273.45 .0350 207.62 274.37 .0800 207.62 274.37 .0800 233.57 275.12 .0800		RESERVOIR (9017) IN= 2> OUTF=1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 2.8300 3.9500 .7100 .6300 4.6700 4.2000 1.1300 1.1400 7.3600 4.8900 1.5600 1.7300 8.7800 4.8500 2.2700 2.8600 35.4000 6.6100 2.2700 2.9600 ******* 8.6500	
<pre><</pre>		AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (5001) 1837.481 33.811 9.25 46.29	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9017) 1837.481 33.714 9.50 46.29 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 99.71 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 15.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 6.5013	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		ADD HYD (9041) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1=1 (5065): 538.70 28.519 6.00 66.38 + 1D2-2 (9017): 1837.48 33.714 9.50 46.29 ID = 3 (9041): 2376.18 45.563 9.25 50.84 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-></pre>		ADD HYD (5002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDl= 1 (2031): 55.98 22.163 6.00 117.07 + ID2= 2 (9041): 2376.18 45.563 9.25 50.84	
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{ADD HYD} & (7016) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ \text{ID1} = 1 & (5061): & 769.44 & 41.349 & 6.00 & 103.46 \\ + & 1D2 = 2 & (6019): & 406.96 & 19.830 & 10.25 & 73.80 \\ \end{array} $		ID = 3 (5002): 2432.16 52.090 6.00 52.37 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
ID = 3 (7016): 1176.40 43.235 10.00 93.20 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		IN= 2> 0UT= 1 SHIFT= 60.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID= 2 (5002): 2432.16 52.09 6.00 52.37 SHIFT ID= 1 (9040): 2432.15 52.09 7.00 52.37	
ADD HYD (5000) ADD HYD (5000) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ma) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1= 1 (1047): 479.57 11.800 9.25 57.58 + TD2= 2 (9251): 1097.41 15.985 9.75 35.31 TD = 3 (5000): 1576.98 27.637 9.50 42.08 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		ROUTE CHN (6029) Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 30.80 273.73 .0800 51.30 51.30 270.17 .0800 61.60 .02.70 265.82 .030.80 0.000 .030.80 265.02 .030.80 Main Channel	
ADD HYD (5501) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.		123.20 261.00 .0350 Main Channel 128.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel 154.00 264.62 .0350 Main Channel 174.60 266.82 .0800	
	Page 85		Page 86

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update	Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
205.40 268.07 .0800 236.20 268.74 .0800 282.40 271.31 .0800 302.90 272.11 .0800 348.90 274.45 .0800 <		153.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel 187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel 198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel 249.80 254.00 .0800 334.90 255.77 .0800 414.40 260.24 .0800 455.50 260.75 .0800 514.40 261.48 .0800
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
ADD HYD (5003) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. I + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. IDI= 1 (6029): 2432.16 46.186 10.50 52.37 IDI= 1 (6029): 2432.16 46.186 10.50 52.37 IDI= 1 (5023): 14.62 1.161 6.75 72.17 IDI = 3 (5003): 2446.78 46.334 10.50 52.49 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		<pre></pre>
ADD HYD (5004) 1 + 2 = 3 ID1= 1 (5003): 2446.78 46.334 10.50 52.49 + ID2= 2 (7016): 1176.40 43.235 10.00 93.20 ID = 3 (5004): 3623.18 88.924 10.25 65.71 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		ID = 3 (5005): 4322.96 88.506 12.75 70.36 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
SHIFT HYD (9015) IN= 2> 00T= 1 SHIFT=120.0 min AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.		
ROUTE CEN (6031) N= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 260.30 .0800 34.10 260.43 .0800 62.40 259.79 .0800 79.50 255.72 .0800 113.50 254.00 .0800		
	Page 87	Page 88

SWM Pond Storage Requirements VO2 Schematic



V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ _____ Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* 1.36 .68 1.792 (iii) v V I SSSSS U U А PEAK FLOW (cms)= v V I SS U U A A L SS U U AAAAA L TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6 00 v v RUNOFF VOLUME 59.95 27.45 (mm) = 16.62 v v I SS U A A TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.45 60.45 60.45 vv Т SSSSS UUUUU A A LLLLL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .99 .27 .45 = 000 TTTTT TTTTT H Н Ү Ү М м 000 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! T H H Y MM MM O O T H H Y MM MM O O T H H Y M M OOO T H H Y M M OOO 0 0 Т Т (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL 0 0 000 (Above) THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. Developed and Distributed by Clarifica Inc. Copyright 1996, 2007 Clarifica Inc. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. All rights reserved. ***** DETAILED OUTPUT ***** CALIB STANDHYD (2042) Area (ha)= 54.50 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 Input filename: C:\Program Files (x86)\Visual OTTHYMO 2.3.3\voin.dat filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Output Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Pond Evalua Surface Area (ha)= 21.80 32.70 Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update (mm) = .50 1.50 Dep. Storage Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Pond Evalua Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 DATE: 12/12/2014 TIME: 10:35:21 AM Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 46.60 USER: over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.22 (ii) 20.01 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 15.00 30 00 .10 .05 COMMENTS: *TOTALS* 2.69 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.15 4.075 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 (mm) = RUNOFE VOLUME 59 95 24.68 33 50 TOTAL RAINFALL 60.45 (mm) = 60.45 60.45 ***** RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .41 .55 Current 5-Year Storm ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 2 ** ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL MASS STORM \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst Ptotal = 60.45 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Mass curve time step = 15.00 min TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN RESERVOIR (9256) hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr IN= 2---> OUT= 1 . 25 1.33 3.25 2.42 6.25 10.88 9.25 1.84 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .50 3.50 2.42 6.50 10.88 9.50 (ha.m.) 1.40 1.69 (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) 6.75 . 75 1.43 3.75 2.42 5.75 9.75 1.62 .0000 .0000 .0990 .7350 1.00 1.47 4.00 2.42 7.00 3.92 10.00 1.64 .0110 .4435 .1630 .8595 1.55 1.25 4.25 3.34 7.25 3.63 10.25 1.60 .0550 .6265 .3050 1.0800 3.92 7.50 1.50 4.50 3.63 10.50 1.50 1.75 1.62 4.75 4.38 7.75 3.63 10.75 1.38 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. 2.00 1.69 5.00 5.30 8.00 3.63 11.00 1.28 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2012) 5.25 7.25 8.25 26.450 2.25 1.69 3.10 11.25 1.14 1.792 6.00 27.45 2.50 1.69 5.50 7.25 8.50 2.66 11.50 1.04 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9256) 26.450 .055 11.25 27.06 2 75 1 81 5 75 29 02 8 75 2 32 11 75 92 3.00 2.06 6.00 79.79 9.00 2.06 12.00 .82 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 3.06 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=315.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .6262 CALIB STANDHYD (2012) Area (ha)= 26.45 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min RESERVOIR (9258) IN= 2---> OUT= 1 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) OUTFLOW OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min STORAGE Surface Area (ha)= 10.58 15.87 (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) Dep. Storage .0000 .4730 (mm) = .50 2.50 .0000 1.6365 1.00 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 .0490 1.8915 Length (m)= 419.90 40.00 .2480 1.4290 1.4810 2.3855 Mannings n .013 .250 TPEAK AREA OPEAK R.V. 79.79 30.97 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) over (min) 15.00 30.00 INFLOW : ID = 2 (2042)54.500 4.075 6.00 33.50 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9258) Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.61 (ii) 20.50 (ii) 54.500 .248 9.00 33.39

Page 1

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update I	Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 6.08 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=180.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.4289 ***SIMULATION NUMBER: 5 MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrScS.mst Pcotal=104.07 mm Comments: SCE 24 HR MASS CURVE		Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.84 5.60 8.616 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 56.93 68.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .55 .66 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Mass curve time step = 15.00 min TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .25 2.29 3.25 4.16 6.25 18.73 9.25 3.16		(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
3.00 3.54 6.00 137.37 9.00 3.54 12.00 1.42		PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 6.28 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=135.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.0799
Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 419.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 78.85 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.32 (ii) 14.88 (ii) Unit Hvd Theak (min)= 15.00		.0000 .0000 .4730 1.6365 .0490 1.0690 .7910 1.8915 .2480 1.4290 1.4810 2.3855 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2042) 54.500 8.616 6.00 68.59 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9258) 54.500 1.479 7.00 68.49
Diff Hyd. peak (mm)+ 13.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 11 .07 *TOTALS* * PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.42 2.43 4.852 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 41.80 57.25 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .40 .55		PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 17.17 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 60.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 2.3855
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>		
CALIB STANDHYD (2042) Area (ha)= 54.50 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 2180 22.70		
Surface Area (im) = 21.00 52.70 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 137.37 108.14		
over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.61 (ii) 15.03 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00	Page 3	Daria

$\frac{1}{10}$	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{SS} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{SS} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{SS} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{SS} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} A$		Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.25 3.11 5.290 (jij)
<pre>000 TTTT TTTT H H Y Y M M 000 0 0 T T H H Y Y M M 0 0 0 0 T T H H Y Y M M 0 0 000 T T H H Y M M 0 0 000 T T H H Y M M 000 Developed and Distributed by Clarifica Inc. Copyright 1996, 2007 Clarifica Inc. All rights reserved.</pre>	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Copyright 1996, 2007 Clarifica Inc. All rights reserved. ***** D E T A I L E D O U T P U T ***** Input filename: C:\Program Files (x86)\Visual OTTHYMO 2.3.3\voin.dat Output filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Pond Evalua Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Pond Evalua Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Pond Evalua Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Pond Evalua	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.</pre>
***** DETAILED OUTPUT***** CALIB Input filename: C:\Program Files (x86)\Visual OTTHYMO 2.3.3\voin.dat STANDHYD (2125) Output filename: V:\0160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Mere (ha) = 26.45 Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Pond Evalua TMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Pond Evalua Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Pond Evalua Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Sumface Area (ha) = 10.58 15.87 Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Pond Evalua Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Mera (ha) = 419.90 40.00	Copyright 1996, 2007 Clarifica Inc. All rights reserved.	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Output filename: IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Dec 2014/Uxbridge/Uxbridge Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Surface Area (ha)= 10.58 15.87 Dec 2014\Uxbridge Diel Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Dec 2014\Uxbridge Average Slope (h)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 419.90 40.00	***** DETAILED OUTPUT ***** Input filename: C:\Program Files (x86)\Visual OTTHYMO 2.3.3\voin.dat	CALIB CALIB STANDHYD (2125) Area (ha)= 26.45 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Mannings n = .013 .250	Output filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Pond Evalua Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Pond Evalua	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
DATE: 12/12/2014 TIME: 10:43:22 AM USER: USER: USER: USER: DATE: 10:43:22 AM Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 97.61 44.24 Over (min) 15.00 30.00 StorageCoeff. (min)= 6.10 (ii) 18.14 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (mn)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Dpeak (mn)= 10.05	DATE: 12/12/2014 TIME: 10:43:22 AM USER:	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 97.61 44.24 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.10 (ii) 18.14 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05
COMMENTS: *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.69 1.03 2.346 (ii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00	COMMENTS:	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$
** SIMULATION NUMBER: 6 ** 2050 5-Year Storm ************************************	SIMULATION NUMBER: 6 2050 5-Year Storm	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans (1) CN PROCEDURE SLECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans CN* = 68.0 I a = Dep. Storage (Above) MASS STORM Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE (ii) TIME STEP CORFICIENT. Outration of storm = 12.00 brs (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst Ptotal= 73.95 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE	 (i) ON PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Distriction 5 bond min	Mass curve time step = 15.00 min TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cmma) (ha.m.) (cmma) (ha.m.) .0000 1.4810 3.7149 .2480 1.8790 .0000 .0000
1.50 1.95 4.50 4.79 7.50 4.44 10.50 1.83 1.50 1.98 4.75 5.35 7.75 4.44 10.50 1.69 (ha) (ha) (mm) 1.75 1.98 4.75 5.35 7.75 4.44 10.00 1.57 (ha) (ha) (mm) 2.00 2.07 5.25 8.87 8.25 3.79 11.25 1.39 (DTFLOW: ID= 1 (9262) 54.500 .248 9.75 43.81 2.50 2.07 5.55 8.87 8.50 3.25 11.50 1.27 (DTFLOW: ID= 1 (9262) 54.500 .248 9.75 43.81		REEA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2425) 54.500 5.390 6.00 43.85 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9262) 54.500 .248 9.75 43.81
2.75 2.22 5.75 35.50 8.75 2.84 11.75 1.12 3.00 2.51 6.00 97.61 9.00 2.51 12.00 1.01 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=	2.75 2.22 5.75 35.50 8.75 2.84 11.75 1.12 3.00 2.51 6.00 97.61 9.00 2.51 12.00 1.01	PEAK FLOW NEDUCTION [Qout/Quin](%) = 4.60 TIME SHFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=225.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.8789
CALLE Area (ha)= 54.50 STANDHYD (2425) Area (ha)= 54.50 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Img(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 ID= DT=15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE INPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) IN=	CALLE Area (ha)= 54.50 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Img(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 21.80 32.70 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00	RESERVOIR (9260) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE Cmms) (cmms) 0.0000 .3050 .0550 .8335
Length (m) = 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = 0.13 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 97.61 64.37 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.58 (ii) 17.94 (ii)	Length (m) = 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Bff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 97.61 64.37 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.58 (ii) 17.94 (ii)	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2125) 26.450 2.346 6.00 36.08 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9260) 26.450 .055 12.00 35.94 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 2.34

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update	Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
Y:\01006\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plane\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Ukbridge-update TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOY MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (hr.m.)= .8335 Image: Store intermediate intermediat	Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	<pre>Viviation is not start in the interview is not start in the start is not start interview is not start intervi</pre>
RUNOPF VOLUME (mm)= 140.58 87.79 100.99 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 141.08 141.08 141.08 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .62 .72 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SNOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.		PINISH
CALIE Area (ha)= 26.45 STANDHYD (2125) Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 ID=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 10.58 15.07 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 419.90 40.00 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 186.23 128.07 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 4.71 (ii) 12.58 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .11 .08		
	Page 3	Page 4

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05
V V I SSSS U U A L V V I SS U U A A L V V I SS U U AAAAA L V V I SS U U AAAAA L V V I SS U A A L VV I SSSS UUUUU A A LLLLL	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.80 3.82 6.324 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.52 40.62 51.09 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.02 83.02 83.02 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .49 .62
OOO TTTT T H Y M OOO O O T T H H Y MM MM O O O T T H H Y M M O O O T T H H Y M M O OOO T T H H Y M M OOO Developed and Distributed by Clarifica Inc. Copyright 1996, 2007 Clarifica Inc. Copyright 1996, 2007 Clarifica Inc. Copyright 1996, 2007 Clarifica Inc.	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>
All rights reserved.	CALIB
Input filename: C:\Program Files (x86)\Visual OTTHYMO 2.3.3\voin.dat Output filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Pond Evalua Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Pond Evalua	ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 10.58 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 419.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
DATE: 12/12/2014 TIME: 10:44:22 AM USER:	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.59 54.01 over(min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff.(min)= 5.83 (ii) 16.94 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak(min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05
COMMENTS:	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.91 1.29 2.741 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.52 28.77 42.21 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.02 83.02 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .35 .51
MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Mass curve time step = 15.00 min	<pre>CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>
TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .25 1.83 3.25 3.32 6.25 14.94 9.25 2.52 .50 1.93 3.50 3.32 6.50 14.94 9.50 2.32 .75 1.96 3.75 3.32 6.75 7.90 9.75 2.22 1.00 2.03 4.00 3.32 7.00 5.38 10.00 2.26 1.25 2.13 4.25 4.58 7.25 4.98 10.25 2.19	RESERVOIR (9266) OUTFLOW STORAGE IN= 2> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 1.4810 4.6110 .2480 2.2595 .0000 .0000
	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2428) 54.500 6.324 6.00 51.09 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9266) 54.500 .248 10.75 51.05 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 3.92
3.00 2.82 6.00 109.59 9.00 2.82 12.00 1.13	TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=285.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 2.2591
STANDHYD (2428) Area (ha)= 54.50 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 21.80 32.70 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00	RESERVOIR (9264) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 (.3050 2.1895 .0550 .9965 .0000 .0000
Length (m)= 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.59 77.04 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.24 (ii) 16.88 (ii)	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2128) 26.450 2.741 6.00 42.21 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9264) 26.450 .055 12.00 42.03 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 2.01

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-updat	e Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=360.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .9961		PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.88 5.53 9.412 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 163.14 84.61 104.25 TOTOLE PAINTNELL (mm)= 163.64 163.64
2080 100-Year Storm		RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .52 .64
MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst Comments: SCS 24 HH MASS CURVE Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs		 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 La = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		RESERVOIR (9266) IN=2> OUT=1 DT= 15.0 min .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .2480 2.2595 .0000 .0000 AREA QPEAK INFLOW: ID= 2 (2428) 54.500 22.177 6.00 121.42 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9266) 54.500 1.480 7.25 121.37 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 6.67 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW MAXIMUM <storage< td=""> Use (min)= 75.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE Use (min)= 4.5108</storage<>
CALIB STANDHYD (2428) JD=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (1) Surface Area (ha) = 21.80 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 No Average Slope (%) = 1.00 Nax.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 216.00 203.46 over (min) 013 Storage Coeff. (min) = 5.52 (ii) 12.06 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .11 08 PEPAR FLOW PEDAK FLOW RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 163.14 107.51 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 163.44 163.64 163.64 163.64		RESERVOIR (9264) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE 0.0000 .0000 .0017ELOW: ID= 2 (2128) 26.450 9.412 .0017ELOW: ID= 1 (9264) 26.450 .305 .0017ELOW: ID= 1 (9264) .305 .0016 .0014.25 .0017ELOW: ID= 1 (9264) .305 .0016 .0014.25 .0017ELOW: ID= 1 (9264) .305 .0016 <td< td=""></td<>
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (N* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>		
CALIB		
Length (m)= 419.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 216.00 160.56 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min]= 4.44 (ii) 11.63 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (mn)= 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .11 .08		
TOTALS	Page 3	Page 4

F.2 FUTURE CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING



Future Conditions VO2 Schematic (Do Nothing)



V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ _____ v V I SSSSS U U A CALIB v v I SS U U A A L SS U U AAAAA L STANDHYD (2050) Area (ha)= 89.70 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 v v ID= 1 DT=15.0 min v v I SS U A A SSSSS UUUUU A A LLLLL IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) vv т Surface Area (ha)= 35.88 53.82 000 TTTTT TTTTT H Н Ү Ү М М 000 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 T T H H Y MM MM 0 0 T T H H Y MM MM 0 0 T T H H Y M M 0 0 T T H H Y M M 000 0 0 Average Slope (%) = 1 00 1 00 0 0 773.30 40.00 Length (m) = 000 Mannings n .250 .013 15.59 Developed and Distributed by Clarifica Inc. Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57.68 Copyright 1996, 2007 Clarifica Inc. over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 10.86 (ii) 29.14 (ii) All rights reserved. 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .08 .04 ***** DETAILED OUTPUT ***** *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.96 1.59 3.942 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 Input filename: C:\Program Files (x86)\Visual OTTHYMO 2.3.3\voin.dat RUNOFF VOLUME 43.20 13.50 20.93 (mm) = Output filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.70 43.70 43.70 Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Future No S RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .31 Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Future No S ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL DATE: 12/12/2014 TIME: 11:01:21 AM THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. USER: (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. COMMENTS: CALTR STANDHYD (2031) Area (ha)= 55.98 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 ***** IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 1 ** 2-Year Storm 25.19 2.50 Surface Area (ha)= 30.79 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 610.90 40 00 Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans MASS STORM Mannings n = .013 .250 \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst 57.68 Ptotal = 43.70 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 14.07 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Storage Coeff. (min)= 9.43 (ii) 28.47 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= Mass curve time step = 15.00 min 15.00 30.00 .09 .04 TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW 2.70 .68 3.117 (iii) hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr (cms)= . 25 .96 3.25 1.75 6.25 7.87 9.25 1.33 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 .50 1.01 3.50 1.75 6.50 7.87 9.50 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 43.20 10.73 22.09 1.22 6.75 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = . 75 1.03 3.75 1.75 4.16 9.75 1.17 43.70 43.70 43.70 1.00 1.07 4.00 1.75 7.00 2.83 10.00 1.19 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .25 7.25 1.15 1.25 1.12 4.25 2.41 2.62 10.25 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! 1.50 1.15 4.50 2.83 2.62 10.50 1.75 1.17 4.75 3.16 7.75 2.62 10.75 1.00 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: 2.00 1.22 5.00 3.83 8.00 2.62 11.00 .93 5.25 8.25 CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL 2.25 1.22 5.24 2.24 11.25 .82 2.50 1.22 5.50 5.24 8.50 1.92 11.50 .75 2 75 1 31 5 75 20 98 8 75 1 68 11 75 66 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT 3.00 1.49 6.00 57.68 9.00 1.49 12.00 .59 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB CALIB Area (ha)= 610.08 Curve Number (CN)= 70.0 Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.46 (1032) STANDHYD (2020) Area (ha)= 24.78 NASHYD ID= 1 DT=15.0 min ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Unit Hyd Opeak (cms)= 9.472 Surface Area (ha)= 14.87 9.91 Dep. Storage (mm) = 10.00 2.50 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.298 (i) Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.000 Length (m)= 406.40 40.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 8.387 Mannings n .013 .250 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 57.68 14.61 .192 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= over (min) 15.00 30.00 7.38 (ii) 26.14 (ii) (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Storage Coeff. (min)=

Page 1

Page 2

.48

.51

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .04	
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.45 .29 1.627 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 33.70 10.73 19.92 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70 PUNNEF COPPERTICENT = 77 25 46	CALIB
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Intractions} & \text{Fractions} & \text{Fractions} & \text{Intractions} \\ \text{Surface Area} & (ha) = & 10.58 & 15.87 \\ \text{Dep. Storage} & (mm) = & .50 & 2.50 \\ \text{Area reas Slapes} & (S) = & 1.00 & 1.00 \\ \end{array}$
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL 	Metage loge $(*)^{-1}$ 1.00 Length $(m) =$ 419.90 Mannings n = .013 .250
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	war.bit.initen; (uni/ni.) 37.00 10.30 over (uni) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (unin)= 7.53 (ii) 22.06 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (unin)= 15.00 30.00
CALIB Area (ha)= 70.42 STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 JD= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .04 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= .96 .31 1.153 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 43.20 9.21 17.71 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .21 .41
Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: Discontinue (selected for pervious (selected)) </pre>
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57.68 13.62 over(min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff.(min)= 10.10 (ii) 29.39 (ii) Unit Hyd.Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd.Tpeak (cms)= .09 .04	 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
*TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.32 .82 3.818 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 33.70 10.40 18.56 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT - 77 .24 .42	CALIB
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOLLE DE SMALLER OR FOLIAL.</pre>	Surface Area (ha)= 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 389.00 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57.68 10.35 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.19 (ii) 28.72 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .04
CALLB NASHYD (1044) Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.83 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 5.986	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .83 .27 .999 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 43.20 9.21 17.71 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT 99 21 .41
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .163 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 12.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = .950 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .022	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.</pre>
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(iii) peak flow does not include baseflow if any.
CALIE Area (ha)= 170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.22	CALIB Area (ha)= 40.62 ID= DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .069 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 11.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= .950 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .022	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	over (min) 15.00 45.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.56 (ii) 30.09 (ii)

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 45.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .03 *TOTALS*	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAR FLOW (Cmms)= 1.4.5 1.41 1.615 (111) TIME TO PEAR (hrs)= 6.00 6.50 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 43.20 9.21 17.71 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .99 .21 .41	CALIB Area (ha)= 14.62 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .82
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .681
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .083 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.750 RUNOFY VOLUME (mm) = 5.698 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .130
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB Naca (ha)= 479.57 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.73 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 6.710	CALIB CALIB NASHYD (1059) Area (ha)= 487.62 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 ID= 1DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.17
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .186 (i)	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 8.583
INNOFF VOLUME (mm) = .987 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .023 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.081 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 8.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 8.697 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .199
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB CALIB NASHYD (9146) Area (ha)= 369.57 Curve Number (CN)= 55.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	CALIB CALIB STANDHYD (2042) Area (ha)= 54.50 D= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .306 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 8.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 1.544 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .035	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 21.80 32.70 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57.68 26.99 over(min) 15.00 30.00
CALIE Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 NASHYD (9246) Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 Their line or the colspan="2">	Storage Coeff. (min) = 9.35 (ii) 24.03 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .09 .04 *TOTALS* .09 .04 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 1.88 1.14 2.597 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 43.20 14.39 21.59
DILL HYU QDEAK (Clus) - 5.424	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .33 .49
TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 7.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 2.245 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .051	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL</pre>
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB NASHYD (1046) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.180 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.59 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 12.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= .987 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .023	(111) PEAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE HASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB Area (ha)= 82.05 STANDEYD (2041) Area (ha)= 82.05 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00

Page 5

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 10.58 (ii) 25.07 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .09 .04 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 3.27 1.59 4.273 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 43.20 14.58 23.16 TOTALS * .00 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .33 .53	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
CALIE Area (ha) = 145.27 STANDHYD (2040) Area (ha) = 145.27 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 58.11 87.16 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 984.10 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57.68 26.99 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 12.55 (ii) 27.22 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .08 .04 *TOTALS*	2.750 1.31 5.750 20.98 8.750 1.68 11.75 .66 2.833 1.49 5.833 57.68 8.833 1.49 11.83 .59 2.917 1.49 5.917 57.68 8.917 1.49 11.92 .59 3.000 1.49 6.000 57.68 9.000 1.49 12.00 .59 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .398
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.55 2.85 6.339 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 43.20 14.39 21.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .33 .49	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .010 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 11.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = .949 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .022
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY</pre>	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. RESERVOIR (9021) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE
CALIB NASHYD (1060) Area (ha)= 406.96 Curve Number (CN)= 60.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 	.0290 .3700 2.7000 1.4200 .5000 .5000 6.1000 2.1800 AREA QPEAK R.V. INFLOW : ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 3.942 6.00 20.93 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 1.21 6.75 20.89
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.841 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 5.901 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .135	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 28.44 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 45.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .9644
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	RESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .00800 .0100 .4725 .1300 .9815
<pre>ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.38 NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP.</pre>	.0450 .7030 .2380 1.2455 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (nmm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 1.627 6.00 19.92 OUTFFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .010 12.25 19.43
TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .083 .96 3.083 1.75 6.083 7.87 9.08 1.33	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .61 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=375.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .4723

<pre>\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2</pre>	2014/Uxbridge\V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbrid
	.0000 ******* .0010 ******* AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
HYD (7008) AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. L + 2 = 3 AREA (cms) (hrs) (mm)	(ha) (rms) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 .088 7.00 2.24 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .1232
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
HYD (5065) HYD (5065) 12 - 2 NDEN ODENK THENK B.V.	IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) .0000 .0000 1.7200 5.0000 .2000 2.0000 0.5000 7.0000
T 2 = 3 ARAB QFDAR IFDAR R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1=1 (7008): 95.20 3.821 6.00 18.79 + ID2=2 (1044): 443.50 .163 12.00 .95	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 6.339 6.00 21.59
ID = 3 (5065): 538.70 3.821 6.00 4.10 OTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9020) 145.270 .198 12.00 21.57 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Oout/Oin](%)= 3.12
	TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=360.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 2.7014
ERVOIR (9019) 2> OUT= 1 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 1.0800 .5900 .0000 .2600 1.2200 .7400 .5700 .3500 1.3500 .9300	SHIFT HYD (9029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=150.0 min AREA QPEAK TID= 2 (1060): 406.96 1.84 7.25 5.90
.9900 .4700 2.8300 .9900 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (mm)	SHIFT ID= 1 (9029): 406.96 1.84 9.75 5.90
INFLOW : ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 .999 6.00 17.71 DUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 .130 7.25 6.25 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Oout /Oin](\$)= 12.98	$\begin{vmatrix} ADD HYD (5062) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \end{vmatrix}$ AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. $(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)$
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 75.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .2806	ID1= 1 (1032): 610.08 2.298 9.00 8.39 + ID2= 2 (9021): 89.70 1.121 6.75 20.89
	ID = 3 (5062): 699.78 2.917 8.50 9.99 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
HYD (7001) $+ 2 = 3$ AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	
ID= 2 (2011); 40.02 1.033 0.00 17.71 ID= 3 (7001): 63.32 1.613 6.00 13.60	$ \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ - & & & & \\ 1 & DI = 1 (2012): 26.45 & 1.153 & 6.00 & 17.71 \\ \end{vmatrix} $
OTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	+ ID2= 2 (7001): 63.32 1.613 6.00 13.60 ID = 3 (7002): 89.77 2.767 6.00 14.81
ERVOIR (9147) 2> OUT= 1 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE 	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	$\begin{vmatrix} ADD HYD (7004) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \end{vmatrix}$ AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. $(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)$ $VP = 1 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 +$
NELOW : 1D= 2 (9140) 369.570 .305 8.50 1.54 UTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
TIME SHEET OF PEAK FLOW (min)=***** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .5705	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
TRVOIR (9248) 2> OUT= 1 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE 000000000000000000000000000000000000	ADD HYD (7013) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)
	Page 9

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
ID1= 1 (2041): 82.05 4.273 6.00 23.16 + ID2= 2 (9020): 145.27 .198 12.00 21.57	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID = 3 (7013): 227.32 4.338 6.00 22.15	
NOIL PERK FLOWS DO NOI INCLUDE ERSEFLOWS IF ANI.	ADD HYD (7014) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ROUTE CHN (6019) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	$\begin{array}{cccc} & (ha) & (cms) & (hrs) & (mm) \\ 1D1 = 1 & (2042) : 54, 50 & 2, 597 & 6, 00 & 21, 59 \\ + 1D2 = 2 & (7013) : & 227, 32 & 4, 338 & 6, 00 & 22, 15 \\ \end{array}$
< DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning	ID = 3 (7014): 281.82 6.935 6.00 22.04
.00 281.05 .0800 34.48 278.78 .0800	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
b2.07 280.75 .0800 75.86 280.87 .0800 110.34 277.13 .0800 124.14 276.45 .0800 / .0350 137.93 274.50 .0350 151.72 274.76 .0350 172.41 276.25 .0350 213.79 277.31 .0800 255.17 278.25 .0800 275.86 278.49 .0800 303.45 278.40 .0800	RESERVOIR (9018) IN=2> OUTF 1 DT=15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE
<>	INFLOW : ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 .259 12.00 .61 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 .173 14.50 .60
DEPTH ELEV VOLDME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME (m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min) .20 274.70 .665E+04 .6 .56 172.84 .39 274.89 .247E+05 4.2 1.00 97.27 .59 275.09 .478E+05 11.1 1.35 71.58 .78 275.28 .756E+05 21.4 1.64 58.99	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 66.98 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=150.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .2640
.98275.48.108E+0635.21.8951.171.17275.67.145E+0652.92.1245.701.37275.87.187E+0674.82.3341.601.56276.26.238E+06132.22.7135.801.76276.26.248E+06132.22.7135.801.95276.45.342E+06160.82.7335.502.17276.67.421E+06258.72.9133.252.60277.10.627E+06323.93.0032.282.82277.32.754E+06402.43.1131.213.03277.53.894E+06517.53.3728.783.2527.70.122E+07787.13.7625.783.68278.48.108E+07942.23.9124.79	ADD HYD (5061) 1 + 2 = 3 ID1= 1 (1059): 487.62 2.081 8.50 8.70 + ID2= 2 (7014): 281.82 6.935 6.00 22.04 ID1 = 3 (5061): 769.44 7.062 6.00 13.58 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
3.90 278.40 .160E+07 1110.1 4.03 24.06	ROUTE CHN (9251) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00
<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL</pre>	C DATA FOR SECTION (1.0) > Distance Elevation Manning .00 278.33 .0800 46.71 277.77 .0800 57.10 277.40 .0800 62.29 276.96 .0800 67.48 275.94 .0800
ADD HYD (5064) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (1045): 170.73 .069 11.25 .95 + ID2= 2 (7002): 89.77 2.767 6.00 14.81 ID = 3 (5064): 260.50 2.767 6.00 5.73	77.86 273.27 .0800 83.05 272.29 .0800 93.43 270.99 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 119.38 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 150.53 271.36 .0800 Main Channel 266.86 273.45 .0800 207.62 274.37 233.57 275.12 .0800 247.79 275.41 .0800
NOID, FERK FLUNS DO NOI INCLUDE DESEFLUNS IF ANI.	< TRAVEL TIME TABLE> DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME
ADD HYD (9250) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
--	--
2.55 272.57 .294E+06 398.1 2.84 12.31 2.84 272.86 .350E+06 501.8 3.01 11.61 3.12 273.14 .409E+06 617.0 3.17 11.05 3.40 273.42 .472E+06 744.3 3.31 10.58 3.69 273.71 .539E+06 882.9 3.44 10.18 3.97 273.99 .611E+06 1033.6 3.55 9.85 4.26 274.28 .687E+06 1370.2 3.75 9.35 4.82 274.48 .856E+06 1556.4 3.82 9.16 5.11 275.13 .950E+06 157.0 3.89 9.01 5.39 275.41 .105E+07 1967.6 3.93 8.91	ADD HYD (9041) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDL= 1 (5065): 538.70 3.821 6.00 4.10 + ID2= 2 (9017): 1837.48 .617 7.25 1.43 ID = 3 (9041): 2376.18 4.146 6.00 2.04 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9018) 1097.41 .17 14.50 .60 .01 .81 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9251) 1097.41 .17 15.25 .60 .01 .81</pre>	ADD HYD (5002) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ADD HYD (7016) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	ID = 3 (5002): 2432.16 7.262 6.00 2.50 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID1= 1 (5061): 769.44 7.062 6.00 13.58 + ID2= 2 (6019): 406.96 .942 11.50 5.90 ID = 3 (7016): 1176.40 7.062 6.00 10.93 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	SHIFT HYD (9040) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT= 60.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ADD HYD (5000) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (1047): 4 179.57 1.86 12.00 .99 + ID2= 2 (9251): 1097.41 .170 15.25 .60 ID = 3 (5000): 1576.98 .312 13.00 ID = 3 (5000): 1576.98 ID = 3 (5000): 1576.98 IS Colspan="2">IS Colspan="2" ID = 3 (5000): 1576.98 .312 13.00 .72 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ROUTE CHN (6029) Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00
ADD HYD (5001) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	123 20 261.00 .0350 Main Channel 128.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel 154.00 264.62 .0350 Main Channel 174.60 266.82 .0800 205.40 268.74 .0800 282.40 271.31 .0800 302.90 272.11 .0800 348.90 274.45 .0800
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$\begin{array}{c} \hline & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ &$
MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .5485	<pre></pre>

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 201	14\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6029) 2432.16 4.34 7.25 2.50 .53 1.14		<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-></pre>
ADD HYD (5003) AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 AREA (cms) (hrs) (mm)		OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6031) 3623.18 4.18 11.25 5.25 .81 .63
ID = 3 (5003): 2446.78 4.408 7.25 2.52		ADD HYD (5005) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
		ID = 3 (5005): 4322.96 6.538 10.25 6.01
ADD HYD (5004) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.		NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID1= 1 (5003): 2446.78 4.408 7.25 2.52 + ID2= 2 (7016): 1176.40 7.062 6.00 10.93		** SIMULATION NUMBER: 2 ** 5-Year Storm
ID = 3 (5004): 3623.18 7.351 6.00 5.25		
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		MASS STORM Filename: V:(01606\Active\16062177\SWM Master Plans \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst Ptotal= 60.45 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE
SHIFT HVD (9015) IN= 2> OUT= 1		Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Mass curve time step = 15.00 min
SHIFT-120.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.		TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .25 1.33 3.25 2.42 6.25 10.88 9.25 1.84 .50 1.40 3.50 2.42 6.50 10.88 9.50 1.69 .75 1.43 3.75 2.42 6.75 5.75 9.75 1.62
ROUTE CHN (6031) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00		$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Distance Elevation Manning .00 260.30 .0800 .34.10 260.43 .0800 .62.40 259.79 .0800 .79.50 255.72 .0800		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
113.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel 167.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel 187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel 198.70 251.88 .03550 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .03550 Main Channel 249.80 254.00 .0800		CALIB NASHYD (1032) Area (ha)= 610.08 Curve Number (CN)= 70.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.46
334.90 255.77 .0800 351.90 256.37 .0800		Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.472
414.40 200.24 .0000 465.50 260.75 .0800 514.40 261.48 .0800 < TRAVEL TIME TABLE>		PEAK FLOW (cms) = 4.618 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 16.513 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.450
DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME (m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min) .36 252.24 .596E+04 .4 .39 223.90		RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .273 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
.73 252.61 .238E+05 2.8 .61 141.05 1.09 252.97 .619E+05 8.2 .69 126.14		
1.45 255.33 1.51E+06 18.8 .65 134.06 1.91 253.79 .383E+06 68.4 .93 93.14 2.38 254.26 .713E+06 157.3 1.15 75.58 2.84 254.72 .112E+07 287.3 1.33 65.13		
3.31 255.19 .161E+07 454.2 1.47 59.01 3.77 255.65 .217E+07 659.0 1.58 54.87 4.24 256.12 .279E+07 908.7 1.69 51.25		IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 35.88 53.82
4.70 256.58 .346E+07 1199.1 1.80 48.03 5.17 257.05 .414E+07 1527.9 1.92 45.17 5.63 257.51 .465E+07 1892.4 2.03 42.71		Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 773.30 40.00
6.10 257.98 .558E+07 2291.8 2.13 40.59 6.56 258.44 .634E+07 2725.7 2.23 38.74		Mannings n = .013 .250
7.03 258.91 .711E+07 3193.6 2.33 37.12 7.49 259.37 .791E+07 3695.3 2.43 35.69 7.96 259.84 .874E+07 4221.9 2.51 34.48 8.42 260.30 .961E+07 4697.6 2.54 34.09		Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 44.49 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 9.54 (ii) 21.55 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00
	Page 15	Page

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05 *TOTALS*	
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.26 3.26 6.334 (iii)	STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42
TIME TO PEAK $(hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00$	ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 50.45 60.45 60.45	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .39 .54	Surface Area (ha) = 38.73 31.69
***** WADNING. STODACE COPPE IS SMALLED TUAN TIME STEDI	Dep. Storage $(mm) = 10.00 2.50$
WARKING SIGRAGE COEFT. IS SPALLER THAN TIPE SIZE.	Length $(m) = 685.20$ 40.00
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	Mannings n = .013 .250
CN* = /0.0 la = Dep. Storage (ADOVe) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 40.05
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	over (min) 15.00 30.00
(11) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.87 (11) 21.40 (11) Unit Hvd. Toeak (min)= 15.00 30.00
	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05
	TOTALS
STANDHYD (2031) Area (ha)= 55.98	TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00
ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 50.45 18.53 29.70
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	TUTAL KAINFALL (mm) = 50.45 50.45 50.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .83 .31 .49
Surface Area (ha)= 30.79 25.19	
Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
Length (m)= 610.90 40.00	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
Mannings n = .013 .250	CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 79.79 41.24	THAN THE STEP (DI) SHOLD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
over (min) 15.00 30.00	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00	
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05	
-TUTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.86 1.44 4.768 (iii)	CALLS $ $ Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00	ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 59.95 19.06 33.37 TOTAL RATNERIL (mm) = 60.45 60.45 60.45	U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.83
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .32 .55	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 5.986
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .721 (i)
	TIME TO DEAK (bra) = 10 E00
	1100 IO PEAR (IIIS) = 10.300
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)</pre>	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 6.0.450
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL 	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	<pre>ilms to Perata (ilms) = 10.300 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	<pre>iNME IO PEAR (IME) = 10.300 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	INME IC PERK (III.5)-10.300 RUNOFF VOLUME (III.5)-10.300 RUNOFF VOLUME (III.5)-10.300 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB CALIB</pre>	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEPE (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. </pre>	INB 10 PERION (III 5) - 10.300 RUNOFF VOLUME (III 5) - 10.300 RUNOFF VOLUME (III 5) - 10.300 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	INB IO PERA (Im)= 10.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (Imm)= 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	INB 10 PARK (Im) = 10.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (Im) = 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	INB 10 PARK (Im) = 10.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (Im) = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.72 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	INB 10 FF VOLIME (ime) = 4.325 RUNOFF VOLIME (ime) = 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (ime) = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	INB 10 FP VOLUME (im) = 10.300 RUNOFF VOLUME (im) = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	INB IO PARK (IE) 10.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	INR 10 FF VOLUME (im) = 10.300 RUNOFF VOLUME (im)] = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	<pre>INB 10 PEAK (INE) = 10.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIE NASHYD (1045) Area (ha) = 170.73 Curve Number (CN) = 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm) = 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00</pre>
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	INE IO PLAK (INE) = 10.000 RUNOFF VOLIME (mm) = 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	<pre>IN B 10 PARK (IR) = 10.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (IR) = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALTE NASHYD (1045) Area (ha) = 170.73 Curve Number (CN) = 58.0 ID = 1 DT = 15.0 min Ia (mm) = 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00</pre>
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	<pre>In the to Park (iff s) = 10.300 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 4.325 TOTAL RAINPALL (mm) = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIE CA</pre>
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	<pre>IN B 10 PEAK (IM =) = 10.300 RUNOFF VOLLME (mm) = 60.450 RUNOFF VOLENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIE CALIE NASHYD (1045) Area (ha) = 170.73 Curve Number (CN) = 58.0 ID = 1 DT = 15.0 min I I (mm) = 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00</pre>
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	$\frac{ \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} _{\mathbf{n}} = 10.20\text{ mm} _{\mathbf{n}} = 4.225}{\text{TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)} = 60.450}$ RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. $\frac{ \mathbf{n} _{\mathbf{n}} = 10.450\text{ mm} _{\mathbf{n}} = 170.73 \text{ Curve Number (CN)} = 58.0$ $ \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} $
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0</pre>	$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 &$
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STORAGE COFFICIENT. (iii) PEAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. </pre>	$\frac{ \mathbf{n} \mathbf{k} _{1} \mathbf{k} _{2} \mathbf{k} _{$
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	$\frac{ \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} _{\mathbf{n}} n$
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	$\frac{ \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} $
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	$\frac{ \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} _{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} $
<pre>(i) ON PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CW = \$9.0 Is Dep.Storage (Above) (ii) THEN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	$\frac{ \text{RINGPF VOLUME}{ \text{RINF} = 10.303}{\text{RINGPF VOLUME}{ \text{RINF} = 4.325}{\text{TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)} = 60.450}{\text{RINGPF VOLUME}{ \text{rm} = 4.325}{\text{TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)} = 60.450}{\text{RINGPF VOLUME}{ \text{rm} = 3.02} \\ (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. \\ \hline \\ $

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.36 .68 1.792 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.95 16.62 27.45 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45	CALIB NASHYD (1047) Area (ha)= 479.57 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .27 .45	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 6.710
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .825 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 4.480 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .074 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB STANDHYD (2010) Area (ha)= 22.70 ID=1 Dr=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	CALIB NASHYD (9146) ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.20
Surface Area (ha)= 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 11.763 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.204 (i)
Length (m) = 389.00 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max Eff Inten (mm/br) = .79.79 20.97	TIME TO PEAK $(hrs) = 7.500$ RUNOFF VOLUME $(mm) = 5.165$ TOTAL RAINFALL $(mm) = 60.450$ PUNDER COEPERCIPAT 085
over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.32 (ii) 20.20 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.18 .59 1.550 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNDFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.95 16.62 27.45 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNDFF COEFFICIENT = 99 .27 .45	CALLB Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 NASHYD ID= ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .60
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SHALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) DERA (FON DOCE NOT INVITUE RESPETION TE ANY 	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .398 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 7.283 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .120
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB STANDHYD (2011) Area (ha)= 40.62 DD=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	CALIB
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 16.25 24.37 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 520.40 40.00	U.H. Tp(hrs) = 2.80 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = 9.180 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 1.141 (i)
Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 30.97	TIME TO PEAK $(hrs) = 10.500$ RUNOFF VOLUME $(mm) = 4.480$ TOTAL RAINFALL $(mm) = 60.453$
Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.52 (ii) 21.41 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.04 1.03 2.687 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.95 16.62 27.45 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .27 .45	
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .681
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\

CALIB					
NASHYD (1059)	Area	(ha)=	487.62	Curve Number (CN)= 71.0	
ID= 1 DT=15.0 min	Ia	(mm) =	9.00	<pre># of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00</pre>	
·	U.H.	Tp(hrs)=	2.17		
Unit Hyd Opeak	(cms)=	8.583			
PEAK FLOW	(cms)=	4.194	(i)		
TIME TO PEAK	(hrs) =	8.500			
RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm) =	17.056			
TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm) =	60.450			
RUNOFF COEFFICIE	ENT =	.282			

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

CAL	IB							
STA	ANDHYD (2042)	Area	(ha)=	54.50				
ID=	1 DT=15.0 min	Total	Imp(%)=	40.00	Dir.	Conn.(१	()= 25.00	
			IMPERVI	OUS	PERVIOU	S (i)		
	Surface Area	(ha)=	21.8	0	32.70			
	Dep. Storage	(mm) =	. 5	0	1.50			
	Average Slope	(%)=	1.0	0	1.00			
	Length	(m) =	602.8	0	40.00			
	Mannings n	=	.01	3	.250			
	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)=	79.7	9	46.60			
	over	(min)	15.0	0	30.00			
	Storage Coeff.	(min)=	8.2	2 (ii)	20.01	(ii)		
	Unit Hyd. Tpeak	(min)=	15.0	0	30.00			
	Unit Hyd. peak	(cms)=	.1	0	.05			
							TOTALS	
	PEAK FLOW	(cms)=	2.6	9	2.15		4.075	(iii)
	TIME TO PEAK	(hrs)=	6.0	0	6.25		6.00	
	RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm) =	59.9	5	24.68		33.50	
	TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm) =	60.4	5	60.45		60.45	
	RUNOFF COEFFICI	ENT =	.9	9	.41		.55	
*****	WARNING: STORA	GE COEFF	. IS SMAL	LER THA	AN TIME	STEP!		
	(;) ON DROGER			DEDUTO		<u>.</u>		
	(I) CN PROCED	URE SELE	LIED FOR	Ctorro	US LUSSE			
	(ii) TIME CTED	(DT) CH	ia - Dep.	MALLED	JE (ADO	ve) T		
	(II) IIME SIEP	(DI) SH	JULD BE S	NUT	OR EQUA			
	LHAN THE	STURAGE (JUBBBCIE	IN L .				

(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

CALIB STANDHYD (2041) Area (ha)= 82.05 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min | Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) 36.92 .50 1.00 Surface Area (ha)= Dep. Storage (mm)= 45.13 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 (m)= 739.60 40.00 Length Mannings n = .013 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 47.99 15.00 30.00 9.29 (ii) 20.94 (ii) over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 15.00 .09 30.00 .05 *TOTALS* 6.636 (iii) 6.00 PEAK FLOW (cms)= TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 4.71 6.00 2.99 6.25 59.95 60.45 .99 24.95 35.45 60.45 60.45 .41 .59

***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!

- (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

STANDHYD (2040)	Area	(ha)= 145.2'	7		
ID= 1 DT=15.0 min	Total	Imp(%)= 40.00	Dir. Conn	.(%)= 25.00	
Surface Area	(ba)-	IMPERVIOUS 58 11	PERVIOUS (1 87 16)	
Dep Storage	(mm) =	50.11	1 50		
Average Slope	(%)=	1.00	1.00		
Length	(m)=	984.10	40.00		
Mannings n	=	.013	.250		
May Eff Inton /	mm (bx) -	70 70	16 60		
Nax. EII. Incen. ((min)	15.00	30.00		
Storage Coeff.	(min)=	11.02 (ii)) 22.82 (ii)	
Unit Hyd. Tpeak	(min)=	15.00	30.00		
Unit Hyd. peak	(cms)=	.08	.04		
				TOTALS	
PEAK FLOW	(cms)=	6.59	5.40	10.054 (iii)	
TIME TO PEAK	(hrs)=	6.00	6.25	6.00	
TOTAL DAINEALL	(mm) =	59.95	24.00	55.50	
RUNOFF COEFFICI	ENT =	.99	.41	.55	
***** WARNING: STOR	GE COEFF.	IS SMALLER TH	HAN TIME STEP	1	
(i) CN PROCET	NIRE SELEC	TED FOR PERVI	DUS LOSSES:		
CN* =	71.0 I	a = Dep. Stora	age (Above)		
(ii) TIME STEE	(DT) SHO	ULD BE SMALLER	R OR EQUAL		
THAN THE	STORAGE C	OEFFICIENT.			
(iii) PEAK FLOW	I DOES NOT	INCLUDE BASE	FLOW IF ANY.		
	-				
CALIB					
NASHYD (1060)	Area	(ha)= 406.96	6 Curve Num	ber (CN)= 60.0	
ID= 1 DT=15.0 min	Ia	(mm) = 9.00	D # of Line	ar Res.(N)= 3.00	
	U.H. T	p(nrs)= 1.10	D		
Unit Hyd Qpeak	(cms)=	13.400			
PEAK FLOW	(cms)=	3.879 (i)			
TIME TO PEAK	(hrs)=	7.250			
DINORE VOLUME	(mm) =	11 000			

PEAK FLOW	(cms)=	3.879	(i)
TIME TO PEAK	(hrs)=	7.250	
RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm) =	11.988	
TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm) =	60.450	
RUNOFF COEFFICI	EENT =	.198	

(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

CALIB			
NASHYD (9254)	Area (ha):	= 24.78	Curve Number (CN)= 58.0
ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min	Ia (mm):	= 30.00	<pre># of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00</pre>
	U.H. Tp(hrs):	= 2.38	

NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP.

		TRA	ANSFORMEI	HYETOGI	RAPH	-	
TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN	TIME	RAIN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
.083	1.33	3.083	2.42	6.083	10.88	9.08	1.84
.167	1.33	3.167	2.42	6.167	10.88	9.17	1.84
.250	1.33	3.250	2.42	6.250	10.88	9.25	1.84
.333	1.40	3.333	2.42	6.333	10.88	9.33	1.69
.417	1.40	3.417	2.42	6.417	10.88	9.42	1.69
.500	1.40	3.500	2.42	6.500	10.88	9.50	1.69
.583	1.43	3.583	2.42	6.583	5.75	9.58	1.62
.667	1.43	3.667	2.42	6.667	5.75	9.67	1.62
.750	1.43	3.750	2.42	6.750	5.75	9.75	1.62
.833	1.47	3.833	2.42	6.833	3.92	9.83	1.64
.917	1.47	3.917	2.42	6.917	3.92	9.92	1.64
1.000	1.47	4.000	2.42	7.000	3.92	10.00	1.64
1.083	1.55	4.083	3.34	7.083	3.63	10.08	1.60
1.167	1.55	4.167	3.34	7.167	3.63	10.17	1.60
1.250	1.55	4.250	3.34	7.250	3.63	10.25	1.60
1.333	1.60	4.333	3.92	7.333	3.63	10.33	1.50
1.417	1.60	4.417	3.92	7.417	3.63	10.42	1.50
1.500	1.60	4.500	3.92	7.500	3.63	10.50	1.50
1.583	1.62	4.583	4.38	7.583	3.63	10.58	1.38

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-updat	e Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1 + 2 = 3 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. ID1= 1 (7008): 95.20 5.866 6.00 30.05 + ID2= 2 (1044): 443.50 .721 10.50 4.32 ID = 3 (5065): 538.70 5.875 6.00 8.87 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
2.583 1.81 5.583 29.02 8.583 2.32 11.58 .92 2.667 1.81 5.67 29.02 8.667 2.32 11.67 .92 2.750 1.81 5.750 29.02 8.750 2.32 11.75 .92 2.833 2.06 5.833 79.79 8.833 2.06 11.83 .82 2.917 2.06 5.917 79.79 8.917 2.06 11.92 .82 3.000 2.06 6.000 79.79 9.000 2.06 12.00 .82 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .398 .398 .398 .300 .398 .398		RESERVOIR (9019) IN-2> 0UTF1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) 0000 .0000 .0000 .0800 .0000 .2600 .5700 .3500 .9900 .4700
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .045 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.833 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .072 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.		AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 1.550 6.00 27.45 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 .476 6.50 15.99 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 30.71 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00
RESERVOIR (9021) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 .0000 .0200 .0290 .3700 .5000 .61000 .5000 .61000		MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = .3393
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 6.334 6.00 32.59 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 2.428 6.75 32.56		ID = 3 (7001): 63.32 2.687 6.00 23.34 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [QOUT/QIN](%)= 35.33 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 45.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.3607		RESERVOIR (9147) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) .00000 ******* .0010 *******
RESERVIR (9022) IN=2> OUT=1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT=15.0 min (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 .0800 .8375 .0100 .4725 .1300 .9815 .0450 .7030 .2380 1.2455		AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 1.204 7.50 5.17 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=******
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2020) 24,780 2.442 6.00 31.61 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24,780 .045 12.00 31.04 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = 1.84 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=360.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = .7023		MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = 1.9089 IN=2> OUT=1 IN=2> OUT=1 DT=15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .0010 *******
ADD HYD (7008) 1 + 2 = 3 TD1= 1 (9022): 24.78 .045 12.00 31.04 + 1D2= 2 (2021): 70.42 5.861 6.00 29.70 TD = 3 (7008): 95.20 5.866 6.00 30.05		AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 .398 6.75 7.28 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=***** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .3998
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		RESERVOIR (9020) IN-2> 0UTF1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000
	Page 23	Pag

:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update	: Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Ux
.2200 3.0000 2.5000 7.0000 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (nm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 10.054 6.00 33.50 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9020) 145.270 .752 9.00 33.47 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 7.48 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=180.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 3.7118	110.34277.13.0800124.14276.45.0800 / .0350Main Channel137.93274.50.0350Main Channel151.72274.76.0350Main Channel172.41276.25.0350Main Channel172.47277.31.0800255.17278.25.0800275.86278.49.0800283.66279.07.0800303.45278.41.0800312.47278.40.0800
SHIFT HYD (9029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT:150.0 min SHIFT:150.0 min ID= 2 (1060): M06.96 SHIFT ID= 1 (9029): 406.96 3.88 9.75 11.99	Communication TRAVEL TIME TABLE DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME (m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min) .20 274.70 .6558+04 .6 .56 172.84 .39 274.89 .2472+05 4.2 1.00 97.27 .59 275.09 .4788+05 11.1 1.35 71.58 .78 275.28 .7562+03 35.2 1.89 51.17 .98 275.67 .1458+06 52.2 1.89 51.7
DD HYD (5062) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DD1= 1 (1032): 610.08 4.618 9.00 16.51 + ID2= 2 (9021): 89.70 2.428 6.75 32.56 ID = 3 (5062): 699.78 5.556 8.50 18.57 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
DD HYD (7002) 1 + 2 = 3 TD1 = 1 (2012): 26.45 L.792 6.00 27.45 + ID2 = 2 (7001): 63.32 2.687 6.00 23.34 ID = 3 (7002): 89.77 4.479 6.00 24.55	<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (um) (un/s) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9029) 406.96 3.88 9.75 11.99 .37 .93 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6019) 406.96 2.12 11.00 11.99 .28 .68</pre>
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ID = 3 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. 	ADD HYD (9250) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) IDI= 1 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 + IDZ= 2 (1046): 672.95 1.141 10.50 4.48 IDI = 3 (9250): 1097.41 1.141 10.50 2.75
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (7014) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (2042): 54.50 4.075 6.00 33.50 + ID2= 2 (7013): 227.32 6.736 6.00 34.19 ID1 = 3 (7014): 281.82 10.811 6.00 34.05 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
	Page 25

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
RESERVOIR (9018) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE	
	ADD HYD (7016) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (mm) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 1.141 10.50 2.75	HD= 2 (6019): 406.96 2.125 11.00 11.99 HD= 3 (7016): 1176.40 11.148 6.00 19.37
OUTPLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 1.065 11.75 2.75 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 93.36 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 75.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .8056	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
	ADD HYD (5000) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
	ID = 3 (5000): 1576.98 1.725 12.00 3.27 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID = 3 (5061): 769.44 11.148 6.00 23.28	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANT.	ADD HYD (5001) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 	+ ID2- 2 (500): 1576.98 1.725 12.00 3.27 ID = 3 (5001): 1837.48 4.497 6.00 4.41 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
46.71 277.77 .0800 57.10 277.40 .0800 62.29 276.96 .0800 67.48 275.94 .0800 77.86 273.27 .0800 83.05 272.29 .0800 93.43 270.99 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel .0550 / .0800 150.53 271.36 .0350 / .0800 207.62 274.37 .0800 233.57 275.41 .0800	RESERVOIR (9017) INE IN= 2> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cmms) (ha.m.) (cmms) (ha.m.)
<pre></pre>	AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cmms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (5001) 1837.481 4.497 6.00 4.41 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9017) 1837.481 1.680 13.50 4.41 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 37.35 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=450.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.9577
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ADD HYD (9041) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m (m/s) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9018) 1097.41 1.07 11.75 2.75 .08 .81 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9251) 1097.41 1.02 12.75 2.75 .08 .81	ADD HYD (5002) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 AREA (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (2031): 55.98 4.768 6.00 33.37

Page 27

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
+ ID2= 2 (9041): 2376.18 6.386 6.00 5.42 ID = 3 (5002): 2432.16 11.155 6.00 6.07 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (5004) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
SHIFT HYD (9040) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT= 60.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	ID = 3 (5004): 3623.18 12.315 7.25 10.41 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID= 2 (5002): 2432.16 11.15 6.00 6.07 SHIFT ID= 1 (9040): 2432.16 11.15 7.00 6.07	SHIFT HYD (9015) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=120.0 min
ROUTE CHN (6029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	ID= 2 (5004): 3623.18 12.31 7.25 10.41 SHIFT ID= 1 (9015): 3623.18 12.31 9.25 10.41
Distance Elevation Manning .00 274.29 .0800 30.80 273.73 .0800 51.30 270.17 .0800 66.80 266.02 .0800 102.70 265.42 .0350 Main Channel 123.20 261.00 .0350 Main Channel 128.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel 154.00 266.82 .0800 205.40 226.20 268.07 .0800 236.20 236.20 268.74 .0800 236.20 236.20 268.74 .0800 282.40 236.20 271.31 .0800 282.40 302.90 272.11 .0800 244.90	ROUTE CHN (6031) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 260.30 .0800 34.10 260.43 .0800 62.40 259.79 .0800 .79.50 255.72 .0800 .113.50 254.00 .0800 .133.50 253.33 .0350 Main Channel .187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel .187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel .204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel .204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel
C	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6029) 2432.16 6.92 7.25 6.07 .69 1.18	<
Page	29 Pa

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	CALIB STANDHYD (2031) Area (ha)= 55.98 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00
MASS STORM Filename: Y:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans \Analysis\SWM\Hydrolog\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst Comments: MASS STORM Filename: Y:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans \Analysis\SWM\Hydrolog\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst Comments: Dutation of storm = 12.00 hrs Mass curve time step = 15.00 min TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs TMME RAIN TIME RAIN 	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 30.79 25.19 Dep. Storage (ma) = 50 2.50 Average Slope (k) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 610.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 94.01 54.71 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.76 (ii) 18.82 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 10 .05 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 4.62 1.99 5.890 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF vOLUME (mm) = 70.72 25.19 41.13 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 7.97.2 25 .58 ******* WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0 La Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STORAGE COEFFICIENT. THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
CALIB NASHYD (1032) ID=1 DT=15.0 min I Ia (mm) = 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 70.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min I Ia (mm) = 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 	CALIB STANDHYD (2020) ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 40.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 14.87 9.91 Dep. Storage (mm) = 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 406.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 94.01 56.75 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 6.07 (ii) 16.97 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = .10 .05 PEAK FLOW (cms) = .10 .05 PEAK FLOW (cms) = .244 .85 2.988 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .86 .35 .56 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 I a = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SKOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .09 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 5.10 4.45 7.981 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 70.72 30.65 40.67 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .43 .57 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	<pre>CALIE CALIE C</pre>
Page 31	Pag

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.72 2.40 7.245 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 61.22 24.53 37.37 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .86 .34 .52 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	CALIB Area (ha)= 22.70 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 389.00 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 41.43 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (mi)= 15.92 (ii) 82.82 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05
CALIB Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.40 .82 1.928 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.72 22.15 34.29 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .31 .48 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (C) * = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (dbove) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB Area (ha)= 170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min I a (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	CALIB Area (ha)= 40.62 STANDHYD (2011) Area (ha)= 40.62 ID DTSID Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS pervision Surface Area (ha)= 16.25 24.37 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 520.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 41.43 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.04 (ii) 19.41 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00
CALIB STANDHYD (2012) ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 10.58 15.87 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 419.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 94.01 41.43 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 6.19 (ii) 18.55 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 1.00 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 1.63 .95 2.231 (iii) TIMET O PEAR (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 70.72 22.15 34.29 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.22 771.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .31 .48 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.44 1.44 3.351 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.72 22.15 34.29 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .31 .48 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (1) TIME STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) THME STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 10.000 RUNOFF VOLVME (mm) = 7.804 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 7.1.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .110 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

$\label{eq:linear} $$ V:\O1606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2 $	2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014	\Uxbridge\
CALIE Area (ha)= 369.57 Curve Number (CN)= 55.0 ID= 1DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= U.H. Tp(hrs)= Unit Hvd Opeak (cms)=		CALIB STANDHYD (2042) Area (ha)= 54.50 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	
PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.098 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 8.408 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .118		IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 21.80 32.70 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.		Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 60.66 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.69 (ii) 18.31 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05	
NASHYD (9246) Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .60 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494		*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 3.22 2.91 5.116 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 70.72 32.04 41.71 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .45 .59	
PEAK FLOW (Cms)= .705 (1) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 11.652 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .164 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.		<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	
CALIB		CALIB STANDHYD (2041) Area (ha)= 82.05 D=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00	
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.180 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.027 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 7.804 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220		IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 36.92 45.13 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 739.60 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	
CUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .110 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.		Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 62.41 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.70 (ii) 19.19 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05	
CALIS NASHYD (1040) Area (ha)= 14.62 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .681		PEAK FLOW (cms) = 5.64 4.05 8.287 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 70.72 32.37 43.87 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .45 .62	
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .250 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 16.207 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .228 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.		<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	
CALIB NASHYD (1059) Area (ha)= 487.62 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 ID= IDT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.17		CALIB	
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 8.583 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.792 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 23.326 TOTPAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220		ImpERVIOUS PERVIOUS PERVIOUS (1) Surface Area (ha) = 58.11 87.16 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 984.10 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .328 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.		Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 60.66 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 10.33 (ii) 20.94 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00	
	Page 35		Page 36

JIGOG ACCIVE/IGOGZI////SWW MARLET PIANS/AnalySIS/SWW/Hydrology/Uxbridge-updale bec 2014/Uxbridge/	V:\UI6U6\ACtiVe\l6U6Zi///\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\UXDridge-update Dec Z
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .398
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 7.93 7.33 12.687 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.72 32.04 41.71 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .99 .45 .59	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .080 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.583 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 7.546 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .106
WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	RESERVOIR (9021) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .0290 .3700 .5000 .6900 6.1000 2.1800
1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.16 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 13.400	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 7.981 6.00 40.67 OUTFFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 3.472 6.50 40.63
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.477 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 16.717 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .235	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 43.51 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.6071
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	RESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> OUT= 1
ALIB ALIB ALIB Area (ha)= 24.78 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 = 1 DT 5.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 	DI= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE
NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP.	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 2.988 6.00 39.59 OUTFFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .080 11.00 38.99
THNEFORMED HVETOGRAPH TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr 083 1.57 3.063 2.85 6.083 12.82 9.08 2.17 .167 1.57 3.167 2.85 6.167 12.82 9.17 2.17 .250 1.57 3.250 2.85 6.167 12.82 9.17 2.17 .333 1.56 3.33 2.85 6.120 12.82 9.17 2.17	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 2.67 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=300.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .8370
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ADD HYD (7008) ADD HYD (7008) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1= 1 (9022): 24.78 .080 11.00 38.99 + 1D2= 2 (2021): 70.42 7.245 6.00 37.37 ID = 3 (7008): 95.20 7.252 6.00 37.79 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
	ADD HYD (5065) AEAD HYD (5065) AEA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1= 1 (7008): 95.20 7.252 6.00 37.79 + 1D2= 2 (1044): 443.50 1.282 10.00 7.55 ID = 3 (5065): 538.70 7.274 6.00 12.89 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	RESERVOIR (9019) OUTPLOW STORAGE OUTPLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTPLOW STORAGE OUTPLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 1.0800 .5900 .5700 .3500 1.3500 .9300

Page 37

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridg	e\V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
.9900 .4700 2.8300 .9900 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	SHIFT ID= 1 (9029): 406.96 5.48 9.75 16.72
INFLOW : ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 1.928 6.00 34.29 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 7.12 6.50 22.83	$ \begin{vmatrix} \text{ADD HYD} & (5062) \\ & 1 + 2 = 3 \end{vmatrix} $ AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [QOUL/QII](#)= 36.93 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .3911	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	ID = 3 (5062): 699.78 7.487 8.50 24.94 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	
ID = 3 (7001): 63.32 3.351 6.00 30.18 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$ \begin{vmatrix} 1 + 2 = 3 \\ \\ (ha) \\ (mm) \\ 1D1 = 1 (2012): 26.45 \\ 2.231 \\ 6.00 \\ 34.29 \\ + 1D2 = 2 (7001): 63.32 \\ 3.351 \\ 6.00 \\ 30.18 \\ \end{vmatrix} $
	ID = 3 (7002): 89.77 5.582 6.00 31.39 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 ******** .0010 ********	
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 2.098 7.50 8.41	$ \begin{vmatrix} ADD HYD (7004) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \end{vmatrix} $ AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDl= 1 (9147): 369.57 .000 .00 .00
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=******	$\begin{array}{c} + \text{ ID2= } 2 (9248): 54.89 \\ \text{ID= } 3 (7004): 424.46 \\ \text{.000} \\ \text{.00} \\ \text$
MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (na.m.)= 3.1075	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
DT= DT= OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 ******* .0010 *******	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 .705 6.50 11.65 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00	$ID_{2} = 2 (360)^{2} [1432] = 1220 = 0.22 = 41.05$ $ID_{2} = 3 (7013)^{2} = 227.32 = 8.413 = 6.00 = 42.48$ NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .6396	
RESERVOIR (9020) IM= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.)	<pre>< DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning</pre>
.0000 .0000 1.7200 5.0000 .2200 3.0000 2.5000 7.0000 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	75.86 280.87 .0800 110.34 277.13 .0800 124.14 276.45 .0800 / .0350 Main Channel 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel
(ha) (cmms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 12.687 6.00 41.71 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9020) 145.270 1.220 8.25 41.69	151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0350 Main Channel 213.79 277.31 .0800 255.17 278.55 .0800
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=135.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 4.3353	275.86 278.49 .0800 289.66 279.07 .0800 303.45 278.41 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800
SHIFT HYD (9029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=150.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	<pre></pre>

Page 39

(01000 (Acc						01097 (0101)			
50	075 00	85 CD . 05				50.00			
. / 8	2/5.28	./56E+U5	21.4		1.64	58.99			
1 17	275 67	145E+06	52.9		2 12	45 70			
1.37	275.87	.187E+06	74.8		2.33	41.60			
1.56	276.06	.233E+06	101.2		2.53	38.37			
1.76	276.26	.284E+06	132.2		2.71	35.80			
1.95	276.45	.342E+06	160.8		2.73	35.50			
2.17	276.67	.421E+06	204.7		2.83	34.30			
2.38	276.88	.516E+06	258.7		2.91	33.25			
2.60	277 32	.02/E+06	402 4		3.00	32.20			
3.03	277.53	.894E+06	517.5		3.37	28.78			
3.25	277.75	.105E+07	645.6		3.58	27.06			
3.47	277.97	.122E+07	787.1		3.76	25.78			
3.68 3.90	278.18 278.40	.140E+07 .160E+07	942.2 1110.1		3.91 4.03	24.79 24.06			
			< hy	drograph	>	<-pipe / d	channel-:	>	
		AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.	MAX DEPTH	MAX VEI	5	
THEIR CT	. TD - 0 //	(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)	(m)	(m/s)		
UNFLOW	• ID= 2 (9	3023) 406.96	5.48	9.75	16 71	.43	1.05		
OUIFLOW	• ID- I (0	3019/ 400.90	3.43	10.75	10.71		.05		
DD HYD 1 + 2 =	(5064) 3	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.				
ID	1= 1 (1045	(na) 5): 170.73	(Cms)	(nrs) 9.25	(mm) 7.55				
+ ID	2= 2 (7002	2): 89.77	5.582	6.00	31.39				
==									
ID	= 3 (5064	1): 260.50	5.599	6.00	15.76				
NOTE		S DO NOT INCLI	IDE BASEFL	OWS TE A	NY.				
DD HYD 1 + 2 =	(9250) 3	AREA	OPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.			-	
IDD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID	(9250) 3 1= 1 (7004 2= 2 (1046	AREA (ha) 1): 424.46 5): 672.95	QPEAK (cms) .000 2.027	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80			-	
DD HYD 1 + 2 = 	(9250) 3 	AREA (ha) 4): 424.46 5): 672.95	QPEAK (cms) .000 2.027 2.027	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79			-	
NOTE: NOTE: NOTE: NOTE:	(9250) 3 	AREA (ha) 4): 424.46 5): 672.95 D): 1097.41 3 DO NOT INCLU	QPEAK (cms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 ====== 10.00 www.sifa	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 ====== 4.79 NY.			-	
NOTE - IDD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID = ID NOTE :	(9250) 3 	AREA (ha) 4): 424.46 5): 672.95 0): 1097.41 3 DO NOT INCLU	QPEAK (cms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 ======= 10.00 .0WS IF A	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 ====== 4.79 NY.			-	
NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID = ID NOTE: DD HYD DD HYD	(7014)	AREA (ha) 4): 424.46 5): 672.95 0): 1097.41 S DO NOT INCLU	QPEAK (cms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .000 .000 IF A	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 ====== 4.79 NY.			-	
NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID = ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 =	(9250) 3 -1= 1 (7004) -2= 2 (1044) -= 3 (9250) PEAK FLOWS 	AREA (ha) 4): 424.46 5): 672.95 0): 1097.41 5 DO NOT INCLU AREA (ba)	QPEAK (cms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .000 .000 IF A 	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY.			-	
DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID = ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID DT HYD 1 + 2 = ID	(9250) 3 1 = 1 (7004) 2 = 2 (1046) 3 = 3 (9250) PEAK FLOWS (7014) 3 1 = 1 (2042)	AREA (ba) 4): 424,45 5): 672.95 	QPEAK (cms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL QPEAK (cms) 5.116	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .00WS IF A 	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY. R.V. (mm) 41.71			-	
DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID = ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID LDD HYD 1 + 2 = ID HYD 1 + 2 = ID HYD HYD HYD HYD HYD HYD HYD HY	(7014) (7014)	AREA (ha) (ba) (ba) (ba) (ba) (ba) (ba) (ba) (b	QPEAK (cms) .000 2.027 JDE BASEFL QPEAK (cms) 5.116 8.413	TPEAK (hrs) 10.00 .000 .000 IF A 	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY. R.V. (mm) 41.71 42.48			-	
DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID + ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID NOTE: ID HYD 1 + 2 = ID HYD 1 + 2 = ID HYD = ID HYD = ID HYD = ID HYD = ID HYD = ID HYD ID HYD = ID HYD HYD HYD HYD HYD HYD HYD HY	(9250) (9250) (9250) (922) (1=1 (7004) (7014) (7014) (1=1 (2042) (2=2 (7014) (1=1 (2042) (2=2 (7011) (1=1 (2042)) (1=1 (2042)) (1	AREA (ha) 4): 424.46 5): 672.95 0): 1097.41 S DO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 2): 54.50 3): 227.32	OPEAK (cms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL OPEAK (cms) 5.116 8.413	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .000 IO.00 .000 IF A 	R.V. (mm) 00 7.80 4.79 NY. R.V. (mm) 41.71 42.48 42.33			-	
DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID + ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID NOTE:	(9250) (9250)	AREA (ha) 4): 424.46 5): 672.95 0): 1097.41 S DO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 2): 54.50 3): 227.32 4): 281.82 S DO NOT INCLU	QPEAK (cms) .000 2.027 JDE BASEFI QPEAK (cms) 5.116 8.413 13.529 JDE BASEFI	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .000 IF A 	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY. 			-	
DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID + ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID NOTE: 	(9250) (9250) 1 = 1 (7004) 2 = 2 (1044) 9 = 3 (9250) 9 = 3 (9250) 9 = 3 (9250) 9 = 3 (9250) 1 = 1 (2042) 2 = 2 (7013) = 3 (7014) 1 = 1 (2042) = 3 (7014) 1 = 1 (2042)	AREA (ba) 4): 424.46 5): 672.95 0): 1097.41 S DO NOT INCLU (ba) 2): 54.50 3): 227.32 : 227.32 : 221.62 S DO NOT INCLU	OPEAK (cmms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL OPEAK (cmms) 5.116 8.413 13.529 JDE BASEFL	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .00S IF A .00S IF A .00 6.00 .0WS IF A .0WS IF A	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY.			-	
DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID + ID NOTE: DD HYD DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID NOTE: 	(9250) -3 -1 (7004) -2 2 (1044) -3 (9250) -3	AREA (ba) 4): 424,45 5): 672.95 0): 1097.41 5 DO NOT INCLU AREA (ba) 2): 54,50 3): 227.32 4): 281.82 3 DO NOT INCLU	QPEAK (cmms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL QPEAK (cmms) 5.116 8.413 13.529 JDE BASEFL	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .00% IF A .00% IF A .00% IF A .00% IF A	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY. (mm) 4.71 42.48 42.33 NY.			-	
DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID + ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID NOTE: 	(9250) -3 -1 (7004) -2 2 (1044) -2 3 (9250) -2 4 (1044) -3 -1 1 (2042) -1 2 2 (27011) -1 3 -1 2 2 (27011) -1 3 -1 2 2 (27011) -2 2 (27011) -2 3 (7014) -2 4 (2014) -2	AREA (ha) (b): 424.46 (b): 672.95 0): 1097.41 S DO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 2): 54.50 3): 227.32 4): 281.82 S DO NOT INCLU	QPEAK (cms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL (cms) 5.116 8.413 13.529 JDE BASEFL	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 10.00 0.00% IF A 	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY.			-	
DD HYD I + 2 = ID HYD NOTE: DD HYD I + 2 = ID NOTE: ID HYD I + 2 = ID NOTE: ID HYD I + 2 = ID NOTE: ID HYD I + 2 = ID NOTE: ID HYD I + 2 = ID HYD I + 2 = I	(9250) (9250) (9250) (11 1 (700.) (22 2 (1044) (22 2 (104)) (23 (9250) PEAK FLOWS (23 (7014)) (24 (7014)) (25 (7014)) (27 (7	AREA (ha) 4): 424.46 5): 672.95 0): 1097.41 3 DO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 2): 54.50 3): 227.32 4): 281.82 3 DO NOT INCLU 0UTELOW	QPEAK (cms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL QPEAK (cms) 5.116 8.413 13.529 JDE BASEFL JDE BASEFL	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .00WS IF A 	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY. (mm) 42.48 42.33 NY.	STORAGE		-	
DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID + ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID NOTE: 	(9250) (9250) (9250) (922) (1044 (922) (21044 (9250) (922) (21044 (9250) (9250) (9250	AREA (ba) 4): 424.46 5): 672.95 0): 1097.41 S DO NOT INCLI (ba) 2): 54.50 3): 227.32 4): 241.62 3 DO NOT INCLI (cms) (0000	0PEAK (cmms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL 0PEAK (cmms) 5.116 8.413 13.529 JDE BASEFL 3.529 JDE BASEFL STORAGE (ha.m.) .0000	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .00S IF A 	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 	STORAGE (ha.m.) 1.1900		-	
DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID + ID + ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID NOTE: ID NOTE: ID NOTE: ID NOTE: ID NOTE: ID NOTE: ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID	(9250) (9250) (9250) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2	AREA (ha) 4): 424,46 6): 672.95 0): 1097.41 5 DO NOT INCLU (ha) 2): 54.63 3): 227.32 4): 281.82 5 DO NOT INCLU OUTFLOW (cms) .0000 .4200	QPEAK (cmms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL (cmms) 5.116 8.413 13.529 JDE BASEFL JDE BASEFL STORAGE (cha.m.) .0000 .6400	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .00 .000 IF A 	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY. 42.48 42.33 NY. FLOW : mm) 8100	STORAGE (ha.m.) 1.1900 1.2700		-	
DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID + ID DD HYD NOTE: 	(9250) -3 -1 (7004) -3 (9255) -3 (9255) -3 (9255) -3 (9255) -3 (9255) -3 (9255) -3 (9256) -3	AREA (ba) 4): 424,46 6): 672.95 0): 1097.41 5 DO NOT INCLU (ha) 2): 54.50 3): 227.32 4): 281.82 5 DO NOT INCLU OUTFLOW (cms) .0000 .4200 1.5900	QPEAK (cmms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL 0 0PEAK (cmms) 5.116 8.413 13.529 JDE BASEFL 13.529 JDE BASEFL 13.529 JDE BASEFL 13.6400 .6400 .9400	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .00S IF A 	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY. 4.79 NY. 42.48 42.33 NY. FLOW 8100 3300 8000	STORAGE (ha.m.) 1.1900 1.2700 1.3300		-	
DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID + ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID NOTE: ESERVOIR N= 25 TT = 15.0 m	(9250) (9250) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2	AREA (ha) 4): 424.46 6): 672.95 0): 1097.41 S DO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 2): 54.50 3): 227.32 4): 281.82 S DO NOT INCLU OUTFLOW (cms) .0000 .4200 1.5900 3.2000	QPEAK (cms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL (cms) 5.116 8.413 13.529 JDE BASEFL STORAGE (ha.m.) .0000 .6400 .9400 1.1100	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 10.00 TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 6.00 6.00 .00WS IF A .00WS IF A .00WS IF A .00WS IF A .00WS IF A .00WS IF A .00UT (C 4. 14. 15.	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY. 41.71 42.48 42.33 NY. FLOW FIEOW 8100 8000	STORAGE (ha.m.) 1.1900 1.2700 1.3300 .0000		-	
ADD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID + ID 	(9250) -3 -1 (700/ -2 2 (104/ -2 2 (104/ -2 2 (104/ -2 3 (925/ -2 2 (104/ -2 3 (701/ -1 1 (204/ -2 2 (701) -1 1 (204/ -2 3 (701/ -2 3 (701/))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	AREA (ha) 4): 424,46 6): 672.95 0): 1097.41 5 DO NOT INCLU AREA (ha) 2): 54.50 3): 227.32 4): 281.82 5 DO NOT INCLU COTTELOW (cms) .0000 .4200 1.5500 3.2000	QPEAK (cms) .000 2.027 .027 JDE BASEFL 	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .00 .00S IF A 	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY. 4.79 NY. 42.48 42.33 NY. 5100 8100 8000 8000 0000	STORAGE (ha.m.) 1.1900 1.2700 .3300 .0000		-	
DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID NOTE: ID HYD 1 + 2 = ID NOTE: ID NOTE: ID NOTE: ID NOTE: ID NOTE: ID ID NOTE: ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID ID	(9250) -3 -1 (700/ -2 2 (1044) -3 (9250) -3 (9250) -3 (9250) -4	AREA (ha) (ba) (b): 672.95 (c): 1097.41 S DO NOT INCLI (ha) 2): 54.50 3): 227.32 (ha) 2): 54.50 3): 227.32 (ha) 2): 54.50 3): 227.32 (c): 54.50 3): 227.32 (c): 54.50 3): 227.32 (c): 54.50 3): 227.32 (c): 54.50 3): 227.32 (c): 54.50 (c): 54.50	QPEAK (cmms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL 0.116 8.413 13.529 JDE BASEFL 13.529 JDE BASEFL 13.529 JDE BASEFL 13.529 JDE BASEFL 0.6400 .6400 .6400 .9400 1.1100	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .00S IF A 	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY. 4.79 NY. 42.48 42.48 42.33 NY. FLOW 3 8100 3300 8100 3300 8000 0000	STORAGE (ha.m.) 1.1900 1.2700 1.3300 .0000 R.V		-	
DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID + ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID NOTE: DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID NOTE: 	(9250) (9250) (9250) (1 1 (700/2) 22 (104/4) (2 2 (104/4) 22 (104/4) (7014) (7014) (7014) (7014) (2 2 (7/01/4) (2 2 (7/01/4) (2 2 (7/01/4) (3) (9250) (9250) (7/01/4) (9250) (9250) (7/01/4) (9250) (925) (9250)	AREA (ha) (ha) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	QPEAK (cmms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL (cmms) 5.116 8.413 13.529 JDE BASEFL STORAGE (tha.m.) .0000 .5400 1.1100 REA Q 1.110 (that cmas) .0000 .5400 .1110 (that cmas) .0000 .1110 (that cmas) .0000 .1110 (that cmas) .0000 .1110 .00000 .00000 .0000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .000000	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .00 .00WS IF A 	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY. 4.79 NY. 42.48 42.33 NY. 5 FLOW 5 8100 8000 0000 TPEAK (hrs) 10.00	STORAGE (ha.m.) 1.1900 1.2700 1.3300 .0000 R.V (mm 4		-	
Implement DD HYD 1 + 2 = ID HID = ID NOTE: ID HID + ID + ID + ID	(9250) (9250) (9250) (9250) (922) (1044) (922) (21044) (9014) (90	AREA (ba) (ba) (ba) (ba) (ba) (ba) (ba) (ba)	QPEAK (cmms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL 	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .00 .000 SIF A 	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 ======= 4.79 NY. ===== 42.33 NY. ===== 42.33 NY. ===== FLOW 3300 8000 0000 TPEAK (hrs) 10.00	STORAGE (ha.m.) 1.1900 1.2700 1.3300 .0000 R.V (mm 4.			
INFLOW NOTE: ID HYD I + 2 = ID HYD NOTE: ID ID NOTE: ID	(9250) (9250) (9250) (1 1 (700/ 22 2 (104/) 9 3 (9250) PEAK FLOWS (7014) 3 1 1 1 (2042 22 2 (7014) 3 1 1 1 (2042 22 2 (7014) 3 1 1 1 (2042 22 2 (7014) 3 1 1 1 1 1	AREA (ba) (ba) (b): 424.46 (b): 672.95 0): 1097.41 S DO NOT INCLI (ba) 2): 54.50 3): 227.32 4): 281.82 S DO NOT INCLI (cms) .0000 1.5900 3.2000 AF (15900 3.2000 AF (250) 1097.4 018) 1097.4	QPEAK (cmms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL (cmms) 5.116 8.413 JDE BASEFL JDE BASEFL (ha.m.) .0000 .6400 .9400 1.1100 REA Q aa) (111 2	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 10.00 TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 6.00 6.00 .00WS IF A .00 6.00 .00WS IF A .00 4.14 14. 53. .027 .001	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 4.79 NY. 41.71 42.48 42.33 NY. FLOW 5 8100 8000 TPEAK (hrs) 10.00 10.50	STORAGE (ha.m.) 1.1900 1.2700 1.3300 .0000 R.V (mm 4.'	79		
INDIE: IDD HYD 1 + 2 = ID + ID + ID IDD HYD NOTE: IDD HYD I + 2 = ID NOTE: ID + ID ESERVOIR NOTE: INFLOW OUTFLOW	(9250) (9250) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2	AREA (ha) (ha) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	QPEAK (cms) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL 	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .00 .00WS IF A 	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 	STORAGE (ha.m.) 1.1900 1.2700 .0000 R.V (mm 4. 4. .74			
INDIE:	(7014) (9250) (9250) (1 1 (700) (2 2 (1044) (7014) (1 2 2 (7013) (7014) (1 2 2 (7013) (7014) (1 2 2 (7013) (7014) (1 2 2 (7013) (7014) (1 2 2 (7013) (7014) (7014) (1 2 2 (7013) (7014) (70	AREA (ba) (ba) (b): 672.95 0): 1097.41 S DO NOT INCLU (ba) 2): 54.50 3): 227.32 4): 281.82 S DO NOT INCLU (cms) .0000 .4200 3.2000 A200 1.5900 3.2000 A200 (cms) .0010 1.5910 (cms) .0000 4.200 0.4200 0.4200 0.5910 (cms) .0000 4.200 0.4000 0.40000 0.4000 0.40000 0.400000000	QPEAK (cmm) .000 2.027 2.027 JDE BASEFL 	TPEAK (hrs) .00 10.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	R.V. (mm) .00 7.80 	STORAGE (ha.m.) 1.1900 1.2700 1.3300 .0000 R.V (mm 4. 4. .74		-	

ADD HYD (1 + 2 =	5061) 3	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.		
ID1 + ID2	= 1 (1059) = 2 (7014)	(11a) : 487.62 : 281.82	5.792	8.50	23.33 42.33		
=== TD	= 3 (5061)	. 201102	14.059	6.00	30.29		
NOTE: P	EAK FLOWS I	DO NOT INCLI	DE BASEF	TOWS TE A	NY.		
ROUTE CHN (9251)						
IN= 2> 0	UT= 1	Routing ti	me step	(min)'= 1	.5.00		
	< l Distance	DATA FOR SEC Elevat	TION (ion	1.0) Manning	>		
	.00	278.	33	.0800			
	57.10	277.	40	.0800			
	62.29 67.48	276.	96 94	.0800			
	77.86	273.	27	.0800			
	83.05 93.43	272. 270.	29 99	.0800			
	109.00	270.	02	.0350	Mai	n Channel	
	150.53	270. 271.	36 .	0350 / .0	Mai 800 Mai	n Channel	
	186.86	273.	45 37	.0800			
	233.57	275.	12	.0800			
	247.79	275.	41	.0800			
< DEPTH	ELEV	TRAVEI VOLUME	FLOW RA	BLE TE VEL	OCITY	> TRAV.TIME	
(m)	(m)	(cu.m.)	(cms)	(m/s)	(min)	
. 28	270.50	.257E+04	13.9		1.14	30.76	
.85	270.87	.484E+05 776E+05	32.0		1.39	25.24	
1.42	271.44	.112E+06	100.0		1.87	18.71	
1.70	271.72	.151E+06	157.2		2.18	16.04	
2.27	272.29	.242E+06	305.9		2.65	13.21	
2.55	272.57 272.86	.294E+06 .350E+06	398.1 501.8		2.84 3.01	12.31 11.61	
3.12	273.14	.409E+06	617.0		3.17	11.05	
3.40 3.69	273.42 273.71	.472E+06 .539E+06	882.9		3.31 3.44	10.58	
3.97	273.99	.611E+06	1033.6		3.55	9.85	
4.20	274.28	.00/E+U6 .768E+06	1370.2		3.00	9.5/ 9.35	
4.82	274.84	.856E+06	1556.4		3.82	9.16	
5.39	275.41	.105E+07	1967.6		3.93	8.91	
			< h	ydrograph	1>	<-pipe /	channel->
		AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	(hrs)	R.V. (mm)	MAX DEPTH (m)	MAX VEL (m/s)
INFLOW :	ID= 2 (90)	18) 1097.41	2.00	10.50	4.78	.15	.81
OUTFLOW:	тD= Т (92)	51) 1097.41	1.85	11.50	4./8	.14	.81
ADD HYD (1 + 2 =	3	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.		
		(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)		
+ ID1	= 2 (6019)	406.96	3.432	10.75	16.71		
ID	= 3 (7016)	: 1176.40	14.059	6.00	25.59		
NOTE: P	EAK FLOWS I	DO NOT INCLU	DE BASEF	LOWS IF A	NY.		
ADD HYD (5000)						

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\

-

Page 41

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2	014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbrid	dge\
1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 		IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 274.29 .0800 30.80 273.73 .0800 51.30 270.17 .08800 61.60 266.84 .08800 66.80 266.02 .08800	
ADD HYD (5001) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 A AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. TD1= 1 (5064): 260.50 5.599 6.00 15.76 + ID2= 2 (5000): 156.98 3.230 11.25 5.70 ID = 3 (5001): 1837.48 5.626 6.00 7.13 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY		102.70 265.42 .0350 Main Channel 123.20 261.00 .0350 Main Channel 128.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel 154.00 .046.62 .0350 Main Channel 174.60 266.82 .0800 236.20 268.74 .0800 236.29 272.11 .0800 348.90 274.45 .0800	
RUIE: FRAFFLOWS DO NOT INCLODE EMSERIOWS IF ANT. RESERVOIR (9017) INF 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 .2800 .2500 .7100 .6300 .1300 1.1400 .15600 1.7300 .18400 2.2600 .2800 2.500 .1000 1.6400 .18400 2.2600 .18400 2.2600 .18400 2.2600 .18400 2.2600 .18400 2.2600 .18400 2.2600 .18400 2.2600 .18400 2.2600 .18400 2.2600 .18400 2.2600 .18400 2.2600 .18400 2.2600 .18500 1.7300 .18400 2.2600 .18500 1.87800 .18400 2.2600 .18500 1.87800 .18400 2.2600 .18500 1.87800 .18400 2.2600		DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FIND INED VELOCITY TRAV.TIME (m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min) .63 261.63 .702E+04 5.2 1.14 22.47 1.26 262.26 .220E+05 24.8 1.74 14.74 1.89 262.89 .443E+05 64.0 2.22 11.54 2.53 263.53 .741E+05 127.4 2.65 9.69 3.16 264.16 .111E+06 219.6 3.04 8.44 3.79 264.79 .156E+06 343.2 3.39 7.57 4.42 265.42 .209E+06 501.2 3.70 6.94 5.16 266.16 .304E+06 766.4 3.88 6.61 5.90 266.90 .42E+06 1123.8 4.05 6.34 6.64 267.64 .570E+06 1528.6 4.40 5.84 7.38 268.38 .73E+06 29228.9 4.80 5.35 8.12 269.12 .941E+06 2928.9 4.80 5.35 8.12 269.12 .941E+06 2928.9 4.80 5.35 8.12 269.12 .941E+06 2928.9 4.80 5.35 8.159 270.59 1.41E+07 4656.3 5.10 5.03 10.33 271.33 .167E+07 5671.9 5.24 4.90 11.07 272.07 .195E+07 6784.6 5.36 4.80 11.81 272.81 .226E+07 8029.1 5.47 4.69 12.55 273.55 .259E+07 9333.0 5.59 4.60 13.29 274.29 .295E+07 10648.3 5.55 4.62 < hydrograph> <-pipe / channel->	
ADD HYD (9041) AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (5065): 538.70 7.274 6.00 12.89 + ID2= 2 (9017): 1837.48 3.210 12.75 7.13 ID1 = 3 (9041): 2376.18 7.909 6.00 8.44 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		INFLOW : ID= 2 (9040) 2432.16 13.80 7.00 9.19 .91 1.35 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6029) 2432.16 8.78 7.25 9.19 .74 1.22 	
ADD HYD (5002) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (2031): 55.98 5.890 6.00 41.13 + ID2= 2 (9041): 2376.18 7.909 6.00 8.44 ID1 = 3 (5002): 2432.16 13.798 6.00 9.19 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		ID = 3 (5003): 2446.78 8.992 7.25 9.23 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
SHIFT HYD (9040) INF 2> 0UT=1 SHIFT=60.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.		ID = 3 (5004): 3623.18 16.385 7.25 14.54 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. SHIFT HYD (9015) IM= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=120.0 min AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (bro) (bro) (mro)	
ROUTE CHN (6029)	Page 43	ID= 2 (5004): 3623.18 16.38 7.25 14.54	Pa

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
SHIFT ID= 1 (9015): 3623.18 16.38 9.25 14.54	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ROUTE CEN (6031) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
<pre> DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning</pre>	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
153.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel 187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel 198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel 249.80 254.00 .0800 334.90 255.77 .0800 351.90 256.37 .0800	CALIB NASHYD (1032) Area (ha)= 610.08 Curve Number (CN)= 70.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
414.40 260.24 .0800 455.50 260.75 .0800 514.40 261.48 .0800 <	PEAK FLOW (cms) = 8.546 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 8.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 30.044 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .361
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CALIB CALIB STANDHYD (2050) Area (ha)= 89.70 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 MDEPUTOUS DEPUTOUS (i)
3.54 255.12 .279±-07 908.7 1.69 51.25 4.70 256.58 .346±+07 1199.1 1.80 48.03 5.17 257.05 .414±+07 1527.9 1.92 45.17 5.63 257.51 .485±+07 1892.4 2.03 42.71 6.10 257.98 .558±+07 2291.8 2.13 40.59 6.52 258.44 .634±+07 725.7 2.23 38.74	Surface Area (ha)= 35.88 53.82 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 773.30 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
7.03 258.91 .711E+07 3193.6 2.33 37.12 7.49 259.37 .791E+07 3695.3 2.43 35.69 7.96 259.84 .874E+07 4221.9 2.51 34.48 8.42 260.30 .961E+07 4697.6 2.54 34.09	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 74.52 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.40 (ii) 18.17 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05 *TOTALS*
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hmm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW ID= 2 (9015) 3623.18 16.38 9.25 14.54 1.37 .65 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6031) 3623.18 11.69 13.50 14.54 1.21 .67	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.06 5.90 9.919 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.65 39.14 50.02 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .47 .60
	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
ADD HYD (5005) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. ID1= 1 (5062): 699.78 7.487 8.50 24.94 (mm) + ID2= 2 (6031): 3623.18 11.693 13.50 14.54	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
ID = 3 (5005): 4322.96 15.772 10.25 16.22 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	CALIB CALIB STANDHYD (2031) Area (ha)= 55.98 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00
MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 30.79 25.19 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 610.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
Ptotal= 83.15 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 70.93 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.29 (ii) 17.26 (ii)
Mass curve time step = 15.00 min TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .10 .05 *TOTALS*
hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.46 2.68 7.193 (iii)

Page 46

<pre>THE TO PLAK (hrs): 6.00 6.25 6.00 TOTAL SIMPARLL (ms): 83.15 83.15 50.09 TOTAL SIMPARLL (ms): 93.13 30.00 TOTAL SIMPARLL (ms): 93.13 93.15 93.00 TOTAL SIMPARLL (ms): 93.15 93.15 93.15 TOTAL SIMPARLL (ms): 93.15 93.15 TOTAL SIMPARL (ms): 93.15 9</pre>	:\01606\Active\16063	21777\SWM	Master Plans\#	Analysis\SWM\Hyd	drology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxb	oridg
<pre>THE TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00</pre>						
<pre>NUMPEY NUMPE (NUMPE (NUMPE) 24.23 34.28 53.34 53.55 53.04 NUMPEY CONFICIENT = 3.39 7.30 55.60 NUMPEY CONFICIENT = 3.39 7.30 55.60 NUMPEY CONFICIENT = 3.39 7.30 NUMPER TAN TIME STEP! (1) CT PROCENDES SELECTED FOR PERVICES LOSSES: (1) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE CONFFICIENT. (11) PAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUE RASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	TIME TO PEAK	(hrs)=	6.00	6.25	6.00	
<pre>LINDEF CONTACT [11]]</pre>	RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm) =	82.65	32.50	50.09	
<pre>**** MARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! () ON PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	RUNOFF COEFFICI	(mm) = ENT =	.99	.39	.60	
() ON PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVICUES LOOSES: (1) TIME STORAGE COFFFICIENT. (11) PEAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE RAGEFLOW IF ANY. (11) PEAR FLOW DO	**** WARNING: STORA	GE COEFF.	IS SMALLER THA	AN TIME STEP!		
CM* = 59.0 IA = Dep. Storage (Above) (i1) THENE TOT JOINT SOUCH BE SMALLER OR BUGAL (i11) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(i) CN PROCED	JRE SELECT	ED FOR PERVIOU	JS LOSSES:		
(11) FEAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLODE HASEFLOW IF ANT. CALIE STANDARD (2020) Area (ha)= 24.78 (ha)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 Due 1 DT-15.0 nin Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 Due 1 DT-15.0 nin Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 Surface Area (ha)= 10.60 2.50 Average Stope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m) + 006.13 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .200 .001 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.71 (11) 15.53 (11) Uti Hyd. peak (mm)= 7.51.53 20.23 46.76 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 6.18 8.1.14 3.618 (111) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 8.18 8.1.3 9.53 FEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.88 1.14 3.618 (111) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 8.18 8.1.3 9.53 (1) OF PEAK (hrs)= 5.00 II = 8.18 8.3.3 63.73 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 8.18 8.3.3 63.15 (1) OF POCEDUES SUBCTEE FOR EXPLOYED COEFFICIENT. (1) OF POCEDUES SUBCTEE FOR EXPLOYED COEFFICIENT. (1) FRAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALLE STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 ID= 1 DT-15.0 min THE TO PEAK (min)= 15.00 30.00 Strange Coeff. (min) = 15.00 30.00 Strange Coeff. (min) = 15.00 30.00 Virtare Area (ha)= 70.42 ID= 1 DT-15.0 min Strange Coeff. (min) = 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 15.00 30.00	CN* = ! (ii) TIME STEP THAN THE :	59.0 Ia (DT) SHOU STORAGE CO	= Dep. Storag LD BE SMALLER EFFICIENT.	ge (Above) OR EQUAL		
CALLE Construction CANADIMUM (2020) Area (ha)= 24.73 TOTADIMUM (2020) Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 Surface Area (ha)= 14.87 9.91 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Amarnag Slope (h)= 10.00 3.00 Mannings n = .013 250 Mannings n = .013 250 Mass.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 10.976 73.57 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= THME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 Uhit Hyd, peak (ama)= .11 .05 THE TO DEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 UNIN FOR COUNTS FOTALS* THE TO DEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 UNIN FOR COUNTS Storage Coeff. UNIN FOR COUNTS Storage Coont, (%)= 35.00 UNIN FOR COUNTS SUPPORT STORAGE COEFF.IS UNIN FOR COUNTS SUPPORT STORAGE COEFF.IS UNIN FOR COUNT STORAGE COEFF.IS Stare b	(111) PEAK FLOW	DOES NOT		LOW IF ANY.		
STANUPTO (2020) The 1 DF15.0 min The 1 DF15.0 min Surface Area (ha) = 24.78 Dep. Storage (mm) = 10.00 Length (m) = 40.00 Mannings = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 109.76 73.57 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 5.71 (ii) 15.53 (ii) Unit Hyd. Peak (mm) = .11 .05 FOUNLS' FERN FLOW (cmm) = .11 .05 FOUNLS' FERN FLOW (cmm) = .11 .05 FOUNLS' FERN FLOW (cmm) = .13 .253 HAVE ALSO FOUND (CMM) = .13 .250 HAVE ALSO FOUND (CMM) = .100 .1 .00 Length (m) = .053 HAVE ALSO FOUND (CMM) = .100 .10 Length (m) = .053 .00 HAVE ALSO FOUND (CMM) = .100 .250 HAVE ALSO FOUND (CMM) = .100 .10 Length (m) = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = .100 .76 .69.10 SVET (cMM) = .100 .10 .00 HAVE ALSO FOUND (CMM) = .100 .250 HAVE ALSO FOUND ALSO FOUND (CMM) = .250 HAVE ALSO FOUND ALSO F	CALIB					
IMPERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (*)= 1.00 1.00 Mannings n = 0.013 .250 Mark Eff. Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 73.57 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Peak (cmm)= 1.1 .05 PEAK FLOM (cmm)= 2.88 1.4 3.618 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (min)= 8.3.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF CORFFICIENT = .88 .39 .59 **** WARNIN: STORAGE COFF, IS SMALLER OR EQUAL THE TOTAL STORAGE COFF IS SMALLER OR EQUAL (ii) THME STPE (DT) SMOULDE BE SAULAR OR EQUAL THE TOTAL STORAGE COFF IS SMALLER OR EQUAL THE STEVICOS PERVICUS (i) THE STEVICOS STANDEND (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 TOTAL RAINFAL Total Imp(\$1 = 55.00 Dir. Conn	STANDHYD (2020) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min	Area Total I	(ha)= 24.78 mp(%)= 60.00	Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00	
Dep. Storage (mm): 10:00 2:50 Average Slope (* 1.00 1:00 Length (m): 405.40 40.00 Mannings n : 0.13 0:250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr): 109.76 73.57 over (min) 15:00 30:00 Unit Byd.peak (cms): 11:00 Time TO PEAK (hrs): 6:00 6:25 6:00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm): 73:15 83:15 83:15 RUNOFF COMPTCIENT: 8:00 6:25 6:00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm): 8:15 83:15 83:15 RUNOFF COMPTCIENT: 8:00 6:25 6:00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm): 8:15 83:15 83:15 RUNOFF COMPTCIENT: 8:00 6:25 6:00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm): 8:15 83:15 83:15 RUNOFF COMPTCIENT: 8:00 6:25 6:00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm): 8:00 FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (N' * 58.0 La Dep. Storage (Above) (i) THME STEP (D') SHOULD ES BALLER ON EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT: (ii) PEAK (hrs): 8:00 II: Conn.(%): 35:00 TOTALSA FLOW NORES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. THE TO PEAK (hrs): 10:00 10:00 Storage Coeff. (min): 7:81 (ii) 17:88 (ii) Unit Hyd. peak (cms): 3:03 3:250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr): 109.76 69:10 over (min) 15:00 30:00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms): 10 0:00 Storage Coeff. (min): 7:81 (ii) 17:88 (ii) Unit Hyd. peak (cms): 10:00 4:00 RUNOFF VOLUME (hm): 3:15 83:15 83:15 TIME TO PEAK (hrs): 6:00 6:25 6:00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm): 7:81 (ii) 17:88 (ii) Unit Hyd. peak (cms): 10:00 3:00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms): 10:00 5:00 Storage Coeff. (min): 1:5:00 3:00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms): 10:00 5:00 Storage Coeff. (min): 1:5:00 3:00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms): 10:00 5:00 Storage Coeff. (hin): 1:5:00 3:00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms): 10:00 5:00 Storage Coeff. (hin): 1:5:00 3:00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms): 10:00 5:00 Storage Coeff. (hin): 1:5:00 3:00 Unit Hyd. peak (hrs): 1:00 3:00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms): 1:00 3:00 Unit Hyd. peak (hrs): 1:00 3:00 Storage Coeff. (hin): 1:00 3:00 Unit Hyd. Peak (hrs): 1:00 3:00 Unit Hyd. Peak (hrs): 1:00 3:00 Unit Hyd. Peak (hrs): 1:00 3:00 Storage Coeff. (hin): 1:00 3:00 Storage Coeff. (hin): 1:00 3:00 3:00 Unit Hyd. Peak (hrs): 1:	Surface Area	(ba)=	IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)		
Average Singe (**) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 406.40 40.00 Mannings n (m) = 0.013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 109.76 73.57 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Peak (mm) = 5.71 (ii) 15.53 (ii) Unit Hyd. Peak (mm) = 1.10 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.88 TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 CNTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 OTTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 (i) N PROCEDURE SLECTEN FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (iii) THM STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SWALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BESTLOW IF ANY. TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = TOTAL RAINFAL (2021) Area (ha) = 70.42 STAMOMY (2021) Area (ha) = 70.42 STANDEY (2021) Marea (ha) = 1.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.15 Over (min)	Dep Storage	(mm) =	10 00	2 50		
Length (m) = 406.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Ef.Inten.(mm/hr) = 109.76 73.57 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 TIME TO DEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RINOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.15 32.53 48.78 TOTALST (MM) = 83.15 83.15 RINOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .39 59 **** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 I a = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SMOULD BE SMALLER OR RQUAL TIME TOTAL MON ECOEFFICIENT: (111) PAAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE RASEFICM IF ANY. 	Average Slope	(%)=	1.00	1.00		
<pre>Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 73.57</pre>	Length	(m) =	406.40	40.00		
<pre>Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 73.57</pre>	Mannings n	=	.013	.250		
Over (unit) 12.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (unit)= 15.01 11 .05 PEX FLOW (cms)= 1.1 .05 "TOTALG* PEX FLOW (cms)= 2.88 1.14 3.613 (iii) TIME TO PEAX (hr.)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 1.15 3.615 TOTAL FAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 32.53 48.78 .759 .777 TOTAL FAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 83.15 .759 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSES: .780 .780 .759 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. .110 .748 .748.01 .742 STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 .750 .750 .750 .750 Storage (mn)= 10.03 2.50 .750 .750 .750 Storage Slope (i)= 1.00 2.50 .750 .750 .750 Mar.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 10.75 69.10 .750 .7	Max.Eff.Inten.(nm/hr)=	109.76	73.57		
<pre>Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 (11) 30.00 (11) Unit Hyd. peak (mms)= 1105 *TOTALS* TEME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.15 32.53 48.78 TOTAL FAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .35 9.59 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) THE STEP (TOT SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFF.IES MALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASELFOW IF ANY. CALLE STANDHYD (2021) Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 69.10 .0ver (min) 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (mn)= 7.315 31.76 46.55 FUND (mm)= 7.315 31.76 46.55 FUND (COEFFICIENT = .10 .00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (mn)= 17.15 31.76 46.55 FUND (COEFFICIENT = .10 .00 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 183.73 31.69 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .10 .00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (mn)= 18.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (mn)= 18.15 81.15 83.15 FUND FOR COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .55 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (ADve) (ii) THE STO PEAK (DOFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (ADve) (ii) THE STORAGE COEFF.IS MALLER THAN TIME STEP! (1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (ADve) (ii) THE STORAGE COEFF.IS MALLER THAN TIME STEP! (1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (ADve) (ii) THE STORAGE COEFF.IS MALLER THAN TIME STEP! (ADNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .55 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF.IS MALLER CHAILER THAN TIME STEP! (ADVA FOR FUNDAL LINE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (ADVA FOR FUNDAL LINE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (ADVA FOR FUNDAL LINE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (ADVA FOR FUNDAL LINE STORAGE C</pre>	Storage Coeff	(min) -	15.00 5.71 (ii)	30.00 15 53 (ii)		
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .11 .05 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.88 1.14 3.618 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RINOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.15 32.53 48.78 TOTAL AINPALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .39 .59 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CC* = 58.0 I a = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFF. ISO D DIR. Conn.(%)= 35.00 CALLE CALLE SUFface Area (ha)= 70.42 Dep. Storage (m)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 65.20 40.00 Mannings = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 69.10 Unit Hyd. peak (ms)= 1.10 .05 FOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 Unit Hyd. ToeAK (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 Unit Hyd. ToeAK (mm)= 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= .10 .55 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= .10 .55 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= .500 BAILER THAN TIME STEP! () CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (i) CT HRS)= REVECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (i) CT HRS)= REVECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (i) CT HAN THE STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (ii) THE STEP ROTAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP: (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (ii) THE STEP ROTAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP: (ii) PEAK HLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	Unit Hvd. Tpeak	(min)=	15.00	30.00		
<pre>PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.88 1.14 3.618 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFY VOLUME (mm)= 73.15 32.53 48.78 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFY COEFFICIENT = .88 .39 .59 **** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	Unit Hyd. peak	(cms)=	.11	.05		
PERK FLOW (lms)= 2.88 1.43 5.015 (111) TIME TO DEAK (lms)= 73.15 32.53 48.78 TOTAL RAINFALL (lm)= 73.15 32.53 48.78 TOTAL RAINFALL (lm)= 73.15 32.53 48.78 TOTAL RAINFALL (lm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .88 .39 .59 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN FROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (li) CM* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) THM STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFF.CIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	DEAK FLOW	(ama)-	2 00	1 14	*TOTALS*	
RUNOFF VOLUME (nm)= 73.15 32.53 48.78 TOTAL RAINEAL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 TOTAL RAINEAL (mm)= .83 .39 .59 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (ii) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (iii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFCIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (iii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFCIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (iii) TOTAL Imp(%) = 55.00 Dir. Conn. (%) = 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 70.42 INPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 36.73 31.69 OUT SUCUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 36.73 31.69 OUT SUCUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 36.73 31.69 OUT SUCUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 36.73 31.69 OUT SUCUE PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 655.20 40.00 OUT SUCUE PERVIOUS (I) OUT SUCUE (mm) F 73.15 31.76 46.24	TIME TO PEAK	(Cms) =	2.88	1.14 6.25	5.010 (111)	
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 59 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP [DT) SHOLD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFF.ITS.T. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALLE STANDHYD (2021) D= 1 DT=15.0 min CALLE STANDHYD (2021) D= 1 DT=15.0 min SUFface Area (ha) = 70.42 Total Imp(%) = 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 35.00 Control SUFface Area (ha) = 70.42 Total Imp(%) = 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 35.00 SUFface Area (ha) = 70.42 Total Imp(%) = 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 35.00 Surface Area (ha) = 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm) = 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.81 (ii) 17.86 (ii) Unit Hyd. peak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.31.5 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 **TOTALS* THEE FLOW (coms) = 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) THE TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) THE STEP LOT) SHOULDE EMAELER 0F EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. THAN THE STORAGE	RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm) =	73.15	32.53	48.78	
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .39 .59 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFF.IENT (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm) =	83.15	83.15	83.15	
<pre>**** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP [CT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALLE STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 665.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 69.10 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO FEAK (mm)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO FEAK (mm)= 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTALS*</pre>	RUNOFF COEFFICI	ENT =	.88	. 39	. 59	
CALLB STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 665.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 69.10 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAN (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAN (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 **** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) THME STEP (DT) SHOULD ES SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (ii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(iii) PEAK FLOW	DOES NOT	INCLUDE BASEFI	LOW IF ANY.		
CALLB STANDAYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 665.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Bff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 69.10 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PERX (hrs)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PERX (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) THME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (ii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.						
STANDRYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 665.20 40.00 Max.Bff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 69.10 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSESS: CN* = 58.0 Ta = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) HOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STEP! (iii) TIME STER (DE) FOT INCLUE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	CALIB					
<pre>ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm) = 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 109.76 69.10 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 10.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PERCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	STANDHYD (2021)	Area	(ha) = 70.42			
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm) = 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 665.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 109.76 69.10 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO FEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CM* = 58.0 IA = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT ADUE SANOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALLB<	ID= 1 DT=15.0 min	Total I	mp(%)= 55.00	Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00	
Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 33.69 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 665.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Bff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 69.10 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 .30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAX (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (ii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.			IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)		
Dep. Storage (imi) = 10:00 1.00 Average Slope (i) = 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 109.76 69.10 over (min) over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.81 (i) 17.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. peak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEEDURE SELECTED FOR PREVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT, TIMIN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT, (iiii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Surface Area	(ha)=	38.73	31.69		
Length (m) = 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 109.76 69.10 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PEOCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	Average Slope	(1111) =	1.00	1.00		
Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 69.10 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) 10 .00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 .00 .01 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.655 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .36 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 CA .56 (ii) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CIN* = 58.0 CA .56 'ii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Length	(m)=	685.20	40.00		
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 69.10 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (mis)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 73.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: cN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL TTAM THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT., (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	Mannings n	=	.013	.250		
over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. peak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .10 .05 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = CN* = 58.0 1a = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(nm/hr)=	109.76	69.10		
Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .10 .05 *TOTALS* *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALLE NASHYD (1044) Area (ha) = 443.50 Curve Number (CN) = 58.0 S8.0	over	(min)	15.00	30.00		
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (mth)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 10 0.5 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	Storage Coeff.	(min)=	7.81 (ii)	17.88 (ii)		
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	Unit Hyd. Tpeak Unit Hyd. peak	(min)= (cms)=	.10	.05		
PEAR FLOW (Cmm)= 6.7/ 3.23 6.855 (111) TITME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALLE NASHYD (1044) Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0	DRAW BLOW	()	6 77	2 02	*TOTALS*	
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFAL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .56 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP [DT] SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALLE NASHYD (1044) Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0	PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK	(cms)= (hrs)=	6.00	3.23	6.00 (111)	
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm) =	73.15	31.76	46.24	
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (c)* = 58.0 Ia. = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALLB NASHYD (1044) Area (ha) = 443.50 Curve Number	TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm) =	83.15	83.15	83.15	
<pre>**** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	RUNOFF COEFFICI	ENT =	.88	.38	.56	
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALLE CALLB Area (ha) = 443.50 Curve Number (CN) = 58.0 (CN) = 58.0	**** WARNING: STORA	GE COEFF.	IS SMALLER THA	AN TIME STEP!		
CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP LOT SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	(i) CN PROCED	JRE SELECT	ED FOR PERVIOU	JS LOSSES:		
(11) THE STEP (D1) SHOULD BE SHALLER OF PUCAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALLE Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0	CN* =	58.0 Ia	= Dep. Storag	ge (Above)		
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALLB NASHYD (1044) Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0	(II) TIME STEP THAN THE S	STORAGE CO	EFFICIENT.	OK BUOAL		
CALLB NASHYD (1044) Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0	(iii) PEAK FLOW	DOES NOT	INCLUDE BASEFI	LOW IF ANY.		
CALIB NASHYD (1044) Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0						
NASHYD (1044) Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0	CALTR					
•	NASHYD (1044)	Area	(ha)= 443.50	Curve Number	(CN)= 58.0	
	. , ,					

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ |ID= 1 DT=15.0 min | Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 ----- U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.83 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 5.986 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.063 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 11.915 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .143 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB CALIB NASHYD NASHYD Area (ha)= 170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 ----- U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.22 2.22 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .930 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 11.915 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .143 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB STANDHYD (2012) Area (ha)= 26.45 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) 10.58 15.87 Surface Area (ha)= Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= Length (m)= 419.90 40.00 = Mannings n .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 54.15 15.00 30.00 5.82 (ii) 16.93 (ii) over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 15.00 30.00 .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.92 1.29 2.747 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 6.00 82.65 6.25 28.85 6.00 42.30 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .35 .51 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (i) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB STANDHYD (2010) Area (ha)= 22.70 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 -----IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= Dep. Storage (mm)= 9.08 13.62 2.50 (mm) = Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 389.00 40.00 Length (m)= .013 Mannings n = .250 109.76 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 54.15 30.00 over (min) 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.56 (ii) 16.67 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 15.00 30.00 .11 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW 1.65 1.12 2.372 (iii) (cms)=

Page 47

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update De	c 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.65 28.85 42.30 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .99 .35 .51		NASHYD (9246) Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .60
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!		Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 		PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.134 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 17.315 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .208
		(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB STANDHYD (2011) Area (ha) = 40.62 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 16.25 24.37 Control Control (main contr		CALIB NASHYD (1046) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm) = 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00
Dep. Storage (mm)= .30 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 520.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 54.15 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff (min)= 6.62 (ij) 17.73 (ij)		DHIL Hyd Upeak (cms) = 9.180 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 3.258 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 12.300 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .148
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05		(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.88 1.95 4.134 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.65 28.85 42.30 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .35 .51		CALIB
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!		Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .681
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 		PEAK FLOW (cms) = .342 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 21.923 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .264
		(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB Area (ha)= 479.57 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Ty(hrs)= 2.73 JInt Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 6.710		CALIB NASHYD (1059) Area (ha)= 487.62 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 ⋕ of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.17
PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.362 (i)		Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = 8.583
TIME TO PEAR (ATS)= 9.750 RUNOFF VOLIME (mm)= 12.300 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .148 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.		$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
		(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB NASHYD (9146) Area (ha)= 369.57 Curve Number (CN)= 55.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.20		CALIB STANDHYD (2042) Area (ha)= 54.50 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.332 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 12.712 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .153		$\begin{array}{rcrcrc} IMPERVIOUS & PERVIOUS (i) \\ Surface Area & (ha) = & 21.80 & 32.70 \\ Dep. Storage & (mm) = & 50 & 1.50 \\ Average Slope & (\$) = & 1.00 & 1.00 \\ Length & (m) = & 602.80 & 40.00 \\ Mannings n & = & .013 & .250 \\ \end{array}$
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.		Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 77.23 over (min) 15.00 30.00
CALIB		Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.23 (ii) 16.87 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05
	Page 49	Page 50

PEAK FLOW	(cms)=	3.80	3.83	*TOTALS* 6.337 (iii)
TIME TO PEAK	(hrs)=	6.00	6.25	6.00
KUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm) = (mm) =	82.65 83.15	4U./1 83.15	51.20 83.15
RUNOFF COEFFICI	ENT =	.99	.49	.62
**** WARNING: STOR	GE COEFF.	IS SMALLER TH	HAN TIME STEP!	
(i) CN PROCEI CN* =	URE SELEC 71.0 I	TED FOR PERVIO a = Dep. Stora	DUS LOSSES: age (Above)	
(ii) TIME STEE THAN THE	(DT) SHO	ULD BE SMALLER	R OR EQUAL	
(iii) PEAK FLOW	DOES NOT	INCLUDE BASE	FLOW IF ANY.	
CALTB				
STANDHYD (2041)	Area	(ha)= 82.05	5	
ID= 1 DT=15.0 min	Total	Imp(%)= 45.00) Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00
0	(1)	IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)	
Dep. Storage	(mm) =	.50	1.50	
Average Slope	(%)=	1.00	1.00	
Length Mannings n	(m)=	.013	40.00	
		100 75	70.00	
Max.Eff.Inten.(over	mm/hr)= (min)	109.76	30.00	
Storage Coeff.	(min)=	8.18 (ii) 17.71 (ii)	
Unit Hyd. Tpeak Unit Hyd. peak	(min)= (cms)=	.10	30.00	
DEAK BLOW	()	6 60	5 24	*TOTALS*
TIME TO PEAK	(cms)= (hrs)=	6.00	5.34	10.214 (111) 6.00
RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm) =	82.65	41.10	53.56
TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm) =	83.15	83.15	83.15
RUNOFF COEFFICI	ENT =	.99	. 49	.64
RUNOFF COEFFICE	ENT =	.99	.49	.64
RUNOFF COEFFIC	ENT = .GE COEFF.	.99 IS SMALLER TI	.49 HAN TIME STEP!	.64
RUNOFF COEFFICI **** WARNING: STORA (i) CN PROCEI CN* -	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC	.99 IS SMALLER TH TED FOR PERVIO	.49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES:	.64
RUNOFF COEFFICI **** WARNING: STORA (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STER	ENT = .GE COEFF.	.99 IS SMALLER TH TED FOR PERVIO a = Dep. Stora ULD BE SMALLES	.49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL	.64
RUNOFF COEFFICI **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEI CN* = (ii) TIME STEI THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW	ENT = GE COEFF. UURE SELEC 71.0 I (DT) SHO STORAGE C U DOES NOT	.99 IS SMALLER TH TED FOR PERVIC a = Dep. Stora ULD BE SMALLEN OEFFICIENT. INCLUBE BASE	.49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL ZLOW IF ANY.	.64
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STOR/ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 71.0 I (DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT	.99 IS SMALLER TH TED FOR PERVIC a = Dep. Storr ULD BE SMALLEN OEFFICIENT. 'INCLUDE BASEN	.49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY.	.64
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STOR/ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) THE STEL THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOY	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 71.0 I (DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT	.99 IS SMALLER TH TED FOR PERVIC a = Dep. Storr ULD BE SMALLEI OEFFICIENT. INCLUDE BASEI	.49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY.	.64
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW CALLE CTANLIMY (2040)	ENT = GE COEFF. UURE SELEC 71.0 I (DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT	.99 IS SMALLER TH TED FOR PERVIG a = Dep. Stora ULD BE SMALLEE OEFFICIENT. INCLUDE BASEI	.49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY.	.64
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOY CALIB STANDHYD (2040) D= 1 DT=15.0 min	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC (DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total	.99 IS SMALLER TH TED FOR PERVIC a = Dep. Storr ULD BE SMALLER OEFFICIENT. 'INCLUDE BASEN (ha)= 145.2' Imp(%)= 40.00	.49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY.	.64 *)= 25.00
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL (iii) PEAK FLOV CALLB STANDHYD (2040) ID = 1 DT=15.0 min	ENT = GE COEFF. UURE SELEC 71.0 I (DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVII a = Dep. Stor: ULD BE SMALLER OEFFICIENT. INCLUDE BASEI (ha)= 145.2' Imp(%)= 40.0' IMPERVIOUS	.49 HAN TIME STEP! UUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. 	.64 *)= 25.00
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOV 	ENT = GE COEFF. UURE SELEC 71.0 I (DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha)=	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIT a = Dep. Stori OEFFICIENT. INCLUDE BASEI (ha) = 145.2 Imp(%) = 40.0 IMPERVIOUS 58.11	.49 HAN TIME STEP! JUS LOSSES: age (Above) A OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. 	.64 *)= 25.00
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEH THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW CALIE STANDHYD (2040) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Surface Area Dep. Storage Avgrage Slope	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC '(DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha) = (mm) = (%) =	.99 IS SMALLER TH TED FOR PERVII a = Dep. Storr: ULD BE SWALLEH OEFFICIENT. INCLUDE BASEH (ha)= 145.2' Imp(%)= 40.00 IMPERVIOUS 58.11 .50 1.00	.49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES: (Above) & OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. D Dir. Conn.() PERVIOUS (i) 87.16 1.50 1.00	.64 *)= 25.00
RUNOFF COEFFIC: ***** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOY 	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC (107) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha)= (mm)= (%)=	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL ULD BE SMALLER OKFFICIENT. INCLUDE BASEN (ha)= 145.27 (mp(%)= 40.00 IMPERVIOUS 58.11 .50 1.00 984.10	.49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. D Dir. Conn.() PERVIOUS (i) 87.16 1.50 1.00 40.00	.64 *)= 25.00
RUNOFF COEFFIC: ***** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL (iii) PEAK FLOY CALLB STANDHYD (2040) [D= 1 DT=15.0 min] Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n	ENT = GE COEFF. UURE SELEC (DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha)= (mm)= (%)= (m)= (%)=	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL ULD BE SMALLER OKFFICLENT. INCLUDE BASEN (ha) = 145.2' Imp(%) = 40.0(IMPERVIOUS 58.11 .50 1.00 984.10 .013	.49 HAN TIME STEP! UUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. 	.64 %)= 25.00
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL (iii) PEAK FLOO CALLB STANDHYD (2040) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n Max.Eff.Inten.(ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC (DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha) = (m) = (%) = (m) = m/hr) =	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL 02FFICIENT. (ha) = 145.2 (ha) = 145.4.0 Imp(%) = 40.0 IMPERVIOUS 50.1 .00 984.10 .013 109.76	.49 HAN TIME STEP! UUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. 	.64 %)= 25.00
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOV CALIE STANDHYD (2040) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n Max.Eff.Inten.(over Storage Coefficients)	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 71.0 II STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha)= (ma)= (*)= (*)= (min)=	.99 IS SMALLER TH TED FOR PERVIT a = Dep. Stora OEFFICIENT. INCLUDE BASEI (ha) = 145.2' Imp(%) = 40.0' IMPERVIOUS 58.11 .50 1.00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 9.0 (j5)	.49 HAN TIME STEP! JUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. Dir. Conn.(1 PERVIOUS (i) 87.16 1.50 1.00 40.00 .250 77.23 30.00 19.34 (ii)	.64 *)= 25.00
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW 	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 71.0 II (DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha)= (mn)= (%)= mm/hr)= (min)= (min)=	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL a = Dep. Storr ULD BE SMALLER OKFFICIENT. INCLUDE BASEN (ha)= 145.2 Imp(%)= 40.00 IMPERVIOUS 56.11 .50 1.00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 9.70 (ii 15.00	.49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. D Dir. Conn.(* PERVIOUS (i) 87.16 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 250 77.23 30.00) 19.34 (ii) 30.00	.64 *)= 25.00
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL (iii) PEAK FLOY CALIB STANDHYD (2040) D= 1 DT=15.0 min D= 1 DT=15.0 min Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n Max.Eff.Inten.(over Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpeak Unit Hyd. Tpeak	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 71.0 II STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha) = (mm) = (%) = (mn) = (min) = : (min) = : (cms) =	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL 005FFICIENT. INCLUDE BASEN (ha)= 145.2' Impl%)= 40.0(IMPERVIOUS 58.11 .00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 9.70 (ii 15.00 .09	.49 HAN TIME STEP! UUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. 	.64 %)= 25.00
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL (iii) TEKE STEL (iii) PEAK FLOW CALLB STANDHYD (2040) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min D= 1 DT=15.0 min Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n Max.Eff.Inten.(over Storage Coeff.) Unit Hyd. Tpeak DHAR PARA	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 71.0 II STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha) = (mm) = (*) = (mn) = (*) = (mn) = (min) = (min) = (cms) = (cms) =	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL a = Dep. Stor: ULD BE SMALLER INCLUDE BASEN (ha) = 145.2; Imp(%) = 40.0; Imp(%) = 40.0; Imp(%) = 40.0; Imp(%) = 40.0; Imp(%) = 40.0; 0 S8.11 .00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 9.43	.49 HAN TIME STEP! UUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. 	.64 *)= 25.00 *TOTALS* 15.788 (iii)
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL (CN* = (ii) TIME STEL (iii) THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOV CALLE STANDHYD (2040) ID= 1 DT=15.0 401) ID= 1 DT=15.0 401) Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n Max.Eff.Inten.(over Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpeak Unit Hyd. peak PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 71.0 I DOES NOT Area Total (ha)= (mm)= (%)= (m)= mm/hr)= (min)= (cms)= (cms)= (ha)= (cms)= (ha)=	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL 02FFICIENT. (ha) = 145.2 (ha) = 145.4.0 Imp(%) = 40.0 IMPERVIOUS 58.11 .00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 9.70 (ii 15.00 .09 9.43 6.00 0.05	.49 HAN TIME STEP! UUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. 	.64 *TOTALS* 15.788 (iii) 6.00
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW CALIE STANDHYD (2040) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n Max.Eff.Inten.(over Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpeak PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 71.0 II (DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha)= (mm)= (%)= (min)= ((min)= ((min)= ((min)= ((cms)= ((mr)= ((mn)= (((mn)= ((()))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL a = Dep. Stori ULD BE SMALLER 'INCLUDE BASEI (ha) = 145.2' Imp(%) = 40.00 IMPERVIOUS 58.11 .00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 9.84.10 .01 15.00 9.7.00 15.00 .09 9.43 6.00 82.65 83.15	.49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL PLOW IF ANY. 	.64 *TOTALS* 15.788 (iii) 6.0 51.20 83.15
RUNOFF COEFFIC: ***** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOY 	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 'DT1.0 II 'DT0 SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha) = (mm) = (*) = (m) = (min) = (min) = (cms) = (hrs) = (mn) = (.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL ULD BE SMALLER 'INCLUDE BASEN 'IN	.49 HAN TIME STEP! JUS LOSSES: R OR EQUAL R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. 	.64 *TOTALS* 15.788 (iii) 6.100 51.20 83.15 .62
RUNOFF COEFFIC: ***** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CAN* = (ii) TIME STEL (iii) PEAK FLOW CALIB STANDHYD (2040) D= 1 DT=15.0 min D= 1 DT=15.0 min D= 1 DT=15.0 min Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n Max.Eff.Inten.(open Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Peak PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICI **** WARNING: STORJ	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 71.0 I '(DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha)= (mm)= (*)= (m)= (min)= (min)= (cms)= (cms)= (cms)= (mm)= ENT = GE COEFF.	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL a = Dep. Stor; ULD BE SMALLER 'INCLUDE BASEI (ha) = 145.2' Imp(%) = 40.0(IMPERVIOUS 58.11 .00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 984.10 .019 9.43 6.00 8.3.15 .99 IS SMALLER TI	.49 HAN TIME STEP! UUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. 	.64 *TOTALS* 15.788 (iii) 6.00 51.20 83.15 .62
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL (CN* = (ii) TIME STEL (iii) TEKE STEL (iii) PEAK FLOW CALLB STANDHYD (2040) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n Max.Eff.Inten.(over Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Teak DINIT Hyd. Teak DINIT Hyd. Teak PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOELWE TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICI **** WARNING: STORJ	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 71.0 I (DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha) = (mm)= (*) = (mm)= (*) = (mn)= (mn)= (cms)= (cms)= (cms)= (cms)= GE COEFF.	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL a = Dep. Stor: uLD BE SMALLER (ha) = 145.2; (ha) = 145.2; (ma) = 145.2; (ma) = 40.0; Imp(%) = 40.0; Impervious 50 1.00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 984.10 15.00 984.10 15.00 984.10 15.00 984.10 15.00 984.10 15.00 984.10 15.00 984.10 15.00 984.3 15.00 15	.49 HAN TIME STEP! UUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. 	.64 *TOTALS* 15.788 (iii) 6.00 51.20 83.15 .62
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEI THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW CALIE STANDHYD (2040) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min 	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 71.0 I (DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha)= (mm)= (%)= (mn)= (min) (min)= (mi	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL GOFFICIENT. INCLUDE BASEN (ha) = 145.2 (ha) = 145.2 (ha) = 145.2 (mg(%) = 40.00 IMPERVIOUS 58.11 50 1.00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 9.70 (i1 5.00 9.70 (i1 5.00 9.70 (i1 5.00 9.43 6.00 82.65 8.315 .99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL	.49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES: 8 OR EQUAL 7 D Dir. Conn.(1 9 PERVIOUS (i) 87.16 1.50 1.00 2.50 77.23 30.00 .05 9.68 6.25 40.71 83.15 .49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES: 9 (Above)	.64 *TOTALS* 15.788 (iii) 6.00 51.20 83.15 .62
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW CALIE STANDHYD (2040) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min CALIE STANDHYD (2040) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n Max.Eff.Inten.(over Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpeak PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICI **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEE CN* = (ii) TIME STEL "TON"	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 71.0 II (DT) SHO STORAGE C DOES NOT Area Total (ha)= (mm)= (%)= (mn)= (%)= (min)= ((min)=) ((min)= ((min	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL ULD BE SMALLER (ha) = 145.27 Imp(%) = 40.00 IMPERVIOUS 56.11 .50 1.00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 984.10 .013 105.70 15.00 984.10 .00 9	.49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. D Dir. Conn.() PERVIOUS (i) 87.16 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.00 40.00 .250 77.23 30.00 .05 9.68 6.25 40.01 30.00 .05 9.68 6.25 40.71 8.716 .25 49 HAN TIME STEP! DUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL	.64 *TOTALS* 15.788 (iii) 6.00 51.20 83.15 .62
RUNOFF COEFFICI ***** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOY CALLB STANDHYD (2040) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min ID= 1 DT=15.0 min ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n Max.Eff.Inten.(over Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpeak Unit Hyd. Tpeak UNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICI **** WARNING: STORJ (i) CN PROCEL CN* = (ii) TIME STEL THAN THE (ii) PEAK FLOW	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 'DT) SHO STORAGE C 'DOES NOT Area Total (ha) = (mm) = (%) = (m) = (min) = (min) = (min) = (min) = (min) = (mm) = (mm) = (min) = (min) = (mm) = (mm) = (min) = (mm) = (CmS) = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 'DOES NOT 'DOES NOT 'DOES NOT	.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL a = Dep. Stori ULD BE SMALLER 'INCLUDE BASEI 'INCLUDE BASEI 'INCLUDE 'INCLUDE 'INCLUDE'' (ha) = 145.2' Imp(%) = 40.0' IMPERVIOUS 58.11 .00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 984.10 .013 SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIA a = Dep. Stori TED FOR PERVIA CUD BE SMALLE ONFFICIENT.	.49 HAN TIME STEP! JUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. 	.64 *TOTALS* 15.788 (iii) 6.00 51.20 83.15 .62
RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STOR/ (i) CN PROCEL CAN* = (ii) TIME STEL (iii) PEAK FLOW CALLB STANDHYD (2040) D= 1 DT=15.0 min D= 1 DT=15.0 min Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n Max.Eff.Inten.(over Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Peak PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOELWE TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFIC: **** WARNING: STORY (i) CN PROCEL TIME STORY (ii) CN PROCEL TIME THE THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW	ENT = GE COEFF. URE SELEC 71.0 I STORAGE C (ha) = (mm) = (ma) = (ma) = (ma) = (ma) = (ma) = (ma) = (ma) = (cms) = (.99 IS SMALLER TI TED FOR PERVIL ULD BE SMALLER OFFFICIENT. INCLUDE BASEN (ha) = 145.2' Imp(%) = 40.0(IMPERVIOUS 58.11 .00 984.10 .013 109.76 15.00 9.70 (ii 15.00 9.70 (ii 15.00 9.70 (ii 15.00 9.70 (ii 15.00 9.70 (ii 15.00 9.70 (ii 15.00 9.70 (ii 15.00 9.70 (ii 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.74 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 15.00 9.73 10.00 9.74 10.00 9.79 10.00 10.00 9.74 10.00 10.00 9.75 10.00 10.0	.49 HAN TIME STEP! UUS LOSSES: age (Above) R OR EQUAL FLOW IF ANY. 	.64 *)= 25.00 *TOTALS* 15.788 (iii) 6.00 51.20 83.15 .62

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ CALIB Area (ha) = 406.96 Curve Number (CN) = 60.0 NASHYD (1060) Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.16 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 13.400 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 7.472 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 7.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 22.578 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .272 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALTR (9254) Area (ha)= 24.78 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 NASHYD |ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min | Ia (mm)= 30.00 ----- U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.38 NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP. ---- TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH ----TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN mm/hr | hrs mm/hr 3.33 | 6.083 14.97 hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr 1.83 .083 3.083 9.08 2.53 .167 1.83 3.167 3.33 6.167 14.97 9.17 2.53 .250 1.83 3.250 3.33 6.250 14.97 9.25 2.53 .333 1.93 3.333 1.93 3.417 3.33 6.333 14.97 9.33 2.33 .417 3.33 6.417 14.97 9.42 2.33 500 1 93 3 500 3.33 6 500 14.97 7.92 9 50 2 33 .583 3.33 6.583 1.96 3.583 9.58 2.23 .667 1.96 1.96 3.667 3.33 6.667 7.92 9.67 2.23 3.750 3.33 3.33 6.750 7.92 9.75 2.23 .833 2.03 3.833 6.833 5.39 9.83 2.26 .917 2.03 | 3.917 2.03 | 4.000 3.33 6.917 5.39 9.92 2.26 3.33 7.000 5.39 2.26 1.083 2.13 4.083 4.59 7.083 4.99 10.08 2.20 1.167 2.13 4.167 4.250 4.59 7.167 4.99 10.17 2.20 2.20 2.20 4.333 5.39 7.333 4.99 10.33 1.333 2.06 7.417 1.417 2.20 4.417 2.20 4.500 5.39 4.99 10.42 2.06 4.500 5.39 4.99 10.50 1.500 2.06 4.583 1.583 2.23 6.02 7.583 4.99 10.58 1.90 7.667 7.750 7.833 1.667 2.23 4.667 6.02 4.99 10.67 1.90 1.750 2.23 6.02 4.99 10.75 4.750 1.90 1.833 2.33 4.833 7.28 4.99 10.83 1.76 1.917 2.000 2.33 2.33 4.917 5.000 7.28 7.28 7.917 8.000 4.99 4.99 10.92 11.00 1.76 1.76 5.083 5.167 5.250 2.083 2.33 9.98 8.083 4.26 11.08 1.56 2.167 2.33 9.98 8.167 4.26 11.17 1.56 2.250 2.33 9.98 8.250 4.26 11.25 1.56 2.333 2.33 5.333 5.417 9.98 8.333 9.98 8.417 3.66 11.33 11.42 1.43 3.66 2.417 2.33 1.43 5.500 3.66 2.500 2.33 9.98 8.500 11.50 1.43 5.583 3.19 3.19 11.58 2.583 2.49 39.91 8.583 1.26 2.49 2.667 39.91 8.667 1.26 2.49 5.750 39.91 8.750 2.83 5.833 109.76 8.833 2.750 3.19 11.75 1.26 2.833 2.83 | 11.83 1.13 2.83 5.917 109.76 8.917 2.83 6.000 109.76 9.000 11.92 2.917 2.83 1.13 3.000 2.83 | 12.00 1.13 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .398 PEAK FLOW (cmg)= .130 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.333 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 11.915 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .143 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. RESERVOIR (9021) IN= 2---> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW DT= 15.0 min STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 1.2000 . 9900 .0290 2.7000 1.4200

Page 51

D1606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 20	2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\U -
.5000 .6900 6.1000 2.1800 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 9.919 6.00 50.02 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 4.688 6.50 49.98		+ ID2= 2 (2011): 40.62 4.134 6.00 42.30 ID = 3 (7001): 63.32 4.414 6.00 38.19 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 47.27 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOM (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.8649		RESERVOIR (9147) IN= 2> 0UT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW OUTOCO
SERVOIR (9022) [= 2> 00T= 1] [= 15.0 min] OUTFLOW STORAGE		AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 3.332 7.25 12.71 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ba) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 3.618 6.00 48.78 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .130 9.50 48.16 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 3.59 3.59		TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=***** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 4.6980
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=210.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .9810		DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		OUTFLOW: ID= I (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .9504
NOIE PEAR FLOWS DO NOI INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANT.		RESERVOIR (9020) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE 0000 0.0000 0.2000 1.7200 0.2000 3.0000 2.5000
IDI= 1 (7008): 95.20 8.864 6.00 46.74 + ID2= 2 (1044): 443.50 2.063 9.75 11.92 ID = 3 (5065): 538.70 8.907 6.00 18.07 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 15.788 6.00 51.20 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9020) 145.270 1.758 7.75 51.17 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 11.14
SERVOIR (9019) = 2> OUT= 1 = 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE		TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=105.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 5.0996
		IN- 2> 001= 1 SHIFT=150.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
AREA VERAR 1 FRAR R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 2.372 6.00 42.30 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 .929 6.50 30.84 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 39.15 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ham.)= .4536		$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
		ID = 3 (5062): 699.78 9.861 8.50 32.60 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID1= 1 (9019): 22.70 .929 6.50 30.84	Page 53	

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
ADD HYD (7002) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1= 1 (2012): 26.45 2.747 6.00 42.30 + ID2= 2 (7001): 63.32 4.414 6.00 38.19 ID = 3 (7002): 89.77 7.161 6.00 39.40	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9029) 406.96 7.47 9.50 22.58 .48 1.14 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6019) 406.96 5.03 10.75 22.58 .41 1.03
NOIE. PEAK FLOWS DO NOI INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANI.	ADD HYD (5064) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ba) (cms) (hrs) (mm)
ADD HYD (7004) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	ID1= 1 (1045): 170.73 .930 9.00 11.92 + ID2= 2 (7002): 89.77 7.161 6.00 39.40 ID = 3 (5064): 260.50 7.193 6.00 21.39 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
ADD HYD (7013) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	ADD HYD (9250) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. IDl = 1 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00 + ID2 = 2 (1046): 672.95 3.258 9.75 12.30 ID = 3 (9250): 1097.41 3.258 9.75 7.54 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID = 3 (7013): 227.32 10.369 6.00 52.04	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$ \begin{vmatrix} ADD & HYD & (7014) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ \\ ID1 = 1 & (2042): \\ + ID2 = 2 & (7013): \\ 227 & (727 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 221 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 211 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 211 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 211 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 211 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 211 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 211 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 211 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 211 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 211 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 211 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 211 & 0.369 \\ & (1013) & (777 & 211 & 0.369 \\$
C DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning	1D = 3 (7014); 281.82 16.706 6.00 51.88
101 1281.05. 08009 34.48 278.78 0800 62.07 280.75 0800 75.86 280.87 0.0300 110.34 277.13 0.800 124.14 276.45 0.0350 Main Channel 137.93 274.50 0.350 Main Channel 151.72 274.76 0.350 Main Channel 123.79 277.31 0.800 255.17 275.86 278.49 0.800 289.66 303.45 278.41 0.800 312.47 278.40 0.800	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLORE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME (m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min) .20 274.70 .665E+04 .6 .56 172.84 .39 274.89 .247E+05 4.2 1.00 97.27 .59 275.09 .478E+05 11.1 .135 71.58 .78 275.24 .756E+05 21.4 1.64 58.99 .98 275.44 .108E+06 55.2 1.21 45.70 1.37 275.67 .145E+06 52.9 2.12 45.70 1.37 275.67 .145E+06 101.2 2.53 38.37 1.76 276.65 .324E+06 132.2 2.71 35.80 1.95 276.45 .342E+06 160.8 2.73 35.50 2.18 276.45 .342E+06 233.9 3.00 32.28 2.60 277.32 .574E+06 402.4 3.11 31.21 3.03 277.53 .894E+06 51.75 3.37 28.78	ADD HYD (5061) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. ADD HYD (5061) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. IIII 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IIII 2 + 102 - 2 (7014): 281.82 16.706 6.00 51.88 III = 3 (5061): 769.44 17.498 6.00 38.59 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. ROUTE CHN (9251) ROUTE CHN (9251) Routing time step (min)'= 15.00

.01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\U	xbridge\ V:\01606\Active\1
< DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning	ID = 3
.00 278.33 .0800	NOTE: PEAK 1
40.71 277.17 .0800 57.10 277.40 .0800	
62.29 276.96 .0800 67.48 275.94 0800	
77.86 273.27 .0800	IN= 2> OUT=
83.05 272.29 .0800 93.43 270.99 .0800	DT= 15.0 min
109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel	
119.38 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 150.53 271.36 .0350 / .0800 Main Channel	
186.86 273.45 .0800 207.62 274.37 0800	
233.57 275.12 .0800	
247.79 275.41 .0800	
<> TRAVEL TIME TABLE>	
(m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min)	INFLOW : ID=
.28 270.30 .950E+04 3.7 .81 43.21 .57 270.59 .257E+05 13.9 1.14 30.76	OUTFLOW: ID=
.85 270.87 .484E+05 32.0 1.39 25.24	
1.13 2/1.15 .//6E+05 59.6 1.61 21.71 1.42 271.44 .112E+06 100.0 1.87 18.71	
1.70 271.72 .151E+06 157.2 2.18 16.04 1.99 272.01 195E+06 225.9 2.44 14.37	
2.27 272.29 .242E+06 305.9 2.65 13.21	
2.55 272.57 .294E+06 398.1 2.84 12.31 2.84 272.86 .350E+06 501.8 3.01 11.61	ADD HYD (9041
3.12 273.14 .409E+06 617.0 3.17 11.05	1 + 2 = 3
3.69 273.71 .539E+06 882.9 3.44 10.18	ID1= 1
3.97 273.99 .611E+06 1033.6 3.55 9.85 4.26 274 28 687E+06 1196 8 3.66 9.57	+ ID2= 2
4.54 274.56 .768E+06 1370.2 3.75 9.35	ID = 3
4.82 2/4.84 .856£+06 1556.4 3.82 9.16 5.11 275.13 .950E+06 1757.0 3.89 9.01	NOTE: PEAK
.39 275.41 .105E+07 1967.6 3.93 8.91	
<> <-pipe / channel->	
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s)	ADD HYD (5002
LOW: ID= 2 (9018) 1097.41 3.25 10.00 7.54 .25 .81	1 + 2 = 3
IFLOW: ID- 1 (9251) 1097.41 5.06 11.00 7.54 .24 .01	ID1= 1
	+ ID2= 2
	ID = 3
HYD (7016)	NOTE: PEAK
+ 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	
ID1= 1 (5061): 769.44 17.498 6.00 38.59	
+ ID2= 2 (6019): 406.96 5.034 10.75 22.58	SHIFT HYD (9040 IN= 2> OUT=
ID = 3 (7016): 1176.40 17.498 6.00 33.05	SHIFT= 60.0 min
TE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ID= 2 (!
	SHIFT ID= 1 (
HYD (5000)	ROUTE CHN (6029
+ 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	IN= 2> OUT=
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1=1 (1047): 479.57 2.362 9.75 12.30	
+ ID2= 2 (9251): 1097.41 3.082 11.00 7.54	Di
ID = 3 (5000): 1576.98 5.291 10.75 8.99	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS JF ANY.	
HYD (5001) + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1=1 (5064): 260 50 - 7 193 - 6 00 - 21 39	
+ ID2= 2 (5000): 1576.98 5.291 10.75 8.99	

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\

ID = 3 (5001): 1837.48 7.247 6.00 10.75

NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

_							
	RESERVOIR (9017) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min	- - (cms) .0000	STORAGE (ha.m.) .0000	E OUTI (cr) 2.8	FLOW S ns) (3300	TORAGE ha.m.) 3.4900	
		.2800 .7100 1.1300 1.5600 1.8400 2.2700	.2500 .6300 1.1400 1.7300 2.2600 2.9600) 3.8) 4.6) 7.3) 8.7) 8.7) 35.4	3200 5700 3600 7800 4000	3.9500 4.2000 4.6900 4.8500 6.6100 8.6500	
	INFLOW : ID= 2 OUTFLOW: ID= 1	(5001) 183 (9017) 183	AREA (ha) 7.481 7.481	QPEAK (cms) 7.247 6.211	TPEAK (hrs) 6.00 11.25	R.V. (mm) 10.75 10.75	
	l I	PEAK FLOW TIME SHIFT OF MAXIMUM STOR	REDUCTION PEAK FLOW AGE USED	[Qout/Qin] (r (ha](%)= 85. nin)=315. .m.)= 4.	71 00 4814	
		_					
	ADD HYD (9041) 1 + 2 = 3 IDl= 1 (50	ARE: - (ha 065): 538.7	A QPEAK) (cms) 0 8.907	TPEAK (hrs) 6.00	R.V. (mm) 18.07		
	+ ID2= 2 (9)	017): 1837.4	B 6.211	11.25	10.75		
	ID = 3 (9)	041): 2376.1	8 9.679	6.00	12.41		
	NOTE: PEAK FLO	OWS DO NOT IN	CLUDE BASER	LOWS IF AN	WY.		
	ADD HYD (5002) 1 + 2 = 3	- ARE: - (ba	A QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.		
	ID1= 1 (20 + ID2= 2 (90	031): 55.9 041): 2376.1	B 7.193 B 9.679	6.00	50.09 12.41		
	ID = 3 (5)	002): 2432.1	6 16.872	6.00	13.27		
	NOTE: PEAK FLO	OWS DO NOT IN	CLUDE BASER	LOWS IF AN	ΝΥ.		
	SHIFT HYD (9040) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT= 60.0 min	- AREA	OPEAK	TPEAK	R.V		
	TD= 2 (50)	- (ha) 02): 2432.16	(cms) 16.87	(hrs) 6,00	(mm) 13.27		
	SHIFT ID= 1 (90)	40): 2432.16	16.87	7.00	13.27		
	ROUTE CHN (6029) IN= 2> OUT= 1	 Routing	time step	(min)'= 15	5.00		
	< Dista	DATA FOR : ance Elev	SECTION (vation	1.0) Manning	>		
	31	.00 2 0.80 2	74.29 73.73	.0800			
	5:	1.30 2	70.17	.0800			
	61	6.80 2	56.02	.0800			
	10:	2.70 2	65.42 61.00	.0350	Main Main	Channel Channel	
	12	8.40 2	61.17	.0350	Main	Channel	
	154	4.00 2 4.60 2	54.62 56.82	.0350	Main	Channel	
	201	5.40 2	68.07	.0800			
	230	6.20 2 2.40 2	68.74 71.31	.0800			
	30:	2.90 2	72.11	.0800			

Page 57

1606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	- V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Ux
348.90 274.45 .0800	249.80 254.00 .0800 334.90 255.77 .0800 251.00 266.27 0900
Common	414.40 260.24 .0800 465.50 260.75 .0800
.b3 261.63 ./02E+04 5.2 1.14 22.47 1.26 262.26 .220E+05 24.8 1.74 14.74 1.89 262.89 .443E+05 64.0 2.22 11.54	514.40 261.48 .0800
2.53 263.53 .741E+05 127.4 2.65 9.69 3.16 264.16 .111E+06 219.6 3.04 8.44 3.79 264.79 .156E+06 343.2 3.39 7.57	DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME (m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min) .36 252.24 .596E+04 .4 .39 223.90
4.42 265.42 .209E+06 501.2 3.70 6.94 5.16 266.16 .304E+06 766.4 3.88 6.61 5.00 266.00 .4091.00 .405 6.24	.73 252.61 .238E+05 2.8 .61 141.05 1.09 252.97 .619E+05 8.2 .69 126.14
Cold Cold <th< td=""><td>1.91 253.79 .383E+06 68.4 .93 93.14 2.38 254.26 .713E+06 157.3 1.15 75.58</td></th<>	1.91 253.79 .383E+06 68.4 .93 93.14 2.38 254.26 .713E+06 157.3 1.15 75.58
8.12 269.12 .941E+06 2928.9 4.80 5.35 8.85 269.85 .116E+07 3743.0 4.95 5.18 9.59 270.59 .141E+07 4656.3 5.10 5.03	2.84 254.72 .1122+07 287.3 1.33 65.13 3.31 255.19 .1612+07 287.2 1.47 59.01 3.77 255.65 .2172+07 659.0 1.58 54.87
0.33 271.33 .167E+07 5671.9 5.24 4.90 1.07 272.07 .195E+07 6784.6 5.36 4.80 1.81 277 81 225E+07 80.91 5.47 4.69	4.24 256.12 .279E+07 908.7 1.69 51.25 4.70 256.58 .346E+07 1199.1 1.80 48.03 5.17 .257.05 .414E+07 1527.9 1.92 45.17
1.51 1.255 1.55 1.59 4.60 3.29 274.29 .295±+07 10648.3 5.55 4.62	5.63 257.51 .485E+07 1892.4 2.03 42.71 6.10 257.98 .558E+07 2291.8 2.13 40.59
< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TFEAK R.V. MAX DEFTH MAX VEL	6.55 258.44 .544E+07 2725.7 2.23 38.74 7.03 258.91 .711E+07 3193.6 2.33 37.12 7.49 259.37 .791E+07 3695.3 2.43 35.69
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) FLOW : ID= 2 (9040) 2432.16 16.87 7.00 13.27 1.01 1.44 TPFLOW: ID= 1 (6029) 2432 16 11.09 7.25 13.27 8.2 1.27	7.96 259.84 .874E+07 4221.9 2.51 34.48 8.42 260.30 .961E+07 4697.6 2.54 34.09
	<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (he) (n=) (n=) (n=) (n=) (n=) (n=) (n=) (n=</pre>
	INFLOW : ID= 2 (9015) 3623.18 21.29 9.25 19.73 1.47 .66 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6031) 3623.18 16.73 14.25 19.73 1.38 .65
YD (5003) 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	
ID1= 1 (6029): 2432.16 11.094 7.25 13.27 + ID2= 2 (1040): 14.62 .342 6.75 21.92	ADD HYD (5005)
ID = 3 (5003): 2446.78 11.376 7.25 13.33	1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (mm) (hrs) (mm) (mm) (mr) (hrs) (mm) (hrs) (mr) (hrs) (hrs
	+ ID2= 2 (6031): 3623.18 16.731 14.25 19.73
YD (5004)	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (5003): 2446.78 11.376 7.25 13.33	
+ ID2= 2 (7016): 1176.40 17.498 6.00 33.05 ID = 3 (5004): 3623.18 21.295 7.25 19.73	** SIMULATION NUMBER: 5 ** 100-Year Storm
TE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst
иур (q015)	Ptotal=104.07 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE
	Mass curve time step = 15.00 min
TD= 2 (5004): 3623.18 21.29 7.25 19.73 FT TD= 1 (9015): 3623.18 21.29 9.25 19.73	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
CHN (6031) > OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
< DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning 200 260 200 0000	2.00 2.91 5.00 9.12 8.00 6.24 11.00 2.21 2.25 2.91 5.25 12.49 8.25 5.33 11.25 1.96 2.60 2.91 5.25 12.49 8.25 5.33 11.25 1.96
34.10 260.43 .0800 62.40 255.79 .0800	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
79.50 255.72 .0800 113.50 254.00 .0800 153.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel	
187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel 198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel	CALIB NASHYD (1032) Area (ha)= 610.08 Curve Number (CN)= 70.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
Page 55	.9

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge
V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.46 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.472 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 12.719 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 44.321 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 1.426 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	<pre>V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 14.87 9.91 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 406.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 105.80 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.22 (ii) 13.71 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (cms)= .11 .08 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.64 2.12 .5.761 (jij)</pre>
STANDUTYD (2050) Area (ha)= 89.70 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 35.88 53.82 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 773.30 40.00 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 105.00 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 768 (ii) 16.20 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (mn)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (cms)= .00	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 (6.00) RUNDEY VOLUME (mm)= 94.07 46.60 65.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNDFF COEFFICIENT = .90 .45 .63 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 7.74 8.71 13.552 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.55 55.08 67.20 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COLUME (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .53 .65 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CM* = 70.0 1a = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) TIME STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	CALIB Area (ha)= 70.42 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS Dep. Storage (mm)= 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 99.64 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.00 .05
CALIB Area (ha)= 55.98 STANDHYD C2031) Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 30.79 25.19 Dep. Storage (m)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 610.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 102.01 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.66 (ii) 15.28 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 0	*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 8.63 4.89 11.845 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 94.07 45.60 62.56 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .90 .44 .60 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
OHLE Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.95 4.03 9.610 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 46.64 66.57 TOTALS AIMPACL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .45 .64 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	CALIB Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 5.986 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.773 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hm)= 2.265 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 2.04 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
STANDHYD (2020) Area (ha)= 24.78 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00	NASHYD (1045) Area (ha)= 170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min I a (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 20	2014/Uxbridge/ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\U	Uxbridge\
U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.22 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.719 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 21.265 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .204 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 16.25 24.37 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 520.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 78.85 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min= 6.05 (ii) 15.61 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 .05 *TOTALS* *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.66 2.99 5.609 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RINDFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 41.80 57.25 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RINDFF COEFFUCIENT = 1.00 .40 .55 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 CA* = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK F	
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 11 .07 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.42 2.43 4.852 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 41.80 57.25 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .40 .55 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	CALIB NASHYD (1047) Area (ha)= 479.57 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.73 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 6.710 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.316 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 21.895 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .210 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	
CALIE Area (ha)= 22.70 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 9.08 Dep. Storage (mm)= 50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 389.00 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 78.85 .00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.08 (ii) 14.64 (ii) .01 .01 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 15.00 .01 .01 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.09 2.10 4.191 (iii) .01 <	$ \begin{vmatrix} CALIB \\ MASHYD (9146) \\ ID= 1 DT=15.0 min \\ II = (mm) = 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 \\ \hline II = 1 DT=15.0 min \\ U.H. Tp(hrs) = 1.20 \\ \end{vmatrix} $ $ brack FLOW (cms) = 6.047 (1) \\ TTIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 7.250 \\ RINNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 21.790 \\ TTOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 104.070 \\ RINNOFF COEFFICIENT = .209 \\ (1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. \\ \hline CALIB \\ NASHYD (9246) \\ ID= 1 DT=15.0 min \\ Ia (mm) = 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 \\ \hline CALIB \\ NASHYD (9246) \\ ID= 1 DT=15.0 min \\ Ia (mm) = 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 \\ \hline CALIB \\ PEAK FLOW (cms) = 3.494 \\ PEAK FLOW (cms) = 3.494 \\ PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.047 (1) \\ TTIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.500 \\ RINNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 24.911 \\ TTIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.500 \\ RINNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 24.911 \\ TTIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.400 \\ RINNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 24.911 \\ TTIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.400 \\ RINNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 2.047 (1) \\ TTIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.400 \\ RINNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 24.911 \\ TTIAL RAINFALL (mm) = 104.070 \\ RINNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 24.911 \\ TTIAL RAINFALL (mm) = 104.070 \\ RINNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 24.911 \\ TTIAL RAINFALL (mm) = 104.070 \\ RINNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 24.911 \\ TTIAL RAINFALL (mm) = 104.070 \\ RINNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 2.278 \\ \hline \\ \hline$	
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	

1606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 201	4\Uxbridge\ U:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge
: 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.80	STANDHYD (2041) Area (ha)= 82.05 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.180	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
PEAK FLOW (cms) = 5.946 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.500 RUNOFF VOLTME (mm) = 21.895 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .210	Sufface Area (Ha) = 36.22 45.13 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = .013 .250
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 137.37 111.02 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.47 (ii) 15.81 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. maek (cmm)= 10 05
LLB Area (ha)= 14.62 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 :1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.03 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 8.54 7.81 13.792 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 57.41 71.26 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .55 .68
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .525 (i)	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 33.262 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .320 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
LLB Area (ha)= 487.62 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 : 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 	CALIB STANDHYD (2040) Area (ha)= 145.27 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 8.583	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 58.11 87.16
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 11.484 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 45.460 TOTAL PARVALL (mm)= 104.070	Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 984.10 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .437	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 137.37 108.14
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Storage Coeff. (min) = 8.87 (ii) 17.29 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .09 .05
LLE Area (ha)= 54.50 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Surrace Area (na)= 21.80 32.70 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 137.37 108.14 over (min) 15.00 30.00	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.61 (ii) 15.03 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00	
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.84 5.60 8.616 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 56.93 68.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07	CALIB NASHYD (1060) Area (ha)= 406.96 Curve Number (CN)= 60.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .55 .66	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 13.400
* WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) TIME STEP (D') SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EDUAL	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
 LIB	
	Page 65

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 20	014\Uxbridge\
NASHYD (9254) Area (ha) = 24.78 Curve Number (CN) = 58.0 ID = 1 DT = 5.0 min Ia (mm) = 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs) = 2.38	.0100 .4725 .1300 .9815 .0450 .7030 .2380 1.2455
NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP.	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 5.761 6.00 65.59 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .238 8.75 64.96
THANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr 0.83 2.29 3.083 4.16 6.083 18.73 9.08 3.16 .167 2.29 3.167 4.16 6.167 18.73 9.17 3.16 .250 2.29 3.250 4.16 6.250 18.73 9.25 3.16	<pre>PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 4.13 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOM (min)=165.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.2452</pre>
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ADD HYD (7008) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (man) 101= 1 (9022): 24.78 .238 8.75 64.96 + 1D2= 2 (2021): 70.42 11.845 6.00 62.55 ID = 3 (7008): 95.20 11.880 6.00 63.19 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ADD HYD (5065) 1 + 2 = 3 IDL = 1 (7008): 95.20 11.880 6.00 63.19 + ID2= 2 (1044): 443.50 3.773 9.75 21.26 IDL = 3 (5065): 538.70 11.982 6.00 28.67 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
2.417 2.91 5.60 12.49 5.417 12.49 5.417 4.58 11.42 1.79 2.500 2.91 5.500 12.49 8.500 4.58 11.50 1.79 2.583 3.12 5.563 49.95 8.583 4.00 11.58 1.58 2.667 3.12 5.667 49.95 8.667 4.00 11.67 1.58 2.750 3.12 5.750 49.96 8.750 4.00 11.75 1.58 2.833 3.54 5.831 37.37 8.833 3.54 11.83 1.42 2.917 3.54 5.917 137.37 8.917 3.54 11.92 1.42 3.000 3.54 6.000 137.37 9.000 3.54 12.00 1.42 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .398	RESERVOIR (9019) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .238 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.167 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 21.265 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .204	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 4.191 6.00 57.25 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 1.116 6.50 45.79
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 26.63 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOM (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .6335
RESERVOIR (9021) UTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 13.552 6.00 67.20 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 6.901 6.50 67.16	ID = 3 (7001): 63.32 6.549 6.00 53.14 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 50.92 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 2.3882	RESERVOIR (9147) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTO (ha.m.) 00000 ********
RESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW (cms) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 .0000 .0800	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hm) INPLOW: ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 6.047 7.25 21.79 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00
	Page 67 Page

\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec	2014/Uxbridge/ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\U
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=***** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 8.0530	ID = 3 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
SSERVOIR (9248) = 2> 0UT=1 = 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 ******* .0010	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 2.047 6.50 28.91 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=******	ID = 3 (7013): 227.32 14.003 6.00 69.54 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.5870	ROUTE CHN (6019) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 c DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 281.05 .0800 21.00 270.75 .0800
<pre>'= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE </pre>	34.48 278.78 .0800 62.07 280.75 .0800 75.86 280.87 .0800 110.34 277.13 .0800 124.14 276.45 .0800 / .0350 Main Channel 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel 151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel 123.79 274.31 .0800 Channel 213.79 277.31 .0800 255.17 255.17 278.49 .0800 275.86 289.66 279.07 .0800 275.80
IFT HYD (9029) = 2> 0UT= 1 IFT=150.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. IFT=2 (1060): ID= 2 (1060): 406.96 11.49 7.00 34.18 SHIFT ID= 1 (9029): 406.96 11.49 9.50 34.18	301.47 278.40 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800 TRAVEL TIME TABLE
D HYD (5062) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1= 1 (1032): 610.08 12.719 8.75 44.32 + ID2= 2 (9021): 89.70 6.901 6.50 67.16 ID = 3 (5062): 699.78 14.432 8.50 47.25 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9029) 406.96 11.49 9.50 34.18 .59 1.36 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6019) 406.96 8.03 10.50 34.18 .50 1.17 </pre>
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (5064)
D HYD (7004) L + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
	247.79 275.41 .0800
ADD HYD (9250) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. TD1= 1 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00 + TD2= 2 (1046): 672.95 5.946 9.50 21.89 TD = 3 (9250): 1097.41 5.946 9.50 13.43 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	Construction TRAVEL TIME TABLE DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME (m) (m) (cu.m.) (mms) (mm/s) (mmin) .28 270.30 .950E+04 3.7 .81 43.21 .57 270.59 .257E+05 13.9 1.14 30.76 .85 270.87 .484E+05 32.0 1.39 25.24 1.13 271.15 .776E+05 59.6 1.61 21.71 1.42 271.44 .112E+06 100.0 1.87 18.71 1.70 271.72 .151E+06 157.2 2.18 16.04 1.99 272.01 .195E+06 255.9 2.44 14.37 2.27 272.29 .242E+06 305.9 2.65 13.21 2.55 272.57 .294E+06 398.1 2.84 12.31 2.84 272.86 .505E+05 50.18 3.01 11.61
ADD HYD (7014) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (2042): 54.50 8.616 6.00 68.59 + ID2= 2 (7013): 227.32 14.003 6.00 69.54 	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9018) 1097.41 5.98 9.50 13.43 .35 .87</pre>
RESERVOIR (9018) IN=2> OUT=1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTO (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 4.8100 .4200 .6400 14.3300 1.5900 .9400 53.8800 3.2000 1.1100 .0000	OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9251) 1097.41 5.71 10.50 13.43 .34 .86
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 5.946 9.50 13.43 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 5.975 9.50 13.43	ID1= 1 (5061): 769.44 23.980 6.00 54.21 + ID2= 2 (6019): 406.96 8.029 10.50 34.18 ID = 3 (7016): 1176.40 23.980 6.00 47.28
PEAK PLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin]()=100.48 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= .00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.2011	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
**** WARNING : HYDROGRAPH PEAK WAS NOT REDUCED. CHECK OUTFLOW/STORAGE TABLE OR REDUCE DT.	ADD HYD (5000) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ADD HYD (5061) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1= 1 (1059): 487.62 11.484 8.25 45.46 + TD2= 2 (7014): 281.82 22.619 6.00 69.36 TD = 3 (5061): 769.44 23.980 6.00 54.21	<pre></pre>
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$\begin{vmatrix} ADD HYD (5001) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \end{vmatrix}$ AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ROUTE CEN (9251) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 > OLTA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 278.33 .0800 46.71 277.77 .0800	ID1= 1 (5064): 260.50 11.478 6.00 32.67 + ID2= 2 (5000): 1576.98 9.884 10.00 16.00 ID = 3 (5001): 1837.48 11.958 10.00 18.36 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
57.10 277.40 .0800 62.29 276.96 .0800 67.48 275.94 .0800 77.86 273.27 .0800 83.05 272.29 .0800 93.43 270.99 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 150.53 271.36 .0350 Main Channel 186.86 273.45 .0800 207.62 274.37 .0800 233.57 275.12 .0800	RESERVOIR (9017) UT IN= 2> OUT=1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 2.8300 3.4900 .2800 .2800 3.8200 3.9500 .7100 .6300 4.6700 4.2000 1.1300 1.1400 7.3600 4.6500 1.5600 1.7300 8.7800 4.8500 1.8400 2.2600 35.4000 6.6100

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
2.2700 2.9600 ******* 8.6500 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (5001) 1837.481 11.958 10.00 18.36 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9017) 1837.481 11.934 10.00 18.36 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 99.80 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= .00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 5.0596	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ADD HYD (9041) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ADD HYD (5002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	ID = 3 (5003): 2446.78 16.722 11.25 21.83 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. ADD HYD (5004) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
SHIFT HYD (9040) INP 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT = 60.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
Distance Elevation Manning 00 274.29 0800 30.80 273.73 0800 51.30 270.17 0800 61.60 266.84 0800 62.80 266.02 0800 102.70 285.42 0350 123.20 261.00 0350 123.20 261.00 0350 124.40 261.17 0350 154.00 264.62 0350 174.60 266.82 0800 205.40 268.74 0800 282.40 271.31 0800 302.90 272.11 0800 348.90 274.45 0800 26	ROUTE CLNN (6031) Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 < DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 260.30 .0800 .34.10 260.43 .0800 .62.40 259.79 .0800 .13.50 254.00 .0800 .13.50 253.33 .0350 .187.30 253.06 .0350 .198.70 251.88 .0350 .244.40 252.77 .0800 .334.90 255.77 .0800 .34.90 255.77 .0800 .34.90 255.77 .0800
$ \begin{array}{c} (m) & (m) & (cu.m.) & (cms) & (m/s) & (min) \\ (m) & (cu.m.) & (cms) & (m/s) & (min) \\ .63 & 261.63 & .702E+04 & 5.2 & 1.14 & 22.47 \\ 1.26 & 262.26 & .220E+05 & 24.8 & 1.74 & 14.74 \\ 1.89 & 262.89 & .443E+05 & 64.0 & 2.22 & 11.54 \\ 2.53 & 263.53 & .741E+05 & 127.4 & 2.65 & 9.69 \\ 3.16 & 264.16 & .111E+06 & 219.6 & 3.04 & 8.44 \\ 3.79 & 264.79 & .15EE+06 & 343.2 & 3.39 & 7.57 \\ 4.42 & 255.42 & .209E+06 & 501.2 & 3.70 & 6.94 \\ 5.16 & 266.16 & .304E+06 & 766.4 & 3.88 & 6.61 \\ 5.90 & 266.90 & .428E+06 & 1123.8 & 4.05 & 6.34 \\ 6.64 & 267.64 & .570E+06 & 1628.6 & 4.40 & 5.84 \\ 7.38 & 268.38 & .738E+06 & 2225.4 & 4.65 & 5.53 \\ \end{array} $	414.10 260.25 .0000 465.20 260.75 .0800 514.40 261.48 .0800 c

V:\01606\Acti	ve\1606	521777\SWM Maste	er Plans\A	nalysis	SWM\Hydr	ology\Uxbri	dge-update	Dec	2014\Uxbr	idge\
2.84	254.72	2 .112E+07	287.3		1.33	65.13				
3.31	255.19	9 .161E+07	454.2		1.47	59.01				
3.77	255.65	5 .217E+07	659.0		1.58	54.87				
4.24	256.12	2 .279E+07	908.7		1.69	51.25				
4.70	256.58	3 .346E+07	1199.1		1.80	48.03				
5.17	257.05	5.414E+07	1527.9		1.92	45.17				
5.63	257.51	.485E+07	1892.4		2.03	42.71				
6.10	257.98	3.558E+07	2291.8		2.13	40.59				
6.56	258.44	1.634E+07	2725.7		2.23	38.74				
7.03	258.91	L .711E+07	3193.6		2.33	37.12				
7.49	259.37	7 .791E+07	3695.3		2.43	35.69				
7.96	259.84	1.874E+07	4221.9		2.51	34.48				
8.42	260.30) .961E+07	4697.6		2.54	34.09				
			< hy	drograph	>	<-pipe / c	hannel->			
		AREA	QPEAK.	TPEAK	R.V.	MAX DEPTH	MAX VEL			
		(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)	(m)	(m/s)			
INFLOW :	1D= 2	(9015) 3623.18	35.05	12.50	30.09	1.60	. / 2			
OO.LETOM:	1D= 1	(6031) 3623.18	29.27	13.75	30.09	1.55	.69			
ADD HYD (5005)									
1 + 2 =	3	AREA	OPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.					
	-	(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)					
TD1:	= 1 (50	162): 699.78	14,432	8.50	47.25					
+ TD2	= 2 (60	31): 3623.18	29.267	13.75	30.09					
====	======			========	========					
ID	= 3 (50	005): 4322.96	33.777	13.25	32.87					

NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

FINISH ==

Future Conditions VO2 Schematic (with SWM Controls)



V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ _____ v V I SSSSS U U A CALIB v v I SS U U A A L SS U U AAAAA L STANDHYD (2050) Area (ha)= 89.70 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 v v ID= 1 DT=15.0 min v v I SS U A A IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) vv т SSSSS UUUUU A A LLLLL Surface Area (ha)= 35.88 53.82 000 TTTTT TTTTT H Н Ү Ү М М 000 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 T T H H Y MM MM 0 0 T T H H Y MM MM 0 0 T T H H Y M M 0 0 T T H H Y M M 000 0 0 Average Slope (%) = 1 00 1 00 0 0 773.30 40.00 Length (m) = 000 Mannings n .250 .013 15.59 Developed and Distributed by Clarifica Inc. Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57.68 Copyright 1996, 2007 Clarifica Inc. over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 10.86 (ii) 29.14 (ii) All rights reserved. 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .08 .04 ***** DETAILED OUTPUT ***** *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.96 1.59 3.942 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 Input filename: C:\Program Files (x86)\Visual OTTHYMO 2.3.3\voin.dat RUNOFF VOLUME 43.20 13.50 20.93 (mm) = Output filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.70 43.70 43.70 .48 Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Future With Summary filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .31 Dec 2014\Uxbridge\Uxbridge Future With ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL DATE: 12/12/2014 TIME: 11:38:38 AM THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. USER: (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. COMMENTS: CALTR STANDHYD (2031) Area (ha)= 55.98 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 ***** IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) ** SIMULATION NUMBER: 1 ** 2-Year Storm 25.19 2.50 Surface Area (ha)= 30.79 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 610.90 40 00 Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans MASS STORM Mannings n = .013 .250 \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst 57.68 Ptotal = 43.70 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 14.07 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Storage Coeff. (min)= 9.43 (ii) 28.47 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= Mass curve time step = 15.00 min 15.00 30.00 .09 .04 TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW 2.70 .68 3.117 (iii) hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr (cms)= . 25 .96 3.25 1.75 6.25 7.87 9.25 1.33 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 .50 1.01 3.50 1.75 6.50 7.87 9.50 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 43.20 10.73 22.09 1.22 6.75 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = . 75 1.03 3.75 1.75 4.16 9.75 1.17 43.70 43.70 43.70 1.00 1.07 4.00 1.75 7.00 2.83 10.00 1.19 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .25 .51 7.25 1.15 1.25 1.12 4.25 2.41 2.62 10.25 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! 1.50 1.15 4.50 2.83 2.62 10.50 1.75 1.17 4.75 3.16 7.75 2.62 10.75 1.00 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: 2.00 1.22 5.00 3.83 8.00 2.62 11.00 .93 5.25 8.25 CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL 2.25 1.22 5.24 2.24 11.25 .82 2.50 1.22 5.50 5.24 8.50 1.92 11.50 .75 2 75 1 31 5 75 20 98 8 75 1 68 11 75 66 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT 3.00 1.49 6.00 57.68 9.00 1.49 12.00 .59 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB CALIB (1032) Area (ha)= 610.08 Curve Number (CN)= 70.0 Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 STANDHYD (2020) Area (ha)= 24.78 NASHYD ID= 1 DT=15.0 min ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 U.H. Tp(hrs) = 2.46 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Unit Hyd Opeak (cms)= 9.472 Surface Area (ha)= 14.87 9.91 Dep. Storage (mm) = 10.00 2.50 PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.298 (i) Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.000 Length (m)= 406.40 40.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 8.387 Mannings n .013 .250 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 57.68 14.61 .192 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= over (min) 15.00 30.00 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.38 (ii) 26.14 (ii)

Page 1

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .04	
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.45 .29 1.627 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 33.70 10.73 19.92 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70 PUNNEF COPPERTICENT = 77 25 46	CALIB
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Intractions} & \text{Fractions} & \text{Fractions} & \text{Intractions} \\ \text{Surface Area} & (ha) = & 10.58 & 15.87 \\ \text{Dep. Storage} & (mm) = & .50 & 2.50 \\ \text{Area reas Slapes} & (S) = & 1.00 & 1.00 \\ \end{array}$
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL 	Metage loge $(*)^{-1}$ 1.00 Length $(m) =$ 419.90 Mannings n = .013 May Eff Inter (mm(hx) = 57.69 10.25
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	war.bit.initen; (uni/ni.) 37.00 10.30 over (uni) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (unin)= 7.53 (ii) 22.06 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (unin)= 15.00 30.00
CALIB Area (ha)= 70.42 STANDHYD (2021) Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .04 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= .96 .31 1.153 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 43.20 9.21 17.71 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .21 .41
Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: Discontinue (selected for pervious (selected)) </pre>
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57.68 13.62 over(min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff.(min)= 10.10 (ii) 29.39 (ii) Unit Hyd.Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd.Tpeak (cms)= .09 .04	 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
*TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.32 .82 3.818 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 33.70 10.40 18.56 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT - 77 .24 .42	CALIB
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOLLE DE SMALLER OR FOLIAL.</pre>	Surface Area (ha)= 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 389.00 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57.68 10.35 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.19 (ii) 28.72 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .04
CALLB NASHYD (1044) Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.83 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 5.986	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .83 .27 .999 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 43.20 9.21 17.71 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT 99 21 .41
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .163 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 12.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = .950 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .022	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i1) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.</pre>
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(iii) peak flow does not include baseflow if any.
CALIE Area (ha)= 170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.22 2.22	CALIB Area (ha)= 40.62 ID= DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .069 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 11.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= .950 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .022	$\begin{array}{rcrcrc} IMPERVIOUS & PERVIOUS & (i) \\ Surface Area & (ha) = & 16.25 & 24.37 \\ Dep. Storage & (mm) = & .50 & 2.50 \\ Average Slope & (\$) = & 1.00 & 1.00 \\ Length & (m) = & 520.40 & 40.00 \\ Mannings n & = & .013 & .250 \\ \end{array}$
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	over (min) 15.00 45.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.56 (ii) 30.09 (ii)

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 45.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .03 *TOTALS*	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
FEAR FLOW (CHIS)= 1.43 1.44 1.013 (111) TIME TO PEAR (hrs)= 6.00 6.50 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 43.20 9.21 17.71 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .21 .41	CALIB Area (ha)= 14.62 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .681
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	PEAK FLOW(cms) = $.083$ (i)TIME TO PEAK(hrs) = 6.750 RUNOFF VOLDME(mm) = 5.698 TOTAL RAINFALL(mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = $.130$
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB NASHYD (1047) Area (ha)= 479.57 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.73 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 6.710	CALIB NASHYD (1059) Area (ha)= 487.62 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .186 (i)	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 8.583
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= .987 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .023 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.081 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 8.500 8.500 RUNOFF VOLIME (mm) = 8.697 707AL RAINFALL (mm) = 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .199 .199 .199 .100 .100
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIE NASHYD (9146) Area (ha)= 369.57 Curve Number (CN)= 55.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .306 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 1.544 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .035	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 21.80 32.70 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 57.68 26.99 over(min) 15.00 30.00
CALIB NASHYD (9246) Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min I a (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .60 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494	Storage Coeff. (min)= 9.35 (i) 24.03 (i) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .04 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.88 1.14 2.597 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 43.20 14.39 21.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .088 (1)	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .33 .49
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 2.245 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .051	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEF. IS SMALLER THAN THE STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>
(1) PERK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BREEFLOW IF ANT.	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIE NASHYD (1046) Area (ha)= 672.95 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	CALIB Area (ha) = 82.05 STANDHYD (2041) Area (ha) = 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 30.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 30.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 36.92 45.13 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 733.60 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 57.68 27.85

Page 5
V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2	2014/Uxbridge/ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 10.58 (ii) 25.07 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .04 *TOTALS*	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 28.44 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 45.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .9644
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.27 1.59 4.273 (111) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 43.20 14.58 23.16 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .33 .53 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	RESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. 	.0150 .6000 .4710 1.0180 .1240 .7875 .9610 1.2600 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)
(111) PEAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	INFLOW : ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 1.627 6.00 19.92 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .012 12.25 19.51 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .72 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=375.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .4688
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 58.11 87.16 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 984.10 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 57.68 26.99 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 12.55 (ii) 27.22 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. coek (ccms) = .08 .04	ADD HYD (7008) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1=1 (9022): 24.78 .012 12.25 19.51 + ID2=2 (2021): 70.42 3.818 6.00 18.56 ID = 3 (7008): 95.20 3.821 6.00 18.80 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.55 2.85 6.339 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 43.20 14.39 21.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.70 43.70 43.70 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .33 .49 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iji) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT UNCLUE BASEFICM IF ANY.	$ \begin{vmatrix} \text{ADD HYD} & (5065) \\ & 1 + 2 = 3 \end{vmatrix} $ AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ba) (cms) (brs) (mm) $ TD1 = 1 (7008): 95.20 3.821 6.00 18.80 $ $ + 1D2 = 2 (1044): 443.50 .163 12.00 .95 $ $ TD1 = 3 (5065): 538.70 3.821 6.00 4.11 $ $ NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.$
CALIB Area (ha)= 406.96 Curve Number (CN)= 60.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	RESERVOIR (9257) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTO (cms) (10.0 (435) .010 .4435 .010 .4435 .0550 .6265 .0550 .6265 .010 .010 .010 .4435 .0550 .6265 .0550 .6265 .0550 .6265 .010 .010 .010 .4335 .0550 .6265 .0550 .6265 .0500 .0800 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) UTFLOW: ID=1 (2212) 26.450 1.153 0UTFLOW: ID=1 (225) 17.32
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 43.700 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .135 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .95 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=375.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .4432
RESERVOIR (9021) OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 1.2000 .9900 .0290 .3700 2.7000 1.4200 .5000 .6900 6.1000 2.1800	RESERVOIR (9019) IN2 => OUTE 1 DT- 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 3.942 6.00 20.93 OUTFFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 1.121 6.75 20.89	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 .999 6.00 17.71
	Page 7 Page

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 .130 7.25 6.25 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 12.98 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 75.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .2806	INFLOW : ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 6.339 6.00 21.59 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9020) 145.270 .198 12.00 21.57 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](\$)= 3.12 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=360.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 2.7014
ADD HYD (7701) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDl= 1 (9019): 22.70 .130 7.25 6.25 + ID2= 2 (2011): 40.62 1.613 6.00 17.71 ID = 3 (7001): 63.32 1.613 6.00 13.60 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	SHIFT HYD (9029) IN-2> OTF 1 SHIFT=150.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
RESERVOIR (9147) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 (cms) .0010 ******* AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (bb) (cmb)	$ \begin{vmatrix} ADD HYD & (5062) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ ID1 = 1 & (1032): & 610.08 & 2.296 & 9.00 & 8.39 \\ + ID2 = 2 & (9021): & 89.70 & 1.121 & 6.75 & 20.89 \\ ID1 = 3 & (5062): & 699.78 & 2.917 & 8.50 & 9.99 \\ \hline \end{matrix} $
INFLOW : ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 .306 8.50 1.54 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .5705	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
RESERVOIR (9248) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 ******* .0010 *******	ID = 3 (7002): 89.77 1.617 6.00 14.69 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 .088 7.00 2.24 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = .00 .00 .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** .1232	$ \begin{vmatrix} \text{ADD HYD} & (7004) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ \hline \text{(ha)} & (\text{cms}) & (\text{hrs}) & (\text{mm}) \\ \hline \text{ID1= 1 (9147): } 369.57 & .000 & .00 \\ + \text{ID2= 2 (9248): } 54.89 & .000 & .00 \\ \hline \text{ID} = 3 (7004): 424.46 & .000 & .00 \\ \hline \text{ID} = -100000000000000000000000000000000000$
RESERVOIR (9258) IN=2> OUT=1 DT=15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 .0490 1.0690 .0490 1.0690 .2480 1.4290 INFLOW : ID= 2 (2042) 54.500 OUTFLOW : ID= 1 (9258) 54.500 OUTFLOW : EDIM CM49 INFLOW : ID= 1 (9258) 54.500 OUTFLOW : ID= 1 (9258) 54.500 REA PENK FLOW REDUCTION [Out/(0in)(5)= INFLOW ID= 1 (9258) FLOW REDUCTION [Out/(0in)(5)= INFLOW ID= 1 (9258) FLOW REDUCTION [Out/(0in)(5)= INFLOW ID= 1 (9258) FLOW REDUCTION [Out/(0in)(5)]	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAR FLOW REDUCTION [QOUT/QII](%) = 1.89 TIME SHIFT OF PEAR FLOW (min)=375.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED IN= 2> OUT= 1 [DT= 15.0 min DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 1.7200 .2200 3.0000 2.5000 .2200 AREA QPEAK TPEAK AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	ROUTE CHN (6019) ROUTE CHN (6019) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
172.41 276.25 .0350 Main Channel 213.79 277.31 .0800 255.17 278.25 .0800 275.86 278.49 .0800 289.66 279.07 .0800 303.45 278.41 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800	.0000 .0000 4.8100 1.1900 .4200 .6400 14.3300 1.2700 1.5900 .9400 53.8000 1.3300 3.2000 1.1100 .0000 .0000 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 .259 12.00 .61
Communication TRAVEL TIME TABLE DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME (m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (mn) .20 274.70 .655E+04 .6 .56 172.84 .39 274.89 .247E+05 4.2 1.00 97.27 .59 275.09 .478E+05 11.1 1.35 71.58 .78 275.28 .756E+05 21.4 1.64 58.99	OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 .173 14.50 .60 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 66.98 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=150.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .2640
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ADD HYD (5061) 1 + 2 = 3 ID1= 1 (1059): 487.62 2.081 8.50 8.70 + ID2= 2 (7014): 281.82 4.354 6.00 22.02 ID = 3 (5061): 769.44 4.481 6.00 13.58 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
<pre></pre>	IN=2> OUT=1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 C> DATA FOR SECTION (Distance Elevation Manning .00 278.33 .0800 46.71 277.77 .0800 62.29 276.96 .0800 67.48 275.94 .0800
$\begin{array}{c} \text{ADD HYD} & (5064) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 & \text{AREA} & \text{QPEAK} & \text{TPEAK} & \text{R.V.} \\ & \text{(ha)} & (\text{cms}) & (\text{hrs}) & (\text{mm}) \\ & \text{TD1= 1} & (1045): & 170.73 & .069 & 11.25 & .95 \\ + & \text{ID2= 2} & (7002): & 89.77 & 1.617 & 6.00 & 14.69 \\ & \text{ID= 3} & (5064): & 260.50 & 1.617 & 6.00 & 5.69 \\ \end{array}$	77.86 273.27 .0800 83.05 272.29 .0800 93.43 270.99 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 119.38 270.02 .0800 Main Channel 150.53 271.36 .0350 Main Channel 186.86 273.45 .0800 207.62 274.37 .0800 233.57 275.12 .0800 247.79 275.41 .0800
ADD HYD (9250) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. IDI= 1 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00 + ID2= 2 (1046): 672.95 .259 12.00 .99 ID = 3 (9250): 1097.41 .259 12.00 .61 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	Comparing Comparing <thcomparing< th=""> <thcomparing< th=""> <thc< td=""></thc<></thcomparing<></thcomparing<>
ADD HYD (7014) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1= 1 (9258): 54.50 .049 12.25 21.49 + ID2= 2 (7013): 227.32 4.338 6.00 22.15	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ID = 3 (7014): 281.82 4.354 6.00 22.02 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9018) 1097.41 .17 14.50 .60 .01 .81 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9251) 1097.41 .17 15.25 .60 .01 .81</pre>
RESERVOIR (9018) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.)	

D (1010)	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	SHIFT HYD (9040)
ID = 3 (7016): 1176.40 4.481 6.00 10.92	IN= 2> OUI= 1 SHIFT= 60.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
E: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ID= 2 (5002): 2432.16 7.13 6.00 2.49 SHIFT ID= 1 (9040): 2432.16 7.13 7.00 2.49
1 1	DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)>
+ ID2= 2 (9251): 1097.41 .170 15.25 .60	Distance Elevation Manning .00 274.29 .0800
ID = 3 (5000): 15/5.98 .312 13.00 .72 :E: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	30.80 273.73 0.000 51.30 270.17 0.0800 61.60 266.84 0.0800 66.80 266.02 0.0800
	102.70 265.42 .0350 Main Channel 123.20 261.00 .0350 Main Channel
 D (5001)	128.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel 154.00 264.62 .0350 Main Channel
2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	174.60 266.82 .0800 205.40 268.07 .0800
ID1= 1 (5064): 260.50 1.617 6.00 5.69 + ID2= 2 (5000): 1576.98 .312 13.00 .72	236.20 268.74 .0800 282.40 271.31 .0800
ID = 3 (5001): 1837.48 1.617 6.00 1.42	302.90 272.11 .0800 348.90 274.45 .0800
E: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	<>
	(m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min) .63 261.63 .702£404 5.2 1.14 22.47
OIR (9017) > OUT= 1	1.26 262.26 .220E+05 24.8 1.74 14.74 1.89 262.89 .443E+05 64.0 2.22 11.54
.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.)	2.53 263.53 .741E+05 127.4 2.65 9.69 3.16 264.16 .111E+06 219.6 3.04 8.44
.0000 .0000 2.8300 3.4900 .2800 .2500 3.8200 3.9500	3.79 264.79 .156E+06 343.2 3.39 7.57 4.42 265.42 .209E+06 501.2 3.70 6.94
.7100 .6300 4.6700 4.2000 1.1300 1.1400 7.3600 4.6900	5.16 266.16 .304E+06 766.4 3.88 6.61 5.90 266.90 .428E+06 1123.8 4.05 6.34
1.5600 1.7300 8.7800 4.8500 1.8400 2.2600 35.4000 6.6100	6.64 267.64 .570E+06 1628.6 4.40 5.84 7.38 268.38 .738E+06 2225.4 4.65 5.53 0.12 260 10 0011100 4.65 5.53
2.2/00 2.9000 0.0500	6.12 205.12 .941E+00 2928.9 4.60 5.35 8.85 269.85 .116E+07 3743.0 4.95 5.18 9.50 270.50 141E+07 4656.3 5.10 5.03
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) LOW : ID= 2 (5001) 1837.481 1.617 6.00 1.42	10.33 271.33 .167E+07 5671.9 5.24 4.90 11.07 272.07 .195E+07 6784.6 5.36 4.80
FLOW: ID= 1 (9017) 1837.481 .416 12.50 1.42	11.81 272.81 .226E+07 8029.1 5.47 4.69 12.55 273.55 .259E+07 9393.0 5.59 4.60
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 25.75 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=390.00	13.29 274.29 .295E+07 10648.3 5.55 4.62
MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .3705	<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL</pre>
	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) $INFLOW : ID= 2 (9040) 2432.16 7.13 7.00 2.49 .69 1.18$ $OUTPEROW The 1 (6000) 0432.16 .63 .65$
 D (9041) 2 - 3 ADEA ODEAK TOEAK P.V.	OUIFLOW- ID= I (0023) 2432.IO 4.21 /.25 2.43 .51 1.14
ID1= 1 (5065): 538.70 3.821 6.00 4.11	
+ ID2= 2 (9017): 1837.48 .416 12.50 1.42	ADD HYD (5003)
ID = 3 (9041): 2376.18 4.014 6.00 2.03	1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hmm)
E: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ID1= 1 (6029): 2432.16 4.214 7.25 2.49 + ID2= 2 (1040): 14.62 .083 6.75 5.70
	ID = 3 (5003): 2446.78 4.286 7.25 2.51
	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
2 = 5 ΑΚΔΑ ΨΡΈΑΚ ΤΡΈΑΚ Κ.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TDI-1 (2031): 55.98 3.17 6.00 22.09	
$\begin{array}{c} 112 = 2 & (9041): & 2376.18 & 4.014 & 6.00 & 2.03 \\ \end{array}$	ADD HYD (5004)

ID1= 1 (5003): 2446.78 4.286 7.25 2.51 + ID2= 2 (7016): 1176.40 4.481 6.00 10.92	** SIMULATION NUMBER: 2 ** 5-Year Storm
ID = 3 (5004): 3623.18 6.633 7.25 5.24	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans
	\Analysis\SWM(Hydrology\Uxbridge\12nrscs.mst Ptotal= 60.45 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE
HIFT HYD (9015)	Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Mass curve time step = 15.00 min
HIFT=120.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN
ID= 2 (5004): 3623.18 6.63 7.25 5.24 SHIFT ID= 1 (9015): 3623.18 6.63 9.25 5.24	hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .25 1.33 3.25 2.42 6.25 10.88 9.25 1.84
	.50 1.40 3.50 2.42 6.50 10.88 9.50 1.69 .75 1.43 3.75 2.42 6.75 5.75 9.75 1.62
DUTE CHN (6031)	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Distance Elevation Manning	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
34.10 260.43 .0800 62.40 259.79 .0800	2.75 1.81 5.75 29.02 8.75 2.32 11.75 .92 3.00 2.06 6.00 79.79 9.00 2.06 12.00 .82
79.50 255.72 .0800 113.50 254.00 .0800	
153.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel 187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel	CALIB
198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel	NASHYD (1032) Area (ha)= 610.08 Curve Number (CN)= 70.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
249.80 254.00 .0800 334.90 255.77 .0800	Unit the order $() = 0.472$
414.40 260.24 .0800 455 50 260.75 0800	DEAK FIOW (ome) = 4.518 (i)
514.40 261.48 .0800	TIME TO PEAK $(hrs) = 9.000$ PUNOFF VOLUME $(mu) = 16.513$
<> DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .273
(m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min) .36 252.24 .596E+04 .4 .39 223.90	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
.73 252.61 .238E+05 2.8 .61 141.05 1.09 252.97 .619E+05 8.2 .69 126.14	
1.45 253.33 .151E+05 18.8 .65 134.06 1.91 253.79 .383E+06 68.4 .93 93.14 2.38 254.26 713E+06 157.3 1 15 75 58	CALIB CALIB CTANINUVD (2050) Area (ba)- 89,70
2.84 254.72 112E+07 287.3 1.33 65.13 3.31 255.19 .161E+07 454.2 1.47 59.01	ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(\$)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(\$)= 25.00
3.77 255.65 .217E+07 659.0 1.58 54.87 4.24 256.12 .279E+07 908.7 1.69 51.25	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 35.88 53.82
4.70 256.58 .346E+07 1199.1 1.80 48.03 5.17 257.05 .414E+07 1527.9 1.92 45.17	Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00
5.63 257.51 .485E+07 1892.4 2.03 42.71 6.10 257.98 .558E+07 2291.8 2.13 40.59	Length (m)= 773.30 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
b.bb 2b8.44 .b348±407 2725.7 2.23 38.74 7.03 258.91 .711E+07 3193.6 2.33 37.12 7.40 256.27 701E+07 3405.2 2.42 25 50	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 44.49
7.96 259.84 .874E+07 4221.9 2.51 34.48 8.42 26.30 661E+07 4627.6 2.54 34.09	Storage Coeff. $(min) = 9.54$ (ii) 21.55 (ii) Unit Hyd Theak $(min) = 1500 3000$
دن. در	Unit Hyd. peak (mm) = .09 .05
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m)(m/s)	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.26 3.26 6.334 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00
INFLOW : ID= 2 (9015) 3623.18 6.63 9.25 5.24 .98 .66 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6031) 3623.18 3.74 12.25 5.24 .79 .62	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 59.95 23.47 32.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.45 60.45 60.45
	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .39 .54
	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
DD HYD (5005)	 (1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SUBJUE DE SOULLE DE SOULL
TD1=1 (5062): 699.78 2.917 8.50 9.99	(11) THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
+ ID2= 2 (6031): 3623.18 3.738 12.25 5.24	
ID = 3 (5005): 4322.96 5.957 10.25 6.01	CALIB
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	STANDHYD (2031) Area (ha)= 55.98 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 30.79 25.19 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 610.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 79.79 41.24 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 8.28 (ii) 20.67 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .83 .31 .49 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.86 1.44 4.768 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.95 19.06 33.37 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .32 .55 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TUME COULD BE COULD BE COULD BE	CALIB NASHYD (1044) ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.83 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 5.986 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .721 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 4.325 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 DENORE COMPENDENT
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
STANDHYD (2020) Area (ha)= 24.78 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 IMPERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 14.87 9.91 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 406.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 42.78 Over (min) 15.00 30.00	NASHYD (1045) Area (ha)=170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.49 (ii) 18.69 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.05 .61 2.442 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 50.45 19.05 31.61 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .83 .32 .52	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>	Dep.storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 419.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 30.97 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.61 (ii) 20.50 (ii) Unit Hyd. Poeak (mm)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05
CALIB Area (ha)= 70.42 STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha)= 70.42 Total Total Total Total imp(%)= 55.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 40.05 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 0.9 0.5	*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.36 .68 1.792 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59,95 16.62 27.45 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .27 .45 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SWALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.77 1.73 5.861 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 50.45 18.53 29.70 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45	CALIB STANDHYD (2010) Area (ha)= 22.70 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00

:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec	2014\Uxbridge\
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 389.00 40.00 Mannings n = 0.13 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 30.97 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.32 (ii) 20.20 (ii)	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 11.763 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.204 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 5.165 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .085 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.18 .59 1.550 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.95 16.62 27.45 TOTALS*	CALIB NASHYD (9246) Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
RUNOF COEFFICIENT = .99 .27 .45	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = 3.494
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	PEAR FLOW (ms)= .398 (1) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 7.283 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .120 (i) PEAR FLOE PORE NOT USE DECEMBER 15 NNY
	(1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
STANDHYD (2011) Area (ha)= 40.62 D= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	CALIB NASHYD (1046) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.80
Sufface Area (IIA)= 16.25 24.57 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 520.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.180 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.141 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 4.480
Max.Bff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 30.97 over(min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff.(min)= 7.52 (ii) 21.41 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05	TOTAL RAINFAIL (mm)= 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .074 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.04 1.03 2.687 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.95 16.62 27.45 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .99 .27 .45	CALIB NASHYD (1040) Area (ha)= 14.62 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .82
** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .681
 (i) CN FROCEDARE SELECTED FOR FEWIDOS DESIST. CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	TIME TO PEAK $(hrs)_{-}$.1/0 (1) TIME TO PEAK $(hrs)_{-}$ 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME $(mm)_{-}$ 11.606 TOTAL RAINFALL $(mm)_{-}$ 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .192
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
ALLS SAHTD (1047) Area (ha)= 479.57 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 = 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 	CALIB NASHYD (1059) Area (ha)= 487.62 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 ⋕ of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. TpO(hrs)= 2.17
PEAK FLOW (cms)= $.825$ (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 4.480 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 8.583 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.194 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.500
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .074 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 17.056 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .282
LLIB LSHYD (9146) Area (ha)= 369.57 Curve Number (CN)= 55.0	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
: 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.20	CALIB STANDHYD (2042) Area (ha)= 54.50
	Page 19

:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2	2014\Uxbridge\
ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 59.95 24.68 33.50 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.45 60.45 60.45 DUNOR COMPACTION
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Intractions} & \text{Fractions} & \text{Fractions} & \text{(1)} \\ \text{Surface Area} & (ha) = & 21.80 & 32.70 \\ \text{Dep. Storage} & (mm) = & .50 & 1.50 \\ \text{Dep. Storage} & (ha) = & 1.00 & 1.00 \\ \end{array}$	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
Average slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) TUN GRE (AD) DR (AUDIN DR (AD) DR (AD)
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 46.60 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.22 (i) 20.01 (ii)	(11) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS*	
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.69 2.15 4.075 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 59.95 24.68 33.50 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 60.45 60.45 60.45	NASHYD (1060) Area (ha)= 406.96 Curve Number (CN)= 60.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min I a (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
*** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.879 (i)
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEPP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL 	TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 11.988 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.450 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .198
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALLB TANDHYD (2041) Area (ha)= 82.05 >= 1 DF=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00	RESERVOIR (9021) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min (ms) (ms)
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 36.92 45.13 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00	.0000 .0000 1.2000 .9900 .0290 .3700 2.7000 1.4200 .5000 .6900 6.1000 2.1800
Length (m)= 733.60 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hms) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 6.334 6.00 32.59
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 47.99 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 9.29 (ii) 20.94 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05	OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 2.428 6.75 32.56 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 38.33 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 45.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.3607
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.71 2.99 6.636 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (brs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00	
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 59.95 24.95 35.45 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 60.45 60.45 60.45 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .41 .59	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	.0000 .0000 .2620 .8805 .0150 .6000 .4710 1.0180 .1240 .7875 .9610 1.2660
<pre>CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) TIME STEP (D') SHOULD BE SNALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 2.442 6.00 31.61 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .065 11.00 31.20
ALIB Area (ha)= 145.27 = 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 2.64 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=300.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .6853
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ham) = 58.11 87.16 Dep. Storage (ham) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 984.10 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	ADD HYD (7008) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 79.79 46.60 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 11.02 (ii) 22.82 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (ms)= .08 .04	+ 1D2= 2 (2021): 70.42 5.861 6.00 29.70 ID = 3 (7008): 95.20 5.867 6.00 30.09 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.59 5.40 10.054 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00	
	Page 21

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\U	Jxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Ux	xbridge\
ADD HYD (5065) 1 + 2 = 3 ID1 = 1 (7008): 95.0 5.867 6.00 30.09 + ID2 = 2 (1044): 443.50 .721 10.50 4.32 ID = 3 (5065): 538.70 5.876 6.00 8.88 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 ******* .0010 ******* AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 .398 6.75 7.28 OUTFLOW : ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REPUCTION [Out/Oin](\$)= .00	
RESERVOIR (9257) IN= 2> OUTF 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 3.06 THME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = .6262		TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED INFLOW STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = .3998 INFLOW STORAGE UTT (min) (min) (min) (min) (min) (min) (min) (min) (min) (min) (min) (min) (min) .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .0400 1.4500 .2480 1.4290 INFLOW: ID= 2 (2042) 54.500 .248 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9258) 54.500 .248 9.00 33.39 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)	
RESERVOIR (9019) IN=2>OUT=1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 .0000 1.0800 .0000 .2600 .5700 .3500 .9900 .4700 .9900 .4700 INFLOW: ID=2 (2010) 22.700 1.550 6.00 27.45 OUTFLOW: ID=1 (9019) 22.700 .476 OUTFLOW: ID=1 (9019) PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 30.71 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE		TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=180.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.4289 	
ADD HYD (7001) AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. 11 + 2 = 3 (mm) (mms) (mms) (mm) 1D1=1 (9019): 22.70 476 6.50 15.99 + ID2=2 (2011): 40.62 2.687 6.00 27.45 ID1=3 (7001): 63.32 2.687 6.00 23.34		SHIFT HYD (9029) IN= 2> CUT= 1 SHIFT=150.0 min AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.	
RESERVOIR (9147) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE		$ \begin{vmatrix} ADD HYD & (5062) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ mn \\ TD1 = 1 & (1032): & 610.08 & 4.618 & 9.00 & 16.51 \\ + & ID2 = 2 & (9021): & 89.70 & 2.428 & 6.75 & 32.56 \\ \hline \\$	
RESERVOIR (9248) IN= 2> OUT= 1	Page 23	ID1= 1 (9257): 26.45 .055 11.25 27.06 + ID2= 2 (7001): 63.32 2.687 6.00 23.34 ID = 3 (7002): 89.77 2.693 6.00 24.44	Page 24

UDE: PEAR FLUND DO NOI INCLUDE BROEFLUND IF ANI.	ADD HYD (5064) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
	$\begin{array}{c c} (ha) & (cms) & (hrs) & (mm) \\ \hline ID1 = 1 & (1045): & 170.73 & .318 & 9.50 & 4.32 \\ \hline VD2 = 2 & (7002): & 20.77 & 2.602 & .600 & 4.44 \\ \hline \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	+ 1D2 = 2 (7002); 89.77 2.593 5.00 24.44
$\begin{array}{c} \text{ID1} = 1 & (9147): & 369.57 & .000 & .00 & .00 \\ + & \text{ID2} = 2 & (9248): & 54.88 & 000 & 00 & 00 \end{array}$	NOTE: DEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLIDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY
TD = 3 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00	NOIE, FERK FIONS DO NOI INCLUES ERSEFIONS IF RMI.
DEE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
	ADD HYD (9250) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00
YD (7013) 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	+ ID2= 2 (1046): 672.95 1.141 10.50 4.48
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (2041): 82.05 6.636 6.00 35.45	ID = 3 (9250): 1097.41 1.141 10.50 2.75
+ ID2= 2 (9020): 145.27 .752 9.00 33.47	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
$U = 3 (1013) \cdot 22/1.52 b.13b b.00 54.19$	
ULE: FEAR FLURD DU RUI INCLUDE BASEFLURS IF ANI.	ADD HYD (7014) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
2 CHN (6019) 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	ID1= 1 (9258): 54.50 .248 9.00 33.39 + ID2= 2 (7013): 227.32 6.736 6.00 34.19
<> DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)>	ID = 3 (7014): 281.82 6.761 6.00 34.03
Distance Elevation Manning .00 281.05 .0800	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
34.48 278.78 .0800 62.07 280.75 .0800	
110.34 277.13 .0800 124.14 276.45 .0800 / .0350 Main Channel 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel 151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0350 Main Channel 213.79 277.31 .0800 255.17 278.25 .0800 275.86 278.49 .0800 289.66 279.07 .0800	RESERVOIR (9018) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE
303.45 278.41 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 1.141 10.50 2.75 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 1.065 11.75 2.75
DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME (m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (min) .20 274.70 .66528.404 .6 .55 172.84 .39 274.89 .24784.05 4.2 1.00 97.27 .59 275.28 .75684.05 11.1 1.35 71.58 .78 275.28 .75684.05 21.4 1.64 58.99	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 93.36 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 75.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .8056
.98 275.48 .108E+06 35.2 1.89 51.17 1.17 275.67 .145E+06 52.9 2.12 45.70 1.37 275.87 .187E+06 74.8 2.33 41.60 1.56 276.06 .233E+06 101.2 2.53 38.37 1.76 276.26 .284E+06 132.2 2.71 35.80 1.95 276.45 .342E+06 160.8 2.73 35.50	ADD HYD (5061) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (Cms) (hrs) (mm) IDJ = 1 (1059): 487.62 4.194 8.50 17.06 IDJ = 0 (2014) 61.62 (751 6.20 17.06
2.17 270.07 .4216700 204.7 2.63 34.30 2.38 276.88 .516E+06 258.7 2.91 33.25 2.60 277.10 .2720.06 203.0 2.00 22.20	τ 1D2= 2 (7014). 201.02 0.701 0.00 34.03
2.00 2/7.10 .02/18:10 .223.3 3.00 32.28 2.82 277.32 .754E:06 402.4 3.11 31.21 2.03 277.53 804:p.06 517.5 2.37 20 70	TT = 2 (TOTA) + TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE
3.25 277.75 .105E+07 645.6 3.58 277.06 3.47 277 07 120E+07 645.6 3.58 27.06	NOID. FEAR FLOWS DO NOI INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANI.
3.68 278.18 .140E+07 94.2 3.91 24.79 3.90 278.40 .160E+07 1110.1 4.03 24.06	ROUTE CHN (9251) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00
<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) NPLOW : ID= 2 (9029) 406.96 3.88 9.75 11.99 .37 .93 VERT 1 (60.96 3.96 1.96 1.96 1.96 1.96 1.96 1.96 1.96 1</pre>	<pre><></pre>

Page 27

	=	===:		=====	===:		====	====		=====		
	I	D =	3	(5001):	1837	.48	2.	.711	6.	00	4
NC	OTE:	PE/	ΑK	FLOWS	DO	NOT	INCL	UDE	BASEF	LOWS	IF	ANY.
RESER	RVOIR	(90)17	 ')								

IN= 2---> OUT= 1

ADD HYD (5001)				
1 + 2 = 3	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.
	(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)
ID1= 1 (5064):	260.50	2.700	6.00	11.26
+ ID2= 2 (5000):	1576.98	1.725	12.00	3.27
ID = 3 (5001):	1837.48	2.711	6.00	4.41

ADD HYD (5000) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (1047): 479.57 .825 10.25 4.48 + ID2= 2 (9251): 1097.41 1.018 12.75 2.75 ID = 3 (5000): 1576.98 1.725 12.00 3.27 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

+ ID2- 2 (6019): 406.96 2.125 11.00 11.99 ID = 3 (7016): 1176.40 7.098 6.00 19.37 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9251) 1097.41 1.02 12.75 .08 2.75 ADD HYD (7016) 1 + 2 = 3AREA OPEAK TDEAK RV (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (5061): 23.27 769.44 7.098 6.00

.85 270.87 .484E+05 32.0 1.39 25.24 1.13 271.15 776E+05 59.6 1.61 21.71 1.42 271.44 .112E+06 100.0 1.87 18.71 1.70 271.72 .151E+06 157.2 2.18 16.04 1.99 272.01 .195E+06 225.9 2.44 14.37 2.27 272.29 .242E+06 305.9 2.65 13.21 272.57 272.86 2.55 .294E+06 398.1 2.84 12.31 2.84 .350E+06 501.8 3.01 11.61 3.12 273.14 .409E+06 617.0 3.17 11.05 3 40 273.42 472E + 06744 3 3 31 10 58 273.71 3.69 .539E+06 882.9 3.44 10.18 273.99 3.97 .611E+06 1033.6 3.55 9.85 4.26 274.28 687E+06 1196.8 3.66 9.57 .768E+06 4.54 274.56 1370.2 3.75 9.35 4.82 274.84 .856E+06 1556.4 3.82 9.16 5.11 275.13 .950E+06 1757.0 3.89 9.01 5.39 275.41 .105E+07 1967.6 3.93 8.91 <---- hvdrograph ----> <-nine / channel-> MAX DEPTH MAX VEL AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9018) 1097.41 1.07 11.75 2.75 . 08 . 81 .81

83.05 272.29 .0800 93.43 270.99 270.02 0800 109.00 .0350 Main Channel Main Channel 119.38 270.02 .0350 150 53 271.36 .0350 / .0800 Main Channel 273.45 186.86 .0800 207.62 274.37 .0800 233.57 275.12 0800 275.41 247.79 .0800 <----- TRAVEL TIME TABLE -----> DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME (m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min) 270.30 28 950E+04 3.7 . 81 43.21 .57 270.59 .257E+05 13.9 1.14 30.76

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\

.0000 .0000 2.8300 3.4900 .2800 .2500 3.9500 3.8200 .7100 .6300 4.6700 4 2000 1.1300 1.1400 7.3600 4.6900 1.5600 1.7300 8.7800 4.8500 35.4000 1.8400 2.2600 6.6100 2.2700 2.9600 8.6500 AREA OPEAK TDEAK RV (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (5001) 1837.481 2.711 6.00 4.41 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9017) 1837.481 1.643 13.75 4.41 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 60.62 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=465.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.8892 ADD HYD (9041) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. ID1= 1 (5065): 538.70 (cms) (hrs) (mm) 5.876 8.88 6.00 + ID2= 2 (9017): 1837.48 1.643 13.75 4.41 ID = 3 (9041): 2376.18 6.184 6.00 5.42 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. ADD HYD (5002) **OPEAK** 1 + 2 = 3AREA TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (mm) (hrs) ID1= 1 (2031): 55.98 4 768 6 00 33 37 + ID2= 2 (9041): 2376.18 6.184 6.00 5.42 -----ID = 3 (5002): 2432.16 10.952 6.00 6.06 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. SHIFT HYD (9040) IN= 2---> OUT= 1 AREA SHIFT= 60.0 min QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (cms) 10.95 (hrs) 6.00 (ha) (mm) ID= 2 (5002): 2432.16 6.06 SHIFT ID= 1 (9040): 2432.16 10.95 7.00 6.06 ROUTE CHN (6029) IN= 2---> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 <----> DATA FOR SECTION (1.0) ----> Distance Elevation Manning .00 274.29 .0800 30.80 273.73 .0800 51.30 270.17 .0800 61 60 266 84 0800 66.80 266.02 .0800 102.70 265.42 .0350 Main Channel 123 20 261.00 0350 Main Channel 128.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel 154.00 264.62 .0350 Main Channel 174.60 266.82 .0800 205.40 268.07 .0800 236.20 268.74 .0800 282.40 271.31 .0800 302.90 272.11 .0800 348.90 274.45 .0800 TRAVEL TIME TABLE DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME (m) (m) (CU.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min) 261.63 .63 .702E+04 5.2 1.14 22.47 1.26 262.26 .220E+05 24.8 1.74 14.74

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\

OUTFLOW

(cms)

STORAGE

(ha.m.)

STORAGE

(ha.m.)

DT= 15.0 min

1.89

262.89

.443E+05

64.0

2.22

11.54

OUTFLOW

(cms)

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge
2.53 263.53 .741E+05 127.4 2.65 9.69 3.16 264.16 .111E+06 219.6 3.04 8.44 3.79 264.79 .156E+06 343.2 3.39 7.57 4.42 265.42 .209E+06 501.2 3.70 6.94 5.16 266.16 .304E+06 1123.8 4.05 6.34 6.64 267.64 .570E+06 1123.8 4.05 6.34 6.64 267.64 .570E+06 1123.8 4.05 5.53 8.12 269.12 .941E+06 2225.4 4.65 5.53 8.12 269.12 .941E+07 3743.0 4.95 5.18 9.59 270.59 .141E+07 3656.3 5.10 5.03 10.33 271.33 .167E+07 5671.9 5.24 4.90 11.07 272.07 .195E+07 6784.6 5.36 4.80 11.81 272.81 .2265E+07 8029.1 5.47 4.69 12.55 273.55 .2559E+07 9393.0 5.59 4.60 13.29 274.29 .295E+07 10648.3 5.55 4.62 < hydrograph> < -pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9040) 243.16 10.95 7.00 6.06 .82 1.27	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6029) 2432.16 6.73 7.25 6.06 .68 1.17	<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cmms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9015) 3623.18 11.73 9.25 10.41 1.21 .67 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6031) 3623.18 8.02 13.25 10.41 1.07 .68</pre>
ID1= 1 (6029): 2432.16 6.729 7.25 6.06 + ID2= 2 (1040): 14.62 .176 6.75 11.61 	ADD HYD (5005) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (5062): 699.78 5.556 8.50 18.57 + ID2= 2 (6031): 3623.18 8.018 13.25 10.41
ADD HYD (5004) AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (nms) (hrs) (nmm) ID1=1 (5003): 2446.78 6.877 7.25 6.10 + ID2=2 (7016): 1176.40 7.098 6.00 19.37 ID1 = 3 (5004): 3623.18 11.731 7.25 10.41 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ID = 3 (5005): 4322.96 11.089 10.50 11.73 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
SHIFT HYD (9015) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT 120.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. TD= 2 (5004): 3623.18 11.73 7.25 10.41 SHIFT ID= 1 (9015): 3623.18 11.73 9.25 10.41 COUTE CHN (6031) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	Ptotal= 71.22 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Mass curve time step = 15.00 min TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME mn/hr hrs mm/hr .25 1.57 3.25 2.85 6.25 12.82 9.25 2.17 .50 1.65 3.50 2.85 6.50 12.82 9.50 1.99 .75 1.68 3.75 2.85 6.75 6.75 1.91 1.00 1.74 4.00 2.85 7.00 4.62 10.00 1.94 1.25 1.82 4.25 3.93 7.25 4.27 10.25 1.88 1.50 1.88 4.50 4.62 7.50 4.27 10.55 1.77 1.75 1.91 4.75 5.16 7.75 4.27 10.57 1.62
< DATA FUR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 260.30 .0800 34.10 260.43 .0800 62.40 259.79 .0800 113.50 254.00 .0800 113.50 254.00 .0800 133.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel 187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel 249.80 254.00 .0800 334.90 255.77 .0800 3351.90 256.37 .0800 414.40 260.24 .0800 451.50 260.75 .0800 514.40 261.48 .0800	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbr
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .318 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	<pre>Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 56.75 over(min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.07 (ii) 16.97 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. moeh (men)= 10 05</pre>
CALTE Area (ha)= 89.70 STANDHYD (2050) Area (ha)= 89.70 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	UNIT HYG. PEAK (cms) = .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.44 .85 2.988 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 61.22 25.17 39.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .86 .35 .56
Surface Area (ha)= 35.88 53.82 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 773.30 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SWALLER OR EQUIAL</pre>
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 58.25 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.93 (ii) 19.72 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05 *TOTALS*	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PERK FLOW (cmms)= 5.10 4.45 7.981 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.72 30.65 40.67 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .43 .57	CTANDHYD (2021) Area (ha) = 70.42 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 38.73 31.69
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CM* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	<pre>Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 53.21 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.31 (ii) 19.49 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00</pre>
CALIB STANDHYD (2031) Area (ha)= 55.98 DD=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 TMPERVIOUS (j)	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.72 2.40 7.245 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 61.22 24.53 37.37 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 PUNDEF COEFFICIENT = 86 34 52
Surface Area (ha)= 30.79 25.19 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 610.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) TIME STEP (CP) SUMUL DE DE FONLIE OF DE FOLL.</pre>
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 54.71 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.76 (ii) 18.82 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.62 1.99 5.890 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFY VOLUME (mm)= 70.72 25.19 41.13 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFY COEFFICIENT = .99 .35 .58	CALIB NASHYD (1044) Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.83 Unit Hyd Opeak (cms)= 5.986
<pre>**** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.</pre>	PEAK FLOW (cms) = 1.282 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 10.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 7.546 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .106
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
IDT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 14.87 9.91 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 406.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.22 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .572 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 7.546 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220
Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 406.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .572 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 9.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 7.546 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.220

Page 31

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .106 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 41.43 15.00 30.00 7.04 (ii) 19.41 (ii) over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .05 .10 *TOTALS* CALIB PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.44 1.44 3.351 (iii) STANDHYD (2012) Area (ha)= 26.45 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 6.00 70.72 6.25 22.15 6.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min 34.29 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 .31 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) RUNOFE COFFETCIENT = .99 .48 Surface Area (ha)= 10.58 15.87 Dep. Storage (mm) = ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: 419.90 40.00 Length (m)= (i) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL Mannings n .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 41.43 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 15.00 30.00 6.19 (ii) 18.55 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* CALTR
 CALIB
 Area
 (ha)= 479.57
 Curve Number
 (CN)= 59.0

 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min
 Ia
 (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00

 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.73
 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.73
 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.63 .95 2.231 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6 25 6 00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 70.72 34.29 22.15 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .31 .48 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 6.710 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.467 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 10.000 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 7.804 CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .110 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALIB CALIB
 NASHYD
 (9146)
 Area
 (ha)= 369.57
 Curve Number
 (CN)= 55.0

 ID= 1
 DT=15.0
 min
 Ia
 (mm)= 25.00
 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00

 ----- U.H. Tp(hrs)=
 1.20
 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
 STANDHYD (2010) Area (ha)= 22.70 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min PERVIOUS (i) IMPERVIOUS Surface Area (ha)= 9.08 13.62 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 11.763 .50 Dep. Storage 2.50 (mm) = Average Slope 1.00 1.00 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.098 (i) (%)= TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 7.500 Length (m) = 389.00 40.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 8.408 .013 Mannings n .250 = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.220 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 41.43 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .118 15.00 over (min) 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.92 (ii) 18.28 (ii) (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 15.00 30.00 .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 1.40 .82 1.928 (iii) CALTB
 CALIND
 (9246)
 Area
 (ha)=
 54.89
 Curve Number
 (CN)=
 65.0

 ID= 1
 DT=15.0
 in
 Ia
 (mm)=
 25.00
 # of Linear Res.(N)=
 3.00

 ------ U.H. Tp(hrs)=
 .60
 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 70.72 71.22 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 22.15 34.29 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .48 .31 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! PEAK FLOW (cms)= .705 (i) (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.500 CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 11.652 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 71.220 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 164 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. CALTR STANDHYD (2011) Area (ha) = 40.62 CALIB ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 NASHYD (1046) Area (ha)= 672.95 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm) = 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.80 Surface Area (ha)= 16.25 24.37 Dep. Storage (mm) = (%) = .50 2.50 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.180 Average Slope 1.00 520.40 Length (m)= 40.00 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.027 (i) Mannings n .013 .250 TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 10.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 7.804

Page 33

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbri	xbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .110 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	<pre>Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 62.41</pre>
CALIB Area (ha)= 14.62 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 UHL Tp(hrs)= .82 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .681	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.64 4.05 8.287 (ii) TIME TO DEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.72 32.37 43.87 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COFFFICIENT = .99 4.5 6.2
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .250 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 16.207 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .228 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 I a= Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>
CALIB Area (ha)= 487.62 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 NASHYD (1059) Area (ha)= 487.62 Curve Number (CN)= 71.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.17	CALIE CALIE STANDHYD (2040) Area (ha)= 145.27 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 8.583 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.792 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 23.326 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .328 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	$\begin{array}{rcl} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$
CALIB Area (ha)= 54.50 JD=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 21.80 32.70 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 94.01 60.66 orage Coeff. (min)= 7.69 (ii)	<pre>*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 7.93 7.33 12.687 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.72 32.04 41.71 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .45 .59 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</pre>
OHIL Hyd. 19eak (mm1)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (mm1)= 10 .05 *TOTALS* *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.22 2.91 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.72 32.04 41.71 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.22 71.22 71.22 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .45 .59	CALIB CALIB NASHYD (1060) Area (ha)= 406.96 Curve Number (CN)= 60.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	<pre>PEAR FLOW (Cms)= 5.477 (1) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 16.717 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 71.220 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .235 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>
CALIB STANDHYD (2041) Area (ha)= 82.05 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 36.92 45.13 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 739.60 40.00	RESERVOIR (9021) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 .0290 .3700 .5000 .6900 6.1000 2.1800 AREA QPEAK TPEAK
	Page 35 Page 36

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update :	Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 7.981 6.00 40.67 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 3.472 6.50 40.63 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 43.51 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.6071		AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 1.928 6.00 34.29 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 .712 6.50 22.83 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 36.93 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .3911
RESERVOIR (9022) OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE		ADD HYD (7001) 1 + 2 = 3 (ba) (cms) (brs) (mm) ID1= 1 (9019); 22.70 .712 6.50 22.83 + ID2= 2 (2011); 40.62 3.351 6.00 34.29 ID = 3 (7001); 63.32 3.351 6.00 30.18 NOTE: DEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFIONS IF ANY
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .123 9.25 39.18 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 4.12 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=195.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .7862		RESERVOIR (9147) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW (cms) (ms) (ha.m.)
ADD HYD (7008) AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (mm) (mms) (mm) (mm) ID1= 1 (9022): 24.78 1.23 9.25 39.18 + ID2= 2 (2021): 70.42 7.245 6.00 37.37 ID = 3 (7008): 95.20 7.253 6.00 37.84 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		.0000 ******* AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 2.098 7.50 8.41 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](\$)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 3.1075
ADD HYD (5065) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (ms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (7008): 95.20 7.253 6.00 37.84 + ID2= 2 (1044): 443.50 1.282 10.00 7.55 ID1 = 3 (5065): 538.70 7.275 6.00 12.90 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		RESERVOIR (9248) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 (cms) (ha.m.) .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 ******* AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 .705 6.50 11.65 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00
RESERVOIR (9257) OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 .0990 .7350 .0110 .4435 .1630 .8595 .0550 .6265 .3050 1.0800 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.		Image: Start Plank FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE (min)=****** (min)=****** (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE (min)=****** (min)=****** (min)=****** (min)=****** (min)=****** (min)=****** (min)=****** (min)=****** (min)=******* (min)=****** (min)=******* (min)=******* (min)=**
INFLOW : ID= 2 (2012) 26.450 2.231 6.00 34.29 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9257) 26.450 0.99 9.75 33.90 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 4.43 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=225.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .7349		AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2042) 54.500 5.116 6.00 41.71 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9258) 54.500 .472 8.25 41.61 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%= 9.23 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=135.00
RESERVOIR (9019) UNE 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE		RESERVOIR (9020) (na.m.) 1.0362 IN= 2> OUT= 1 IN= 2> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 1.7200 5.0000 .2200 3.0000 2.5000 7.0000
	Page 37	Page

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 1.2.687 6.00 41.71 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9020) 145.270 1.220 8.25 41.69 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 9.62 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=135.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = 4.3353	124.14 276.45 .0800 / .0350 Main Channel 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel 151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0350 Main Channel 213.79 277.31 .0800 255.17 278.42 .0800 289.66 279.07 .0800 .0800 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800 .0800
SHIFT HYD (9029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 IN= 2> OUT= 1 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. SHIFT=150.0 min AREA (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID= 2 (1060): 406.96 5.48 7.25 16.72 SHIFT ID= 1 (9029): 406.96 5.48 9.75 16.72	$ \begin{cases} - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & -$
ADD HYD (5062) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. III = 1 (1032): (nm) (hrs) (mm) IDI=1 (1032): 610.08 6.388 8.75 22.63 + ID2=2 (9021): 89.70 3.472 6.50 40.63 III = 3 (5062): 699.78 7.487 8.50 24.94 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	1.37 275.87 $.187E+06$ 74.8 2.33 41.60 1.56 276.06 $.233E+06$ 101.2 2.53 38.37 1.76 276.26 $.284E+06$ 132.2 2.71 35.80 1.95 276.45 $.342E+06$ 160.8 2.73 35.50 2.17 276.67 $.421E+06$ 204.7 2.83 34.30 2.38 277.68 $516E+06$ 258.7 2.91 33.25 2.60 277.10 $.627E+06$ 323.9 3.00 32.28 2.82 277.33 $.894E+06$ 517.5 3.37 28.76 3.25 277.753 $.894E+06$ 517.5 3.76 25.76 3.47 277.97 $.122E+07$ 787.1 3.76 25.78 3.68 278.18 $.140E+07$ 942.2 3.91 24.79 3.90 278.40 $.160E+07$ 1110.1 4.03 24.06
ADD HYD (7002) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m/s) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9029) 406.96 5.48 9.75 16.72 .43 1.05 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6019) 406.96 3.43 10.75 16.71 .35 .85
NOIS. PERK FLOWS DO NOI INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANI. ADD HYD (7004) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	ADD HYD (5064) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1=1 (1045): 170.73 .572 9.25 7.55 + ID2=2 (7002): 89.77 3.359 6.00 31.28 ID = 3 (5064): 260.50 3.375 6.00 15.72 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (9250) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDL= 1 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00 + ID2= 2 (1046): 672.95 2.027 10.00 7.80 IDL = 3 (9250): 1097.41 2.027 10.00 4.79 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID = 3 (7013): 227.32 8.413 6.00 42.48 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
<pre>< DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning</pre>	ID = 3 (7014): 281.82 8.444 6.00 42.31 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

Page 39

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
IN= 2> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 4.8100 .4200 .6400 14.3300 .5900 .9400 53.8000 .32000 1.1100 .0000	ADD HYD (7016) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 2.027 10.00 4.79	ID = 3 (7016): 1176.40 9.233 10.25 25.59
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 2.001 10.50 4.78 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 98.74	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .9837	ADD HYD (5000)
ADD HYD (5061) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ba) (cms) (bres) (mm) TD1= 1 (1059): 487.62 5.792 8.50 23.33 + ID2= 2 (7014): 281.82 8.444 6.00 42.31	1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ID = 3 (5061): 769.44 8.974 6.00 30.28 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
	ADD HYD (5001) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ROUTE CHN (9251) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	ID1= 1 (5064): 260.50 3.375 6.00 15.72 + ID2= 2 (5000): 1576.98 3.230 11.25 5.70
< DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 278.33 .0800	ID = 3 (5001): 1837.48 3.992 11.00 7.12 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
40.11 277.40 .0800 55.10 276.96 .0800 62.29 276.96 .0800 67.48 275.94 .0800 77.86 273.27 .0800 93.43 270.99 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 119.38 270.02 .0350 186.86 273.45 .0800 207.62 274.37 .0800 233.57 275.12 .0800 247.79 275.41 .0800	RESERVOIR (9017) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT=15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE
<pre><> DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TAV.TIME (m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min) .28 270.30 .950E+04 3.7 .81 43.21 .57 270.59 .257E+05 13.9 1.14 30.76 .85 270.87 .484E+05 32.0 1.39 25.24</pre>	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (5001) 1837.481 3.992 11.00 7.12 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9017) 1837.481 3.108 13.00 7.12 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 77.86
1.13 271.15 .776E+05 59.6 1.61 21.71 1.42 271.44 .112E+06 100.0 1.87 18.71 1.70 271.72 .151E+06 157.2 2.18 16.04 1.99 272.01 .155E+06 225.9 2.44 14.37 2.27 272.29 .242E+06 305.9 2.65 13.21	TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=120.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 3.6212
2.55 272.57 .294E+06 398.1 2.84 12.31 2.84 272.86 .350E+06 501.8 3.01 11.61 3.12 273.14 .409E+06 617.0 3.17 11.05 3.40 273.42 .472E+06 744.3 3.31 10.58 3.69 273.71 .539E+06 882.9 3.44 10.18 3.97 273.99 .611E+06 1033.6 3.55 9.85 4.26 274.28 .67E+06 1370.2 3.75 9.35 4.54 274.56 .768E+06 1370.2 3.75 9.35 4.82 274.84 .856E+06 1556.4 3.82 9.16 5.11 275.13 .950E+06 1757.0 3.89 9.01 5.39 275.41 .105E+07 1967.6 3.93 8.91	ADD HYD (9041) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1= 1 (5065): 538.70 7.275 6.00 12.90 + 1D2= 2 (9017): 1837.48 3.108 13.00 7.12 ID = 3 (9041): 2376.18 7.658 6.00 8.43 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
<pre>< hydrograph> <-pip / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL</pre>	ADD HYD (5002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1= 1 (2031): 55.98 5.890 6.00 41.13 + ID2= 2 (9041): 2376.18 7.658 6.00 8.43

Page 41

ID = 3 (5002): 2432.16 13.548 6.00 9.19 OTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
	+ ID2= 2 (7016): 1176.40 9.233 10.25 25.59
T HYD (9040) 2> OUT= 1	ID = 3 (5004): 3623.18 15.891 7.25 14.54 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
T= 60.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	
ID= 2 (5002): 2432.16 13.55 6.00 9.19 IPT ID= 1 (9040): 2432.16 13.55 7.00 9.19	SHIFT HYD (9015) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=120.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
CHN (6029) > OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	ID= 2 (5004): 3623.18 15.89 7.25 14.54 SHIFT ID= 1 (9015): 3623.18 15.89 9.25 14.54
< DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning	
.00 274.29 .0800 30.80 273.73 .0800 51.30 270.17 .0800	ROUTE CHN (6031) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00
61.60 266.84 .0800 66.80 266.02 .0800	<> DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning
102.70 265.42 .0350 Main Channel 123.20 261.00 .0350 Main Channel 128.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel	$\begin{array}{cccc} .00 & 260.30 & .0800 \\ 34.10 & 260.43 & .0800 \\ 62.40 & 259.79 & .0800 \end{array}$
154.00 264.62 .0350 Main Channel 174.60 266.82 .0800	79.50 255.72 .0800 113.50 254.00 .0800
205.40 268.07 .0800 236.20 268.74 .0800	153.30 253.33 .0350 Main Channel 187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel
282.40 271.31 .0800 302.90 272.11 .0800	198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel
348.90 274.45 .0800	249.80 254.00 .0800 334.90 255.77 .0800
DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME	351.90 256.37 10800 414.40 260.24 10800 465.50 260.25 0000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	514.40 261.48 .0800
1.89 262.89 .443E+05 64.0 2.22 11.54 2.53 263.53 .741E+05 127.4 2.65 9.69	<pre>< TRAVEL TIME TABLE> DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME</pre>
3.16 264.16 .111E+06 219.6 3.04 8.44 3.79 264.79 .156E+06 343.2 3.39 7.57	(m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min) .36 252.24 .596E+04 .4 .39 223.90
4.42 265.42 .209E+06 501.2 3.70 6.94 5.16 266.16 .304E+06 766.4 3.88 6.61	.73 252.61 .238E+05 2.8 .61 141.05 1.09 252.97 .619E+05 8.2 .69 126.14
5.90 266.90 .428E+06 1123.8 4.05 6.34 6.64 267.64 .570E+06 1628.6 4.40 5.84	1.45 253.33 .151E+06 18.8 .65 134.06 1.91 253.79 .383E+06 68.4 .93 93.14
7.38 268.38 .738E+06 2225.4 4.65 5.53 8.12 269.12 .941E+06 2928.9 4.80 5.35	2.38 254.26 .713E+06 157.3 1.15 75.58 2.84 254.72 .112E+07 287.3 1.33 65.13
8.85 269.85 .116E+07 3743.0 4.95 5.18 9.59 270.59 .141E+07 4656.3 5.10 5.03	3.31 255.19 .161E+07 454.2 1.47 59.01 3.77 255.65 .217E+07 659.0 1.58 54.87
10.33 271.33 .167E+07 5671.9 5.24 4.90 11.07 272.07 .195E+07 6784.6 5.36 4.80	4.24 256.12 .279E+07 908.7 1.69 51.25 4.70 256.58 .346E+07 1199.1 1.80 48.03
11.81 2/2.81 .226E+07 8029.1 5.47 4.69 12.55 273.55 .259E+07 9393.0 5.59 4.60 12.20 205E-07 10440 2 5.55 4.60	5.17 257.05 .4148+07 1527.9 1.92 45.17 5.63 257.51 .485E+07 1892.4 2.03 42.71
13.29 2/4.29 .295E+0/ 10040.3 5.55 4.02	6.56 258.44 .536±07 2725.7 2.23 38.74
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL	7.49 259.37 .791E+07 3695.3 2.33 37.12 7.49 259.37 .791E+07 3695.3 2.43 35.69 7.96 259.84 874E+07 4221 9 2.51 34.48
IFLOW : ID= 2 (9040) 2432.16 13.55 7.00 9.19 .90 1.34 IFLOW: ID= 1 (6029) 2432.16 8.54 7.25 9.19 .74 1.21	8.42 260.30 .961E+07 4697.6 2.54 34.09
· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···	<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL</pre>
	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9015) 3623.18 15.89 9.25 14.54 1.35 .66
YD (5003)	OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6031) 3623.18 11.47 13.50 14.54 1.20 .67
2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	
ID1= 1 (6029): 2432.16 8.543 7.25 9.19 + ID2= 2 (1040): 14.62 .250 6.75 16.21	
ID = 3 (5003): 2446.78 8.750 7.25 9.23	ADD HYD (5005) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
OTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	(na) (cms) (nrs) (mm) $ID1=1 (5062): 699.78 7.487 8.50 24.94$ $ID2=2 (6031): 2672 18 11 470 12 50 14 54$
	TDZ= 2 (0031) 3023.10 11.470 13.50 14.34 TDZ= 3 (5005): 4322.96 14.967 10.50 16.22
	20 - 5 (2005), - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 2

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	STANDHYD (2031) Area (ha)= 55.98 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00
*** SIMULATION NUMBER: 4 ** 25-Year Storm MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans \Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst Ptotal= 83.15 mm Comments: SCS 24 HR MASS CURVE Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Mass curve time step = 15.00 min TIME RAIN TIME RAIN	<pre>IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 30.79 25.19 Dep.Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 610.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 70.93 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.29 (ii) 17.26 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 .05 *TOTALS*</pre>
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PEAK FLOW (cmms) = 5.46 2.68 7.193 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 82.65 32.56 50.09 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .39 .60 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP [01] SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB Area (ha)= 610.08 Curve Number (CN)= 70.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm) = 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.472 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 8.546 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hs)= 8.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 30.044 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 33.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .361 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	CALIB STANDHYD (2020) ID= 1 DF=15.0 min STARDHYD (2020) ID= 1 DF=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 14.87 9.91 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.000 1.00 Length (m)= 406.40 40.00 Mamings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 73.57 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.71 (ii) 15.53 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00
CALIB Area (ha) = 89.70 ID= 1 D7=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 35.82 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 109.76 74.52 over (min) 15.00 30.00	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .11 .05 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.88 1.14 3.618 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.15 32.53 48.78 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COFFICIENT = .88 .39 .59 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DI SHOULDE BASKLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASKPLOW IF ANY.
Storage Coeff. (min) = 8.40 (ii) 18.17 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (ms) = .09 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 6.06 5.90 9.919 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 82.65 39.14 50.02 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .47 .60 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TH* STOP FOT SHOLD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	CALIB CALIB STANDHYD (2021) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Starface Area (ha)= 35.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 38.73 31.69 Dep. Storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 69.10 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.81 (ii) 17.88 (ii)
CALIB	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.77 3.23 8.855 (iii)
Page 45	

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 201-	4\Uxbridge\
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 73.15 31.76 46.24 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .88 .38 .56 ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)	STANDHYD (2010) Area (ha)= 22.70 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(\$)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(\$)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (\$)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 389.00 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
<pre>(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 54.15 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.56 (ii) 16.67 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. ceak (cms)= .11 .05
CALIB Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 1.65 1.12 2.372 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 82.65 28.85 42.30 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .35 5.1
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.063 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 11.915 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .143 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>
CALIB	$\begin{bmatrix} CALIB \\ STANDHYD (2011) \\ ID= 1 DT=15.0 min \\ \end{bmatrix} Area (ha) = 40.62 \\ Total Imp(\%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(\%) = 25.00 \\ \hline \\ IDERVIOUS (i) \\ Surface Area (ha) = 16.25 24.37 \\ Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 2.50 \\ Average Slope (\%) = 1.00 1.00 \\ Length (m) = 520.40 40.00 \\ Manning n = 0 \\ IDERVIOUS (i) \\ 250 \\ Average Slope (i) = 1.00 \\ IDERVIOUS (i) \\ 250 \\ Average Slope (i) = 0 \\ Average Slope (i)$
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .143 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 54.15 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.62 (ii) 17.73 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS*
CALIB Area (ha) = 26.45 STANDHYD (2012) Area (ha) = 26.45 ID= 1 Dr=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 10.58 15.87 Den Storage (mm) = 50 2 50	PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.88 1.95 4.134 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 82.65 28.85 42.30 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .35 .51
Dep: Scotage (mn) 1.00 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 Length (m) = 419.90 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 109.76 54.15 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 5.82 (ii) 16.93 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Usit Hyd. men (man) = 0.50 0.5	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
UNIC Hyd. pear (cms) = .10 .05 *TOTALS* *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 1.92 1.29 2.747 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 82.65 28.85 42.30 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .35 .51	CALIB NASHYD (1047) ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.73 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 6.710
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.362 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 12.300 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .148 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB	Page 47 Page 4

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
NASHYD (9146) Area (ha)= 369.57 Curve Number (CN)= 55.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 1.20	CALIB STANDHYD (2042) Area (ha)= 54.50 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 11.763	
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.332 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 12.712 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .153	Surface Area (ha)= 21.80 32.70 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 77.23 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storare Coeff (min)= 7.23 (ii) 16.87 (ii)
CALIB NASHYD (9246) Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 ID- 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	Distance (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 3.80 3.83 6.337 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 82.65 40.71 51.20 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 83.15 83.15
onze nya gyean (ono) = 5151	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .49 .62
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.134 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 17.315 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .208 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>
CALIE	CALIB STANDHYD (2041) Area (ha)= 82.05 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.180	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.258 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 12.300 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .148	Surface Area (ha)= 36.92 45.13 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 739.60 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 109.76 79.39 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.18 (ii) 17.71 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00
CALIB CALIB NASHYD (1040) Area (ha)= 14.62 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID-1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 6.69 5.34 10.214 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.65 41.10 53.56 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .64
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .342 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 21.923 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .264 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) TIME STEP (DT) SMOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>
CALIE	CALIE STANDHYD (2040) Area (ha)= 145.27 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 8.583 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 7.732 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 30.906 TOTAL RAINPALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .372	Surface Area (ha) = 58.11 87.16 Dep. Storage (mm) = .50 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 984.10 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 109.76 77.23
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 9.70 (ii) 19.34 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbrid
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 9.43 9.68 15.788 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 82.65 40.71 51.20 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.15 83.15 83.15 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .49 .62 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SMALLER OR EQUAL	ADD HYD (5065) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDI= 1 (7008) 95.20 8.865 6.00 46.80 + ID2= 2 (1044): 443.50 2.063 9.75 11.92 ID = 3 (5065): 538.70 8.908 6.00 18.08 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	RESERVOIR (9257) IN= 2> OUT=1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW Come) OUTO OUTO
<pre>PEAK FLOW (cms)= 7.472 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 7.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 22.578 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 83.150 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .272 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	AREA GPEAR IPEAR K.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2012) 26.450 2.747 6.00 42.30 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9257) 26.450 .163 9.00 41.91 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 5.93 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=180.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .8593
RESERVOIR (9021) IN=2> OUT=1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 .0290 .3700 .0290 .3700 .0000 .61000 .0290 .3700 .0000 .61000 .0290 .3700 .0000 .6900 .0290 .3700 .0000 .6000 .0290 .3700 .0000 .6000 .0290 .600 .0290 .600 .0000 .61000 .0000 .6900 .0000 .600 .0000 .0000 .0000 .600 .0000 .0000 .0000 .600 .0000 .600 .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .0000 .00000 .0000 .000000 .0000 .000000000 .000000000 <	RESERVOIR (9019) INFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 1.0800 .5900 .0000 .2600 1.2200 .7400 .5700 .3500 1.3500 .9300 .9900 .4700 2.8300 .9900 .10EX .02270 .2372 6.00 42.30 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 .929 6.50 30.84
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) = 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.) = 1.8649 	PPEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 39.15 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .4536
.1240 .7875 .9610 1.2660 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2020) 24.780 3.618 6.00 48.78 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9022) 24.780 .255 8.00 48.37 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = 7.05 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=120.00 MAXIMUM NORAGE USED (ha.m.) = .8751	+ ID2= 2 (2011): 40.62 4.134 6.00 42.30 ID = 3 (7001): 63.32 4.414 6.00 38.19 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ADD HYD (7008) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cmms) (ha.m.) (cmms) (ha.m.) .0000 ******* .0010 ******* AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hars) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 3.332 7.25 12.71 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .000 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 4.6980

Page 51

:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update D	c 2014\Uxbridge\ V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
RESERVOIR (9248) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 ******* .0010 *******	ID = 3 (7002): 89.77 4.423 6.00 39.29 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 1.134 6.50 17.31 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHFFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=***** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .9504	$ \begin{vmatrix} \text{ADD HYD} & (7004) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \end{vmatrix} $ AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. $ \hline \text{(mm)} \\ \hline \text{(D1 = 1 (9147): 369.57 .000 .00 .00} \\ + 1D2 = 2 (9248): 54.89 .000 .00 .00 \\ \hline \text{(D1 = 3 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00} \\ \hline \end{tabular} $
RESERVOIR (9258) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE .0000 .0000 .4730 1.6355 .0490 1.0690 .7910 1.6915 .2480 1.4290 1.4810 2.3855 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2042) 54.500 6.337 6.00 51.20 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9258) 54.500 .789 7.25 51.10	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 12.46 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 75.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.8915	ROUTE CHN (6019) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00
RESERVOIR (9020) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE	<pre>< DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning</pre>
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (nmm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 15.788 6.00 51.20 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9020) 145.270 1.758 7.75 51.17 PEAK PLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 11.14 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=105.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 5.0996	137.33 274.30 .0350 Main Channel 151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0350 Main Channel 213.79 277.31 .0800 255.17 278.25 .0800 275.86 279.07 .0800 303.45 278.41 .0800
HIFT HYD (9029) N= 2> OUT= 1 HIFT=150.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID= 2 (1060): 406.96 7.47 7.00 22.58 SHIFT ID= 1 (9029): 406.96 7.47 9.50 22.58	<
DD HYD (5062) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ADD HYD (7002) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 AREA (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (9257): 26.45 .163 9.00 41.91 + ID2= 2 (7001): 63.32 4.414 6.00 38.19	<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-></pre>
	Page 53 Pag

	62.29 276.96 .0800 67.48 275.94 .0800 77.86 273.27 .0800 83.05 272.29 .0800 92.43 270.09 .0800
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	93.43 2/0.59 .0500 Main Channel 109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 119.38 270.02 .0350 / .0800 Main Channel 150.53 271.36 .0350 / .0800 Main Channel 186.86 273.45 .0800 Main Channel
ID = 3 (5064): 260.50 4.456 6.00 21.35	233.57 275.12 .0800 247.79 275.41 .0800
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	<> TRAVEL TIME TABLE>
D HYD (9250) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
ID1= 1 (7004): 424.46 .000 .000 + ID2= 2 (1046): 672.95 3.258 9.75 12.30	1.42 271.44 .112E+06 100.0 1.87 18.71 1.70 271.72 .151E+06 157.2 2.18 16.04 1.99 272.01 .15E+06 225.9 2.44 14.37
ID = 3 (9250): 1097.41 3.258 9.75 7.54 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	3.40 273.42 .472E+06 744.3 3.31 10.58 3.69 273.71 .539E+06 882.9 3.44 10.18
DD HYD (7014) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	3.97 273.99 .611E+06 1033.6 3.55 9.85 4.26 274.28 .687E+06 1196.8 3.66 9.57 4.54 274.56 .768E+06 1370.2 3.75 9.35 4.82 274.84 .856E+06 1556.4 3.82 9.16
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5.11 275.13 .950E+06 1757.0 3.89 9.01 5.39 275.41 .105E+07 1967.6 3.93 8.91 < hydrograph> <-pipe / channel->
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL (ha) (cms) (hmm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9018) 1097.41 3.25 10.00 7.54 .25 .81 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9251) 1097.41 3.08 11.00 7.54 .24 .81
SERVOIR (9018) W= 2> 0UT=1 0UTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.00 .0000 .0000 .4200 .6400 .5900 1.3000 3.2000 1.1100 .0000 .0000 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (hrs) (INFLOW : ID= 2 (9250) 1097-411 3.258	ADD HYD (7016) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 3.253 10.00 7.54	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [QOUF/QIn](%)= 99.83 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 15.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.1127	
D HVD (5061)	$ \begin{vmatrix} 1 + 2 = 3 \\$
1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	ID = 3 (5000): 1576.98 5.291 10.75 8.99
ID1= 1 (1059): 487.62 7.732 8.50 30.91 + ID2= 2 (7014): 281.82 10.408 6.00 51.86	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID = 3 (5061): 769.44 11.200 6.00 38.58	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	$ \begin{vmatrix} ADD HYD & (5001) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \\ (ha) & (ms) & (ms) \\ 1D1 = 1 & (5064): 260.50 & 4.456 & 6.00 & 21.35 \\ \end{vmatrix} $
N= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00	+ ID2= 2 (5000): 1576.98 5.291 10.75 8.99
<pre>< DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 278.33 .0800</pre>	ID = 3 (5001): 1837.48 6.508 10.50 10.74 Note: peak flows do not include baseflows if any.

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
RESERVOIR (9017) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 .2830 3.4900 .2800 .2500 .1300 1.1400 1.5600 1.7300 1.8200 2.600 .2810 2.500 .7100 .6300 1.1300 1.1400 1.5600 1.7300 1.8200 2.5001 1.5600 1.7300 1.8200 2.5001 2.2700 2.9600 ******* 8.6500 2.2700 2.9600 ******* 8.6500 2.2700 2.9600 ******* 8.6500 AREA QPEAK <tpeak< td=""> NFLOW: ID= 2 (5001) 1837.481 6.508 10.50 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9017) 1837.481 6.173 11.50 10.74 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = 94.85 1.4766 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) = 60.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha</tpeak<>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ADD HYD (9041) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. ID1= 1 (5065): 538.70 8.908 6.00 18.08 + ID2= 2 (9017): 1837.48 6.173 11.50 10.74 ID = 3 (9041): 2376.18 9.394 6.00 12.40 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	INFLOW : ID= 2 (9040) 2432.16 16.59 7.00 13.27 1.00 1.43 OUTFFLOW: ID= 1 (6029) 2432.16 10.80 7.25 13.27 .81 1.26
ADD HYD (5002) ADD HYD (5002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (mm) ID1= 1 (2031): 55.98 7.193 6.00 50.09 + 1D2= 2 (9041): 2376.18 9.394 6.00 12.40 TD = 3 (5002): 2432.16 16.588 6.00 13.27 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. SHIFT HYD (9040) IM= 2> OUT= 1	ID = 3 (5003): 2446.78 11.081 7.25 13.32 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
SHFT= 60.0 min AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	
102.70 265.42 .0350 Main Channel 123.20 261.00 .0350 Main Channel 128.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel 154.00 264.62 .0350 Main Channel 174.60 266.82 .0800 205.40 268.07 .0800 236.20 268.74 .0800 282.40 271.31 .0800 302.90 272.11 .0800 348.90 274.45 .0800 <	.00 260.30 .0800 34.10 260.43 .0800 62.40 259.79 .0800 113.50 255.72 .0800 113.50 253.33 .0350 Main Channel 187.30 253.06 .0350 Main Channel 198.70 251.88 .0350 Main Channel 204.40 252.61 .0350 Main Channel 249.80 254.00 .0800 334.90 255.77 .0800 351.90 256.37 .0800 465.50 260.75 .0800

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
514.40 261.48 .0800	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.750
<>	RUNOFF VOLUME $(mm) = 44.321$ TOTAL RAINFALL $(mm) = 104.070$
DEPTH ELEV VOLUME FLOW RATE VELOCITY TRAV.TIME	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .426
(m) (m) (cu.m.) (cms) (m/s) (min) 26 252 24 505F:04 20 222.00	() DEAK ELON DOED NOT INCLUDE DAREELON TE ANY
.50 252.24 .5905+04 .4 .59 223.90 .73 252.61 .2385+05 2.8 .61 141.05	(1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
1.09 252.97 .619E+05 8.2 .69 126.14	
1.45 255.33 .151E+06 18.8 .65 134.06 1.91 253.79 .183E+06 68.4 .93 93.14	
2.38 254.26 .713E+06 157.3 1.15 75.58	STANDHYD (2050) Area (ha)= 89.70
2.84 254.72 .112E+07 287.3 1.33 65.13 3.31 255.19 161E+07 454.2 1.47 5.9.01	ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
3.77 255.65 .217E+07 659.0 1.58 54.87	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
4.24 256.12 .279E+07 908.7 1.69 51.25 4.70 256.58 346E+07 1199.1 1.80 48.03	Surface Area (ha)= 35.88 53.82
5.17 257.05 .414E+07 1527.9 1.92 45.17	Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00
5.63 257.51 .485E+07 1892.4 2.03 42.71 6.10 257.98 FEFE.07 2201.8 2.13 40.50	Length (m) = 773.30 40.00
6.56 258.44 .634E+07 2725.7 2.23 38.74	Malillings II – 1013 1230
7.03 258.91 .7118+07 3193.6 2.33 37.12	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 105.00
7.49 259.37 .791E+07 3695.3 2.43 35.69 7.96 259.84 .874E+07 4221.9 2.51 34.48	over (min) = 15.00 = 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 7.68 (ii) 16.20 (ii)
8.42 260.30 .961E+07 4697.6 2.54 34.09	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00
< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel->	unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS*
AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 7.74 8.71 13.552 (iii)
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s)	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 PUNDEF V(UNF (mm)- 103.57 55.08 67.20
OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (6031) 3623.18 16.48 14.50 19.73 1.37 .65	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07
	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .53 .65
	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
	(1) ON DEACEDHIDE SELECTED FOR DEPUTOIS LASSES.
ADD HYD (5005)	CN* = 70.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
1 + 2 = 3 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
ID1=1 (5062): 699.78 9.861 8.50 32.60	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
+ ID2= 2 (6031): 3623.18 16.485 14.50 19.73	
ID = 3 (5005): 4322.96 19.564 12.50 21.81	
	CALLE
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	STANDHYD (2031) Area (na)= 55.98 D= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 35.00
** SIMULATION NUMBER: 5 ** 100-Year Storm	Surface Area (ha)= 30.79 25.19
	Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50
	Average Slope $(\$) = 1.00$ 1.00 Length $(m) = 610.90$ 40.00
MASS STORM Filename: V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans	Mannings n = .013 .250
\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge\12hrSCS.mst	Max Eff Inten (mm/hr)= 137 37 102 01
	over (min) 15.00 30.00
Duration of storm = 12.00 hrs Mass curve time step = 15.00 min	Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.66 (ii) 15.28 (ii) Unit Hyd. Toeak (min)= 15.00 30.00
	Unit Hyd. peak $(ms) = 1.0$ 0.05
TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN	*TOTALS*
. 25 2. 29 3. 25 4.16 6.25 18.73 9.25 3.16	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00
.50 2.41 3.50 4.16 6.50 18.73 9.50 2.91	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 103.57 46.64 66.57
1.75 2.46 3.75 4.16 6.75 9.91 9.75 $2.791.00$ 2.54 4.00 4.16 7.00 6.74 10.00 2.83	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .45 .64
1.25 2.66 4.25 5.74 7.25 6.24 10.25 2.75	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!
2.00 2.91 5.00 9.12 8.00 6.24 11.00 2.21	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
2.25 2.91 5.25 12.49 8.25 5.33 11.25 1.96 2.50 2.91 5.50 12.49 8.50 4.58 11.50 1.79	CN* = 59.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ji) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLEP OF POINT.
2.75 3.12 5.75 49.95 8.75 4.00 11.75 1.58	THAN THE STORE COEFFICIENT.
3.00 3.54 6.00 137.37 9.00 3.54 12.00 1.42	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
NASHPD (1032) Area (ha)= 610.08 Curve Number (CN)= 70.0	STANDHYD (2020) Area (ha)= 24.78
ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 60.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 40.00
0.H. 1p(IIIS)= 2.40	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 9.472	Surface Area $(ha) = 14.87$ 9.91
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 12.719 (i)	Dep. storage (mm)= 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00

Page 59

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
Length (m)= 406.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 105.80 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.22 (ii) 13.71 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .11 .08	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 21.265 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .204 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.64 2.12 5.761 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLIME (mm)= 94.07 46.60 65.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .90 .45 .63 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (n)* = 58.0 La = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SMOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	CALIB Area (ha) = 26.45 STANDHYD (2012) Area (ha) = 26.45 ID = 1 DT=15.0 min Total Im(%) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 IMPERVIOUS Surface Area (ha) = 10.58 15.87 Dep. Storage (m) = 1.50 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 419.90 40.00 Mannings n = 0.13 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 137.37 78.85 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 5.32 (ii)
CALIB Area (ha) = 70.42 STANDHYD (2021) Area (ha) = 55.00 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%) = 55.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 35.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 10.00 2.50 Average Slope (%) = 1.00 1.00 Length (m) = 685.20 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 137.37 99.64 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.14 (ii) 15.84 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .11 .07 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = 2.42 2.43 4.852 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 103.57 41.80 57.25 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .40 .55 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 8.63 4.89 11.845 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 94.07 45.60 62.56 TOTAL RAIMFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .90 .44 .60 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	CALIB Area (ha)= 22.70 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 9.08 13.62 Dep. Storage (m)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 389.00 40.00 Mannings = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 78.85 over (min) 15.00 15.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 5.08 (ii) 14.64 (ii) Unit Hwd Troak (min)= 15.00 15.00
CALIB Area (ha)= 443.50 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 UL Trop (hrs)= 2.83 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 5.986 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.773 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.750 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .204 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Unit Hyd. peak (mm)= 15.00 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (mm)= .11 .07 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.09 2.10 4.191 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.00 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 41.80 57.25 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .40 .55 ****** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB Area (ha)= 170.73 Curve Number (CN)= 58.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.22 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 2.937 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.719 (i)	CALIB Area (ha)= 40.62 STANDRYD (2011) Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS Surface Area (ha)= 16.25 24.37 Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 2.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00

V:\01606\Active \160621777 \SWM Master Plans Analysis \SWM Hydrology Ux bridge-update Dec 2 $-$	014\Uxbridge\
Length (m) = 520.40 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 137.37 78.85 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 6.05 (ii) 15.61 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .10 .05 *TOTALS*	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 5.946 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 21.895 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COSFFICIENT = .210 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 3.66 2.99 5.609 (ii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 41.80 57.25 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT 1.00 .40 .55	CALIB NASHYD (1040) Area (ha)= 14.62 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .82
***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP!	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .681
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 58.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	PEAK FLOW $(cms) = .525$ (i) TIME TO PEAK $(hrs) = 6.750$ RUNOFF VOLUME $(mm) = 33.262$ TOTAL RAINFALL $(mm) = 104.070$ RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .320
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIB NASHYD (1047) Area (ha)= 479.57 Curve Number (CN)= 59.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 30.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= 2.73 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 6.710	CALIE
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.316 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 9.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 21.895 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .210 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 8.583 PEAK FLOW (cms)= 11.484 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 8.250 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 45.460 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =437
	(i) PRAK FLOW DORS NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CALIE CALIE NASHYD (9146) Area (ha)= 369.57 Curve Number (CN)= 55.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 	CALIB STANDHYD (2042) Area (ha)= 54.50 ID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 21.790 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .209	Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 602.80 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 137.37 108.14 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 6.61 (ii) 15.03 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (mn)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. geak (cms)= .10 .05
NASHYD (9246) Area (ha)= 54.89 Curve Number (CN)= 65.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 im Ia (mm)= 25.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .60 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 3.494	*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 4.84 5.60 8.616 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 56.93 68.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .55 .66
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 2.047 (1) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.500 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 28.911 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .278 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>
CALIB	CALIE Area (ha)= 82.05 STANDHYD (2041) Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00 IID=1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 45.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 36.92 45.13
	Page 63 Page 6

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\ -
Dep. Storage (mm)= .50 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 1.00 1.00 Length (m)= 739.60 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 111.02 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 7.47 (ii) 15.81 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .10 .05 *TOTALS*	.5000 .6900 6.1000 2.1800 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOM : ID= 2 (2050) 89.700 13.552 6.00 67.20 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9021) 89.700 6.901 6.50 67.16 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 50.92 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 2.3882
PEAK FLOW (cmms)= 8.54 7.81 13.792 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 103.57 57.41 71.26 TOTLA RAINFALL (mm)= 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT 1.00 .55 .68	RESERVOIR (9022) IN= 2> OUTE 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTELOW STORAGE
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	.0000 .0000 .2620 .8805 .0150 .6000 .4710 1.0180 .1240 .7875 .9610 1.2660 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cmms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 2(2020) 24.780 5.87 6.75 65.18
CALIB STANDHYD (2040) Area (ha)= 145.27 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	<pre>PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 10.19 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 45.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.0811</pre>
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	ADD HYD (7008) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
Max.Eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 137.37 108.14 over (min) 15.00 30.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 8.87 (ii) 17.29 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tyeak (min)= 15.00 30.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .09 .05	ID = 3 (7008): 95.20 11.879 6.00 63.24 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms) = 12.10 14.21 21.603 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 6.00 6.25 6.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 103.57 55.93 68.59 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 104.07 104.07 104.07 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .55 .66	ADD HYD (5065) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
<pre>***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 71.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	+ ID2= 2 (1044): 443.50 3.773 9.75 21.26 ID = 3 (5065): 538.70 11.982 6.00 28.68 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
CALIB NASHYD (1060) Area (ha) = 406.96 Curve Number (CN) = 60.0 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min Ia (mm) = 9.00 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 Unit Hud Opeak (cmc) = 13.400	RESERVOIR (9257) UIF IN= 2> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 .0990 .0110 .4435 .1630 .8595 .0550 .6265 .3050 1.0800
DELK FLOW (cms) = 11.495 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 7.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 34.179 TOTAL RAINPALL (mm) = 104.070 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .228	APEA OPEAX TPEAX R.V. (ha) (cmm) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2012) 26.450 4.852 6.00 57.25 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9257) 26.450 3.05 8.25 56.86 PEAX FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 6.28 TIME_SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=135.000 000
(1) FARK FOW DOES NOT INCLODE DESERTON IF ANI.	RESERVOIR (9019)

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014	\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
.5700 .3500 1.3500 .9300 .9900 .4700 2.8300 .9900 .AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.		(cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 1.7200 5.0000 .2200 3.0000 2.5000 7.0000
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (2010) 22.700 4.191 6.00 57.25 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9019) 22.700 1.116 6.50 45.79		AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (2040) 145.270 21.603 6.00 68.59 OUTWELOW: ID= 1 (2001) 145.270 2.400 7.50 68.57
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 26.63 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 30.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= .6335		PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 11.11 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 90.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 6.7462
ADD HYD (7001) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TDl= 1 (9019): 22.70 1.116 6.50 45.79 + ID2= 2 (2011): 40.62 5.609 6.00 57.25 ID = 3 (7001): 63.32 6.549 6.00 53.14		SHIFT HYD (9029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT=150.0 min AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		
RESERVOIR (9147) Output IN=2> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW (ms) (ams) .0000 ******* .0010		ADD HYD (5062) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9146) 369.570 6.047 7.25 21.79 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9147) 369.570 .000 .00 .00		NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 8.0530		$ \begin{vmatrix} \text{ADD HYD} & (7002) \\ 1 + 2 = 3 \end{vmatrix} $
RESERVOIR (9248) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 *******		ID = 3 (7002): 89.77 6.591 6.00 54.23 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW: ID= 2 (9246) 54.891 2.047 6.50 28.91 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9248) 54.891 .000 .00 .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= .00 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)=****** MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.5870		ADD HYD (7004) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. TD1= 1 (9147): 369.57 .000 .00 .00 + ID2= 2 (9248): 54.89 .000 .00 .00
 RESERVOIR (9258)		NOIE. PEAK FLOWS DO NOI INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANI.
IN= 2> OUT= 1 OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE		$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)		ID = 3 (7013): 227.32 14.003 6.00 69.54
INFLOW : ID= 2 (2042) 54.500 8.616 6.00 68.59 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9258) 54.500 1.479 7.00 68.49		NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 17.17 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 60.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 2.3855		ROUTE CEN (6019) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00
RESERVOIR (9020) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE		<> DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 281.05 .0800 .34.48 278.78 .0800 .0800
	Page 67	Page 68

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
62.07 280.75 .0800 75.86 280.87 .0800 110.34 277.13 .0800 124.14 276.45 .0350 Main Channel 137.93 274.50 .0350 Main Channel 151.72 274.76 .0350 Main Channel 172.41 276.25 .0350 Main Channel 213.79 277.31 .0800 255.17 278.45 .0800 275.86 278.49 .0800 303.45 278.41 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800 .0800 .0200 265.17 .078.40 .0800 275.86 278.41 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800 312.47 278.40 .0800 .01000 .01000 .01000 .01000 .01000 .01000 .01000 .01000 .01000 .010000 .01000 .01000 .0100000000000000000000000000000000000	RESERVOIR (9018) IN= 2> OUT= 1 DT= 15.0 min OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .0000 .0000 4.8100 1.1900 .4200 .6400 14.8100 1.2700 1.5900 .9400 53.8000 1.3300 3.2000 1.1100 .0000 .0000 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ba) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9250) 1097.411 5.946 9.50 13.43 OUTFLOW : ID= 1 (9018) 1097.411 5.975 9.50 13.43 DEW ELOW DEDUCTION (comt foil 1/1) 10.40 foil
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qour/gn1(%)=100.48 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= .00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 1.2011 ***** WARNING : HYDROGRAPH PEAK WAS NOT REDUCED.
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ADD HYD (5061) 1 + 2 = 3 ID1 = 1 (1059): 487.62 11.484 8.25 45.46 + ID2 = 2 (7014): 281.82 14.091 6.00 69.34 ID1 = 3 (5061): 769.44 16.196 8.25 54.21 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-></pre>	ROUTE CHN (9251) Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 <
ADD HYD (5064) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (1045): 170.73 1.719 8.75 21.26 + ID2= 2 (7002): 89.77 6.591 6.00 54.23 ID = 3 (5064): 260.50 6.668 6.00 32.63 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	62.29 276.96 .0800 67.48 275.94 .0800 77.86 273.27 .0800 83.05 272.29 .0800 109.00 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 119.38 270.02 .0350 Main Channel 150.53 271.36 .0800 ain Channel 186.86 273.45 .0800 ain Channel 207.62 274.37 .0800 233.57 275.12 .0800 247.79 275.41 .0800 .0800 .0800
ADD HYD (9250) 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) TD1=1 (7004): 424.46 .000 .00 .00 + ID2=2 (1046): 672.95 5.946 9.50 21.89 ID = 3 (9250): 1097.41 5.946 9.50 13.43 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	<pre> C</pre>
ADD HYD (7014) AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. ID1= 1 (9258): 54.50 1.479 7.00 68.49 + ID2= 2 (7013): 227.32 14.003 6.00 69.54 ID1= 1 (7014): 281.82 14.091 6.00 69.34	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-> AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. MAX DEPTH MAX VEL

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-updat	e Dec 2014\Uxbridge\	V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (m) (m/s) INFLOW : ID= 2 (9018) 1097.41 5.98 9.50 13.43 .35 .87 OUTFLOW: ID= 1 (9251) 1097.41 5.71 10.50 13.43 .34 .86		ADD HYD (5002) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) ID1= 1 (2031): 55.98 9.610 6.00 66.57 + ID2= 2 (9041): 2376.18 16.532 10.00 20.70
ADD HYD (7016) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.		ID = 3 (5002): 2432.16 22.282 6.00 21.75 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ID1= 1 (5061): 769.44 16.196 8.25 54.21 + ID2= 2 (6019): 406.96 8.029 10.50 34.18 ID = 3 (7016): 1176.40 19.820 10.00 47.28 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		SHIFT HYD (9040) IN= 2> OUT= 1 SHIFT= 60.0 min AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.
ADD HYD (5000) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 1 + 2 = 3 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) IDI= 1 (1047): 479.57 4.316 9.50 21.89 + ID2= 2 (9251): 1097.41 5.713 10.50 13.43 IDI = 3 (5000): 1576.98 9.884 10.00 16.00 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		ROUTE CHN (6029) IN= 2> OUT= 1 Routing time step (min)'= 15.00 DATA FOR SECTION (1.0)> Distance Elevation Manning .00 274.29 .0800 30.80 273.73 .0800 51.30 270.17 .0800 61.60 266.84 .0800 66.80 266.02 .0800
ADD HYD (5001) 1 + 2 = 3 AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 		102.70 265.42 .0350 Main Channel 123.20 261.00 .0350 Main Channel 128.40 261.17 .0350 Main Channel 154.00 264.62 .0350 Main Channel 174.60 266.82 .0800 205.40 268.74 .0800 236.20 268.74 .0800 236.20 268.74 .0800 302.90 272.11 .0800 348.90 274.45 .0800
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEPLOWS IF ANY.		$\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \hline $
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= .00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)= 5.0673 ADD HYD (9041) AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. TIDI= 1 (5065): 538.70 11.982 6.00 28.68 + ID2= 2 (9017): 1837.48 12.02 10.00 18.36 TIDI= 3 (9041): 2376.18 16.532 10.00 20.70 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.		<pre>< hydrograph> <-pipe / channel-></pre>
	Page 71	Page 7

DD HYD (1 + 2 =	5004) 3	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)			
+ ID1	= 1 (5003 = 2 (7016): 2446.78): 1176.40	16.899 19.820	11.25	21.82 47.28			
ID	= 3 (5004): 3623.18	35.373	10.50	30.09			
NOTE: P	EAK FLOWS	DO NOT INCLU	JDE BASEFI	LOWS IF AN	JY.			
HIFT HYD (9015)							
N= 2> 0 HIFT=120.0	UT= 1 min	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.			
 ID=	2 (5004)	(ha) : 3623.18	(cms) 35.37	(hrs) 10.50	(mm) 30.09			
SHIFT ID=	1 (9015)	3623.18	35.37	12.50	30.09			
N= 2> 0	UT= 1	Routing ti	me step	(min)'= 15	5.00			
	< Distance	DATA FOR SEC	CTION (1.0) Manning	>			
	.0	0 260. 0 260.	30	.0800				
	62.4	0 259	79	.0800				
	79.5	0 255. 0 254.	.72	.0800				
	153.3	0 253	33	.0350	Mai	n Channel		
	187.3	0 253. 0 251.	.06	.0350	Mai Mai	n Channel n Channel		
	204.4	0 252	61	.0350	Mai	n Channel		
	249.8	D 254. D 255.	.00	.0800				
	351.9	0 256	37	.0800				
	414.4	0 260.	24	.0800				
	514.4	0 261	48	.0800				
<	FLEV	VOLUME	TIME TAN	BLE		>		
(m)	(m)	(cu.m.)	(cms)	(r	n/s)	(min)		
.36	252.24	.596E+04	. 4		. 39	223.90		
1.09	252.01	.619E+05	8.2		.61	126.14		
1.45	253.33	.151E+06	18.8		.65	134.06		
2 38	253.79	.383E+06 713E+06	68.4 157 3		.93	93.14 75 58		
2.84	254.72	.112E+07	287.3		L.33	65.13		
3.31	255.19	.161E+07	454.2		1.47	59.01		
4.24	256.12	.279E+07	908.7		1.69	51.25		
4.70	256.58	.346E+07	1199.1		1.80	48.03		
5.17	257.05 257.51	.414E+07 .485E+07	1892.4		2.03	45.17 42.71		
6.10	257.98	.558E+07	2291.8		2.13	40.59		
6.56	258.44	.634E+07 711E+07	2725.7	1	2.23	38.74 37 12		
7.49	259.37	.791E+07	3695.3		2.43	35.69		
7.96 8.42	259.84 260.30	.874E+07 .961E+07	4221.9 4697.6		2.51 2.54	34.48 34.09		
		A 174 17 - 3	< hy	/drograph	>	<-pipe / c	channel->	
		(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)	(m)	(m/s)	
INFLOW : OUTFLOW:	ID= 2 (9) ID= 1 (6)	D15) 3623.18 D31) 3623.18	35.37 29.26	12.50 14.00	30.09 30.09	1.61 1.55	.72	
DD HYD (5005)							

V:\01606\Active\160621777\SWM Master Plans\Analysis\SWM\Hydrology\Uxbridge-update Dec 2014\Uxbridge\

NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

 Appendix G NOTICE OF COMMENCEMENT


TOWNSHIP OF UXBRIDGE

CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

THE STUDY

The Township of Uxbridge has chosen Stantec Consulting Ltd. to develop a Stormwater Management Master Plan for the Uxbridge Urban Area and the Hamlet of Coppin's Corners, in order to define all anticipated works necessary to maintain and improve the storm drainage system while protecting the natural resources of the Study Area. This Class Environmental Assessment (EA)

Master Plan is intended to improve the management of stormwater for both existing and planned development.

A key component of the study will consultation be with interested stakeholders (public, landowners and regulatory agencies). Public consultation will involve one (1) Public Information Centre (PIC) and a Public Education Campaign. These sessions will be designed to obtain input from the public to assist informing the decision making process.

THE PROCESS

The study is currently planned as a 'Master Plan' project in compliance with the Municipal Engineers Association



document "Municipal Class Environmental Assessment," (October 2000, amended 2007).

COMMENTS

We are interested in hearing any comments or input that you may have about this study. Comments and information regarding the study are being collected to assist the Town in meeting the requirements of the Environmental Assessment Act.

These comments will be maintained for reference throughout the project and will become part of the public record. Under the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* and the *Environmental Assessment Act*, unless otherwise stated in the submission, any personal information such as name, address, telephone number and property location included in a submission will become part of the public record files for this matter and will be released, if requested, to any person.

Information requests or questions may be directed to:

Mr. Ben Kester Director of Public Works and Operations Town of Uxbridge 51 Toronto Street South Uxbridge, Ontario L9P 1T1 Phone: (905) 852-9181, ext. 215 Fax: (905) 852-9674 Email: bkester@town.uxbridge.on.ca

This Notice first issued on Aug 29, 2013.

Roy Johnson, P. Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer Stantec Consulting Ltd. 300 - 675 Cochrane Drive West Tower Markham, Ontario Phone: (905) 944-7777 Fax: (905) 474-9889 Email: Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com



Appendix H NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE



TOWNSHIP OF UXBRIDGE

CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE TOWN HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS 51 TORONTO ST. S WEDNESDAY, MAY 7, 2014 7:00 P.M. – 9:00 P.M.

THE STUDY

The Township of Uxbridge (Township) has retained Stantec Consulting Ltd. to develop Stormwater а Management Master Plan for the Uxbridge Urban Area and the Hamlet of Coppin's Corners, in order to define all anticipated works necessary to maintain and improve the storm drainage system while protecting the natural resources of the Study Area. This Class Environmental Assessment (EA) Master Plan is intended to improve the management of stormwater for both existing and planned development.



A key component of the study

is consultation with interested stakeholders (public, landowners and regulatory agencies). Public consultation will involve one (1) Public Information Centre (PIC). This PIC will be designed to obtain input from the public to assist informing the decision making process.

THE PROCESS

The study is currently planned as a 'Master Plan' project in compliance with the Municipal Engineers Association document "Municipal Class Environmental Assessment," (October 2000, as amended in 2007 and 2011).

We are interested in hearing any comments or input that you may have about this study. Comments and information regarding the study are being collected to assist the Township in meeting the requirements of the Environmental Assessment Act. You are invited to attend the PIC being held at Town Hall Council Chambers, 51Toronto St. S. on May 7, 2014 from 7:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.

These comments will be maintained for reference throughout the project and will become part of the public record. Under the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* and the *Environmental Assessment Act*, unless otherwise stated in the submission, any personal information such as name, address, telephone number and property location included in a submission will become part of the public record files for this matter and will be released, if requested, to any person.

Information requests or questions may be directed to:

Mr. Ben Kester, C.E.T., CRS-S Director of Public Works and Operations Township of Uxbridge 51 Toronto Street South Uxbridge, Ontario L9P 1T1 Phone: (905) 852-9181, ext. 215 Fax: (905) 852-9674 Email: bkester@town.uxbridge.on.ca Roy Johnson, P. Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer Stantec Consulting Ltd. 300 - 675 Cochrane Drive West Tower Markham, Ontario Phone: (905) 944-7777 Fax: (905) 474-9889 Email: Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com



Appendix I PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE BOARDS



Welcome to the Township of Uxbridge

Stormwater Management Master Plan







Schedule "B" Class Environmental Assessment **Public Information Centre**

Please sign in

Take a comment sheet and information package to record your thoughts as you review the display materials

Township staff and the study team are available to discuss your questions and concerns

Public input will influence this study; please take the time to fill out a comment sheet





• The study area is located in the Township of Uxbridge and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners, within

the Uxbridge Brook watershed.

 It includes the Future Settlement Areas of the Township and Hamlet.





Problem and Opportunity

 The primary objective of the project is to meet the requirements of the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan (specifically Section 4.5 SA), the Lake Simcoe *Comprehensive Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWWMP)Guidelines* and the LSRCA Watershed Development Policies, while considering the

intentions of the Township's Official Plan (OP) and applicable strategies/goals/guidelines set out by the Region of Durham's OP.

- To develop a SWMMP to define all anticipated works necessary to maintain, expand and improve the existing storm drainage system (including SWM ponds) while protecting the valued natural resources both within and beyond Township limits.
- The SWMMP will be prepared in accordance with the Class EA process and will be available for public review.
- An opportunity exists to implement a drainage strategy within the Township to meet the requirements as set out in the Lake Simcoe Protection

Plan. While implementing drainage improvements, there will be opportunities to minimize ongoing erosion and sedimentation, phosphorus loadings and changes in water balance which may cause a negative impact on the Lake Simcoe watershed.





Municipal Class EA Process

- The project is being conducted as a Schedule B project in accordance with the *Municipal Engineers Association Class Environmental Assessment (October 2000, as amended in 2007, and 2011).*
- The requirements for Schedule B activities include

Phase 1 (Identification and Description of the Problem) and Phase 2 (Identification/Evaluation of Alternative Solutions to the Problem) of the planning process of the Class EA and associated consultation.



Following this Public Consultation and the Class Environmental Study process, the Project File report will be made available for a 30-day public review and comment period.



Alternative Solutions

- **Do Nothing**: With the "Do Nothing" approach, existing SWMFs are left "as is" and Future Settlement Areas are developed without SWM measures. That strategy would result in water balance deficit, reduced baseflows, increased erosion; increased peak flows, and increased phosphorus loading.
- **Traditional SWM Strategy (Ponds):** Reduces high flow rates and erosion potential and phosphorus debris, therefore reducing damage to the environment and property. It does not address water balance deficits,

increasing baseflows, nor reducing runoff volumes.

 Traditional SWM with BMP Implementation Strategy: This approach consists of SWM ponds in conjunction with BMPs/LIDs for Future Settlement Areas. This combination can reduce water balance deficit, decrease volumetric runoff, increase baseflow, reduce erosion, reduce peak flows, and reduce phosphorus loading.





Traditional SWM with Retrofit Strategy: This approach consists of SWM ponds in conjunction with BMPs/LIDs for Future Settlement Areas; as well as retrofitting of existing SWMFs, or application of BMPs in areas with SWMFs. This combination can reduce water balance deficit, decrease volumetric runoff, increase baseflow, reduce erosion, reduce peak flows, and reduce phosphorus loading.





Analysis of Alternative Solutions

- The alternative solutions will be evaluated and rated against five evaluation criteria. The result of this evaluation will be the selection of the preferred alternative.
- The preliminary evaluation criteria were selected based on the guiding objectives of the project, existing conditions inventories, and engineering considerations.

Preliminary Evaluation Criteria

 Phosphorus Removal Efficiency/ Water Quality Improvement

2. Land Availability/Constraints

3. Technical Feasibility (Engineering)

4. Cost

5. Aesthetic Value (Public Interaction)





Next Steps in the EA Process

- Consider input received through the public consultation process on the problem opportunity statement, the evaluation criteria and alternative solutions.
- Analyze the alternative solutions against the evaluation criteria and select the preferred alternative.
- Following Public Consultation and the Class Environmental Study process, the Project File report will be made available for a 30-day public review and comment period.
- This provides the opportunity for interested stakeholders to file a Part II Order request (requests for a bump-up).
- The project is subject to approval in the Township of Uxbridge 2015 budget.



Preferred Alternative

- Area A Uxbridge Northwest Settlement Area
- The preferred SWM strategy for this area is the Traditional SWM with BMP Implementation Strategy – SWM pond(s) for peak flow control and erosion control, in conjunction with LID BMPs to reduce phosphorus, promote infiltration, and to potentially offset the need for a permanent pool. Where applicable, it is recommended to provide BMPs in areas where soils and groundwater levels permit on a future development basis.
- As this Future Settlement Area is designated for Residential development, increasing imperviousness requires peak flow control and erosion control. The use of LID BMPs at the lot level could reduce costs over a traditional SWM wet pond, which requires draining, soil testing, hauling, etc. In addition, wet ponds can produce odours, which LID measures can reduce.
- When SWM Ponds and LID measures are utilized in conjunction with one another (i.e. a treatment train approach), TP loading can be reduced further over Traditional SWM (Ponds) alone.
- Area A sits predominantly within the Dundonald Sandy Loam soils region. Based on the MOE Manual, these soils generally have percolation rates greater than the recommended minimum of 15 mm/hours for infiltration measures; which supports LID measures.

• Area B – Uxbridge Southeast Settlement Area

- The preferred SWM strategy for this area is the Traditional SWM with BMP Implementation Strategy – SWM pond(s) for peak flow control and erosion control, in conjunction with LID BMPs to reduce phosphorus, promote infiltration, and to potentially offset the need for a permanent pool. Where applicable, it is recommended to provide BMPs in areas where soils and groundwater levels permit on a future development basis.
- It is important to note that within this settlement boundary there are areas of high

aquifer vulnerability and wellhead protection areas that should be avoided when attempting to infiltrate stormwater runoff. The DROP should be consulted when determining the regulations surrounding the implementation of SWM ponds and LIDs.

Area C - Coppin's Corners

 Coppin's Corners is to drain internally to the Wyndance Infiltration SWM Pond, which is within the jurisdiction of the TRCA; therefore, no recommendations are made as part of this SWMMP.





Thank you!

- Thank you for coming to our Public Information Centre.
- Please let us know what you thought, and if you have any comments or questions.
- If you prefer to mail or fax back the comment form, please respond by May 14th, 2014.
- If you have any questions about this study, feel free to ask any member of the Study Team.
- Information requests or questions may be directed to:

Mr. Ben Kester

Director of Public Works Township of Uxbridge 51 Toronto St. S Uxbridge, ON L9P 1T1 Phone: (905) 852-9181, ext 215 Fax: (905) 852-9674 bkester@town.uxbridge.on.ca

Mr. Roy Johnson, M. A. Sc., P. Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer Stantec Consulting Ltd. 300 W- 675 Cochrane Dr Markham, ON L3R 0B8 Phone: (905) 415-6372 Fax: (905) 474-6889 roy.johnson2@stantec.com







STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MASTER PLAN - UXBRIDGE URBAN AREA AND HAMLET OF COPPIN'S CORNERS, TOWNSHIP OF UXBRIDGE, ON

Appendix J PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE SIGN-IN SHEET AND COMMENT FORM



PLEASE SIGN-IN

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MASTER PLAN – SCHEDULE 'B' CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT - MAY 7, 2014

Celer -	<u>3</u>			T T	1 1	 T. T.		Γ
2014@gma 31 & gma	vxbridge on							
ail nelabeach gfaot 16	shit @ town							
em 912 / 21	and							_
0hh	1818						1	
Telephone 205-252-(cell Utile	405-252- 905-8							
multiple	UNYDY,		į					-
Concar	POLA St. L	11.22 1						
Address 6050 163	Thewshit 2701							
ela Dea	1 Start							
Name	(JOL)							



Stormwater Management Master Pl	lan – Schedule "B" Class Environmental Assessment
Please provide your comments on the proposed Stc	ormwater Management Master Plan:
LOOKS FLO	
Planse send me a lan, tol	ection of Ma Die ter al date
So the WWAC an phouide	completing the flux curring and
Sead to Phil Shartz.	
OShantz @ Sl	res, ca
Comment sheets can be completed at the Public Inform	nation Centre, or mailed/faxed to either of the contacts below:
Mr. Ben Kester Director of Bublic Works	Mr. Roy Johnson, M. A. Sc., P. Eng.
Township of Uxbridge	Stantec Consulting Ltd.
Ut 10/0/11/0 31. 3 Utbridge, ON L9P 171 Phone: (905) 852-0181 - 04-015	300 W- 6/5 Cochrane Ur Markham, ON L3R 0B8 Phanar (1051 111 2020
Fax: (905) 852-9674	Frone: (905) 415-6372 Fax: (905) 474-6889
bkester@town.uxbridge.on.ca	roy.johnson2@stantec.com
Freedom of	if information and Protection of Privacy
Information collected at this Public Information Centre is being collecte information will be kept by r the Township of Uxbridge on file and may become part of the public record. Names and addresses will be kept c	ed in accordance with the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (RSO 1990). This be include in study documentation. With the exception of personal information, all comments will confidential.





PIC ENTRY BOARD



PIC STORYBOARDS

Appendix K FIRST NATIONS LETTER





Stantec Consulting Ltd. 300W-675 Cochrane Drive, Markham ON L3R 0B8

September 1, 2015 File: 160621777

Attention: Chief Kris Nahrgang

Kawartha Nishnawbe First Nation PO Box 1432 Lakefield, ON KOL 2H0

Dear Chief Nahrgant,

Reference: Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Master Plan Notice of MOECC Public Comment Period

The Township of Uxbridge retained Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Stantec) to complete the Schedule B Class Environmental Assessment (Class EA) Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) for the Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner. The SWM Master Plan has been prepared in accordance with the Comprehensive SWM Master Plan Guidelines, prepared by the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA), dated April 26, 2011, and in accordance with the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Guideline, prepared by the Municipal Engineers Association, dated October 2000 (as amended in 2007 and 2011).

The Township of Uxbridge (the Township) received a letter from the Ministry of Environment and Climate Change (MOECC) indicating that the required scope of the SWM Master Plan must include the settlement areas noted above. We will be submitting the SWM-MP to the MOECC for 30 day public review. For your convenience, the Study's executive summary is included below.

Executive Summary of SWM-MP

The intent of the Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) was to prepare a practical and implementable framework which balances the requirements of proposed and existing development with infrastructure requirements, economic, social and environmental constraints and opportunities. The MOE published the *Lake Simcoe Protection Plan* in June of 2009 that called for all settlement areas to prepare and implement comprehensive SWMMP that would improve the management of stormwater for both existing and planned development.

The Study Area encompasses locations within both The Township of Uxbridge and Coppin's Corners, located south of Lake Simcoe. The Uxbridge study sub-area is generally bounded by Ball Road (north), Concession Road 7 (east), Wagg Road (south), Concession Rd#6 (west). The Coppin's Corners study sub-area is generally bounded by Regional Road HWY 47 (north), Concession Regional Road No. 1 (east), Regional Road No.21 (south) and Concession Road #4 (west). The Study Area is the urban areas from the Town's Official Plan (OP). It is important to note that the urban area from the Town's OP slightly differs from that of Durham Region's.



September 1, 2015 Chief Kris Nahrgang Page 2 of 5

Reference: Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Master Plan Notice of MOECC Public Comment Period



Uxbridge and Coppin's Corners Study Area

The Study Area drains to two sub watersheds: Uxbridge Brook and Pefferlaw Brook. There are various existing Stormwater Management (SWM) facilities within the Study Area. There are parts of the Greenbelt and Oak Ridges Moraine that are within the Township Boundary. The intent of the SWMMP is to develop the practical and implementable framework, which balances the requirements of proposed and existing development with infrastructure requirements, economic, social and environmental constraints and opportunities. The land use within the Town's Urban

Design with community in mind



September 1, 2015 Chief Kris Nahrgang Page 3 of 5

Reference: Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Master Plan Notice of MOECC Public Comment Period

Boundary is predominantly residential, commercial, and institutional with some park and open space areas. There are several employment areas at the intersection of Main and Maple St and the intersection of Reach St and Hamilton St. There is a cemetery to the south west within Lot 27 and Lot 28. Mixed land use areas are generally in the north eastern section from lot 31 to lot 33. There is also a small section of private open space for a golf course at the east end of the urban boundary along Lot 29. There are several Environmental Constraint Areas that are within the vicinity of watercourses and bounded by the floodplain.

SWMMP Strategy

The primary objective of the project is to meet the requirements of the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan (specifically Section 4.5 SA), the Lake Simcoe *Comprehensive Stormwater Management Master Plan Guidelines* and the LSRCA Watershed Development Policies, while considering the intentions of the Township's OP and applicable strategies/goals/guidelines set out by the Region of Durham's OP. The objectives of each plan in detail are described below:

Public Information Centre / Stakeholder Consultation

A Public Information Centre was held May 8, 2014.

SWM Recommendations

Using the existing conditions, the Township's OP, and the results of this Study, recommendations based on each settlement area have been developed.

Area A - Uxbridge Northwest Settlement Area

The preferred SWM strategy for this area is the Traditional SWM with BMP Implementation Strategy – a SWM pond for peak flow control and erosion control, in conjunction with LID BMPs to reduce phosphorus, promote infiltration, and to potentially offset the need for a permanent pool. Where applicable, it is recommended to provide BMPs in areas where soils and groundwater levels permit on a future development basis.

As this Future Settlement Area is designated for Residential development, increasing imperviousness requires peak flow control and erosion control. The use of LID BMPs at the lot level could reduce costs over a traditional SWM wet pond, which requires draining, soil testing, hauling, etc. In addition, wet ponds can produce odours, which LID measures can reduce.

When SWM Ponds and LID measures are utilized in conjunction with one another (i.e. a treatment train approach), TP loading can be reduced further over Traditional SWM (Ponds) alone.



September 1, 2015 Chief Kris Nahrgang Page 4 of 5

Reference: Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Master Plan Notice of MOECC Public Comment Period

Area A sits predominantly within the Dundonald Sandy Loam soils region. Based on the MOE Manual, these soils generally have percolation rates greater than the recommended minimum of 15 mm/hours for infiltration measures; which supports LID measures.

Area B - Uxbridge Southeast Settlement Area

The preferred SWM strategy for this area is the Traditional SWM with BMP Implementation Strategy – a SWM pond for peak flow control and erosion control, in conjunction with LID BMPs to reduce phosphorus, promote infiltration, and to potentially offset the need for a permanent pool. Where applicable, it is recommended to provide BMPs in areas where soils and groundwater levels permit on a future development basis.

It is important to note that within this settlement boundary there are areas of high aquifer vulnerability and wellhead protection areas that should be avoided when attempting to infiltrate stormwater runoff. The DROP should be consulted when determining the regulations surrounding the implementation of SWM ponds and LIDs.

Area C - Coppin's Corners

As noted in Section 1.0, Coppin's Corners is to drain internally to the Wyndance Infiltration SWM Pond, which is within the jurisdiction of the TRCA.

Uxbridge Urban Area

For areas that experience redevelopment in the future, the preferred strategy is the Traditional SWM with Urban Retrofits Strategy. Pond upgrades and LID measure should be evaluated for feasibility of implementation on a site specific basis.

In short, the Master Plan does not propose any physical works at this time, it merely provides a framework for how future development within the Uxbridge urban area should proceed with respect to stormwater management.



September 1, 2015 Chief Kris Nahrgang Page 5 of 5

Reference: Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Master Plan Notice of MOECC Public Comment Period

We trust that the foregoing will provide sufficient information to assist you in reviewing the forthcoming MOECC review period materials.

Regards,

STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.

Roy Johnson, B. Eng., M. A. Sc., P. Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer Phone: (905) 415-6372 Fax: (905) 474-9889 Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com

Attachment: -

c. Chief James Marsden – Alderville First Nation/Mississauga of Alderville c/o dsimpson@alderville.ca
Chief Phyllis Williams – Curve Lake First Nation/Mississaugas of Mud Lake c/o cdutytoconsult@curvelakefn.ca
Chief Kelly LaRocca - Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation c/o consultation@scugogfirstnation.com, msanford@scugogfirstnation.com
Chief Greg Cowie – Hiawatha First Nation/Mississauga of Rice Lake chiefcowie@hiawatha.ca
Chief Donna Big Canoe - Chippewas of Georgina Island First Nation c/o
Suzanne.howes@georginaisland.com
Mr. James Wagar - Metis Nation of Ontario Consultation Unit c/o glenl@metisnation.org, jamesw@metisnation.org
Grand Chief Konrad Sioui – Huron Wendat c/o administration@cnhw.qc.ca

rrj v:\01606\active\160621777\swm master plans\correspondence\letters\let_first_nations_20150901_fin.docx

Ng, Timothy

From:	Johnson, Roy (Markham)
Sent:	Friday, September 11, 2015 2:00 PM
То:	administration@cnhw.qc.ca; glenl@metisnation.org; jamesw@metisnation.org;
	Suzanne.howes@georginaisland.com;
	msanford@scugogfirstnation.com; consultation@scugogfirstnation.com;
	cdutytoconsult@curvelakefn.ca; dsimpson@alderville.ca; sanderson@alderville.ca;
	MelissaD@curvelake.ca; LoisT@curvelake.ca
Subject:	RE: Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Master Plan

Hi

I'm just following up on the attached notification to see if there are any questions or concerns.

Regards;

Roy Johnson, B. Eng., M. A. Sc., P. Eng.

Senior Water Resources Engineer Stantec 300W-675 Cochrane Drive Markham ON L3R 0B8 Phone: (905) 415-6372 Fax: (905) 474-9889 Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: Johnson, Roy (Markham)

Sent: Tuesday, September 01, 2015 9:06 AM
To: 'administration@cnhw.qc.ca'; 'glenl@metisnation.org'; 'jamesw@metisnation.org'; 'Suzanne.howes@georginaisland.com'; 'chiefcowie@hiawatha.ca'; 'msanford@scugogfirstnation.com'; 'consultation@scugogfirstnation.com'; 'cdutytoconsult@curvelakefn.ca'; 'dsimpson@alderville.ca'
Cc: Ng, Timothy; Blommers, James
Subject: Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Master Plan

Hi:

The Township of Uxbridge retained Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Stantec) to complete the Schedule B Class Environmental Assessment (Class EA) Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) for the Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner. The SWM Master Plan has been prepared in accordance with the Comprehensive SWM Master Plan Guidelines, prepared by the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA), dated April 26, 2011, and in accordance with the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Guideline, prepared by the Municipal Engineers Association, dated October 2000 (as amended in 2007 and 2011).

The Township of Uxbridge (the Township) received a letter from the Ministry of Environment and Climate Change (MOECC) indicating that the required scope of the SWM Master Plan must include the settlement areas noted above. We will be submitting the SWM-MP to the MOECC for 30 day public review. The MOECC identified First Nations representatives cc'd on this email.

The Master Plan does not propose any physical works at this time, it merely provides a framework for how future development within the Uxbridge urban area should proceed with respect to stormwater management. The

vast majority of the urban area drains north to Lake Simcoe. A small block of land in Coppin's Corners is technically part of a watershed ultimately draining south, but, in reality, remains with Lake Simcoe.

Attached is the letter of notification and an executive summary of the Master Plan. The next step will be uploading the Master Plan document to the MOE for public review.

Regards;

Roy Johnson, B. Eng., M. A. Sc., P. Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer Stantec 300W-675 Cochrane Drive Markham ON L3R 0B8 Phone: (905) 415-6372 Fax: (905) 474-9889 Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Appendix LLAKE SIMCOE REGION CONSERVATION AUTHORITY CORRESPONDENCE



L.1



Proposal File: P-2483

December 19, 2012 File: 160621777

Township of Uxbridge PO Box 190 51 Toronto Street South Uxbridge, ON L9P 1T1

Attention: Mr. Ben Kester

Dear Ben:

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners Township of Uxbridge, ON

We are pleased to present the following letter proposal to complete the Schedule B Class Environmental Assessment (Class EA) Stormwater Management (SWM) Master Plan for the Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner. The SWM Master Plan shall be prepared in accordance with the *Comprehensive SWM Master Plan Guidelines*, prepared by the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA), dated April 26, 2011, and in accordance with the *Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Guideline*, prepared by the Municipal Engineers Association, dated October 2000 (as amended in 2007 and 2011). The Township of Uxbridge (the Town) has received a letter from the Ministry of Environment (MOE) indicating that the required scope of the SWM Master Plan must include the settlement areas noted above. Stantec also met with the LSRCA on Monday, December 6th, 2012, to confirm their level of expectation as it relates to several of the planning and technical requirements identified in their guideline. Based on these background documents and discussions, Stantec has prepared this proposal and fee estimate to undertake the required scope of services on behalf of the Township.

Through the Class EA Master Plan process, planning and technical review, several 'preferred alternatives' will be established with respect to the existing natural environment. This process will also provide the design criteria for future new development, redevelopment, and/or the upgrading or replacement of existing infrastructure. SWM design criteria as it relates to water quality, water quantity, water balance, and erosion controls will be established for both Uxbridge and Coppin's Corners. The Master Plan will also provide recommendations for SWM implementation approaches and ongoing inspection/maintenance considerations.

The project will be led and managed by Tim Gallagher from the Markham Stantec office. He will be complemented by key Stantec staff from Markham's Urban Development and Environmental Management groups, which have extensive experience undertaking Class EAs, stormwater management, aquatic ecology, terrestrial ecology and water resources design.

The following work plan outlines the tasks associated with the SWM Master Plan.

December 19, 2012 Mr. Ben Kester Page 2 of 8

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners Township of Uxbridge, ON

1.0 WORK PLAN

To initiate the project, a study kick-off meeting will be held with Town and/or LSRCA staff to review and discuss all relevant information and data including existing hydrology/hydraulic models (if any), grading/servicing plans, survey information, files, etc., thereby ensuring a clear understanding of the existing settlement areas. We understand that the LSRCA has several background studies and design reports that are likely to cover the majority of the settlement areas on file in their office. The LSRCA has also indicated that they will enable access to the same files for the purpose of this study. As such, we would complete a review of the previous reports/studies related to the existing SWM and development planning policy, existing natural heritage environment, existing drainage patterns, SWM infrastructure, and planned future development. The background document reviews will be supplemented with onsite investigations for the receiving watercourses in the vicinity of the planned developments with both settlement areas. Upon completion of our relevant background document review, Stantec will prepare a Terms of Reference for submission to the Town and LSRCA to confirm an agreed upon approach to satisfy the requirements of the SWM Master Plan. Confirming the LSRCA is in agreement will minimize the potential risk for inconsistent approaches later in the study process. Establishing a Terms of Reference was also encouraged by the LSRCA at our recent meeting.

1.1 CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (MASTER PLAN)

The work plan for completing the Class EA will identify any potential environmental effects and recommend appropriate SWM requirements for future development in the Master Plan. The two phases included in the 'Master Plan' MEA Class EA process are Phase 1 (Identification and Description of the Problem) and Phase 2 (Identification/Evaluation of Alternative Solutions to the Problem). Phase 3 to Phase 5 of the MEA Class EA process will not be required as part of this study.

Phase 1

Phase 1 is primarily focused on collecting and reviewing relevant background data, confirming the project objectives and schedule with Town staff, and developing a clear and concise problem statement, which is generally identified in the LSRCA's guidelines. During Phase 1, we will develop a project contact list in consultation with the Town and LSRCA noting relevant government agencies and stakeholders within the community, which will include, for example:

- **Provincial Agencies:** Ministry of the Environment; Ministry of Natural Resources; Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs; Ministry of Public Infrastructure and Renewal; and, Ministry of Transportation.
- **Federal Agencies:** Department of Fisheries and Oceans (if required); Department of Indian and Northern Affairs; and, Environment Canada.
- Municipal Governments: Region of Durham and Township of Uxbridge.
- **Stakeholder Organizations:** Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority; various interested community organizations.
- **Relevant Utilities and Local Stakeholders:** Enbridge Gas; Veridian; Hydro One; and, study area property owners.

Input from the public and affected agencies will be obtained through two required notifications, which will include one Open House event (Public Information Centre). The first point of contact with the public will be a "Notice of Study Commencement and Open House" that would be prepared and provided to the Town for

December 19, 2012 Mr. Ben Kester Page 3 of 8

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners Township of Uxbridge, ON

placement in local newspapers during the appropriate time in Phase 2. At the same time, a cover letter and a copy of the Notice of Study Commencement and Open House will be mailed or delivered by the consulting team to stakeholders identified on the project contact list.

Phase 2

During Phase 2, the preliminary alternative solutions to the problem will be discussed with the Town and will be evaluated as part of the Class EA process. Phase 2 will include the following tasks:

- 1) **Identify and describe the relevant alternative solutions** to the stated problem(s) in consultation with the Town. Our preliminary list may include but will not necessarily be limited to the following alternatives:
 - 1. Do nothing (included as required by the MEA Class EA)
 - 2. Retrofit of Existing SWM Facilities
 - 3. Low Impact Development Opportunities (New or Retrofitted Development)
 - 4. Integration of Land Form Alterations and Vegetation Techniques in Open Spaces
 - 5. Phosphorus Reduction Techniques
 - 6. Future SWM Facilities
 - a. End-of-Pipe Controls
 - b. Source Controls
 - c. Conveyance Controls
 - 7. Public SWM Education/Outreach
- 2) Review the relevant natural, and social/cultural background information, followed by any literature searches and field investigations as required to expand on the key components of the environment potentially affected. Stantec staff will review key background reports and provide a summary of our findings as it relates to relevant natural heritage and social/cultural information. It is anticipated that field assessments of the natural heritage systems will be necessary for the major receiving watercourses in the Study Area. Stage 1 Cultural Heritage assessments will be sufficient to support the SWM Master Plan.
- 3) Review and analyze the relevant technical information and conduct technical field investigations required to augment the existing data. It is understood that the Town has access to GIS data through the Region of Durham. We anticipate utilizing this resource to support this study. As noted above, the LSRCA has indicated that their filing system is very extensive and that they will most likely have have background design reports and drawings for most (if not all) of the existing SWM facilities within the Tonwhip. We also understand that historical documents such as the Uxbridge Watershed Pan, SWM Retrofit Class EA Studies, various SWM pond design reorts, among others, will be directly applicable to this study. As such, we envision a significant effort in reviewing all available background technical information/documentation, models, and planimetric information at the onset of this assignment.
- 4) Develop evaluation criteria pertaining to water quality, water quantity, water balance, erosion controls, general storm drainage, natural environment, and cost based on the existing conditions inventories, technical studies and any other identified issues raised by agency and public stakeholders during the process.

December 19, 2012 Mr. Ben Kester Page 4 of 8

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners Township of Uxbridge, ON

- 5) **Evaluate the alternative solutions** using the evaluation criteria. We will identify the potential net effects and advantages/disadvantages, after mitigation measures have been applied, for each alternative. The various alternatives will then be rated on a relative basis to each other as the basis for the selection of a recommended alternative solution as it relates to establishing proper SWM planning and design criteria.
- 6) **Consult review agences and the public.** The newspaper advertisement prepared in Phase 1 for the "Notice of Study Commencement and Open House" will be posted in local paper(s). It is assumed the newspaper posting will be arranged by the Town. Stantec will arrange for the notice to be mailed and/or delivered to stakeholders identified in the project contact list prepared in Phase 1.

The materials at the open house will describe the Class EA process being followed, the problem being addressed, identification and evaluation of the alternative solutions and design concepts, the recommended alternative solution, the potential environmental effects associated with the preferred solution, and next steps. It is assumed that the open house could be held at a Town sponsored location at an appropriate time when draft results have been developed.

A draft of the open house materials will be provided to the Town for review and comment prior to finalization and issuance. It is assumed the Town will arrange for notice placement in the local paper(s). We will be responsible for costs associated with the open house materials.

- 7) **Incorporate the information provided by stakeholders** and confirm the preferred alternative solution.
- 8) Prepare the Project File for the proposed SWM Master Plan that documents each step of the Class EA process undertaken and the results in a traceable, easily understood manner, and meets all MEA Class EA requirements. We will provide the Town and LSRCA with one copy of the Project File for review. Upon receipt of the Town and LSRCA comments, we will finalize the document and provide two hard copies and a digital copy to the Town to place in a public forum for review.
- 9) Place the Project File in a public forum (i.e. Municipal Clerk's Office, library, etc.) for the required 30 day review period.
- 10) **Prepare a "Notice of Completion**" in the form of a letter and a newspaper advertisement, which advises stakeholders where the Project File may be reviewed and the manner in which comment is to be received. The notice will also advise stakeholders and review agencies of their rights with regard to requesting a Part II Order under the EA Act. A draft of the notice will be provided to the Town for review prior to finalization and issuance. We will coordinate the mail-out to those on the project contact list. It is assumed the Town will arrange for the advertisement to be posted in the local newspaper(s). Stantec will maintain contact with the Ministry of the Environment's Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch during and immediately after the 30 calendar day review period in order to quickly determine if any public and/or review agency concerns have been submitted (Part II Order).

1.2 SWM MASTER PLAN GUIDELINES

The LSRCA has prepared a document entitled, *Comprehensive Stormwater Management Master Plan Guidelines*, dated April 26, 2011. In this document, the LSRCA has established what is referred to as the *Ten Steps*, which are described in detail and generally summarized as follows:

1) **Scoping:** Identify the urban areas or rural settlement areas where development is concentrated and lands are designated in the Official Plan for development over the long term. The Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners have already been identified. As such, this step is considered to be complete.
December 19, 2012 Mr. Ben Kester Page 5 of 8

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners Township of Uxbridge, ON

- 2) Determine Study Area for the Settlement Area: Identify the existing settlement area designated in the Official Plan, proposed or contemplated future development, and all associated permanent and intermittent streams within the same.
- **3) Develop a Characterization of the Study Area:** Establish an understanding of existing and future land uses, natural environment, cultural environment, and watershed conditions. Interpretation of relevant planning/regulatory policies and identification of any known restrictions and/or constraints.
- 4) Divide Study Area into Management Units: In the event that portions of the study areas would be better broken down into separate management units due to distinct natural heritage characteristics, then those specific management areas need to be identified. This could include differences based on receiving watercourse characteristics, abrupt changes to existing/proposed land uses, unique underlying soil characteristics, etc.
- 5) Evaluate Cumulative Environmental Impact of Stormwater from Existing and Planned Development: Undertake an assessment of water balance, water quality, and water quantity considerations for both existing and proposed conditions to establish an understanding of the potential cumulative effects to the existing receiving systems.
- 6) Determine Effectiveness of Existing Stormwater Management Systems: Assess the existing SWM facilities, their inlet/outlet structures, and their apparent ability to address water quality/quantity and erosion controls in their receiving watercourses. Review effectiveness of SWM facilities to satisfy climate change implications.
- 7) Identify and Evaluate Stormwater Improvement and Retrofit Opportunities: Opportunities to be identified based upon the effectiveness of existing facilities, conditions of receiving watercourses, background studies, infrastructure constraints, and land availability. Opportunities to introduce Low Impact Development, land form alterations, and/or re-vegetation techniques within existing open spaces will also be considered. In addition, past SWM recommendations from planning policy, will also be revisited to ensure continued relevance with current SWM practices and the findings of this study. Alternatives will be evaluated against each other regarding technical effectiveness, construction feasibility, natural environmental, social/cultural environment, and cost.
- 8) Establish a Recommended Approach for Stormwater Management for the Study Area: Develop an overall SWM strategy for the study area that will be effective at managing the stormwater flow characteristics, water quality, water quantity, and erosion controls. Establish specific and quantifiable SWM design criteria for future development in the study area. Stantec will provide justification/rationale for the recommended approach in accordance with Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the Municipal Class EA (Master Plan process).
- **9) Develop an Implementation Plan for the Recommended Approaches:** Stantec will outline how the recommended SWM measures, policies, and operation/maintenance strategies are to be implemented with consideration for responsible party, schedule, and funding mechanisms.
- **10)** Develop Programs for Inspection and Maintenance of Stormwater Management Facilities: Stantec will prepare an inspection and maintenance program for the recommended SWM works as part of this study. The plan will provide for annual reporting with a sufficient level of detail to

December 19, 2012 Mr. Ben Kester Page 6 of 8

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners Township of Uxbridge, ON

determine if the implemented SWM recommendations are operating in a manner consistent with the intended design.

2.0 SCHEDULE AND COST

The SWM Master Plan Class EA will commence upon notification from the Town to proceed (assumed to be provided by no later than March of 2013). With this assumed start date, the Class EA is anticipated to be completed by December of 2013.

The total cost estimate for this project is \$89,400 excluding applicable taxes and our 8% flat rate <u>disbursement fee.</u> Disbursements include added expenses for report production, correspondence and other administrative costs associated with the above scope of work. The cost is presented as an upset limit, not to be exceeded without the Town's prior knowledge and approval. A more detailed breakdown of the overall cost estimate is provided below:

LSRCA Ten Steps	Description	Estimated Fee
1	Scoping	N/A ¹
2	Determine the Study Area for the Settlement Area	\$2,200
3	Develop a Characterization of the Study Area	\$22,900
4	Divide the Area into Management Units Where Appropriate	\$2,000
5	Evaluate the Cumulative Environmental Impact of Stormwater from Existing and Planned Development	\$14,100
6	Determine the Effectiveness of Existing Stormwater Management Facilities	\$5,000
7	Identify and Evaluate Stormwater Improvement and Retrofit Opportunities	\$12,500
8	Establish a Recommended Approach for Stormwater Management for the Study Area	\$23,700
9	Develop an Implementation Plan for the Recommended Approaches	\$3,500
10	Develop Programs for Inspection and Maintenance of Stormwater Management Facilities	\$3,500
	Total (excl. disbursements & HST) =	\$89,400

1 - Settlement Areas for the Class EA have been previously established.

December 19, 2012 Mr. Ben Kester Page 7 of 8

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners Township of Uxbridge, ON

3.0 ASSUMPTIONS

The work plan and costing for this proposal have been based on the following assumptions:

- Up to two (2) site visits and/or meetings with agencies will be required.
- One Public Information Centre (PIC) will be required.
- Up to six (6) Open House boards (story boards) will be required at the PIC.
- Costs have not been allocated for the purchase of additional data, if required.
- No detailed topographic surveys for any of the existing SWM Facilities or receiving watercourses will be required.
- Existing Natural Heritage and Cultural Heritage characterizations shall be summarized from existing background documents. Only desktop analyses will be completed as part of this scope of work.
- The cost of newspaper advertisements, mailings and rental of the open house location will be assumed by the Town.
- One draft review of each deliverable will be required by the Town.
- Two large-format hard-copies of the engineering design drawings will be provided to the Town along with pdf and CAD format digital versions.
- Agency review fees have been excluded and are assumed will be paid for directly by the Town.
- No permits under the Endangered Species Act will be required.
- No MOE Part II Orders will be raised during the process.
- Any service not specifically described in this proposal is excluded.

Stanfec

December 19, 2012 Mr. Ben Kester Page 8 of 8

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners Township of Uxbridge, ON

4.0 CLOSURE

Stantec is pleased to have the opportunity to provide this proposal to the Town. Our project management approach has been designed in a manner to be flexible and interactive to address the evolving requirements of the project. As such, our team is open to discussing our approach to this project at any time throughout the process.

To acknowledge this proposal and initiate the project, please return the signed version by fax to (905) 474-9889 attention, Tim Gallagher or by e-mail to tim.gallagher@stantec.com.

Sincerely,

STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.

Original signed by

Tim Gallagher, M.Sc., P.Eng., P.E. Senior Associate, Water Resources Tel: (905) 944-6870 Fax: (905) 474-9889 tim.gallagher@stantec.com

FOR STANTEC CONSULTING LTD:

Slanature

Date Senior Associate Tim G. Print Name and

FOR CLIENT: Approval to Proceed:

Signature

Feb 6, 201

REN KESTER Print Name and Title Print Name and Title PIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS AND OPERATIONS

Lake Simcoe Region conservation authority

Via Email Only: Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com

A Watershed for Life

IMS No. PEAA417C2

July 16, 2014

Mr. Roy Johnson, P.Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer Stantec Consulting Ltd. 300-675 Cochrane Drive Markham, ON L3R 0B8

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Re: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner Municipal Class EA Report Dated May 21, 2014 Township of Uxbridge, Regional Municipality of Durham

We have completed our review of the above noted submission which we received on May 26, 2014. In order to provide clear and concise comments for the study, a table of comments has been created and attached to this letter.

Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions regarding these comments. Please refer to the above noted IMS number in any future correspondence.

Yours truk

Tom Hogenbirk, CMM, P.Eng. Manager, Engineering and Technical Services

TH/ph

c.

Ben Kester, Township of Uxbridge - email

Encl. 4 pages

S:\Planning and Development Services\Other Legislation, Policy and Guidelines\Environmental Assessment Act\Environmental Assessments\Uxbridge\2014\UXB CSWMMP Comments.docx

120 Bayview Parkway, Box 282
Newmarket, Ontario L3Y 4X1Tel: 905.895.1281
Fax: 905.853.58811.800.465.0437
E-Mail: Info@LSRCA.on.ca
E-Mail: Info@LSRCA.on.ca
E-Mail: Info@LSRCA.on.caProud winner of the International Thiess RiverprizeMember of Conservation Ontario



Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:
all	all	All figures need to reference the source (and date) of the data shown on the
		Figure.
all	all	As Coppin's Corners is part of Lake Simcoe's watershed as defined in the LSPP.
		this area needs to be addressed as part of this CSWMMP.
i	2 nd	The MOE released the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan (LSPP) in 2009 (not the
	paragraph	LSRCA).
iii	Area C	As Coppin's Corners is part of Lake Simcoe's watershed as defined in the LSPP,
		recommendations are required as part of this CSWMMP.
1.1	1.1	The MOE released the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan – LSPP in 2009 (not the
8 	the first second Add	LSRCA).
1.2	1.2	As Coppin's Corners is part of Lake Simcoe's watershed as defined in the LSPP,
		recommendations are required as part of this CSWMMP.
1.4	1.4	Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority. The correct name of the LSRCA
		needs to be used in this section.
3.15	3.1.2	Institutional, private open space and cemetery areas are not considered
		Natural Heritage features. This list should include Habitat for Endangered and
		Threatened Species, Wetlands, Woodlands, Valleylands, Wildlife Habitat, Areas
		of Natural and Scientific Interest, Fish Habitat, Linkages.
3.16	3.1.2	How do items c, d and e enhance Natural Heritage Systems?
3.16	3.2	This entire section needs to be revised to reflect the current plan review
		process pertaining to Natural Heritage. The MNR has minimal involvement.
	a bar di see	LSRCA has signed an MOU with the Region of Durham to provide technical
in the split of	12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	advice regarding Natural Heritage as part of the plan review process.
3.16	3.2.1	The LSRCA has ESA's identified for the study area.
3.16	3.2.2 2 ^{na}	This section needs to be revised to provide more clarity. What is the term
	Paragraph	"recognized natural areas"?
3.17	3.2.3	The MNR identifies wetlands; it is the LSRCA that deals with proposals to
		construct within the 120 setback area through Regulation 179/06 and through
		our MOU with the Region under the Planning Act.
3.17	3.2.4	This section should mention the Federal Migratory Birds Convention Act and
		explain its applicability / purpose.
3.18	3.2.6	The wording in this section needs to be clarified. The UUSA does not have
	14 A.S.	records for sensitive species. Perhaps "The MNR has indicated that there are
		sensitive species and SAR in the UUSA"?
3.18	3.3	Reference should be made to Section 38 of the Fisheries Act.
3.19	3.3.1	LSRCA has required Enhanced (Level 1) protection for the entire Lake Simcoe
		watershed since 1995.
3.19	3.3.2	Reference needs to be made to the water quality conditions in Uxbridge Brook.
3.27	3.6	Reference should be made to Elgin and Electric Light Ponds, both in terms of
1.1.1		location, history and present conditions. These ponds should be shown on the
		appropriate plan.
3.27	3.6	There is an error in the second last sentence. "The Township" should read

Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:		
		"Uxbridge".		
3.28	3.7.1	The 22 ponds should be listed in a table and shown on an appropriate figure.		
3.29	3.7.3	The location of the WPCP should be shown on one of the figures.		
4.1 4.2		Land use information on Coppins Corners needs to be provided and shown on the appropriate figure(s).		
4.1	4.2	Is Attachment 1 the same as Schedule A? This needs to be clarified.		
4.2	Schedule A	This needs to be labelled as a Figure. A similar figure is needed for Coppins Corners.		
4.3	4.3	The 2009 MMM model represents a calibrated VO2 model. One of the key		
	at) 2001 or 73 14 http://www.	calibrate components is tp (time to peak). In order to calibrate the flows, the Williams (1977) tp was calculated for each catchment (NASHYD) and then multiplied by 3.5. This revised tp was then utilized. A similar approach is		
4.4	4.3.3	A specific comparison is required between the total rainfall amounts in the		
		LSKCA (IVIIVIIVI) model versus the model developed by Stantec.		
4.4	Table 3	Additional nodes should be shown on this table and the locations shown on an		
	17	appropriate figure.		
4.5	4.4.2.2	The acronym is LSEMS.		
4.8	4.4.2.2.2	The value of 1453.9 kg/yr. is not a recommend value; it is the post development load with recommended BMP's.		
4.8	Table 5	The subwatershed areas listed of A, B etc. need to be shown on the appropriate figure.		
4.9	4.4.2.2.2.1	LSRCA Retrofit, second paragraph: The 1.32 and 1.82 values listed should be labeled as 1.32 kg. and 1.82 kg. The last sentence in this paragraph should be removed.		
4.11	4.4.4	The 2010 Berger Phosphorous loadings for new development assume that BMP's are already in place. For example, the after BMP urban load of 0.206 kg/ha/yr represents an assumed P removal rate of 84% for 1.32 kg/ha/yr. In order to be consistent with the original work done by TSH in 2000, the uncontrolled P loads of 1.32 kg/ha/yr and 1.82 kg/ha/yr need to be utilized as part of the Stantec study with suitable BMP reduction factors applied depending on the type of BMP used.		
4.12-	4.4.3 -	The various tables in this section need to be revised based on the above		
4.14	4.4.7	comment. and adjointed address of abagaes		
4.12-	Tables 7-9	These tables need to clearly identify which areas are being referred to, i.e.		
4.14		these are the total yearly P loads for which areas?		
4.12- 4.14	4.4.3 – 4.4.7	Coppins Corners needs to be addressed in these Sections.		
4.15	4.5	Figure 1 needs to id the sub areas in the legend.		
4.15	4.5 tod abo	An important technical guideline that needs to be referenced and complied with is the "Hydrogeological Assessment Submissions: Conservation Authority Guidelines to Support Development Applications – June 2013". This document can be found at <u>http://cloca.ca/devreview/HydroAssessmentGuidelines-</u>		

Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:
		20130610-FINAL2.pdf
4.17	4.5	Data from 1991 is somewhat outdated. More current data should be used for
100	State and	the water balance and the calculation of the 76% rainfall. For example, LSRCA
	요즘 이는 것은 것.	has calculated the 90% storm to be 23.3 mm based on data from 9 EC stations
		based on 10 years of recent precipitation data. Lance Aspden of our office can
Sector 21		be contacted for more information on this recent work.
5.1	5.0	A specific section is required on creek erosion in the study area. Step 5 of the
		CSWMMP Guidelines requires that an erosion study be done for existing and
1. 124 1		future conditions. Are there currently erosion issues in the study area? Are
200 C		these expected to worsen under future conditions? Are there specific controls
		required for the catchments to address these issues?
5.4 &	Tables 13,	Where are these flow nodes or Catchments? A figure is required showing all
5.5	14 & 15	flow nodes and catchments and the text updated to refer to this figure in the
· · ·		appropriate sections.
5.4	Table 13	Additional nodes need to be shown on this table for a better understanding of
		the impacts on climate change in various parts of the study area.
5.5	5.2	It is agreed that a new rain gauge should be installed in Uxbridge; a suggested
11 - 1875 J.	duction is unit	location is the WPCP. For a good summary of adjacent Environment Canada
		Gauges, please refer to the following link:
	;	
		http://www1.toronto.ca/city of toronto/environment and energy/key priori
		ties/files/pdf/tfwcds-chapter1.pdf
61	6.1	A list of all 22 pands should be provided along with a plan showing their
0.1	0.1	A list of all 22 portus should be provided along with a plan showing their
6.3	Table 16	Reference is to be made to the appropriate figure showing the pond locations
73.71		The section of Regulation 179/06 that is guoted in this section is incomplete. It
7.5-7.4	7.5.1	is recommended that the regulation be summarized rather than directly
		auoted
7.6	Table 17	The reference to the Lake Ontario waterfront needs to be removed. In the
/.0	Tuble 17	ISRCA section refer to Regulation 179/06
7.7	7.4	The cost per m3 for sediment removal can be substantially more if the material
		is contaminated.
7.10	7.5.2.1	Last sentence: LSRCA's regulation is 179/06 .
7.14	Table 18	The recommended month for cleanout is September wherever possible.
		Reference should be made to the Federal Migratory Bird Act - Breeding Bird
		season. Refer to:
	2	https://www.ec.gc.ca/paom-itmb/default.asp?lang=En&n=8D910CAC-1
		for more details.
9.1-9.5	9.0	All nodes and catchments need to be shown on the appropriate figure and
		referenced in this section.
9.1-9.5	9.0	This section needs to also include alternative solutions pertaining to water

Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:
		balance, phosphorous and erosion control.
9.3	Table 20	Has the 40 mm extended detention requirement been included in this flow assessment?
9.4	9.4	The MNR is not involved with review of development within ESA's or within wetland zone of influences. The LSRCA reviews under our MOU with the Region of Durham and under Regulation 179/06.
9.4	9.4	SWM wet ponds and on-line ponds can have significant impacts on the thermal regime of a coldwater system. Thermal impacts are a significant issue in some parts of the Uxbridge Brook.
10.1- 10.2	10.1	Specific and detailed recommendations are to be provided for each of Areas A, B, C and the Uxbridge Urban Area pertaining to water balance, phosphorous, peak control and erosion control.
6-36°¥ 	Figure 1	The legend needs to identify what A, B and C means. Also, the lines for Protected Countryside and the CA Boundary that appear in the legend cannot be found on the plan.
1 1 1 1	Figure 2	All SWM ponds need to be shown and numbered.
14. AB	Figure 3	The legend refers to a "Hamlet Institutional Area" that does not show up on the plan.
n gyfrig	Figure 4	If the SWM ponds are to be shown on this figure, they should be numbered in accordance with the Town's pond identification system.
	Figure 5	All 22 SWM ponds should be shown and numbered.
	Figure 6	The difference between this figure and Figure 7 should be clarified.
2	Figure 8	Are the wells shown on this figure MOE wells or are they private / public wells obtained from the MOE PTTW database? The legend needs to be revised accordingly.
- i skie sj	Figure 11	All sub catchments and nodes in the Stantec VO2 model need to be included. The Coppins Corners catchment needs to be identified.
	Appendix B	The tables in this section are not natural environment tables; they refer to various species of animals found in the study area. The tables on Pages 5, 6 and 7 are incorrect and need to be revised.
	Appendix D	The revised tp's used in the Stantec model needs to be checked as per the Page 4.3 comment above.
14.00250	Appendix F	The revised tp's used in the Stantec model needs to be checked as per the Page 4.3 comment above.
	Appendix E	Refer to the comments regarding Page 4.11 above.



Stantec Consulting Ltd. 300 - 675 Cochrane Drive West Tower, Markham ON L3R 0B8

December 19, 2014 File: 1606 21777-29

Attention: Tom Hogenbirk, CMM, P. Eng.

120 Bayview Parkway, Box 282 Newmarket, Ontario L3Y 4X1

Dear Tom Hogenbirk,

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner Municipal Class EA Report Dated December 19, 2014 LSRCA IMS No. PEAA417C2 Township of Uxbridge, Regional Municipality of Durham

We have updated the "Stormwater Management Master Plan - Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners, Township of Uxbridge, ON," report (CSWM-MP, attached) with respect to your response letter dated July 16 2014. Comments and **Stantec responses** are presented in the table following (note, each comment has been numbered for easy reference as Section or page numbers may have changed from the first Draft).

No.	Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:
1	all	all	All figures need to reference the source (and date) of the data shown on the Figure.
2	all	all	As Coppin's Corners is part of Lake Simcoe's watershed as defined in the LSPP, this area needs to be addressed as part of this CSWMMP. This area has been included in the CSWM-MP assessment. The existing and future development areas within Coppin's Corners will drain to the existing infiltration pond.
3	i	2 nd paragraph	The MOE released the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan (LSPP) in 2009 (not the LSRCA).
4	iii	Area C	As Coppin's Corners is part of Lake Simcoe's watershed as defined in the LSPP, recommendations are required as part of this CSWMMP.

Table 1: Response to Comments



December 19, 2014 Attention Page 2 of 11

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner Municipal Class EA Report Dated December 19, 2014 LSRCA IMS No. PEAA417C2 Township of Uxbridge, Regional Municipality of Durham

No.	Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:
			See Comment 2 above.
5	1.1	1.1	The MOE released the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan – LSPP in 2009 (not the LSRCA).
			Corrected.
6	1.2	1.2	As Coppin's Corners is part of Lake Simcoe's watershed as defined in the LSPP, recommendations are required as part of this CSWMMP.
			See Comment 2 above.
7	1.4	1.4	Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority. The correct name of the LSRCA needs to be used in this section.
			Corrected.
8	3.15	3.1.2	Institutional, private open space and cemetery areas are not considered Natural Heritage features. This list should include Habitat for Endangered and Threatened Species, Wetlands, Woodlands, Valleylands, Wildlife Habitat, Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest, Fish Habitat, Linkages.
			Updated.
9	3.16	3.1.2	 How do items c, d and e enhance Natural Heritage Systems? A line has been added to the report in the Natural Heritage Section indicating the following: 1. "Refer to the Natural Heritage System section of the Township of Uxbridge Official plan for information on how each of the items mentioned above enhance the natural heritage system."
10	3.16	3.2	This entire section needs to be revised to reflect the current plan review process pertaining to Natural Heritage. The MNR has minimal involvement. LSRCA has signed an MOU with the Region of Durham to provide technical advice regarding Natural Heritage as part of the plan review process. Corrected.
11	3.16	3.2.1	The LSRCA has ESA's identified for the study area.

Design with community in mind



December 19, 2014 Attention Page 3 of 11

No.	Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:
			Noted.
12	3.16	3.2.2 2 nd	This section needs to be revised to provide more clarity. What is the term
		Paragraph	"recognized natural areas"?
			Removed: Not relevant to SWM covered under OP designations and
			consultation with Region TWP LSRCA
13	3.17	3.2.3	The MNR identifies wetlands; it is the LSRCA that deals with proposals to
			construct within the 120 setback area through Regulation 179/06 and
			through our MOU with the Region under the Planning Act.
			Removed.
14	3.17	3.2.4	This section should mention the Federal Migratory Birds Convention Act
			and explain its applicability / purpose.
			Added. Now Section 3.2.3
15	3.18	3.2.6	The wording in this section needs to be clarified. The UUSA does not have
			records for sensitive species. Perhaps "The MNR has indicated that there
			are sensitive species and SAR in the UUSA"?
			Updated.
16	3.18	3.3	Reference should be made to Section 38 of the Fisheries Act.
			Added.
17	3.19	3.3.1	LSRCA has required Enhanced (Level 1) protection for the entire Lake
			Simcoe watershed since 1995.
			Noted.
18	3.19	3.3.2	Reference needs to be made to the water quality conditions in Uxbridge
			Brook.
			Additional discussions regarding water quality at the Uxbridge Station
			have been added.
19	3.27	3.6	Reference should be made to Elgin and Electric Light Ponds, both in
			terms of location, history and present conditions. These ponds should be
			shown on the appropriate plan.
		1	See Section 3.6.1 of the report. Refer to Figure ERSN-1 for location.



December 19, 2014 Attention Page 4 of 11

No.	Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:
20	3.27	3.6	There is an error in the second last sentence. "The Township" should read "Uxbridge".
			Corrected.
21	3.28	3.7.1	The 22 ponds should be listed in a table and shown on an appropriate figure.
22	3.29	373	The location of the WPCP should be shown on one of the figures
	5.27	0.7.0	The location of the WPCP is now shown on Figure 1.
23	4.1	4.2	Land use information on Coppin's Corners needs to be provided and shown on the appropriate figure(s).
			Refer to Figures OP-A and OP-F.
24	4.1	4.2	Is Attachment 1 the same as Schedule A? This needs to be clarified.
0.5			Schedule A has now been included as a Figure OP-A
25	4.2	A	This needs to be labelled as a Figure. A similar figure is needed for Coppins Corners.
			Refer to Figure OP-A and Figure OP-F. for Schedule A (Uxbridge Urban Area) and Schedule F (Coppin's Corners)
26	4.3	4.3	The 2009 MMM model represents a calibrated VO2 model. One of the key calibrate components is tp (time to peak). In order to calibrate the flows, the Williams (1977) tp was calculated for each catchment (NASHYD) and then multiplied by 3.5. This revised tp was then utilized. A similar approach is needed for any revisions / update of this model. The time to peak for all NASHYDs (including the two new NASHYDs) have
			been revised based on the updated area. The initial time to peak was calculated using the Williams 2-paramter formula which was then multiplied by a time to peak multiplier to obtain a calibrated time to peak. The calibrated time to peak was used in the model.
27	4.4	4.3.3	A specific comparison is required between the total rainfall amounts in the LSRCA (MMM) model versus the model developed by Stantec.



December 19, 2014 Attention Page 5 of 11

No.	Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:
			A comparison table, Table 3, has been added which shows the total rainfall depth for the 2009 LSRCA model and the updated existing conditions model.
28	4.4	Table 3	Additional nodes should be shown on this table and the locations shown on an appropriate figure.
			As requested, additional flow nodes have been added to Table 4 (proviously agmed Table 2). The flow nodes are shown on Figure 11
00	4 5	4.4.0.0	(previously named table 5). The now hodes are shown on Figure 11.
29	4.5	4.4.2.2	ine acronym is LSEMS .
			Corrected.
30	4.8	4.4.2.2.2	The value of 1453.9 kg/yr. is not a recommend value; it is the post development load with recommended BMP's.
			Noted corrected in Table 4
31	4.8	Table 5	The subwatershed areas listed of A. B. etc. need to be shown on the
	ч.0		appropriate figure.
			This is shown on Figure ISH-1
32	4.9	4.4.2.2.2.1	LSRCA Retrofit, second paragraph: The 1.32 and 1.82 values listed should be labeled as 1.32 kg. and 1.82 kg. The last sentence in this paragraph should be removed.
			Corrected.
33	4.11	4.4.4	The 2010 Berger Phosphorous loadings for new development assume that BMP's are already in place. For example, the after BMP urban load of 0.206 kg/ha/yr represents an assumed P removal rate of 84% for 1.32 kg/ha/yr. In order to be consistent with the original work done by TSH in 2000, the uncontrolled P loads of 1.32 kg/ha/yr and 1.82 kg/ha/yr need to be utilized as part of the Stantec study with suitable BMP reduction factors applied depending on the type of BMP used.
			loading rates within this section and in Appendix E
34	4.12-4.14	4.4.3 -	The various tables in this section need to be revised based on the above
		4.4.7	comment.



December 19, 2014 Attention Page 6 of 11

No.	Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:
			The tables have been revised as per the recommendation noted above.
35	4.12-4.14	Tables 7-9	These tables need to clearly identify which areas are being referred to, i.e. these are the total yearly P loads for which areas?
			The tables now clearly identify the areas for the yearly phosphorus loading.
36	4.12-4.14	4.4.3 – 4.4.7	Coppins Corners needs to be addressed in these Sections.
27	115	15	Eigure 1 poods to id the sub-greats in the leagend
37	4.15	4.5	rigure i needs to la me sub dreas in me legena.
			Figure 1 identifies the sub areas in the legend.
38	4.15	4.5	An important technical guideline that needs to be referenced and complied with is the "Hydrogeological Assessment Submissions: Conservation Authority Guidelines to Support Development Applications – June 2013". This document can be found at <u>http://cloca.ca/devreview/HydroAssessmentGuidelines-20130610-</u> <u>FINAL2.pdf</u>
			Reference to these guidelines has been added to the Section as being a requirement for future development applications in the Study Area
39	4.17	4.5	Data from 1991 is somewhat outdated. More current data should be used for the water balance and the calculation of the 76% rainfall. For example, LSRCA has calculated the 90% storm to be 23.3 mm based on data from 9 EC stations based on 10 years of recent precipitation data. Lance Aspden of our office can be contacted for more information on this recent work.
			The data results have been updated to reflect the average 66 th percentile, events up to and including the 13 mm events correspond to 66% average annual precipitation volume.
40	5.1	5.0	A specific section is required on creek erosion in the study area. Step 5 of the CSWMMP Guidelines requires that an erosion study be done for existing and future conditions. Are there currently erosion issues in the study area? Are these expected to worsen under future conditions? Are there specific controls required for the catchments to address these issues?



December 19, 2014 Attention Page 7 of 11

No.	Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:
			See Section 5.3 of the report.
41	5.4 & 5.5	Tables 13, 14 & 15	Where are these flow nodes or Catchments? A figure is required showing all flow nodes and catchments and the text updated to refer to this figure in the appropriate sections.
			All flow nodes and catchments are now shown on Figure 11. The text has been updated to refer to this figure
12	51	Table 13	Additional nodes need to be shown on this table for a better
42	5.4		understanding of the impacts on climate change in various parts of the study area.
			Additional flow nodes have been added to Table 14 (formerly Table 13). Flow node locations are shown on Figure 11
43	5.5	5.2	It is agreed that a new rain gauge should be installed in Uxbridge; a suggested location is the WPCP. For a good summary of adjacent Environment Canada Gauges, please refer to the following link: <u>http://www1.toronto.ca/city_of_toronto/environment_and_energy/key_p</u> <u>riorities/files/pdf/tfwcds-chapter1.pdf</u> <u>The end of Section 5.2 has been updated.</u>
44	6.1	6.1	A list of all 22 ponds should be provided along with a plan showing their locations. Refer to Comment 21.
45	63	Table 16	Reference is to be made to the appropriate figure showing the pond
-10	0.0		locations.
			Updated, reter to Figure 2.
46	7.3-7.4	7.3.1	The section of Regulation 179/06 that is quoted in this section is incomplete. It is recommended that the regulation be summarized rather than directly quoted.
			Summary added.
47	7.6	Table 17	The reference to the Lake Ontario waterfront needs to be removed. In



December 19, 2014 Attention Page 8 of 11

No.	Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:	
			the LSRCA section, refer to Regulation 179/06.	
			Updated.	
48	7.7	7.4	The cost per m ³ for sediment removal can be substantially more if the	
			material is contaminated.	
10	7.10	7 5 0 1	Noted. See also Section 7.7.	
49	7.10	7.5.2.1	Last sentence: LSRCA's regulation is 179/06.	
			Corrected in Section 7.5.2.1 (i)	
50	714	Table 18	The recommended month for cleanout is September wherever possible	
50	7.14		Reference should be made to the Federal Migratory Bird Act - Breeding	
			Bird season Refer to:	
			https://www.ec.ac.ca/paom-itmb/default.asp?lana=En&n=8D910CAC-1	
			for more details.	
			Updated in Section 7.7.1.1	
51	9.1-9.5	9.0	All nodes and catchments need to be shown on the appropriate figure	
			and referenced in this section.	
			All nodes and catchments are shown on Figure 11.	
52	9.1-9.5	9.0	This section needs to also include alternative solutions pertaining to water	
			balance, phosphorous and erosion control.	
			Material added	
53	93	Table 20	Has the 40 mm extended detention requirement been included in this	
00	/.0		flow assessment?	
			The 40 mm extended detention has not been included in this flow	
			assessment as that element was not available for all the ponds. Similar to	
			the 2009 modeling approach, the Route Reservoirs in the model were	
			included to ensure post developed peak flows were equivalent to pre-	
			development levels.	
54	9.4	9.4	The MNR is not involved with review of development within ESA's or within	
			wetland zone of influences. The LSRCA reviews under our MOU with the	
			Region of Durham and under Regulation 1/9/06.	
1	1	1		



December 19, 2014 Attention Page 9 of 11

No.	Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:
			Corrected.
55	9.4	9.4	SWM wet ponds and on-line ponds can have significant impacts on the thermal regime of a coldwater system. Thermal impacts are a significant issue in some parts of the Uxbridge Brook.
			Added to the technical criteria in Table 21. Mitigation techniques are described further in section 12.1.3.
56	10.1-10.2	10.1	Specific and detailed recommendations are to be provided for each of Areas A, B, C and the Uxbridge Urban Area pertaining to water balance, phosphorous, peak control and erosion control.
57		Figure 1	The legend needs to identify what A, B and C means. Also, the lines for Protected Countryside and the CA Boundary that appear in the legend cannot be found on the plan.
			The legend now includes A, B and C. They are future residential areas A and B and a future commercial area C. The Protected Countryside and CA boundary are indicated by a black dashed line and a green hatched line.
58		Figure 2	All SWM ponds need to be shown and numbered. All SWM ponds are shown and numbered. Figure SWMF-1 shows all SWM ponds including those outside of the Uxbridge Urban Boundary.
59		Figure 3	The legend refers to a "Hamlet Institutional Area" that does not show up on the plan.
			The area (Area C) is indicated on the plan in fuchsia (pink) and on Figure OP-F, from the Township's Official Plan.
60		Figure 4	If the SWM ponds are to be shown on this figure, they should be numbered in accordance with the Town's pond identification system.
			The SWM pond numbers shown were developed as part of the SWM Facility Assessment completed in 2012. For consistency, this numbering system has been used in this report.
61		Figure 5	All 22 SWM ponds should be shown and numbered.
()			All 22 SWM ponds are identified in SWMF-1.
62	1	FIGURE 6	I the alterence between this tigure and Figure / should be clarified.



December 19, 2014 Attention Page 10 of 11

No.	Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:
			Refer to descriptions on pages 3.25 and 3.26.
63		Figure 8	Are the wells shown on this figure MOE wells or are they private / public
			wells obtained from the MOE PTTW database? The legend needs to be
			revised accordingly.
			Figure edited to address.
64		Figure 11	All sub catchments and nodes in the Stantec VO2 model need to be
-		0.1	included. The Coppins Corners catchment needs to be identified.
			Figure 11 shows the Uxbridge Study Area, subwatershed boundaries and
			flow nodes. It also identifies areas draining to ponds and uncontrolled
			dreds within the Study Ared.
65		Appendix	The tables in this section are not natural environment tables; they refer to
		В	various species of animals found in the study area. The fables on Pages 5,
			6 and 7 are incorrect and need to be revised.
			The tables have been revised in Appendix B and the title has been
			renamed to 'Wildlife Records in the Study Area'.
66		Appendix	The revised tp's used in the Stantec model needs to be checked as per
		D	the Page 4.3 comment above.
			Time to peaks have been updated accordingly. Please refer to the
			response to comment 26 for more information.
67		Appendix	The revised tp's used in the Stantec model needs to be checked as per
		F	the Page 4.3 comment above.
			Time to peaks have been undated accordingly. Please refer to the
			response to comment 26 for more information
68		Appendix	Refer to the comments regarding Page 4.11 above.
		E	



December 19, 2014 Attention Page 11 of 11

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner Municipal Class EA Report Dated December 19, 2014 LSRCA IMS No. PEAA417C2 Township of Uxbridge, Regional Municipality of Durham

We trust that the above information is satisfactory. If you have any questions or concerns please contact the undersigned.

Regards,

STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.

Rey in

Roy Johnson, B.Eng., M.A.Sc., P.Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer Phone: (905) 415-6372 Fax: (905) 474-9889 Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com

Attachment: Updated CSWM-MP

c. Ben Kester, Township of Uxbridge (via FTP) Tom Fowle, Watershed Committee, Township of Uxbridge (via FTP) Dave Fumerton, MOE York-Durham District Office (via FTP)

nt \\cd1215-f01\work_group\01606\active\160621777\swm master plans\correspondence\letters\2014 lsrca swmmp response letter\160621777-swmmp response letter

Lake Simcoe Region conservation authority

Sent by Email: Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com

IMS No. PEAA417C4

A Watershed for Life

February 9, 2015

Mr. Roy Johnson, P.Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer Stantec Consulting Ltd. 300-675 Cochrane Drive Markham, ON L3R 0B8

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Re: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner Municipal Class EA Report Dated December 19th, 2014 Township of Uxbridge, Regional Municipality of Durham

We have completed our review of the above noted second submission which we received on January 6, 2015. In order to provide clear and concise comments for the study, a table of comments has been created and attached to this letter.

Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions regarding these comments. Please refer to the above noted IMS number in any future correspondence.

Yours truly,

Tom Hogenbirk, CMM, P.Eng. Manager, Engineering and Technical Services

TH/ph

c. Ben Kester, Township of Uxbridge (email only)

S:\Planning and Development Services\Engineering and Technical Services\General Correspondence\UXB CSWMMP Comments 2.docx

120 Bayview Parkway, Box 282
Newmarket, Ontario L3Y 4X1Tel: 905.895.1281
Fax: 905.853.58811.800.465.0437
E-Mail: Info@LSRCA.on.ca
E-Mail: Info@LSRCA.on.caProud winner of the International Thiess RiverprizeMember of Conservation Ontario



Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:
3.16	3.2.5	There is a Word error message in this section.
3.17	3.3.1	The final sentence in this section is redundant as it is already stated in Section
4 13 -	Tables 10 -	The format of these tables should be adjusted such that a specific table is not
4.15	12	split between two pages
4.13	Table 9	The term "after treatment" should be removed from the final column
4.13	Table 10	- 1 st row: "S" should read "SWM"
		-2^{nd} row: "569.84" should read "559.84" (520.37 + 39.47)
1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1		- Rows 2 and 3 need more clarification i.e. what exactly is being treated
	e de la contractione	to achieve the loads of 236 kg and 224 kg?
and the	ere de caler	- Row 4. first column: This sentence is incorrect. The 127 kg loading
1.1		would indicate significant SWM treatment
4.14	Table 11	Row 5: This row has the same loading as the row above (8 kg) but the 1 st
1.1.151-01		column indicates no SWM measures.
4.14	Table 11a?	Table 10 adds future loadings from Areas A/B and the Uxbridge Urban Area. As
	(K. S. S. Laff	such, a Table needs to be added in this section for the Uxbridge Urban Area
		only to clearly indicate what the totals in Table 10 are comprised of. For
" let re"	a a gran a' an a' a	example, the future condition total load of 236 kg would appear to consist of a
		load of 22 kg from A/B and a load of 214 kg from the Uxbridge Urban Area. The
		new table would clarify this.
4.14	Table 12	The value of 569.84 kg would appear to be incorrect.
4.15	Table 12	All assumed removal rates should be summarized after this table i.e. 63% wet
	Strange of	pond, 79.5% LID and 90% LID plus wet pond.
4.15	4.4.6	More details are required regarding implementation of LID's in the Urban Area
		and Areas A/B. What roads or existing properties in the existing urban area are
		suitable candidates for LID's? Are there proposed road reconstructions or
		other municipal projects where LID's would be utilized? Similarly in new
	and a start of the second	development areas A/B, what are the likely LID measures and is there a typical
		road cross section that would facilitate LID usage.
4.18	4.5	Second last paragraph: It must be stated that the 13 mm is based on the
	-	watershed average infiltration rate. It would be better to state that this is the
		minimum target infiltration amount as in some areas; greater infiltration
		amounts can be achieved. It should be noted that the LSRCA is currently
		developing updated SWM policies / guidelines that will target the capture and
		retention on site of 25 mm of runoff from the new and/or fully reconstructed
7.2	7.2	The last earth and the last storm).
1.5	7.5	170/06 cap be found at a bttp://www.c.bused.for example "Further details on O.Reg.
	· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1/5/00 can be found at: <u>nttp://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/navigation?file=home</u>
10.1	10.1	The general requirements for all now developments (Section 12.1.1) at a lite
10.1	10.1	listed in the first naragraph of Section 10.0
L		instea in the hist paragraph of Section 10.0

Page(s):	Section(s):	Comments:	
10.1.1	10.2	Last sentence: The MOECC has revised their approach to allowing infiltration as a SWM treatment measure in certain soils (INTERPRETATION BULLETIN ONTARIO MINISTRY OF ENVIROMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE	
		EXPECTATIONS RE: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	
		February 2015). This (in part) states:	
		"If the lot level and conveyance facilities can be sized such that they empty between events, or will be installed in areas where quantity control is not a primary concern (areas draining directly to a large surface water body like Lake Ontario, for example), LID facilities can be used where the infiltration rate is less	
		than 15 mm/hr to achieve water balance and water quality (including thermal	
		impacts) through retention, filtration, evaporation and transpiration. Thus, the soil infiltration capacity guidance in the manual should not be interpreted as a prohibition. Rather, it should be interpreted as a caution that controls relying primarily on infiltration may not be as effective on soils with low infiltration rates as they would be on soils with higher rates of infiltration".	
10.2	10.1	A table is to be provided for each of the areas in order to provide a summary of	
		the requirements for water quality (LID and standard SWM controls), water	
		quantity, water balance, erosion control and thermal impact control as per the	
10.3	10.1.4	main body of the text. Due to the potential for thermal impairments to Uxbridge Brook, traditional SWM wet ponds are not the preferred approach in this area. Any retrofits proposed should incorporate significant thermal reduction measures. As noted below, bottom draws have been found to have environmental issues under certain conditions and are not a good thermal control measure.	
12.3	12.1.3	Bottom draws can cause environmental issues under certain conditions. Please	
	and the second second	refer to Section 4.2 of "Stormwater Pond Maintenance and Anoxic	
	19. 19. 19 A.	Conditions Investigation FINAL REPORT"	
		http://www.lsrca.on.ca/pdf/reports/stormwater_maintenance.pdf	
12.5	12.1.4	Second bullet point: It should be noted that the 13 mm is an average amount and in many locations, additional infiltration volumes can be achievable.	
12.6	12.3	It is to be noted in this Section that the LSRCA Phosphorus Offsetting Program has not yet been approved by the Province.	



Stantec Consulting Ltd. 300W-675 Cochrane Drive, Markham ON L3R 0B8

June 18, 2015 File: 160621777

Attention: Tom Hogenbirk

120 Bayview Parkway, Box 282 Newmarket, Ontario L3Y 4X1

Dear Mr Hogenbirk,

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner Municipal Class EA Township of Uxbridge, Regional Municipality of Durham IMS No. PEAA417C4

We have completed our review of your comments and have provided responses indicated in the table below.

Page(s):	Sectio n(s):	Comments:	Stantec Response:
3.16	3.2.5	There is a Word error message in this section.	Corrected
3.17	3.3.1	The final sentence in this section is redundant as it is already stated in Section 3.3 above.	Corrected/removed
4.13 – 4.15	Tables 10 - 12	The format of these tables should be adjusted such that a specific table is not split between two pages.	Corrected
4.13	Table 9	The term "after treatment" should be removed from the final column.	Corrected

Design with community in mind



June 18, 2015 Page 2 of 7

4.13	Table 10	 1st row: "S" should read "SWM". 2nd row: "569.84" should read "559.84" (520.37 + 39.47) Rows 2 and 3 need more clarification i.e. what exactly is being treated to achieve the loads of 236 kg and 224 kg? Row 4, first column: This sentence is incorrect. The 127 kg loading would indicate significant SWM treatment. 	Corrected and calculations have been clarified.
4.14	Table 11	Row 5: This row has the same loading as the row above (8 kg) but the 1st column indicates no SWM measures.	Corrected
4.14	Table 11a?	Table 10 adds future loadings from Areas A/B and the Uxbridge Urban Area. As such, a Table needs to be added in this section for the Uxbridge Urban Area only to clearly indicate what the totals in Table 10 are comprised of. For example, the future condition total load of 236 kg would appear to consist of a load of 22 kg from A/B and a load of 214 kg from the Uxbridge Urban Area. The new table would clarify this.	A table has been added, see Table 11.



June 18, 2015 Page 3 of 7

4.14	Table 12	The value of 569.84 kg would appear to be incorrect.	Corrected.
4.15	Table 12	All assumed removal rates should be summarized after this table i.e. 63% wet pond, 79.5% LID and 90% LID plus wet pond.	Corrected.
4.15	4.4.6	More details are required regarding implementation of LID's in the Urban Area and Areas A/B. What roads or existing properties in the existing urban area are suitable candidates for LID's? Are there proposed road reconstructions or other municipal projects where LID's would be utilized? Similarly in new development areas A/B, what are the likely LID measures and is there a typical road cross section that would facilitate LID usage.	The Township is not yet in a position to analyze their 10 year forecast to be able to determine which projects may be retrofit priorities; these projects will come forward as the budget is approved on an annual basis. Therefore, site specific recommendations are at the Area A/B/C level.



June 18, 2015 Page 4 of 7

4.18	4.5	Second last paragraph: It must be stated that the 13 mm is based on the watershed average infiltration rate. It would be better to state that this is the minimum target infiltration amount as in some areas; greater infiltration amounts can be achieved. It should be noted that the LSRCA is currently developing updated SWM policies / guidelines that will target the capture and retention on site of 25 mm of runoff from the new and/or fully reconstructed impervious surfaces (90 percentile storm).	Added.
7.3	7.3	The last sentence needs to be revised, for example "Further details on O.Reg. 179/06 can be found at: <u>http://www.e-</u> <u>laws.gov.on.ca/navigation?file=ho</u> <u>me</u>	Corrected
10.1	10.1	The general requirements for all new developments (Section 12.1.4) should be listed in the first paragraph of Section 10.0	Corrected



June 18, 2015 Page 5 of 7

10.1.1	10.2	Last sentence: The MOECC has revised their approach to allowing infiltration as a SWM treatment measure in certain soils (INTERPRETATION BULLETIN ONTARIO MINISTRY OF ENVIROMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE EXPECTATIONS RE: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT February 2015). This (in part) states: "If the lot level and conveyance facilities can be sized such that they empty between events, or will be installed in areas where quantity control is not a primary concern (areas draining directly to a large surface water body like Lake Ontario, for example), LID facilities can be used where the infiltration rate is less than 15 mm/hr to achieve water balance and water quality (including thermal impacts) through retention, filtration, evaporation and transpiration. Thus, the soil infiltration capacity guidance in the manual should not be interpreted as a prohibition. Rather, it should be interpreted as a caution that controls relying	Added.
		primarily on infiltration may not be as effective on soils with low infiltration rates as they would be on soils with higher rates of infiltration".	



June 18, 2015 Page 6 of 7

10.2	10.1	A table is to be provided for each of the areas in order to provide a summary of the requirements for water quality (LID and standard SWM controls), water quantity, water balance, erosion control and thermal impact control as per the main body of the text.	A table has been provided, see Table 27.
10.3	10.1.4	Due to the potential for thermal impairments to Uxbridge Brook, traditional SWM wet ponds are not the preferred approach in this area. Any retrofits proposed should incorporate significant thermal reduction measures. As noted below, bottom draws have been found to have environmental issues under certain conditions and are not a good thermal control measure.	Added to section 10.1.4.
12.3	12.1.3	Bottom draws can cause environmental issues under certain conditions. Please refer to Section 4.2 of "Stormwater Pond Maintenance and Anoxic Conditions Investigation FINAL REPORT" <u>http://www.lsrca.on.ca/pdf/reports</u> <u>/stormwater_maintenance.pdf</u>	Updated Section.



June 18, 2015 Page 7 of 7

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner Municipal Class EA Township of Uxbridge, Regional Municipality of Durham IMS No. PEAA417C4

12.5	12.1.4	Second bullet point: It should be noted that the 13 mm is an average amount and in many locations, additional infiltration volumes can be achievable.	Corrected.
12.6	12.3	It is to be noted in this Section that the LSRCA Phosphorus Offsetting Program has not yet been approved by the Province.	Corrected.

Please contact the undersigned if you require any clarification.

Regards,

STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.

Ken

Roy Johnson Senior Water Resources Engineer Phone: (905) 415-6372 Fax: (905) 474-9889 Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com

Attachment:

c. Ben Kester (Township of Uxbridge)

 $nt v: \verb|01606|active|160621777|swm master plans|report|swmmp|20150605uxb_cswmmp_comments_stantec_response.docx|active|1606|active|160621777|swm master plans|report|swmmp|20150605uxb_cswmmp_comments_stantec_response.docx|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|activ|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|active|1606|a$

Design with community in mind

Lake Simcoe Region conservation authority

A Watershed for Life

Sent by Email Only: <u>bkester@town.uxbridge.on.ca</u>

July 6, 2015

IMS No: PEAA417C5

Mr. Ben Kester Director of Public Works and Operations Township of Uxbridge 51 Toronto St South Uxbridge, ON L9P 1T1

Dear Mr. Kester:

Re: Stormwater Management Master Plan Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners Municipal Class EA Report Dated June 18th, 2015 <u>Township of Uxbridge, Regional Municipality of Durham</u>

We have completed our review of the above noted submission which we received on June 19th, 2015.

Please be advised that the above noted SWM Master Plan generally meets the technical requirements of the Comprehensive Stormwater Management Master Plan Guidelines (April 26, 2012) and as such is acceptable.

Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions regarding this letter. Please refer to the above noted IMS number in any future correspondence.

Yours truly,

Tom Hogenbirk, CMM, P.Eng. Manager, Engineering and Technical Services

TH/cn

c. Roy Johnson, Stantec (<u>Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com</u>)

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MASTER PLAN - UXBRIDGE URBAN AREA AND HAMLET OF COPPIN'S CORNERS, TOWNSHIP OF UXBRIDGE, ON

Appendix M MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT CORRESPONDENCE



nt v:\01606\active\160621777\swm master plans\report\swmmp\rpt_20160510_moe_swm master plan class ea final.docx



Stantec Consulting Ltd. 300 - 675 Cochrane Drive West Tower Markham ON L3R 0B8 Tel: (905) 944-7777 Fax: (905) 474-9889

February 10, 2014 File: 1606 21777

Attention: Robin Skeates

Senior Program Advisor Ministry of the Environment Barrie District Office Place Nouveau, 8th Floor – 5775 Yonge Street Toronto, ON M2M 4J1

Dear Robin Skeates,

Reference: Status of Stormwater Management Plan – Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners – Township of Uxbridge, ON

Stantec Consulting Ltd. has undertaken to complete the Schedule B Class Environmental Assessment (Class EA) Stormwater Management (SWM) Master Plan for the Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner. The SWM Master Plan shall be prepared in accordance with the *Comprehensive SWM Master Plan Guidelines*, prepared by the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA), dated April 26, 2011, and in accordance with the *Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Guideline*, prepared by the Municipal Engineers Association, dated October 2000 (as amended in 2007 and 2011).

Described below is the current status of the development of the above noted study.

Stantec Consulting Ltd. has completed, or substantially completed, the following steps of the LSRCA's , *Comprehensive Stormwater Management Master Plan Guidelines*, dated April 26, 2011:

- 1. Scoping: Identified development areas from Official Plan;
- 2. Determination of Study Area: Identified existing and future development areas;
- 3. Develop a Characterization of the Study Area: Substantially complete input from terrestrial and aquatic biologists, hydrogeologists, archeologists, etc. in conjunction with GIS data for the area;
- 4. Divide Study Area into Management Units;
- 5. Evaluate Cumulative Environmental impact of Stormwater from Existing and Planned Development: A lumped hydrologic model for the area, as well as hydraulic modeling for the various watercourses within the study area were obtained from the LSRCA and has been evaluated for application to the study. As the hydrology model was a high level lumped model, work is progressing on the digitization of drainage areas obtained from a variety of SWM reports provided by LSRCA, in conjunction with GIS data on storm sewers and topographic information, to develop a means of characterizing controlled and uncontrolled areas of existing and future development;


Reference: Status of Stormwater Management Plan – Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners – Township of Uxbridge, ON

- 6. Determine Effectiveness of Existing Stormwater Management Systems: Once a lumped hydrologic model representing the ponds is completed, climate change scenarios will be simulated;
- 7. Identify and Evaluate Stormwater Improvement and Retrofit Opportunities: Past SWM recommendations from planning policy have been reviewed to ensure continued relevance with current SWM practices and the findings of this study. Alternatives will be evaluated against each other regarding technical effectiveness, construction feasibility, natural environmental, social/cultural environment, and cost. A number of retrofit studies have been previously completed and these are summarized in the draft report.
- 8. Develop an Implementation Plan for the Recommended Approaches: Previous studies have evaluated how their recommended SWM measures, policies, and operation/maintenance strategies are to be implemented with consideration for responsible party, schedule, and funding mechanisms. Stantec will consolidate these as required in conjunction with new findings/recommendation from this study.
- 9. Develop an Implementation Plan for the Recommended Approaches: To be completed. Stantec will outline how the recommended SWM measures, policies, and operation/maintenance strategies are to be implemented with consideration for responsible party, schedule, and funding mechanisms.
- 10. Develop Programs for Inspection and Maintenance of Stormwater Management Facilities: To be completed. Stantec will prepare an inspection and maintenance program for the recommended SWM works as part of this study. The plan will provide for annual reporting with a sufficient level of detail to determine if the implemented SWM recommendations are operating in a manner consistent with the intended design.

It is anticipated that Steps 1-10 will be completed by the end of March, 2014.

To satisfy the Municipal Class EA process, an Open House will be arranged. The materials at the open house will describe the Class EA process being followed, the problem being addressed, identification and evaluation of the alternative solutions and design concepts, the recommended alternative solution, the potential environmental effects associated with the preferred solution, and next steps. It is assumed that the open house could be held at a Town sponsored location at an appropriate time when draft results have been developed. Estimated completion, April, 2014.

A draft of the open house materials will be provided to the Town for review and comment prior to finalization and issuance. It is assumed the Town will arrange for notice placement in the local paper(s). We will be responsible for costs associated with the open house materials.

Stantec will incorporate the information provided by stakeholders and confirm the preferred alternative solution. Estimated completion, May, 2014.

Stantec will prepare the Project File for the proposed SWM Master Plan that documents each step of the Class EA process undertaken and the results in a traceable, easily understood manner, and meets all MEA



Reference: Status of Stormwater Management Plan – Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners – Township of Uxbridge, ON

Class EA requirements. We will provide the Town and LSRCA with one copy of the Project File for review. Upon receipt of the Town and LSRCA comments, we will finalize the document and provide two hard copies and a digital copy to the Town to place in a public forum for review. Estimated completion, June, 2014.

Stantec will place the Project File in a public forum (i.e. Municipal Clerk's Office, library, etc.) for the required **30** day review period.

Stantec will prepare a "Notice of Completion" in the form of a letter and a newspaper advertisement, which advises stakeholders where the Project File may be reviewed and the manner in which comment is to be received. The notice will also advise stakeholders and review agencies of their rights with regard to requesting a Part II Order under the EA Act. A draft of the notice will be provided to the Town for review prior to finalization and issuance.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the undersigned.

Regards,

STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.

Roy Johnson, B. Eng., M. A. Sc., P. Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer Phone: (905) 415-6372 Fax: (905) 474-9889 Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com

Attachment: Attachment

c. Ben Kester, Township of Uxbridge Tom Fowle, Watershed Committee, Township of Uxbridge Dave Fumerton, MOE York-Durham District Office

 $jr v: \label{eq:loos21777} swm master plans \correspondence \letters \let_moe_status_20140410_rrj. docx$

From: Johnson, Roy (Markham) [mailto:Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com]
Sent: May-06-16 9:18 AM
To: Liu, Chunmei (MOECC)
Cc: <u>bkester@town.uxbridge.on.ca</u>; Shulyarenko, Alexander (MOECC); Tom Hogenbirk; Ng, Timothy
Subject: RE: Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Master Plan

Hi

We are submitting the attached letter to finalize approval for the SWMMP so that copies of the final report can be provided to the Township and Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA), rather than update, compile, and submit a report at this time.

Once MOECC approves the materials attached, we will update and issue a final report for all parties.

We have reviewed MOECC comments and offer the attached response. MOECC comments have been numbered for convenience; Stantec responses are in bold. Also attached are the revised sections of the SWMMP Report for your reference.

Regards;

Roy Johnson, B. Eng., M. A. Sc., P. Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer

Stantec 300W-675 Cochrane Drive Markham ON L3R 0B8 Phone: (905) 415-6372 Fax: (905) 474-9889 Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: Shulyarenko, Alexander (MOECC) [mailto:Alexander.Shulyarenko@ontario.ca]
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 2:55 PM
To: Johnson, Roy (Markham)
Cc: <u>bkester@town.uxbridge.on.ca</u>; Liu, Chunmei (MOECC); Belayneh, Ted (MOECC); Zhang, Helen (MOECC)
Subject: Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Master Plan

Hello Roy,

I have completed a surface water review of the Stormwater Management Master Plan – Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners, Township of Uxbridge, Ontario, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.

Please find attached memo for your attention. While in general it is very well prepared report, I have commented on several issues that need to be addressed.

If you have any further questions, please contact me by phone or e-mail at your convenience.

Best regards,

Alexander Shulyarenko, Ph.D.

Surface Water Specialist Technical Support Section, Water Resources Unit Central Region, Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change 5775 Yonge St., 8th Floor, North York, ON M2M 4J1

E-mail: <u>alexander.shulyarenko@ontario.ca</u> Phone: (416) 326-9771



Stantec Consulting Ltd. 300W-675 Cochrane Drive, Markham ON L3R 0B8

May 6, 2016 File: 1606 21777-SWMMP

Attention: Chunmei Liu

Environmental Resource Planner/EA Coordinator Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change Central Region Office – Technical Support 5775 Yonge Street 8th Floor North York, ON M2M 4J1

Dear Chunmei Liu,

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) – Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners – June 18, 2015 Response to MOECC Comments of October 22, 2015 Township of Uxbridge, ON

We are submitting the following letter to finalize approval for the SWMMP so that copies of the final report can be provided to the Township and Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA), rather than update, compile, and submit a report at this time. Once MOECC approves the materials attached, we will update and issue a final report for all parties.

We have reviewed MOECC comments noted above and offer the following response. MOECC comments have been numbered for convenience; Stantec responses are in **bold**. Also attached are the revised sections of the SWMMP Report for your reference.

 The previous Stormwater Management Plan for the Uxbridge Urban Area developed by TSH Associates in 2000, which could provide basis for the development of the current SWMMP, is not mentioned in Section 1.0 (Introduction) and not referenced in Section 13.0 (References). Some information from the TSH report can be found only in Section 4.4.2 – background information on phosphorus loading. It is unclear whether the TSH recommendations were implemented over the last 14 years and whether they were taken into consideration by Stantec Consulting during the SWMMP development.

The SWMMP provides essential framework for future stormwater management in the Uxbridge Urban Area but does not mention or refer to the earlier Township's commitment to decrease phosphorus loading from urban areas by 122.4 kg/yr as a result of stormwater retrofit measures according to the requirements in the MOE Certificate of Approval #3-0952-97-987 for the Uxbridge Brook WPCP upgrade from 2004. One of the objectives of the study should refer to that Certificate of Approval.



May 6, 2016 Chunmei Liu Page 2 of 6

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) – Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners – June 18, 2015 Response to MOECC Comments of October 22, 2015 Township of Uxbridge, ON

Alexander Shulyarenko has changed his comment to the following on December 8 2015 (refer to Email Correspondence Attached):

"The SWMMP provides essential framework for the future stormwater management in the Uxbridge Urban Area but does not mention or refer to the earlier Township's commitment to decrease phosphorus loading from urban areas by 122.4 kg/yr as a result of stormwater retrofit measures according to the requirements established during the approval process for the Uxbridge Brook WPCP upgrade in the early 2000s. One of the objectives of the study should refer to that commitment."

While in the 2012 report to the MOE the Township asserted that the total net reduction from 2000 levels in phosphorus loading to Uxbridge Brook was 107.84 kg/yr or 88% of the original target of 122.4 kg/yr, I have reasons to believe that the previous stormwater treatment phosphorus removal calculations overestimated achieved TP loading reduction from the urban area of interest. While it is obvious that phosphorus loadings from the Uxbridge Urban Area were also overestimated by TSH in 2000, it is necessary to adjust the earlier Township's calculations and establish the clear TP reduction target in the SWMMP taking into consideration the previous Township's commitment as well as more stringent objectives for TP loadings in the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan.

The TSH report is mentioned in section 1.4 previous studies and is referenced in Section 13.0 (References). An excerpt of this is attached. The Uxbridge Urban Area Stormwater Management Study, Report to MOECC in concludes that the Township of Uxbridge had achieved 100% of the original target of 122.4 kg/year set by the TSH report. This is summarized in Section 4.4.2.2.4 attached.

We have added information on the Uxbridge Brook Water Pollution Control Plant Annual Performance Report 2014, to the report Section 4.4.2.2.3. That section details the total phosphorus removal performance for 2014 from the water pollution control plant. The average total phosphorus removal was within ECA limits (0.15 mg/l) and ECA objectives (0.10 mg/l), with an average total phosphorus concentration of 0.06 mg/l. An excerpt of section 4.4.2.2.3 from the report is attached:

We have received the Uxbridge Urban Area Stormwater Management Study, Report to MOE (prepared by AECOM dated February 11, 2016), which identifies all measures taken to the date of the report that reduce phosphorus loading within Uxbridge Brook. The report summarized phosphorus removal targets under plans of subdivision, Site Plans, retrofitting of existing SWM Ponds and Elgin Pond Rehabilitation. The report concludes that the



May 6, 2016 Chunmei Liu Page 3 of 6

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) – Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners – June 18, 2015 Response to MOECC Comments of October 22, 2015 Township of Uxbridge, ON

Township of Uxbridge has achieved 100% of the original target of 122.4 kg/yr. This text has been added to report Section 4.4.2.2.4. An excerpt of Section 4.4.2.2.4 is attached:

Stantec recognizes the MOECC's comments and questions with respect to various phosphorus calculations contained within AECOM's reports; however, Stantec's role in the Municipal Class EA process for the SWMMP does not include making revisions to other consultants reports, nor is the SWMMP intended as a 'living document' to be revised continuously. We have provided the summary of the AECOM analysis in the SWMMP "as is" and consider the SWMMP complete in that regard.

2. There are some inaccuracies in the report that need clarifications. For example, according to the Table of Contents Appendix L supposed to have minutes from a meeting with LSRCA. In reality, there is a letter to Ben Kester, Director of Public Works and Operations, Township of Uxbridge. It is unclear if there were any discussions with LSRCA regarding the report and whether the report was reviewed by the LSRCA staff.

LSRCA reviewed and approved the report. Appendix L has been revised to "Agency Correspondence" and includes Stantec responses to LSRCA and MOECC comments. A Notice of Completion was issued November 12, 2015. This is included in Appendix O (see attached).

3. There is inconsistency between total area of the future development (Areas A and B) in Table 9 (81.04 ha) and Table 16 as well as on p.4.18 (93.8 ha).

Total Areas of future development Areas A and B have been updated in Tables 15 and 16, and the corresponding water budget calculations have been updated accordingly in Section 4.5. The correct total area of A and B is 81.04. The changes to water budget calculations have no impact on the infiltration targets outlined in Section 4.5, as the new 25 mm retention requirement from LSRCA governs (LSRCA Technical Guidelines for Stormwater Management Submissions (Draft Dated February 12, 2016). Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority). An excerpt of revised section 4.5 is attached. An excerpt of revised Figures 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and ERSN 1 are also attached.

4. As well, existing land use conditions in Areas A and B are referenced as forest for the whole area in Table 9 but looking at orthophotos at Figure 2 it becomes obvious that only small portions of both areas are currently covered by forest. The rest looks like agricultural fields or transition (open spaces).



May 6, 2016 Chunmei Liu Page 4 of 6

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) – Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners – June 18, 2015 Response to MOECC Comments of October 22, 2015 Township of Uxbridge, ON

The pre-development land use conditions in Areas A and B have been referenced as forest instead of open field or agricultural fields for the whole area to be conservative to establish phosphorus targets. The forest phosphorus loadings are much lower than the agricultural loadings and are therefore a more conservative assumption in setting targets.

5. I cannot agree with estimation that forested areas generate zero phosphorus loading (Tables 7, 8 and 9). According to various research data, phosphorus loading from forested areas varies from 0.02 to 0.10 kg/ha.

As per the Berger report 0.0001 kg/ha is the loading rate for forest. The report has been adjusted to show 0.0001 kg/ha instead of 0. An excerpt of Table 7, 8 and 9 are attached.

In addition to the above, we have included loading rates using the MOE Lake Simcoe Phosphorus Tool. The results indicate that the difference between the existing and post development conditions with BMPS produces a net reduction of -396.68 kg/year. This is approximately the same value that is stated in Table 13 of the SWMMP (-394.42 kg/year. Refer to the excerpt of Table 13 and Appendix E of the report (attached).

6. There is a mistake in volumetric infiltration deficit per hectare calculations on p. 4.18. The value there should be 551.7 m³/ha/yr and correspondently 55 mm/yr that cannot equate 66% of precipitation volume but only 6.6%.

The report has been updated in Section 4.5 to reflect the corrected areas. Areas A and B have a total volumetric deficit of 50,073 m³/year. That averages to approximately 62 mm/year; based on the average precipitation volume of 831 mm/year, 62 mm/year equates to 7.4% of precipitation volume.

Based on rainfall data collected and supplied by LSRCA, events up to and including 6 mm events correspond to approximately 7.4% of average annual rainfall depth. Section 4.5 was revised and an excerpt is included (see attached).

7. On p.6.1 it is mentioned that the SWM facilities assessment report is discussed in Section 3.4.1. The mentioned section discusses objectives of the archaeological assessment.

This reference has been corrected to reference Section 3.7.1.

8. The Stormwater Management Master Plan should clearly identify contributing drainage areas of each current and future stormwater facility (ponds, swales, OGS) as well as level of their functionality. While there is a map of current SWMP locations, no other information



May 6, 2016 Chunmei Liu Page 5 of 6

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) – Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners – June 18, 2015 Response to MOECC Comments of October 22, 2015 Township of Uxbridge, ON

is provided. Engineered wetlands are proven type of stormwater management treatment that should be considered as one of retrofit options at the SWMMP.

As discussed (telephone conversation, January 8, 2016), the lumped modelling approach was acceptable to LSRCA and no further discretization is required.

 Hydrologic modelling and changes in parameter values are not reviewed in detail and should be sent to LSRCA for review by their engineering staff. Please ensure LSRCA is consulted and any their comments incorporated into the final report. It was noticed that some values in Appendix D – Hydrologic Modelling are given in non-metric units, e.g. slope – feet/mile, areas – square miles etc. that is not common in Canada.

Hydrologic parameters were reviewed and approved by LSRCA. The Imperial units were used per the charts contained within *Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds TR-55* (prepared by United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Conservation Engineering Division, Technical Release 55, dated June 1986) in calculating time to peak using the Williams' method and converted to metric for use in the VO2 modeling.

10. MOECC defers any comments on the assessment, impacts and mitigation of natural features and fish habitat to the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF). Of particular concern are the effects that changes in water quality and water quantity may have on species identified in the study area classified as Endangered, Threatened or of Special Concern. Please ensure that MNRF is consulted and any comments from MNRF incorporated into the final report.

As confirmed with LSRCA, based on Step 10 of the CSWMMP Terms of Reference, the LSRCA is listed as the reviewer of these plans, and was forwarded to MOECC for consideration under the requirements of the Municipal Class EA process. No other agencies are listed as reviewers; therefore, consultation with MNRF is not required. In addition, the SWMMP outlines requirements for stormwater quality and quantity controls consistent with existing guideline documents.

We trust that the foregoing is sufficient to address the MOECC's comments and that the SWMMP can now be considered final and approved. If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.



May 6, 2016 Chunmei Liu Page 6 of 6

Reference: Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) – Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners – June 18, 2015 Response to MOECC Comments of October 22, 2015 Township of Uxbridge, ON

Regards,

STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.

Roy Johnson, B. Eng., M. A. Sc., P. Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer Phone: (905) 415-6372 Fax: (905) 474-9889 Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com

Attachment: Correspondence with MOE and Updated Comments Figures 1, 2, 4-9, ERSN1

Sections 4.4.2.2.3, 4.4.2.2.4, and 4.5 Excerpt of Table 7, 8 and 13 Appendix E – Phosphorus Calculations Appendix O – Notice of Completion

These Attachments are now included in main body of report and are therefore not duplicated in this Appendix.

c. Alexander Shulyarenko - Alexander.Shulyarenko@ontario.ca
 Tom Hogenbirk – t.hogenbirk@lsrca.on.ca
 Ben Kester – bkester@town.uxbridge.on.ca

rj v:\01606\active\160621777\swm master plans\correspondence\letters\2016 moe swmmp response letter\let_moecc_response_20160406_rrj_dft.docx

Ng, Timothy

From:	Liu, Chunmei (MOECC) <chunmei.liu@ontario.ca></chunmei.liu@ontario.ca>
Sent:	Monday, May 09, 2016 9:17 AM
То:	Shulyarenko, Alexander (MOECC); Johnson, Roy (Markham)
Cc:	bkester@town.uxbridge.on.ca; Tom Hogenbirk; Ng, Timothy; O'Leary, Emilee (MOECC)
Subject:	RE: Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Master Plan

Good morning Roy,

Further to the final comment from our surface water specialist Alexander Shulyarenko, our review for this Class EA is completed. If you have further questions regarding our review comments, please feel free to contact me or my colleague Emilee O'Leary who is the EA and Planning Coordinator for projects within Durham Region.

Please send us a hardcopy of the final EA report for our filing.

Thanks, Chunmei

From: Shulyarenko, Alexander (MOECC)
Sent: May-06-16 12:29 PM
To: Johnson, Roy (Markham); Liu, Chunmei (MOECC)
Cc: <u>bkester@town.uxbridge.on.ca</u>; Tom Hogenbirk; Ng, Timothy
Subject: RE: Township of Uxbridge Stormwater Management Master Plan

Hello Roy,

I have read Stantec's response to the MOECC comments regarding the Stormwater Management Master Plan – Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners, Township of Uxbridge.

Please note that the suggested phosphorus loading rate from forested areas of 0.0001 kg/ha/yr is not acceptable for the MOECC. Please use the loading rate of **0.03** kg/ha/yr as suggested in the MOE Phosphorus Loading Tool. This phosphorus export coefficient was specifically established for the Pefferlaw/Uxbridge watershed and should be used in all calculations.

The phosphorus export coefficients in the Berger report resulted from modelling exercises and often do not reproduce the true empirical loading rates. In addition, the value of 0.0001 kg/ha/yr is taken out of context of the Berger report, which separated uncontrollable natural P sources in four categories (forest, wetland, stream bank and groundwater) instead of usually used two categories (forest and wetland).

Regards,

Alexander Shulyarenko, Ph.D. Surface Water Specialist Technical Support Section, Water Resources Unit Central Region, Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MASTER PLAN - UXBRIDGE URBAN AREA AND HAMLET OF COPPIN'S CORNERS, TOWNSHIP OF UXBRIDGE, ON

Appendix N POND INSPECTION CHECKLIST TEMPLATE June 5, 2015

Appendix N POND INSPECTION CHECKLIST TEMPLATE



Stormwater Management Facility Inspection Form									
1. Gener	ral Details			Inspected by:					
Facility Number:				Date of Inspection:					
Facility Name:				Rainfall 24	mm				
Intersection:				Rainfall 72 hours prior: mm					
Discharg	es to:								
Watersh	ed:								
Facility T	vpe: circle								
i comy i	wet pond	wet pond		wetland		dry popd			
	on-line	off-line		wettand		dry pond			
	sediment forebay Yes / No								
2. Obser	vations:								
Waterley	vel Reading (from top of HW):	cm				NOTES			
Water Co	olour: Clear	Green	Brown	Other					
ls sedime NOTES:_	Foul Odour Floating Material algae debris oil/sheen floatables ent visible below or above water s	None None None None None surface?	Minor Minor Minor Minor Minor Yes	Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate No	High High High High High				
2 /nono	ation of Structural Component								
OUTLET	Blockage/Debris Sediment Accumulation Cracking/damage concrete Damage to other components Grate secure Seepage Erosion Protection Condition Blockage/Debris Cracking/damage concrete Damage to other components Grate secure Structural damage Armourstone Seepage	None None Yes Yes None None None None yes None Yes	Minor Minor Minor No No Minor Minor Minor no Minor Minor No	Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate	High High High High High High High High	NOTES			

3. Inspection of Structural Components (continued)									
OUTLET SWALE Blockage Erosion Clarity of flow out of pond Sediment Depth in channel (Depth measurement taken at a	None None clear putfall headwa	Minor Minor clear-brown cm II)	Moderate Moderate brown	High High	NOTES				
EMERGENCY OVERFLOW Erosion Evidence of overtopping	None yes	Minor no	Moderate	High	NOTES				
NOTES:									
4. Inspection of Vegetation					NOTES				
Aquatic Shoreline Upland Trees/Shrubs	Un-Healthy Un-Healthy Un-Healthy Un-Healthy	Healthy Healthy Healthy Healthy							
Re-seeding/Replanting Require	ments:								
NOTES:									
5. Overall Conditions					NOTES				
Access Roads Fences Gates Locks Signage Evidence of Encroachments Evidence of Beaver Activity Evidence of Waterfowl Activity Evidence of Fish	Access RoadsUn-satisfactorFencesUn-satisfactorGatesUn-satisfactorLocksUn-satisfactorSignageUn-satisfactorEvidence of EncroachmentsUn-satisfactorEvidence of Beaver ActivityUn-satisfactorEvidence of Waterfowl ActivityUn-satisfactorEvidence of FishUn-satisfactorGOMMENTS:		Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory						

Appendix O NOTICE OF STUDY COMPLETION



NOTICE OF STUDY COMPLETION Stormwater Management Master Plan – Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corners, Township of Uxbridge

Township of Uxbridge

The Township of Uxbridge retained Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Stantec) to complete the Schedule B Class Environmental Assessment (Class EA) Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) for the Uxbridge Urban Area and Hamlet of Coppin's Corner. The SWMMP has been prepared in accordance with the Comprehensive SWM Master Plan Guidelines, prepared by the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA), dated April 26, 2011, and in accordance with the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Guideline, prepared by the Municipal Engineers Association, dated October 2000 (as amended in 2007 and 2011). The Township of Uxbridge (the Township) has received a letter from the Ministry of Environment (MOE) indicating that the required scope of the SWM Master Plan must include the settlement areas noted above.

The intent of the Stormwater Management Master Plan (SWMMP) was to prepare a practical and implementable framework which balances the requirements of proposed and existing development with infrastructure requirements, economic, social and environmental constraints and opportunities. The MOE published the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan in June of 2009 that called for all settlement areas to prepare and implement comprehensive SWMMP that would improve the management of stormwater for both existing and planned development.

The Master Plan process included public, agency and stakeholder consultation, an evaluation of alternative solutions, assessment of potential impacts, and identification of mitigation measures. As part of the consultation program a public meeting was held on May 8, 2014 to provide information on the project and to receive comments. Public and agency comments have been received and considered in the selection of the preferred alternatives.

The SWMMP has been completed and is available for review at the following location:

Township office of the Township of Uxbridge 51 Toronto Street South P.O Box 190 Uxbridge, Ontario L9P 1T1

The Project File is available online at: <u>http://town.uxbridge.on.ca/public_works</u>

The preferred SWM strategy for the Uxbridge Future Settlement Areas is the Traditional SWM with Best Management Practices (BMP) Implementation Strategy – a SWM pond for peak flow control and erosion control, in conjunction with Low Impact Development (LID) BMPs to reduce phosphorus, promote infiltration, and to potentially offset the need for a permanent pool. For areas that experience redevelopment in the future, the preferred strategy is the Traditional SWM with Urban Retrofits Strategy. The Urban Retrofits Strategy is to either implement new Enhanced wet ponds and/or upgrade existing wet ponds. The retrofit measure that is implemented would be monitored to analyze how it is performing and be modified if required.

Interested persons may provide written comment to the undersigned within 30 calendar days from the date of this Notice. If concerns arise regarding this project, which cannot be resolved in discussion with the Township of Uxbridge, a person or party may request that the Minister of the Environment make an order for the project to comply with the Part II of the Environmental Assessment Act (referred to as a Part II Order). Requests must be received by the Minister at the address below by December 11, 2015.

Minister of the Environment 77 Wellesley Street West, 11th Floor, Ferguson Block Toronto ON M7A 2T5

A copy of the request must also be sent to one of the undersigned. Subject to comments received as a result of this Notice and the receipt of necessary approvals, design and construction of works noted within the Master Servicing Plan can proceed (with the exception of Schedule C Class EA activities). Master Plans are not subject to requests from the public for a Part II Order. However, individual projects (Schedule B Class EA activities) identified within this Master Plan may be subject to a Part II Order.

Notice issued on November 12, 2015

Ben Kester, C.E.T., CRS-S Director of Public Works & Operations Township of Uxbridge 51 Toronto Street South Uxbridge, ON L9P 1T1 Email: bkester@town.uxbridge.on.ca

Roy Johnson Senior Water Resources Engineer Stantec Consulting Ltd. 300-675 Cochrane Drive Markham ON L3R 0B8 Email:Roy.Johnson2@stantec.com

Personal information submitted in writing on this subject is collected under the authority of the Municipal Act, 2001 and will be used by members of Council and Township staff in their review of this study.